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Active-Phase Formation and Stability of Gd/Pt(111) Electrocatalysts for Oxygen Reduction: An In Situ Grazing Incidence X-Ray Diffraction Study

Abstract: Alloys of platinum and gadolinium present significant activity enhancement over pure Pt for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), both in the form of extended electrode surfaces and nanoparticulate catalysts. The active phase consists of a compressed Pt overlayer formed on Pt/Gd electrodes upon exposure to the electrolyte by acid leaching. Here, we investigate the formation, strain and correlation lengths of the active Pt overlayer by using in situ synchrotron grazing incidence X-ray diffraction on Gd/Pt(111) single-crystalline electrodes. The overlayer forms upon exposure to electrolyte under open circuit conditions; the compressive strain relaxes slightly upon repeated electrochemical cycling in the potential range 0.6 to 1.0 V versus the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). In addition, the strain relaxes strongly when exposing the electrode to 1.2 V versus RHE, and the thickness of the crystalline portion of the overlayer increases with potential above 1.3 V versus RHE.

Introduction

Electrochemical energy conversion and storage technologies are essential for the development of a sustainable economy. Proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs), which convert the chemical energy stored in hydrogen bonds directly into clean electricity, are expected to play a key role in future renewable energy schemes. However, the slow kinetics of the oxygen reduction reaction [ORR, see Eq. (1)] at the cathode limits the performance of PEMFCs. Under PEMFC conditions, only Pt-based electrocatalysts present both high activity and stability for the ORR. State-of-the-art PEMFCs require a high Pt loading of 0.25 g kW⁻¹, of which 0.2 mg cm⁻² are needed to catalyse the ORR at the cathode:

$$\text{O}_2 + 4 \text{H}^+ + 4 \text{e}^- \rightarrow 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$$ (1)

To design and develop more efficient electrocatalysts for the ORR while reducing the Pt loading at the cathode, it is crucial to elucidate the factors controlling this reaction. A Sabatier volcano relation exists between the ORR activity and the binding energy of the reaction intermediates (O, OH and OOH), in which the apex of the volcano corresponds to a weakening of the OH binding energy of ~0.1 eV as compared to pure Pt. On Pt-alloys, the OH binding energy can be weakened by means of electronic effects such as ligand and/or strain effects. Although ligand effects originate from subsurface alloying, strain effects occur when a laterally compressed expanded Pt overlayer is formed.

Alloys of Pt and late transition metals such as Ni, Co, Fe and Cu have been extensively studied as ORR electrocatalysts during the last two decades. These alloys form a Pt overlayer after immersion in acidic electrolyte because late transition metals are unstable towards dissolution in acidic media. The pioneer model studies on PtNi(111) single-crystal alloys by Markovic and co-workers showed that the active site corresponded to the closely packed (111) surface. In situ surface X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements revealed the formation of a Pt(111) overlayer with Ni present in the sub-surface atomic layer. On the other hand, in situ scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) measurements on Pt-Fe alloys revealed the formation of Pt(111)-like surfaces after acid leaching. Although Pt-Fe transition metal alloys present a significant activity enhancement over pure Pt, they typically degrade by dealloying under fuel cell reaction conditions. Nonetheless, some novel concepts of nanocatalysts such as Pt-based nanowires and nanoframes achieve outstanding activity and high stability during short-term accelerated stability tests. Nevertheless, for novel catalysts, it has been challenging to achieve the full enhancement exhibited in rotating disk electrode (RDE) measurements, when tested in fuel cells.

We have recently demonstrated that alloys of Pt and rare earth/alkaline earth metals display a remarkable activity enhancement over pure Pt. Polycrystalline Pt-lanthanide alloys such as Pt,Gd and Pt,Tb are within the most active polycrystalline electrodes for the ORR, presenting up to a 6-fold improvement of activity over polycrystalline Pt. In contrast to Pt-Fe transition metal alloys, Pt-lanthanide alloys have a very negative enthalpy of formation. This may stabilise them against dealloying because the alloying energy is related to the diffusion barriers of the minority component of the alloy. XRD measurements revealed that polycrystalline Pt,M alloys presented a hexagonal Cu₃I₂Ca-type bulk structure. The active surface phase of Pt-lanthanide alloys consists of a 3–5 atom thick Pt overlayer formed by acid leaching because lanthanides are thermodynamically unstable towards dissolution in the acidic electrolyte. Thus, the kinetic stability of these...
alloys is reliant on the structure and properties of the Pt overlayer, and its ability to protect the bulk alloys from further dealloying. Our density functional theory (DFT) calculations suggested that the thick Pt overlayer would correspond to a closely packed Pt overlayer under compressive strain. More recently, we investigated Pt_{5}Y and Pt_{5}Gd nanoparticulate catalysts of these alloys. Extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) measurements showed that mass-selected Pt_{5}Y and Pt_{5}Gd nanoparticles were under compressive strain, even after carrying out ORR measurements. Furthermore, their specific activity exhibited an exponential dependence with the compressive strain, this result is in agreement with the formation of a compressed Pt shell, which would cause the weakening of the OH binding energy.

