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The fraction of net primary production that is exported from the euphotic zone as sinking particulate organic carbon (POC) varies notably through time and from region to region. Phytoplankton containing biominerals, such as silicified diatoms have long been associated with high export fluxes. However, recent reviews point out that the magnitude of export is not controlled by diatoms alone, but determined by the whole plankton community structure. The combined effect of phytoplankton community composition and zooplankton abundance on export flux dynamics, were explored using a set of 12 large outdoor mesocosms. All mesocosms received a daily addition of minor amounts of nitrate and phosphate, while only 6 mesocosms received silicic acid (dSi). This resulted in a dominance of diatoms and dinoflagellate in the +Si mesocosms and a dominance of dinoflagellate in the −Si mesocosms. Simultaneously, half of the mesocosms had decreased mesozooplankton populations whereas the other half were supplemented with additional zooplankton. In all mesocosms, POC fluxes were positively correlated to Si/C ratios measured in the surface community and additions of dSi globally increased the export fluxes in all treatments highlighting the role of diatoms in C export. The presence of additional copepods resulted in higher standing stocks of POC, most probably through trophic cascades. However it only resulted in higher export fluxes for the −Si mesocosms. In the +Si with copepod addition (+Si +Cops) export was dominated by large diatoms with higher Si/C ratios in sinking material than in standing stocks. During non-bloom situations, the grazing activity of copepods decrease the export efficiency in diatom dominated systems by changing the structure of the phytoplankton community and/or preventing their aggregation. However, in flagellate-dominated system, the copepods increased phytoplankton growth, aggregation and fecal pellet production, with overall higher net export not always visible in term of export efficiency.
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INTRODUCTION

The export of particulate organic carbon (POC) from the surface ocean, in terms of the overall amount or as the fraction of local net primary production, varies seasonally as well as regionally (Lutz et al., 2002; Boyd and Trul, 2007; Honjo et al., 2008; Buesseler and Boyd, 2009; Lam et al., 2011; Henson et al., 2012; Siegel et al., 2016). Numerous factors intervene in this variability: turbulence, stratification, and mixed layer depth; phytoplankton community composition; the rates, timing, and extent of seasonality of primary production; meso- and microzooplankton abundance and feeding strategies; the aggregation of particulate organic matter (POM) into large, rapidly sinking particles of marine snow; and the occurrence of ballast particles like biogenic silica, calcium carbonate, and dust. The actions and interactions of these factors determine the ocean food web, which either recycles most of the organic matter in the surface ocean (resulting in only minor export to depth) or is “leaky” (exporting a large portion of the net primary production to depth). It is necessary to understand food web interactions in order to predict the biological pump’s variability and its ability to sequester CO₂ in a future ocean with warmer temperatures, higher CO₂, more acidity, and differing nutrient inputs and ratios compared to the present ocean (Bopp, 2005; Passow and Carlson, 2012; Alvain et al., 2013; Bopp et al., 2013).

Relationships between food webs, ballast minerals and fluxes have been investigated in various physical regimes. On the smaller scale of such investigations are microcosms studies of sinking particles in rolling tanks (Shanks and Trent, 1980; Passow and De La Rocha, 2006) and flow through systems (Ploug et al., 2008; Long et al., 2015) that allow controlled examination of selected processes and interactions. At the other extreme are regional and global scale studies based on models, remote sensing, and observational data from time-series, cameras, autonomous platforms, and sediment traps (Klaas and Archer, 2002; Honjo et al., 2008; Klaas et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2009; Lam et al., 2011; Assmy et al., 2013; Quéguiner, 2013; Giering et al., 2014; Sanders et al., 2014; Guidi et al., 2016). However, whereas small scale laboratory investigations allow full control over environmental variables and mechanistic investigations, they often lack natural community composition. Field studies allow identification of larger scale patterns and correlations with environmental variables but no clear identification of causal relationships owing to complex confounding factors. Mesocosms offer a middle ground, where some of the control of small scale laboratory experiments is combined with parts of the complexity of environmental variables of field observations. Mesocosms enclose part of an in situ water column allowing manipulations of target parameters. Mesocosms are large enough to host a reasonably complex food web (e.g., including micro- and mesozooplankton) (Wassmann et al., 1996; Svensen et al., 2001; Sommer et al., 2004; Stibor et al., 2004; Olsen et al., 2006; Stange et al., 2017) while still allow controlled manipulations of parameters such as nutrients, ballast minerals, turbulence, and phytoplankton and zooplankton community composition.

