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In order to explore whether Ru can be replaced by inexpensive Fe in dye molecules for solar cells, the differences in the electronic structure of Fe- and Ru-based dyes are investigated by X-ray absorption spectroscopy and first-principles calculations. Molecules with the metal in a sixfold, octahedral N cage, such as tris(bipyridines) and tris(phenanthrolines), exhibit a systematic downward shift of the N 1s-to-π* transition when Ru is replaced by Fe. This shift is explained by an extra transfer of negative charge from the metal to the N ligands in the case of Fe, which reduces the binding energy of the N 1s core level. The C 1s-to-π* transitions show the opposite trend, with an increase in the transition energy when replacing Ru by Fe. Molecules with the metal in a fourfold, planar N cage (porphyrins) exhibit a more complex behavior due to a subtle competition between the crystal field, axial ligands, and the 2+ vs. 3+ oxidation states. © 2013 American Institute of Physics. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4788617]

I. INTRODUCTION

Dye-sensitized solar cells frequently use metal-organic dye molecules containing the rare metal ruthenium,1 which hampers large-scale applications in photovoltaic power plants. On the other hand, similar types of charge transfer reactions are carried out in biological systems by heme-based molecules containing iron as the active redox center. Since Fe is located just above Ru in the periodic table, the question arises whether it is possible to use abundant Fe instead of the rare Ru for dye-sensitized solar cells.2 A similar question has come up in the context of Fe- versus Ru-based catalysts.3

Many different metal-organic dye molecules have been used for photovoltaics,1,4–6 but most of them are characterized by a metal atom surrounded by a cage of N atoms. The cage can be two-dimensional (in porphyrins and phthalocyanines) or three-dimensional (in polypyridyl structures). Systematic work on the electronic structure of two-dimensional dyes can be found in Refs. 4 and 7–11. For three-dimensional complexes, the electronic structure12–17 and charge transfer18–24 have been investigated using many spectroscopic25–31 and theoretical32–40 methods.

Despite the analogy between Fe and Ru in the periodic table, there are several subtle differences in their electronic structure. For example, Fe is significantly less electronegative than Ru (1.83 versus 2.2) and thus more prone to transfer electron charge to ligands. Both Fe and Ru exhibit a wide range of oxidation states (from −2 to +6 for Fe and from −2 to +8 for Ru), but Fe prefers the +3 oxidation state while Ru prefers +2 and +4, with +2 representing the typical oxidation state of Ru in dye molecules. A special feature of neutral Ru is the transfer of an outer s-electron to the d-shell, which leads to a different configuration of the active d-electrons. This is less important in dye molecules, where Fe and Ru are oxidized to +2 (d⁵) or +3 (d⁶).

The 3d valence electrons of Fe differ from the 4d electrons of Ru in several aspects. The smaller spatial extent of the Fe 3d vs. Ru 4d wave functions leads to a smaller bond length between Fe and N (see Sec. IV B for two-dimensional molecules and Ref. 41 for three-dimensional molecules). Superficially, this suggests a larger overlap between the metal d-electrons and the adjacent N 2p electrons for Fe. On the other hand, the N cage is fairly stiff, particularly in planar dye molecules. It is not flexible enough to completely accommodate the difference in the ionic radii. Therefore, the overlap is smaller for Fe, and likewise the hybridization between the metal d electrons and the N 2p electrons.

Like the hybridization, the crystal field splitting decreases substantially from the 4d to the 3d manifold. The lower crystal field splitting in Fe makes it possible to promote electrons from the filled t₂g to the empty e₅g states in...
order to have parallel spins. As a consequence, Ru tends to be in the low spin configuration while Fe can also occur in the high spin configuration. For example, the gap between the \( f_{2g}^- \) and \( e_g^- \)-derived states is 2.9 eV for RuCO octaethyl porphyrin (OEP) and 0.8 eV for FeCl octaethyl porphyrin according to our calculations.