To study the structure of the Pt overlayer, we recently prepared and investigated well-defined Y/Pt(111) and Gd/Pt(111) single-crystalline surfaces by depositing thick layers of Y or Gd on Pt(111) substrates in ultra-high vacuum (UHV) creating bulk-like structures. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements showed that Gd/Pt(111) presented similar Cu_{3}Ca-type hexagonal structure to that observed by polycrystalline alloys. The alloy film on top of Pt(111) either followed the (111) orientation or it was rotated by 30°. After electrochemistry, a thick Pt overlayer of about 1 nm thickness was formed onto the Gd/Pt(111) electrode by acid leaching, similarly to that formed on polycrystalline and nanoparticulate alloys. Ex situ grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GI-XRD) measurements revealed the formation of a crystalline Pt overlayer under compressive strain after electrochemical experiments in acidic electrolyte. However, it remains an open question what the structure is in situ, and how it evolves as a function of potential.

Elucidating the active surface phase in situ and understanding its degradation mechanisms is essential to optimise the electrocatalytic efficiency and durability at the cathode of low-temperature fuel cells. In situ synchrotron studies such as GI-XRD on model electrode surfaces are key to understanding the structure–activity–stability relationships in electrocatalysis. Here, we aim to shed light on the formation of the Pt overlayer on Gd/Pt(111) single-crystalline electrodes and correlate the overlayer strain with the electrochemical treatments. For this purpose, we used the in situ XRD setup sketched in Figure 1 at Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL). These measurements allowed us to investigate the pre-prepared Gd/Pt(111) samples through in situ GI-XRD while the samples were maintained in a hanging meniscus three-electrode configuration. This electrochemical cell allowed cyclic voltammetry measurements to be carried out in parallel with GI-XRD measurements. The grazing incidence geometry provides an increased surface sensitivity, and combining a 2D pixel detector and sample rotation around the surface normal allows a large part of reciprocal space to be mapped. The aqueous electrolyte is transparent for the hard X-rays, allowing us to track the position and shape of the diffraction peaks associated with the Pt overlayer during in situ electrochemical operation. In addition to the in situ GI-XRD measurements, we further study the ORR activity and stability of Gd/Pt(111) by means of cyclic voltammetry. Our experimental results on the overlayer structure are rationalised by means of DFT calculations.

In particular, we aim to address the following questions: (i) which conditions are needed to form the active surface phase, that is, the compressed Pt overlayer on Gd/Pt(111) in acidic electrolyte; (ii) how stable the Pt overlayer is and how its structure evolves after prolonged cycling under fuel-cell relevant potentials (0.6 to 1.0 V vs. the reversible hydrogen electrode, RHE); and (iii) what are the degradation mechanisms of the Pt overlayer after cycling to very oxidising potentials (up to 1.6 V vs. RHE). The unique combination of in situ structural and electrochemical measurements with theoretical calculations provide us with a detailed picture of the formation and evolution of the active surface phase for the electrocatalytic oxygen reduction reaction.

Results and Discussion

Prior to the in situ X-ray diffraction measurements, we prepared two Gd/Pt(111) single-crystalline surfaces under UHV...
(see the Experimental Section). A full description of the preparation of Gd/Pt(111) was described elsewhere. The alloy phase on one of the two crystals was rotated 30° as compared to the Pt(111) substrate, whereas it was not rotated on the other. We had observed both the rotated and non-rotated phases of the bulk alloy in our previous studies on Gd/Pt(111). To distinguish the alloy phase rotation of the two crystals, we labelled them as R30 (rotated 30°) and R0 (non-rotated), respectively. It must be noted that these rotation angles are also relevant for the Pt overlayer structure because the overlayer will follow the rotation of the alloy phase.

The two Gd/Pt(111) single crystals were subjected to two different electrochemical treatments. We carried out the first treatment on the Gd/Pt(111)R0 sample, consisting of an 8000-cycle stability test in the range from 0.6 to 1.0 V versus the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). The second treatment, on the Gd/Pt(111)R30 consisted of cycling the potential between 0 V to a maximum potential (U_{max}) vs. RHE, U_{max} potential sequentially increasing up to 1.6 V vs. RHE.

To gain a deeper understanding on the formation of the active Pt overlayer, we examined both the position and shape of the diffraction peaks from the compressed overlayer during the electrochemical treatments. The Gd/Pt(111) alloy phase gives rise to a complex stacking sequence of hexagonal layers, thus generating a rich diffraction pattern. The Pt(111) substrate exhibits fewer diffraction peaks due to the small unit cell. However, these peaks are very intense because the sample is a large Pt(111) single crystal. Furthermore, “powdering”-like diffraction features were observed. Considering that the radii of the latter match that of Pt, we hypothesise that these features come from randomly oriented Pt grains on the edges of the crystal.