A small number of mesocosm experiments have been used to study phytoplankton community interactions and POC export. Early work noted a strong link between the addition of silicic acid (in addition to nitrate and phosphate) and POC export fluxes (Wassmann et al., 1996). It was hypothesized that the addition of silicic acid promoted the growth of diatoms, which increased export of POC (Engel et al., 2002; Kemp et al., 2006; Kemp and Villareal, 2013; Rynearson et al., 2013; Lasbleiz et al., 2014). However, later studies showed that artificial mixing of upper water layers also initiated aggregate formation even in the absence of diatoms, suggesting that diatoms (and indirectly silicic acid) were not the sole trigger of high POC fluxes (Svensen et al., 2001, 2002). Several factors could results in high POC export, including phytoplankton aggregation of both diatom and non-diatom phytoplankton and zooplankton grazing, suggesting that the whole plankton community structure - more than just presence of diatoms—is important to determine export fluxes (Gehlen et al., 2006; Guidi et al., 2016). Generally carbon export follows the seasonality of primary production but is even more dependent on the fraction of slow-sinking versus fast-sinking aggregates, with higher export for fast sinking aggregates (Moriceau et al., 2007; Henson et al., 2015). However, the influence of seasonality and plankton community composition on global export efficiency (proportion of primary production that is transported below the mixed layer depth) is still poorly understood. Except for recent studies on the impact of acidification or elevated CO₂ concentrations (Paul et al., 2015; Bach et al., 2016; Spilling et al., 2016; Gazeau et al., 2017) most mesocosms studies have focused on the processes that lead to export during phytoplankton bloom conditions, even though non-blooming periods can potentially be important for global export fluxes, and can be periods of efficient export of carbon and bSiO₂ (Fujii and Chai, 2005; Morris et al., 2007; Lam et al., 2011). Efficient export during non-bloom conditions has been linked to zooplankton abundance, which can repackage small particles into dense, fast-sinking particles (Lalande et al., 2016).

We designed a mesocosm study to investigate the link between plankton composition and export flux during non-blooming conditions. We explicitly tested the impact of zooplankton abundance on export fluxes for two different phytoplankton populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

The experiment was conducted in 2012, between August 2 and 24 in the Bay of Hopavågen (63° 36’ N, 9° 33’ E), a tidally-driven, semi-enclosed marine lagoon on the west coast of Norway, 20 km west of the outlet of the Trondheimsfjord (Figure 1). This semi-enclosed marine lagoon has a maximum depth of 32 m, a volume of roughly 6.7 × 10⁶ m³, and exchanges roughly 14% of its water daily with the ocean through a narrow inlet (van Marion, 1996).

Nutrient concentrations in the lagoon at the time of the experiment were extremely low (< 1 µM for silicic acid, < 0.3 µM for ammonium, and <0.1 µM for nitrate, nitrite, and phosphate). Concentrations of chlorophyll a (Chl) in the upper 10 m of the lagoon at the time of the experiment ranged from 0.5 to 2.4 mg m⁻³, corresponding well to the typical average summer
concentrations for this lagoon (between 1–3 mg m\(^{-3}\), Olsen et al., 2006).

Mesozooplankton commonly found in the Bay of Hopavågen include the ctenophore *Bolinopsis* sp., calanoid copepods (such as *Temora* sp., *Centropages* sp., and *Pseudocalanus* sp.) at an average summer concentration of 20 ind L\(^{-1}\), the cyclopoid copepod *Oithona* sp., and the appendicularian *Okipleura* sp. (van Marion, 1996; Stibor et al., 2004; Vadstein et al., 2004). The phytoplankton community in the lagoon consists of diatoms (e.g., *Rhizosolenia* sp., *Skeletonema* sp., *Thalassiosira* sp., *Nitzschia* sp., and *Pseudonitzschia* sp.), autotrophic picoplankton, dinoflagellates (e.g., *Gymnodinium* sp., *Prorocentrum* sp.), and nanoflagellates (Sommer et al., 2005).