An additional splitting is caused by the spin-orbit interaction, which can be quantified by the parameter \( \zeta' \) defined in Refs. 43 and 44, which is 0.13 eV for the 4d electrons in atomic Ru\(^{2+}\) and 0.05 eV for the 3d electrons in atomic Fe\(^{2+}\). The different strength of the spin-orbit interaction affects the optical transitions in the visible and UV, particularly luminescence and circular dichroism. When compared to the interaction between the transition dipoles on the ligands, the spin-orbit interaction dominates in Ru-tris(phenanthroline) while being small in Fe-tris(phenanthroline) (see Table 1 in Ref. 40). That changes the sequence of the lowest excited orbitals from \( 1A_2^- < 1A_2^- < 1E^- \) for Ru to \( 1E^- < 1A_2^- < 1A_2^- \) for Fe. The effect of the spin-orbit interaction on the electronic structure has been studied in detail for the Fe-, Ru-, and Os-tris(bipyridine) series which covers the 3d, 4d, and 5d shells. Here, we restrict ourselves to the Fe-Ru comparison, but extend the scope to a broader class of dye molecules, i.e., tris(phenanthroline) and OEP in addition to tris(bipyridine).

The spin-orbit splitting affects the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO), which tends to have metal d character, while the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) has usually N 2p character in the metal-organic dye molecules discussed here. In a dye-sensitized solar cell, the HOMO is sensitive to the charge transfer between the metal atom and the surrounding N cage, as demonstrated for a series of OEPs with 3d metals at the center. We find a similar charge transfer shift in a variety of Fe- and Ru-based dye molecules.

The key result can be summarized as follows: (1) There is a systematic downward shift of the N 1s-to-\( \pi^* \) transition when replacing Ru by Fe in tris(bipyridines) and tris(phenanthrolines), while OEPs exhibit a more subtle behavior. (2) The origin of this shift can be traced to a chemical shift of the N 1s core level, caused by electron charge transfer from the metal to the surrounding nitrogens. (3) This charge transfer is larger for Fe than for Ru, due to the lower electronegativity of Fe.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL

### A. Materials

- **Ruthenium(II) tris(bipyridine)** Ru(bpy)\(^{3+}\), iron(II) tris(bipyridine) Fe(bpy)\(^{3+}\), ruthenium(II) tris(phenanthroline) Ru(phen)\(^{3+}\), iron(II) tris(phenanthroline) Fe(phen)\(^{3+}\), more commonly known as the redox indicator ferroin, ruthenium(II) carboxylate octaethyl porphine RuCO-OEP, iron(III) chloride octaethyl porphine FeCl-OEP, H\(_2\) octaethyl porphine H\(_2\)-OEP, bathophenanthroline, and dimethyl phenanthroline were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Carboxylated bipyridine (also known as bisonicotinic acid) was deposited on a smooth layer of ZnO nanoparticles. Ru(bpy)\(^{3+}\), Fe(bpy)\(^{3+}\), Ru(phen)\(^{3+}\), bathophenanthroline, and dimethyl phenanthroline were dissolved in ethanol and drop cast on Au-coated Si wafers. Fe(phen)\(^{3+}\) was purchased in aqueous solution and drop cast on Au-coated Si.

The best quality spectra (with the narrowest peaks and largest peak-to-valley ratios) were obtained from in situ illuminated samples. However, sublimation was not suitable for all dye molecules, as some of them decomposed before reaching sufficient vapor pressure (Fig. 1). Typical decay products were nitriles, which give rise to a characteristic \( \pi^* \) peak at 399.9 eV (Ref. 50). Drop casting was also used. Spectra from such samples showed only weak dependence on the solvent.
as long as pure solvents without aqueous contamination were used.

Thermal desorption was used to remove the axial CO ligand from RuCO-OEP at about 400 °C, well above the typical OEP sublimation temperature of 250 °C (Fig. 1). However, this requires that the axial ligand be less strongly bound than the porphyrin ring. For FeCl-OEP, the porphyrin ring breaks before Cl is removed (see Fig. 2).