Figure 2 shows a representative diffraction pattern from Gd/Pt(111)R0 exhibiting these features (Figure 2a) as well as Gd/Pt(111)R30 (Figure 2b). The image of Figure 2 has been corrected and shows the intensity as a function of in-plane (Q_y) and out-of-plane (Q_z) components of the scattering vector, Q. The overlayer diffraction peak is shown inside the white dashed ellipse. These results show that the overlayer is relatively thin because the diffraction peak is highly elongated in the z-direction. Due to the alloy phase and overlayer not being rotated on the Gd/Pt(111)R0 sample, the Pt(111) substrate (0,0,1) diffraction spot is seen inside the red dotted circle next to the overlayer. These two peaks are not overlapping completely, showing that the overlayer is compressed relative to bulk Pt(111). On the Gd/Pt(111)R30 sample there is a small spot corresponding to pure Pt (1,0,1), which is likely from a few misoriented grains; the intensity is much smaller compared to the R0 sample. The two large arcs in the images most likely correspond to diffraction from randomly oriented Pt grains on the edge of the single crystal. The remaining diffraction is from the Gd/Pt(111) alloy phase.

To quantify the changes taking place in both lattice parameter and correlation lengths of the overlayer, the diffraction peaks at different θ-angles were fitted by a two-dimensional pseudo-Voigt profile, that is, a combination of Gaussian and Lorentzian profiles. The position of the overlayer peaks relative to the Pt(111) substrate peaks were used to extract the relative strain of the overlayer, and the peak widths were used to estimate the correlation length. If we assume the entire overlayer is crystalline, the out-of-plane correlation length is the thickness of the overlayer.

Figure 3 shows the relative strain of the overlayer as a function of the electrochemical treatment for the Gd/Pt(111)R0 sample, as compared to bulk Pt. Figure 3a, b shows the in-plane and out-of-plane strain evolution, respectively. Initially, the crystal was cycled from 0.0 to 0.6 V vs. RHE, and the diffraction pattern was recorded while keeping the potential fixed at 0.1, 0.5 and 0.6 V vs. RHE. This was followed by cycling from 0.0 to 0.8 V vs. RHE and XRD recording at 0.1, 0.5 and 0.8 V vs. RHE. The sample was finally cycled from 0.0 to 1.0 V vs. RHE with XRD recording at 0.1 V, 0.5 V, and 1.0 V vs. RHE. All subsequent cycling was carried out from 0.6 to 1.0 V vs. RHE. This stability test was carried out at 100 mV s\(^{-1}\), and the cycling was either stopped to do XRD recording at 0.1, 0.5 and 0.9 V vs. RHE, or the XRD recording was done during cycling. At this scan rate, a few cycles ran during X-ray exposure, and about 80 cycles passed during the entire XRD recording. The last data point was acquired after changing the electrolyte and exposing the electrode surface to air, followed by a few cycles from 0.0 to 1.0 V vs. RHE in the new electrolyte. The error bars represent the error in the peak position from fitting, and are representative of the strain changes seen in Figure 3. However,
According to Figure 3, the measured in-plane compressive strain after prolonged cycling between 0.0 and 1.0 V vs. RHE is about 0.8%. The out-of-plane strain of the Pt overlayer is also compressive, which can be explained by the presence of Kagomé layer(s). During the cycling, the in-plane strain relaxes slightly, with most of the relaxation occurring in the first 2000 to 3000 cycles. After about 3000 cycles from 0.0 to 1.0 V vs. RHE, the structure of the overlayer seems very stable. Our earlier work on polycrystalline Pt-lanthanide alloys and mass-selected Pt,Gd nanoparticles suggests that the loss in electrocatalytic activity was due to strain relaxation, and most of the activity loss occurred with initial cycling.

Interestingly, the out-of-plane strain and crystallite sizes remain constant during the cycling procedure shown in Figure 3. At the end of the experiment, we replaced the electrolyte in the cell, exposing the Gd/Pt(111)R0 single-crystalline electrode to air (as indicated in Figure 3), and the overlayer appeared to be very stable because its crystalline thickness remains constant for 8000 cycles, while maintaining the approximate 0.8% in-plane compression. This stable Pt overlayer under compressive strain had a thickness of about 8.3 Å and persisted after 8000 cycles. Figure 4 shows a schematic illustration of the Pt overlayer and the in-plane and out-of-plane strain together with the in-plane and out-of-plane correlation lengths after 8000 cycles from 0.6 to 1.0 V vs. RHE in 0.1 M HClO4 (see description of the electrochemical treatment above for the data presented in Figure 3).

The peak positions of the substrate, alloy, and the overlayer revealed that they their c-axes were all tilted relative to the surface normal. Furthermore, the alloy and overlayer were both tilted more than the Pt(111) substrate, and all tilted in the same direction. The tilt angles are shown in Table 1. We must note that the surface tilt of the Pt(111) substrate on the Gd/Pt(111)R0 sample is believed to be a simple miscut of the crystal formed during the polishing procedure. The further tilt of the alloy thin film and overlayer relative to the Pt(111) substrate could be linked to strain accommodation. Considering that we did not observe this tilt on any other sample, we suspect that it might be related to the initial miscut of the Pt(111).