**Mesocosms**

Each mesocosm consisted of a 10 m deep polyethylene tube, with a diameter of approximately 1 m, a volume of roughly 9 m\(^3\), and a sealed, conical bottom. The twelve mesocosms were filled on August 2 by lowering the entire mesocosm bag to a depth of 10 m and then raising the top gently back up to the surface. The filled mesocosms were secured to a raft that was anchored in the deepest part of the Bay of Hopavågen.

The light conditions in each mesocosm were similar, with light intensities of 15–20% of surface light at 1 m depth (generally around 100 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) and ~1% at ~6 m depth (Figure 2). These conditions were similar to the light intensities observed in the Bay of Hopavågen (around the mesocosms) during the experiment (~40% ~300 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) at 1 m and 8% at 6 m). To avoid disturbances of formed aggregates within the mesocosms and to avoid interfering with sinking fluxes, the mesocosms were not mixed during the experiment. However, some small-scale mixing and turbulence might have occurred within the mesocosms due to waves and tidal currents within the Bay of Hopavågen during the study. According to the Chl profiles, the upper 2 m of the mesocosm bags were well-mixed (Figure 2). Turbulence was not measured during the experiment, but previous work in similar types of mesocosms suggested that the energy dissipation rates in the mesocosms would have been on the order of 10\(^{-9}\)–10\(^{-8}\) m\(^2\) s\(^{-3}\), corresponding to a wind velocity of 3–6 m s\(^{-1}\) (Svensen et al., 2001).

In the Bay of Hopavågen, nutrients are resupplied daily by the natural water inflow (Sommer et al., 2004). We mimicked the natural nutrient input by manually adding nutrient to the enclosed mesocosms, using nitrogen concentrations that compensated for the loss of N caused by sedimentation and Redfield ratios for phosphorus and silicon additions as described in previous studies (Sommer et al., 2005; Olsen et al., 2006). Such nutrients additions maintained the low natural phytoplankton concentrations yet avoided accumulation of unrealistically high concentrations of phytoplankton biomass (Børsheim et al., 2005).

Twelve mesocosms were set up in total, allowing the investigation of four different treatments in triplicate. The treatments were (1) silicate addition and decreased copepod abundance (+Si −Cops), (2) silicate addition and increased copepod abundance (+Si +Cops), (3) no silicate addition and decreased copepod abundance (−Si −Cops), (4) no silicate addition and increased copepod abundance (−Si +Cops). Nutrients were added via an 8-m long tube. The tube was lowered slowly to a depth of 8 m in each mesocosm and then fully filled with a nutrient solution calculated to add the required concentration of nutrients to each mesocosm. The tube was then slowly lifted out to minimize disturbances of the water in the mesocosms. Through the process of displacement, this allowed the nutrients to distribute evenly throughout the water column of the mesocosms (Olsen et al., 2007). Nutrients uptake are lower in the dark (Dortch and Maske, 1982; Litchman et al., 2004) and samplings were done in the morning. Nutrients were added in the evening to avoid contamination of the morning sampling, and began the day after the bags were filled (on the evening of August 3) and 4.5 days before the first day of sampling. Previous
study evidenced that such a time lag allows the phytoplankton communities to differentiate depending on nutrient additions (Gismervik et al., 2002; Sommer et al., 2004; Larsen et al., 2015).

Following Stibor et al. (2004), mesozooplankton concentrations were reduced in the −Cops treatments by repeated vertical hauls with a 150-µm plankton net (Sommer et al., 2004; Stibor et al., 2004). We refer to these mesocosms as ‘+Si −Cops’ and ‘−Si −Cops’, respectively. In the evening of August 7 (5 days after the filling of the bags and 1 day before the first sampling day), we increased the copepod abundance in the +Cops treatments by adding copepods (4 copepods per liter), mainly Centropages sp. and Oithona sp., collected from the lagoon using a 150-µm plankton net.