B. X-ray absorption measurements

X-ray absorption measurements were performed at two undulator beamlines: Beamline 8.0 of the ALS and the VLS-PGM beamline at the SRC. At the ALS it was possible to simultaneously acquire surface-sensitive total electron yield (TEY) spectra and bulk-sensitive total fluorescence yield spectra. Details of the experimental setup have been reported in Refs. 50 and 53. For measuring the small energy shifts at the N 1s edge, it was necessary to ensure that the photon energy was stable. Therefore, analogous spectra of Fe- and Ru-based molecules were taken right after each other. The absolute photon energy calibration was checked frequently by measuring the C 1s edge of graphite at grazing incidence, the Ti 2p edge of rutile TiO₂, and the Ni 2p edge of a Ni mesh. Details about the energy calibration and resolution can be found in Ref. 50.

C. Density functional theory calculations

Density functional theory calculations were carried out by means of the Amsterdam density functional code. All atoms were described through basis sets of TZP quality (triple-ζ STO plus one polarization function) given in the program database, including all the core electrons in the calculation (i.e., with no frozen core approximation). The exchange-correlation energy was computed according to the local density approximation by means of the Vosko-Wilk-Nusair functional. The first step of the calculations consists of obtaining the structures of the different OEPs in their ground state. In a second step, the N 1s-to-LUMO transition was calculated using the ΔSCF method. We have also performed DFT calculations using the transition state (TS) theory proposed by Slater. The details of the ΔSCF and TS methods are described in Ref. 7.

III. RESULTS

A. N 1s absorption spectra

Figure 3 compares the N 1s absorption spectra of various bipyridines. For Ru(bpy)₂⁺ and Fe(bpy)₂⁺, the bulk-sensitive
fluorescence yield spectra are shown (in order to eliminate the contribution from surface oxides), and for metal-free carboxylated bipyridine on ZnO the electron yield spectrum. The dominant peak in all spectra is the transition from the N 1s core level to the lowest π* orbital below 400 eV. Weaker transitions into higher-lying π* orbitals are visible in the 400-405 eV range, plus a broad σ* resonance near 408 eV. When going from Ru to Fe-tris(bipyridine), the dominant π* peak shifts down in energy by 0.15 eV. The next three peaks shift in the same direction, indicating a common contribution from a shift of the N 1s core level induced by charge transfer. This is confirmed by the calculations in Sec. IV A, where the N 1s core level shifts, while the LUMO stays constant. A common shift of two π* peaks is also observed at the C 1s edge of the bipyridine-based molecules (see Sec. III B).

Figure 4 shows the N 1s absorption spectra for a series of phenanthroline-based molecules. The lowest N 1s-to-π* transition shifts down by 0.3 eV when replacing Ru by Fe. Similar to the bipyridine-based molecules, the shift is due to a decrease in the N 1s core level binding energy.

Figure 5 is analogous to Figs. 3 and 4, but for OEP molecules. They exhibit a planar cage of four nitrogens around the metal atom instead of three-dimensional cage of six N atoms. The N 1s absorption spectra are given for RuCO-OEP, Ru-OEP, FeCl-OEP, and H2-OEP, all in the TEY mode. For Ru-OEP, the CO ligand was removed by sublimation at a higher temperature, as shown in Fig. 1. The metal-OEPs generally exhibit smaller shifts of the lowest π* peak than the molecules with three-dimensional cages in Figs. 3 and 4. In the metal-free H2-OEP, the lowest π* peak is split, because there are two pairs of inequivalent N atoms (one pair bonding to H, the other not). The lower peak (397.75 eV) is due to the H-free N atoms, and the higher peak (399.95 eV) to the N bonded to H (see the two vertical lines in the bottom curve of Fig. 5).

The energies of the lowest N 1s-to-π* transitions marked by lines in Figs. 3–5 are summarized in Table I. The origin of the energy shifts will be investigated in Sec. IV.

**B. C 1s absorption spectra**

Figure 6 shows the C 1s fluorescence yield spectra of Ru(bpy)32+, Fe(bpy)32+, and the electron yield spectrum of carboxylated bipyridine on ZnO. Compared to the N 1s edge in Fig. 3, the shifts of the LUMO transition are in the opposite