The combined error in the peak positions of the overlayer peaks and the substrate peaks gives a larger error for the strain that ideally should affect each measurement identically, and for the in-plane strain this error is ±0.3% and for the out-of-plane strain it is ±0.5%. Similar to the strain, we tracked the correlation length of the overlayer in situ as a function of the electrochemical treatment, as shown in Figure 3 c, d for the in-plane and out-of-plane correlation length, respectively. The correlation length was calculated from the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the pseudo-Voigt profile, using Scherrer’s formula with a shape factor of $K = 0.886$. 

Figure 3. In-plane and out-of-plane components of the strain (a, b) and correlation lengths (c, d) of the overlayer relative to bulk Pt as a function of the electrochemical treatment on Gd/Pt(111)R0 from in situ GI-XRD. The error bars represent the error in the overlayer peak position from the fitting. However, there is a global error in the strain value that comes from the combined uncertainty of the peak position of the overlayer and the Pt(111) substrate (±0.3% for the in-plane strain and ±0.5% for the out-of-plane strain). The correlation length has been calculated from the FWHM using Scherrer’s equation. The error is from the fitting of the peak width of the pseudo-Voigt profile.

Figure 4. Schematic illustration of the Gd/Pt(111)R0 sample including the Pt overlayer formed after the electrochemical treatment described in Figure 3. The in-plane (orange arrows) and out-of-plane (blue arrows) strain and correlation length values have been taken by averaging the results (at 0.1, 0.5 and 0.9 V vs. RHE) obtained after 8000 cycles from 0.6 to 1.0 V vs. RHE in 0.1 M HClO4 electrolyte. The figure has been adapted from our previous work on Gd/Pt(111) from ex situ XRD.
Table 1. Tilt angles of the substrate, alloy, and overlayer phases. The c-axis of the Pt(111) substrate, Gd/Pt(111)/R0 alloy, and the Pt overlayer were all tilted in the same direction from the substrate normal. The amount of tilt was different for the three layers, and this resulted in an angle of the c-axis of the alloy and overlayer relative to the Pt(111) substrate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Tilt vs. surface normal (± 0.1°)</th>
<th>Tilt vs. Pt(111) substrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt(111) substrate</td>
<td>1.10° ± 0.02°</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd/Pt(111) alloy</td>
<td>1.28° ± 0.001°</td>
<td>0.18° ± 0.02°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt overlayer</td>
<td>1.60° ± 0.05°</td>
<td>0.50° ± 0.07°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

substrate. Due to both the alloy and the overlayer forming rather small domains, the tilt can either be a simple tilt of the hexagonal unit cell or a distortion of the unit cell with the c-axis being slightly smaller than 90°. During this experiment we did not collect enough Bragg peaks to determine which of the two effects are present in the sample.

We also determined the strain and correlation length on the Gd/Pt(111)/R30 sample, in which both the overlayer and the alloy were rotated by 30° compared to the Pt(111) substrate. Figure 5a, b shows the strain as a function electrochemical treatment.

The strain was first measured directly after exposure to electrolyte at open circuit potential, at which point the overlayer had already formed (first point shown in Figure 5) a, b. The potential was then cycled from 0.0 to 1.0 V vs. RHE, after which the XRD was recorded while keeping the potential constant at 0.1 V, 0.5 V, and 0.9 V vs. RHE. This process was repeated after cycling 0.00 to 1.20 V vs. RHE and 0.00 to 1.25 V vs. RHE. At every cycling step, we performed about 100 cycles at 20 mVs⁻¹. Subsequently, the XRD pattern was recorded during cycling in the ranges: 0.0–1.3, 0.0–1.4, 0.0–1.5, and 0.0–1.6 V vs. RHE. The strain error bars represent the error from fitting, and the overall strain error is indicated by the y-axis in Figure 5. We quantified the correlation length as a function of the electrochemical treatment, carrying out a similar analysis to that shown for the Gd/Pt(111)/R0 sample. Figure 5c, d shows the correlation length as a function of the electrochemical treatment for the Gd/Pt(111)/R30 sample.

It is important to mention that metallic Gd has a strong driving force to oxidise in aqueous electrolyte, and the equilibrium potential is about −2.4 V vs. RHE in acidic conditions. This strong driving force is evidenced as the overlayer forms immediately upon exposure to the electrolyte at open circuit conditions (see Figure 5). However, the strong alloy formation energy and the thin Pt overlayer stabilise the catalyst against further dealloying. Interestingly, negligible changes in the Pt overlayer structure are observed at potentials below 1.0 V vs. RHE, in agreement with the formation of a very stable overlayer on Gd/Pt(111)/R30. The measured in-plane compressive strain in that potential region is much lower to that measured on the non-rotated sample (presented in Figure 3), and is similar to the strain measured ex situ to a Gd/Pt(111)/R30 sample. However, similar to the one measured on Gd/Pt(111)/R0, the out-of-plane strain is compressive on this sample, indicating the presence of Kagomé layer(s) on the overlayer.