Sample Collection and Analysis

Day 1 of the sampling was on the morning of August 8, 5.5 days after filling the bag and 4.5 days after the first nutrient addition. The sampling period lasted 17 days in total. On Days 1, 3, 6, 10, 13 and 16 of the sampling period, depth-integrated samples of the upper 4.5 m of the mesocosms were collected between 7:00 and 8:00 in the morning. Sampling was done by repeated and slow deployments of a 1 m long integrated water sampler. We collected a total of 12-20 L from each mesocosm (0.1–0.2% of initial water volume), depending on the sampling strategy of the day. Water samples were placed in opaque 20 L LDPE carboys (Nalgene), which were subsampled for total and fractionated particulate organic carbon (POC) and nitrogen (PON), biogenic silica (bSiO$_2$), pigments, nutrients, phytoplankton cell counts, and microscopic taxonomy of phytoplankton.

Sinking particles were collected with sediment traps from 8 m depth three times during the course of the experiment, from Day 3 to Day 8, from Day 9 to Day 12, and from Day 14 to Day 17. This was done with cylindrical sediment traps with an aspect ratio (height to width) of 6 in mesocosms in two of each treatment triplicate. In the four remaining mesocosms (one of each treatment triplicate), we deployed gel traps (McDonnell and Buesseler, 2010) at 8 m to collect and preserve the size and structure of the sinking particles. The gel traps were only deployed for 2 days in order to avoid individual particles landing on top of each other in the gel. Gel traps were deployed on Day 3, 8, and 14.

Phytoplankton community compositions were determined for one of each treatment triplicates (four mesocosms in total) four times during the sampling period. Water samples were preserved with Lugol’s iodine (1% final concentration) and taxonomically identified to species level using an inverted microscope (Utermöhl, 1958).
Samples for particulate organic carbon (POC) and for particulate organic nitrogen (PON) were filtered onto precombusted (450°C, 5 h) 25-mm GF/F filters (0.7-µm nominal pore size, Whatman). Every second sampling day, POC/PON samples were size fractionated before filtration using different screens. Size fractions were 0.7–2.7, 2.7–20, 20–44, 44–100, and >100 µm. Filters were rinsed with MilliQ water to remove salts and dried overnight at 60°C. Inorganic carbon was removed from the filters by fuming with HCl before analysis with a Flash 1112 Series elemental CN analyzer (ThermoQuest).

Samples for Chl a were filtered onto GF/F filters (0.7-µm nominal pore size, Whatman) and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. The filters were stored at −80°C until analysis by HPLC (Shimadzu LC-10A HPLC system with LC Solution software; Shimadzu). The HPLC system was calibrated with pigments standards (DHI Water and Environment).

Samples for biogenic silica (bSiO₂) were filtered onto 0.4-µm polycarbonate filters (Millipore), dried overnight at 60°C and stored at room temperature until digestion and analysis. bSiO₂ in the samples was dissolved in 0.2 M NaOH at 100°C for 60 min (Ragueneau et al., 2005) and neutralized with 1 M HCl for silicic acid analysis by colorimetry. Particulate bSiO₂ concentrations were corrected for lithogenic contribution following a second digestion of the particulate matter to yield the Si:Al ratio of the lithogenic silica (Ragueneau et al., 2005). Aluminum was determined via inductively coupled plasma optical emissions spectroscopy (ICP-OES).

Samples for nitrate, nitrite, silicate, phosphate and ammonium were filtered through 0.4-µm nominal pore size, Whatman) and analyzed using a Bran+Luebbe AAI3 auto-analyzer. Concentrations of ammonium in water samples were measured manually on a spectrophotometer (Shimatzu UV 1700) following Koroleff (1969).