**TABLE I. Observed N 1s-to-π* transition energies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Transition energy [eV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru(bpy)32+</td>
<td>399.51 (±0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(bpy)32+</td>
<td>399.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipyridine on ZnO</td>
<td>398.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(phen)32+</td>
<td>399.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(phen)32+</td>
<td>399.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl phenanthroline</td>
<td>399.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathophenanthroline</td>
<td>399.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-OEP</td>
<td>398.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuCO-OEP</td>
<td>398.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCl-OEP</td>
<td>398.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2-OEP</td>
<td>397.75, 399.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
direction at the C 1s edge. Instead of a 0.15 eV downward shift at the N 1s edge one observes an upward shift of 0.15 eV for C 1s when going from Ru to Fe. A second strong π* transition is observed at 0.8-0.9 eV higher energy. The two π* peaks are assigned to transitions into the same π* orbital, but from inequivalent C atoms. The lower peak is assigned to the three C atoms bonded to other carbons (C3, 4, 5) and the upper peak to the two C atoms bonded to nitrogen (C2, 6). The latter has higher binding energy due to electronic charge transfer from C to N. The two corresponding C 1s core levels have been observed by XPS with a similar splitting (about 1.0 eV), similar intensity ratio (3:2), and the same assignment. Both π* transitions shift by a similar amount, indicating a similar charge transfer to both types of C atoms via the common π system. The sign of the shift indicates removal of electronic charge from the π system.

Figure 7 shows a similar trend in the C 1s spectra of Ru(phen)$_2$$^+$, Fe(phen)$_2$$^+$, dimethyl phenanthroline, and bathophenanthroline. Again there is an upward shift of the LUMO peak when going from Ru to Fe (by 0.05 eV), compared to a downward shift at the N 1s edge in Fig. 4 (by 0.3 eV). It is tempting to assign the three strong π* peaks to transitions from three inequivalent C atoms into the same, delocalized π* system, as in the tris(bipyridines). In contrast to the tris(bipyridine) dyes, the magnitude of this C 1s shift is significantly smaller, pointing toward a delocalization of the charge transfer over the larger π system of phenanthroline.

In bathophenanthroline, the extra phenyl groups are responsible for the dominant C=C π* feature which obscures the double peaks seen in the other phenanthroline-based molecules. The low-energy shoulder in the bathophenanthroline spectrum lies close to the lowest π* peaks of the other molecules.

Figure 8 shows the C 1s spectra for Ru-OEP, RuCO-OEP, FeCl-OEP, and H$_2$-OEP. Unlike for the other molecules, the lowest π* peak shifts upward when going from FeCl- to RuCO-OEP. It shifts further upward when removing the CO to form Ru-OEP. The magnitude of each of these shifts is about 0.1 eV. The energies of the C 1s transitions marked by lines in Figs. 6–8 are summarized in Table II.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Systematic shift at the N 1s edge

The key trend at the N 1s absorption edge is a systematic downward shift of the N 1s-to-π* transition when going from Ru to Fe (and eventually to metal-free dye molecules). Such a shift can be explained by transfer of negative charge from the metal to the neighboring N atoms, as investigated in more detail in previous work on 3d metal OEPs. The smaller electronegativity of Fe compared to Ru increases the transfer of negative charge from Fe to its N ligands, thus decreasing the binding energy of the N 1s electrons. This model is supported...
TABLE II. Observed C 1s-to-π* transition energies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Transition energies [eV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru(bpy)_3</td>
<td>284.72, 285.60 (+0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(bpy)_3</td>
<td>284.87, 285.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipyridine on ZnO</td>
<td>284.72, 285.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(phen)_3</td>
<td>284.50, 285.27, 285.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(phen)_3</td>
<td>284.55, 285.30, 285.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl phenanthroline</td>
<td>284.75, 285.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathophenanthroline</td>
<td>285.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-OEP</td>
<td>284.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuCO-OEP</td>
<td>284.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCl-OEP</td>
<td>284.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2-OEP</td>
<td>284.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

by XPS measurements of the N 1s core level and the HOMO for the Fe-, Ru-, Os-tris(bipyridine) series.12 From Ru to Fe, the N 1s level shifts up by 0.08 eV and the HOMO shifts up by 0.35 eV.12 This gives an upwards shift of 0.27 eV for the binding energy of the N 1s relative to the HOMO, which parallels the observed upward shift of 0.15 eV for the N 1s-to-π* transition.