Figure 5. In-plane and out-of-plane components of the Pt overlayer strain (a, b) and correlation length (c, d) as a function of electrochemical treatment for Gd/Pt(111)/R30. The overlayer was formed immediately upon exposure to electrolyte at open circuit potential (OCP), which is the first point shown. The electrode was then cycled 0.0 to 1.0 V vs. RHE, followed by XRD recording under constant potential of 0.1, 0.5 and 0.9 V vs. RHE. This process was repeated after cycling from 0.0 to 1.20 V vs. RHE and from 0.0 to 1.25 V vs. RHE. Upon cycling up to higher potentials, the XRD pattern was recorded during the electrochemical cycling in the ranges: 0.0–1.3 V vs. RHE, 0.0–1.4 V vs. RHE, 0.0–1.5 V vs. RHE, and 0.0–1.6 V vs. RHE.

Potentials above 1.2 V vs. RHE make drastic degradation of the overlayer, in terms of both thickness and strain, as the strain relaxes. Recent in situ STM studies by Koper and co-workers and GI-XRD works by Magnussen and co-workers have shown significant roughening of Pt(111) when cycling above 1.17 V vs. RHE in 0.1 M HClO₄. In our experiments, a large spread is observed in both the strain and crystallite size depending on the potential at which the diffraction was obtained (0.1, 0.5 or 0.9 V vs. RHE). Increasing the potential cycling limit up to 1.6 V vs. RHE revealed the formation of a re-
laxed overlayer after cycling up to 1.25 V vs. RHE. In addition, both the in-plane compressive strain and the coherence lengths decrease while the out-of-plane components increase. Our observations are interpreted as effects of Pt oxidation and reduction, which may result in roughening, strain relaxation and thickening of the Pt overlayer.

The two Gd/Pt(111) samples with a rotated (R30) and non-rotated (R0) overlayer display different amounts of compression with the non-rotated overlayer exhibiting the highest compression. The reasons for the formation of a rotated or non-rotated alloy phase are not understood, but controlling this is important as a higher compression to a certain extent will lead to a higher catalytic activity. The compression of the overlayer observed for the rotated overlayer (R30) is similar to the compression we measured ex situ on a similarly prepared Gd/Pt(111) crystal.

As we described earlier, both Gd/Pt(111)R0 and Gd/Pt(111)R30 show in-plane and out-of-plane compressive strain. This is unreasonable for a face-centered cubic (FCC) structure because this is already close-packed. However, the Gd/Pt(111) alloy structure contains Kagomé layers, and the presence of such layers inside the overlayer may support compression because these layers have vacancies. DFT calculations show that replacing the second or third atomic layer from the surface by a Kagomé layer, a simultaneous compression in-plane and out-plane may occur. These data are shown in Figure 6; the model with Kagomé layers in the third and fourth atomic layers is consistent with our measurements. The structural changes caused by such a Kagomé layer only provides small changes to the XRD pattern compared to the FCC structure, as evidenced by simulations (see the Supporting Information, Figures S1 and S2), and these are not possible to detect with the current experimental setup.

After the in situ XRD measurements reported in Figure 3, we carried out further electrochemical characterisation on the Gd/Pt(111)R0 sample. This sample, contrary to the rotated Gd/Pt(111)R30 sample, had not been subjected to destructively high potentials because the potential was kept below 1.0 V vs. RHE all the time during the in situ XRD measurements on the Gd/Pt(111)R0 sample. The electrochemical experiments on Gd/Pt(111)R0 were performed in a rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE) three-electrode setup with a gas bubbling allowing the changing the gas saturated into the acidic electrolyte. For specific details on the electrochemical tests, see the Experimental Section. Given that the Gd/Pt(111)R0 sample had undergone a total of about 8000 cycles between 0.6 and 1.0 V vs. RHE in the in situ GI-XRD setup, it was denoted Gd/Pt(111)R0*. Figure 7 shows the stable cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of Gd/Pt(111)R0* in the range 0.05 to 1.00 V vs. RHE for both Gd/Pt(111)R0* and a pure Pt(111) single crystalline electrode.

CVs recorded at 50 mV s⁻¹ in N₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ after electrochemical conditioning of the electrode surface (see Figure S3, Supporting Information) are shown in Figure 7a. From the CVs, it is possible to observe the adsorption/desorption features from hydrogen and oxygen species such as hydroxylation. The features corresponding to both H adsorption (in the potential region from 0.05 to 0.45 V vs. RHE) and OH adsorption (from 0.55 to 1.00 V vs. RHE) are shown in Figure 7a as sketched areas. We evaluated the charge corresponding to the H adsorption region following the methodology described in our earlier works. Figure 7a shows a considerable suppression of the H and OH adsorption regions for the Gd/Pt(111)R0* sample.

**Figure 6.** DFT calculations showing the relaxed out-of-plane strain between the outermost atomic layer and the third atomic layer (two interplanar distances) as a function of the constrained in-plane strain. Four structures are shown: Pt(111) (blue triangles) and Pt overlayers with a Kagomé layer in the second, third and fourth layers (green hexagons), third and fourth layers (red squares), or Kagomé in the fourth layer only (black circles).