Statistical Analysis
To test and differentiate the effect of time from the effect of the different treatments: +Si vs. −Si and +Cops vs. −Cops, a two-way or three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a multiple comparison procedure (Holm-Sidak method) were applied to our data set (Sigmaplot 12, Systat Software, Inc.), except for sediment traps data for which only two replicates were done. The overall significance level was chosen as p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Nutrient Concentrations and Uptake
At the beginning of the sampling period, phosphate concentrations were lower in the +Si mesocosms than in the −Si mesocosms (0.02 ± 0.02 µM vs. 0.06 ± 0.03 µM). Phosphate concentrations in the mesocosms increased during the first 10 days of the experiment. After Day 10, however, concentrations of phosphate decreased in all but the +Si +Cops mesocosms (Figure 3A). The total net phosphate uptake during the sampling period (Figure 4A, Table 1) in the −Si −Cops mesocosms (0.11 ± 0.02 µM) was lower than in the other three treatments (+Si −Cops: 0.17 ± 0.04 µM; +Si +Cops: 0.16 ± 0.04 µM; −Si +Cops: 0.18 ± 0.01 µM).

Concentrations of silicic acid (dSi) in the −Si treatments remained close to zero throughout the experiment (Figure 3B), suggesting no measureable net dSi uptake in these mesocosms. In the +Si mesocosms, there was no dSi uptake between Days 6 and 10, with net dSi uptake between Day 1 and 6 and between Day 10 and 16 (Figure 4C). The total net dSi utilization in the mesocosms during the sampling period was 1.4 ± 1.0 µM (+Si −Cops), 2.5 ± 0.9 µM (+Si +Cops), 0.0 ± 0.1 µM (−Si −Cops), and −0.1 ± 0.1 µM (−Si +Cops) (Table 1).

Continuously increasing cumulative net utilization of DIN in the +Si mesocosms resulted in lower concentrations compared to −Si mesocosms (Figures 3F, 4E, Table 1). In addition to the daily external input of nitrate of 0.22 µM, we observed DIN production likely caused by ammonium regeneration within the mesocosms. This was evident from the mid-experiment peak in ammonium concentrations in all mesocosms (Figure 3E). Following Day 10, however, ammonium concentrations decreased, except in +Si +Cops, were the decrease started at Day 13, suggesting that uptake exceeded regeneration in all mesocosms in the final days of the experiment. During the same period, nitrate, nitrite and overall DIN concentrations declined (Figures 3C,D), suggesting increased rates of DIN uptake rather than a decrease in the rates of ammonium regeneration (n.b. over the 17 day duration of the experiment, we would not expect to see notable amounts of nitrate being regenerated).

In the +Si mesocosms, net removal rates of DIN were, on average, 2–3 times faster than net removal rates of dSi (Table 1). Since dSi removal rates were undetectable in the −Si mesocosms, we could not calculate a net uptake ratio of DIN to dSi for these mesocosms. DIN to phosphate uptake ratios remained reasonably close to Redfield values of 16:1 in most of the mesocosms (Table 1).

Standing Stocks and Phytoplankton Composition
Integrated standing stocks of POC (upper 4.5 m) decreased during the first days of the experiments and stabilized after Day 3 for +Cops mesocosms and after Day 6 for −Cops mesocosms (Figure 5A). The mean POC concentrations over the experimental period were 18.5 ± 6.4 µmol POC L⁻¹ in the +Si −Cops, 24.7 ± 7.7 µmol POC L⁻¹ for the +Si +Cops, 14.4 ± 4.9 µmol POC L⁻¹ for the −Si −Cops and 21.0 ± 4.1 µmol POC L⁻¹ for the −Si +Cops treatments, with values ranging from 10 to 37 µmol POC L⁻¹. Average POC concentrations were similar to those measured in the lagoon at the end of the experiment (19.5 µmol POC L⁻¹; Figure 5A) and to those obtain at similar nutrient additions in Borshheim et al. (2005). Standing stocks of POC in the upper 4.5 m of the mesocosms differed more between treatments than between the replicates for each individual treatments or for each replicate over time (two way ANOVA α = 0.01; p < 0.001). POC standing stocks were higher in +Si mesocosms than in the other treatments at the beginning of the sampling period. Differences in POC standing stocks decreased over time. POC standing stocks were similar for +Cops and −Cops treatments until Day 3, but started to differ
FIGURE 3 | Concentrations in the upper 4.5 m of the nutrients (A) phosphate, (B) silicate, (C) nitrate, (D) nitrite, (E) ammonium, and (F) total DIN. Data points and error bars represent averages and standard deviations for the three mesocosms of each treatment.