While the N atoms in the tris(bipyridine) and tris(phenanthroline) molecules exhibit a sixfold, approximately octahedral arrangement around the metal,41 there are only four N atoms surrounding the metal in the OEPs. These structures may be viewed as three-dimensional and two-dimensional cages, respectively. Aside from the change in the number of N ligands, the cage structure also affects the crystal field that splits the metal d-levels and shifts the N 2p levels. The bonding geometry of the metal atom also affects the oxidation state, which in turn affects the charge transfer to the N ligands. In particular, Fe is in the +2 oxidation state in the three-dimensional cages of the tris(bipyridine) and tris(phenanthroline) molecules, whereas it prefers the +3 oxidation state in the two-dimensional, planar cages of OEP or phthalocyanine molecules. Ru, on the other hand, prefers the +2 oxidation state in OEPs and phthalocyanines.14,48 As a result, we have only been able to make a direct comparison between Ru2+ in RuCO-OEP and Fe3+ in FeCl-OEP. Removal of the Cl from FeCl-OEP without breaking the molecule apart has not been possible (compare Fig. 2). In order to sort out the contributions from the oxidation state and the axial ligand, we have calculated the electronic structure of Ru- and Fe-OEPs for various combinations of oxidation states and axial ligands.

B. Calculation of the energy shift

First-principles DFT calculations of the N 1s-to-π* transitions were performed for the combinations of metal, oxidation state, and axial ligand that are relevant to our data (RuCO-OEP, FeCO-OEP, RuCl-OEP, FeCl-OEP). The methodology has been tested previously with a series of 3d transition metal OEPs,7 where the details of the calculations are described. The results are given in Table III. The N 1s-to-π* transition energy can be decomposed into three contributions, i.e., the N 1s core level energy, the LUMO energy, and the Coulomb interaction between an electron in the LUMO and the N 1s core hole. In previous systematic work, it was found that the largest variation within the 3d metal series was caused by a shift of the N 1s level due to varying charge transfer from the transition metal to the N.12 Here, we have to consider two additional effects, the change in the oxidation state and the change from a 3d to a 4d metal. It turns out that these effects have comparable influence on the shifts.

The calculated shifts in Table III reveal some trends for the porphyrins. Going from Ru to Fe in the same molecule, the N 1s level moves down, which is opposite to the trend encountered with the three-dimensional cages. But this is consistent with the calculated charge on the N atoms which is less negative with Fe. At a first glance, such a charge transfer seems to be at variance with the lower electronegativity of Fe. The calculation reveals that some of the negative charge donated by Fe is diverted to the axial Cl ligand, which leaves less negative charge on the N. For example, the Cl ligand receives a charge of −0.375 e in FeCl-OEP, while it gets only −0.335 e in RuCl-OEP.

The LUMO and the electron-hole interaction do not change significantly, analogous to the trend found along the 3d series.7 The fact that the LUMO remains nearly unchanged relative to the vacuum level implies that one should not expect a large difference in the band offset between LUMO and acceptor, at least within the approximate electron affinity model. The band offset is an important factor in the back electron transfer. The change in oxidation state shifts the LUMO by at most 0.01 eV, which is within the uncertainty of the calculation.

The comparison between theory and experiment for the measured N 1s-to-π* shift between RuCO-OEP and FeCl-OEP comes out qualitatively correct in the ΔSCF calculation: The calculated shift is 0.06 eV toward lower energy, while the experimental shift is 0.2 eV in the same direction. These shifts are close to the theoretical and experimental accuracy limits and should not be taken quantitatively. The ΔSCF method has been recently compared to the more sophisticated time-dependent DFT method and was found to give comparable accuracy.56

The HOMO is formed by the metal d_orbital and the HOMO-1 by the d_orbital and N 2p_orbital, but all three are nearly degenerate in energy. The occupancy of the d-orbitals differs from that of Mn-OEP in Ref. 7, because Mn-OEP is...
in the high spin configuration with a total spin of 5/2 for the five $d$ electrons of Mn$^{2+}$. As a result, all the $d$-levels of Mn are singly occupied. Fe$^{2+}$ in FeCO-OEP and Ru$^{2+}$ in RuCO-OEP contain six $d$-electrons, and these are in the low spin state with total spin 0. Consequently, the three lowest $d$-levels of Fe and Ru are doubly occupied, and the two uppermost $d$-levels are empty. The occupied $d$-levels are derived from $t_{2g}$ states, and the unoccupied $d$-levels $e_g$.

