



An Iterative Brinkman penalization for particle vortex methods

Walther, Jens Honore; Hejlesen, Mads Mølholm; Leonard, A.; Koumoutsakos, P.

Published in:
American Physical Society. Bulletin

Publication date:
2013

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Walther, J. H., Hejlesen, M. M., Leonard, A., & Koumoutsakos, P. (2013). An Iterative Brinkman penalization for particle vortex methods. American Physical Society. Bulletin, 58(8), [Abstract: R5.00008].

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Abstract Submitted
for the DFD13 Meeting of
The American Physical Society

An Iterative Brinkman penalization for particle vortex methods J.H. WALTHER, M.M. HEJLESEN, Technical University of Denmark, A. LEONARD, California Institute of Technology, P. KOUMOUTSAKOS, ETH Zurich — We present an iterative Brinkman penalization method for the enforcement of the no-slip boundary condition in vortex particle methods. This is achieved by implementing a penalization of the velocity field using iteration of the penalized vorticity. We show that using the conventional Brinkman penalization method can result in an insufficient enforcement of solid boundaries. The specific problems of the conventional penalization method is discussed and three examples are presented by which the method in its current form has shown to be insufficient to consistently enforce the no-slip boundary condition. These are: the impulsively started flow past a cylinder, the impulsively started flow normal to a flat plate, and the uniformly accelerated flow normal to a flat plate. The iterative penalization algorithm is shown to give significantly improved results compared to the conventional penalization method for each of the presented flow cases.

Jens Honore Walther
Technical University of Denmark

Date submitted: 03 Aug 2013

Electronic form version 1.4