**Figure 7.** CVs on Gd/Pt(111)R0* and Pt(111) in 0.1 M HClO₄ at 50 mV s⁻¹ and 23°C. (a) Base CV in N₂-saturated electrolyte taken at 400 rpm before and after an accelerated stability test consisting of 10k cycles from 0.6 to 1.0 V vs. RHE. (b) Tafel plots (anodic sweep of the cyclic voltammograms as insert) taken in O₂-saturated electrolyte at 1600 rpm.
Pt(111)/R0* alloy as compared to Pt(111), suggesting extensive weakening of both H and OH binding energies to the surface.\textsuperscript{[33,43]} Given the thick pure Pt overlayer derived from Figures 3 and 4, together with the ex situ angle resolved X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (AR-XPS) surface profile (Figure S5, Supporting Information), we can attribute this weakening to a compressive strain effect.\textsuperscript{[22]}

The activity and stability of Gd/Pt(111)/R0* and Pt(111) towards the ORR was evaluated by means of cyclic voltammetry in an O2-saturated 0.1 M HClO4 electrolyte (Figure 7b).\textsuperscript{[32,33]} The current density was normalised by the geometric electrode area.\textsuperscript{[32,33,43]} The Tafel plots in Figure 7b show the kinetic current density (j), that is, the current density discounting effects from mass-transport limitations, as a function of the potential. Notably, Figure 7b clearly shows a considerable enhancement of the activity for Gd/Pt(111)/R0* as compared to pure Pt(111). The specific activity of Gd/Pt(111)/R0* was 8.5 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} even after having carried out 8000 cycles between 0.6 and 1.0 V vs. RHE during the GI-XRD measurements at SSRL. These results indicate that the activity enhancement imposed from the formed strained Pt overlayer persisted after the in situ GI-XRD measurements. Indeed, Figure 3 showed that the in-plane strain in the Gd/Pt(111)/R0 sample hardly changes after the first 3000 cycles from 0.6 to 1.0 V vs. RHE. This suggests that from an activity perspective, a negligible loss would be expected by further cycling because in the in situ GI-XRD data suggest a quite stable overlayer (strain) in this potential range. The combination of the GI-XRD data from Figure 3 and the experimental performance from Figure 7b suggests that, once the highly active and stable compressed Pt overlayer is formed on the Gd/Pt(111)/R0* sample, it is maintained through cycling and various electrode transfers.

In addition to the ORR activity measurements, we further studied the stability of Gd/Pt(111)/R0* by performing an accelerated stability test\textsuperscript{[59]} consisting of 10000 continuous cycles between 0.6 and 1.0 V vs. RHE in O2-saturated 0.1 M HClO4 at 100 mV s\textsuperscript{-1} (see the Experimental Section).\textsuperscript{[52]} Interestingly, the Tafel plots for the ORR before (blue curve in Figure 7b) and after (red curve) the stability test show that the activity loss is negligible.

From Figure 7a, we can evaluate the weakening in the in the H and OH binding by calculating the potential shift required to adsorb 1/6 monolayers (ML) of OH (that is, 40 μC cm\textsuperscript{-2} assuming that 1 ML corresponds to 240 μC cm\textsuperscript{-2}).\textsuperscript{[17,41,54]} as well as the H adsorption charge (Q0)\textsuperscript{[60]} for Gd/Pt(111)/R0* and Pt(111) (see sketched areas in Figure 7a). Table 2 shows the kinetic current density at 0.9 V vs. RHE from Figure 7b, as well as the H and OH adsorption data for Gd/Pt(111). We have compared the CVs corresponding to the Gd/Pt(111)/R0* sample before and after the accelerated stability test described above with that of pristine Pt(111). Thus, we gained insight into any initial electrocatalytic difference between Pt(111) and Gd/Pt(111)/R0*, but also any structural changes arising from prolonged cycling of the Gd/Pt(111)/R0* electrode.

The results from Figure 7 and Table 2 reveal a considerable shift in the OH absorption potential for Gd/Pt(111)\textsuperscript{[65]} relative to Pt(111), indicating a significant weakening of the OH binding energy,\textsuperscript{[17,43]} in agreement with the ORR Sabatier volcano relationship.\textsuperscript{[17]} Moreover, Table 2 shows that the H adsorption region\textsuperscript{[60,53]} is suppressed for Gd/Pt(111)/R0* relative to Pt(111), the extent of this suppression decreasing after the stability test. Notably, the Gd/Pt(111)/R0* system exhibits less than 2% difference in specific kinetic current density before and after the stability test. The combination of in situ GI-XRD and electrochemical measurements indicate that the strain relaxation correlates with stability. The electrochemical experiments unequivocally show enhanced activity relative to Pt(111) and great stability in lieu of the thick Pt overlayer. These results encourage the development of scalable methods to synthesise Pt-Gd nanoparticulate catalysts.\textsuperscript{[56]} Controlling the structure of these alloys will favour the formation of a stable Pt shell\textsuperscript{[58]} which may protect them towards degradation during prolonged cycling under fuel-cell reaction conditions in acidic media.