In order to compare the differences between Ru and Fe, we have investigated the degree of hybridization between their states and its relation to the bond length. The Fe–N distance in FeCO–OEP is 0.08 Å shorter than the Ru–N distance in RuCO–OEP (both in the same oxidation state). In the three-dimensional cage molecules Fe(bpy)$_2$ (in) and Ru(bpy)$_2$ (in), the Fe–N bond length is 0.08 Å smaller than the Ru–N bond length. This trend reflects the smaller radius of the 3$d$ wave function in Fe compared to the 4$d$ wave function in Ru. However, the difference is significantly smaller than 0.13 Å, the difference between the ionic radii of Ru and Fe. The porphyrin ring is too stiff to allow relaxation of the N atoms to the unconstrained equilibrium bond length with Fe, which is smaller. As a result, the overlap between the Fe 3$d$ and N 2$p$ orbitals is reduced. This is reflected in the smaller hybridization of the HOMO, i.e., 48% Fe 3$d$ and 10% N 2$p$ in FeCO-OEP versus 31% Ru 4$d$ and 15% N 2$p$ in RuCO-OEP, with the remaining contribution from other orbitals. Note that in both cases the metal atom is displaced from the N plane due to the axial ligand, Fe by 0.15 Å and Ru by 0.19 Å.

C. Shifts at the C 1s edge

The shifts at the C 1s edge are smaller than those at the N 1s edge due to the increased distance of the C atoms from the metal. The binding energy of the C 1s core level increases when going from Ru to Fe in the three-dimensional molecules, but it decreases in the two-dimensional OEP. This difference is most likely due to changes in the C 1s binding energy, since different $\pi^*$ transitions are shifted by the same amount. A smaller contribution might come from change in the energy of the unoccupied orbitals due to the different structure of the OEPs. Like for the N 1s spectrum of H$_2$OEP, the structure of the C 1s spectrum differs from those of the metal OEPs because of the inequivalent N species, which produce inequivalent C species. In all the dye molecules, the C atoms are farther from the metal than the N atoms and therefore less affected by going from Ru to Fe. Therefore, we do not attempt to provide an in-depth explanation of the C 1s shifts. We only comment on the smaller shift of the C 1s-to-$\pi^*$ transitions from Ru to Fe in tris(bipyridine) compared to tris(bipyridine) molecules. This could be due to higher delocalization of the LUMO in the larger $\pi$ system of phenanthroline.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have investigated differences in the electronic structure of Ru- and Fe-based dyes, in order to find out whether it might be possible to replace Ru by Fe in solar cell applications. A consistent trend is observed in the N 1$s$ X-ray absorption spectra of three-dimensional cage molecules, where the N 1$s$-to-$\pi^*$ transition shifts down in energy, and the C 1$s$-to-$\pi^*$ transition shifts up when going from Ru to Fe. This trend is explained by a charge transfer between the metal and the surrounding N ligands using DFT calculations. Fe transfers more negative charge to its N ligands than Ru. Smaller and less uniform effects are observed for planar cages. Calculations reveal a delicate balance between several driving forces, such as the oxidation state, the crystal field, the presence of axial ligands, and the delocalization of the $\pi$ system.

The next step in this quest for inexpensive Fe-based dye molecules will be an investigation of the electronic states at the Fe atom, particularly the 3$d$ valence states. The empty part can be probed by X-ray absorption spectroscopy at the Fe 2$p$ edge, which exhibits a rich manifold of sharp Fe 2$p$-to-$\pi$ transitions. Such a study should be able to address how the cage structure of the Fe atom affects its 3$d$ manifold via the crystal field splitting. That in turn controls the stability of the dye molecules against oxidation, which is one of the major issues with Fe-based molecules.

Another direction would be to test Ru- vs. Fe-based dyes in dye sensitized solar cells or their components. Particularly interesting would be the influence of the observed energy level shifts on the electronic properties, such as internal quantum efficiency and back electron transfer.
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