### Conclusions

In this study, we have combined in situ surface sensitive XRD measurements, electrochemical experiments and theoretical calculations to study the formation and evolution of the active surface phase of well-defined Gd/Pt(111) electrode surfaces. The electrodes were prepared by deposition of Gd on Pt(111) single crystals in UHV.\textsuperscript{[59]} Synchrotron-based in situ GI-XRD on Gd/Pt(111) revealed the formation of a compressed Pt overlayer upon immersion into aqueous acidic electrolyte at open circuit potential.

The effect of prolonged cycling, between 0.0 and 1.0 V vs. RHE, was investigated on a Gd/Pt(111)/R0 (non-rotated) sample. In situ XRD revealed a slight relaxation of the Pt overlayer when cycling increasingly into the region of relevance for ORR (0.6 to 1.0 V vs. RHE). After about 3000 cycles, the overlayer structure is stabilised and an approximately 0.8% compressively strained overlayer of about 8.3 Å persisted after 8000 cycles, which is an indication of the formation of a very stable structure. The effect of cycling to increasingly higher potentials (up to 1.6 V vs. RHE) was investigated on a Gd/Pt(111)/R30 (rotated 30°) sample. We did not observe notable changes in the overlayer properties from open circuit potential to cycling up to 1.0 V vs. RHE, indicating that once the overlayer is formed, it remains stable in this potential region. Increasing the potential cycling limits above 1.2 V vs. RHE resulted in: (i) coherence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>j \textsubscript{k} (mA cm\textsuperscript{-2})</th>
<th>ΔU\textsubscript{Korr, rel} (V)</th>
<th>Q\textsubscript{H+} (μC)</th>
<th>ΔQ\textsubscript{OH} / ΔQ\textsubscript{OH, ref}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt(111)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>176 ± 12.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd/Pt(111)</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>124.8</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd/Pt(111)</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd/Pt(111)</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>140.4</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
lengths and strain became dependent on the potential at which the XRD data was obtained; (ii) in-plane compressive strain and coherence lengths decreases, while the out-of-plane components increases, which we interpreted as Pt oxidation and Gd leaching, resulting in roughening, relaxation and thickening of the Pt overlay.

Experimental Section

Preparation of Gd/Pt(111) alloy and Pt(111): The Gd/Pt(111) alloy sample was prepared and characterised in a UHV chamber with a base pressure of 1 x 10^{-10} torr. A full description of the UHV-setup can be found in the literature.\textsuperscript{45,46} The Pt(111) crystal was cleaned using sputtering with 1.2 kV Ar ions and was subsequently characterised with X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to verify the cleanliness of the surface, and by low energy electron diffraction (LEED) and CO temperature programmed desorption (CO-TPD)\textsuperscript{47} to verify that the surface of the sample is single crystalline. To simulate the behaviour of a Pt,Gd single crystal, we prepared the sample by depositing 200 Å of Gd on the surface of the Pt(111) crystal. We anticipate that by depositing a layer of this thickness, we prepared a surface that essentially behaves as a single crystal. The sample was kept at a temperature of 800°C during the Pt deposition. This temperature is well above the mobility temperature of Gd in Pt and hence, by depositing at this temperature, we are forming both an alloy and a Pt overlayer that protect the Gd from oxidation.\textsuperscript{48} The sample was removed from the UHV chamber and transferred to SSRL for the in situ GI-XRD measurements. From the UHV characterisation, we concluded that we had created a Pt,Gd surface alloy terminated by a monolayer of Pt.

The Pt(111) single-crystalline electrode used in the electrochemical experiments was prepared applying the methodology developed by Clavilier et al., consisting of flame-annealing the crystal and cooling in a controlled 1:1 Ar/H\textsubscript{2} (NS/NA.5) atmosphere.\textsuperscript{49}

Synchrotron X-ray diffraction measurements: The diffraction measurements were performed at SSRL at beam line 7-2. This end station is equipped with a Huber six-circle diffractometer (4S+2D) and a Pilatus 300 K area detector. The beam line has a Si(111) double-crystal monochromator, and we used a photon energy of 11 keV to avoid fluorescence from the Pt by staying below the L3 absorption edge. When mounting a sample, the surface normal was aligned to the \(\phi\) rotation axis using a laser, and the angular accuracy was about 0.1°. For all the experiments, the incidence angle was set to 0.2° to optimise surface sensitivity. Furthermore, the \(\chi\) angle was fixed at 90°, giving a vertical \(\phi\)-axis.

For convenience, we refer to the hexagonal unit cell for the Pt(111) surface, which has the lattice parameters: \(a = b = 2.775\) Å, \(c = 6.797\) Å, \(\alpha = \beta = 90°\), and \(\gamma = 120°\). In this unit cell the \(a\)- and \(b\)-axis are parallel to the surface, whereas the \(c\)-axis is perpendicular to the surface. The area detector was aligned such that the (1,0,1), (0,1,2), and (1,0,4) diffraction spots from the Pt(111) substrate was visible upon correct rotation of the \(\phi\)-axis. This also allows the recording of the diffraction from the overlay once formed because this has an FCC-like structure.\textsuperscript{50} To record the entire diffraction peak, the \(\phi\)-axis was rocked by ±5° during exposure.

Due to the curvature of the Ewald sphere, the reciprocal space image on the area detector is distorted. To correct for this, we used a powder pattern from a LaB\textsubscript{6} crystal for calibration. The images were processed using Matlab programs developed by the authors to separate the in-plane and out-of-plane components of the scattering vector, \(Q\). Furthermore, the intensity was also corrected for the Lorenz factor and the polarisation factor, assuming a 95% horizontal polarisation.

Electrochemical characterisation: The electrochemical measurements conducted during XRD at BL 7-2 were all obtained in a three-electrode hanging meniscus type cell developed by Friebele et al.\textsuperscript{51} The Gd/Pt(111) single crystal working electrode was placed below the cell body, the counter electrode was a glassy carbon rod inside the cell body, and the reference electrode was a leak free Ag/AgCl electrode (Innovative Instruments, Inc. LF-1.6, 3.4 M AgCl) inside the cell body as well (see Figure 1). The electrochemical procedure relied on the careful mounting of the Gd/Pt(111) sample in the aggregate of Figure 1 and by careful injection of HClO\textsubscript{4} (Merk, Suprapur 70%) and positioning of the sample a hanging meniscus configuration could be obtained under open circuit conditions. N\textsubscript{2} gas (NS) could be injected close to the hanging meniscus. By careful draping the meniscus using a thin Kapton film (Dupont) the N\textsubscript{2} gas concentration could be elevated sufficiently for the hanging meniscus electrolyte to be considered N\textsubscript{2}-saturated. Furthermore, the hanging meniscus was maintained by slow but continuous injection of additional electrolyte.

After the synchrotron GI-XRD experiments, a Gd/Pt(111) sample which had not undergone destructively high potential cycling was sent to the Technical University of Denmark (DTU) for electrochemical characterisation. This was conducted in a three-electrode electrochemical cell, using a WMP2 potentiostat (Bio-Logic Instruments), following earlier procedures.\textsuperscript{52,53,54,55} The counter electrode was a Pt wire and the reference was a Hg/HgSO\textsubscript{4} (Schott), which was separated from the working electrode compartment using a ceramic frit.

Extensive electrochemical conditioning and cleaning of the sample surface (see the Supporting Information) was required after transferring the sample from SSRL to DTU. The sample was immersed in a rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE) setup (Pine Instruments) under potential control at 0.1 V vs. RHE. After about 1200 cycles in N\textsubscript{2}-saturated (NS) electrolyte between 0.05 and 1.0 V vs. RHE at 200 mV s\textsuperscript{-1} and 400 rpm, stable CVs resembling those earlier obtained for Gd/Pt(111)\textsuperscript{56} were observed. After obtaining a stable CV, the sample was subjected to ORR evaluation in O\textsubscript{2}-saturated electrolyte at 1600 rpm and 50 mVs\textsuperscript{-1}. Following the ORR measurements, the electrolyte was saturated with H\textsubscript{2} and the potential of the reference was checked against a RHE. All the potentials in the text are referred to the RHE and corrected for ohmic losses. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed at 0.5 V vs. RHE in N\textsubscript{2}-saturated electrolyte to estimate the series resistance from the electrolyte and the contacts.\textsuperscript{57,58} The accelerated degradation test was done by carrying out 10,000 cycles between 0.6 and 1.0 V vs. RHE in O\textsubscript{2}-saturated electrolyte at 100 mV s\textsuperscript{-1} without rotation. EIS, RHE and ORR were measured both after the stability test and after changing to fresh electrolyte.

Theoretical calculations: DFT calculations on the Pt overlayer models were conducted using the GPAW code\textsuperscript{59} and evaluation of exchange and correlation contributions were done using the RPBE functional.\textsuperscript{60} The single-electron wave functions were expanded in plane waves with an energy cut-off of 800 eV and the Brillouin zone was sampled in an 8x8 k-point grid. The atomic structures were FCC like Pt(111) slabs with 6 atomic layers in 2x2 super cells, which were set up using the Atomic Simulation Environment package.\textsuperscript{61} The three bottom layers were constrained FCC(111) layers representing a bulk Pt(111) crystal structure, whereas the top three layers were allowed to relax, thus representing a relaxed surface with an in-plane strain. By varying the top three layers in combinations of FCC(111) Kagomé layers, the relaxed out-of-plane strain could be modelled while varying and constraining the lattice pa-
rameter in the plane. Figure 6 shows the out-of-plane strain measured between the average atomic z-coordinate of the outermost layer and the average atomic z-coordinate of the third layer (two interplanar distances). The trends do not change significantly if the fourth layer was included in the measurement (three interplanar distances).
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