FIGURE 4 | Cumulative net uptake in the different mesocosm treatments of (A) phosphate, (B) nitrate, (C) silicate, and (E) total DIN. (D) show the cumulative net regeneration of ammonium. Data points and error bars represent averages and standard deviations for the three mesocosms of each treatment.
after Day 6, with more POC present in the +Cops mesocosms. Over the whole sampling period, POC concentrations were significantly higher in the six mesocosms that had received a supplement of copepods compared to those where copepods had been removed (Three way ANOVA; p < 0.001). We also observed significantly higher POC concentrations in +Si − Cops compared to −Si − Cops and in +Si +Cops compared to −Si +Cops (Three way ANOVA; p = 0.006).

On the last sampling day (Day 16), the +Si +Cops mesocosms reached an average standing stock of POC of 25.3 ± 0.9 µmol POC L⁻¹ and the −Si +Cops mesocosms an average of 23.6 ± 2.4 µmol POC L⁻¹ compared to 17.6 ± 2.6 µmol POC L⁻¹ and 15.6 ± 0.7 µmol POC L⁻¹ in the +Si +Cops and −Si − Cops mesocosms, respectively.

Concentrations of total PON were also relatively stable during the experiment with significant differences between +Cops and −Cops mesocosms. However, unlike POC standing stocks, differences between +Si +Cops and −Si +Cops or +Si − Cops and −Si − Cops treatments were not significant (Figure 5B). C to N ratios (C/N) of the organic matter in the upper 4.5 m of the +Si mesocosms decreased from 6.7 ± 0.8 mol mol⁻¹ on Day 1 to 4.4 ± 0.5 mol mol⁻¹ on Day 6 and remained close to that for the rest of the experiment. The C/N of the −Si mesocosms averaged 4.4 ± 0.3 mol mol⁻¹ during the experiment. The C/N ratios of the standing stocks were very similar between treatments with an average for the entire experiment of 4.7 ± 0.8 mol mol⁻¹ (Figure 5C).

Concentrations of Chl a ranged from 0.5 to a maximum of 4.7 µg L⁻¹ (Figure 5D). Chl a concentrations were generally within the range for non-bloom conditions, in accordance with the nutrient addition (Borsheim et al., 2005). Standing stocks of Chl a decreased rapidly in the +Si mesocosms, from 3.0 ± 0.5 and 4.2 ± 0.4 µg/L on Day 1 for the +Cops and −Cops respectively, to 0.6 ± 0.2 and 0.7 ± 0.2 µg/L on Day 6. After Day 6, Chl a increased until the end of the experiment to reach 2.3 ± 0.4 µg/L in +Si +Cops and 1.1 ± 0.6 µg/L in the +Si − Cops. Except for the first day of the sampling period, Chl a concentrations were higher in the +Cops mesocosms (three way ANOVA; p < 0.001; Figure 5D). The −Si − Cops mesocosms also experienced a decrease from Day 1 to Day 6 (1.9 ± 0.2 µg/L to 0.5 ± 0.1 µg/L), before increasing to 0.9 ± 0.4 µg/L at the end. The −Si +Cops mesocosms Chl a concentrations was less variable. Chl a concentrations slightly decreased from 2.2 µg/L on Day 1 to 1.4 ± 0.4 µg/L at the end. The final average concentrations of Chl a in the −Si +Cops mesocosms were lower than those in the +Si +Cops (1.4 ± 0.4 µg L⁻¹ vs. 2.3 ± 0.4 µg/L, respectively, on Day 16), but they were still ~30% greater than the average final concentrations in the +Si − Cops and −Si − Cops (1.1 ± 0.6 and 0.9 ± 0.4 µg L⁻¹, respectively).

Integrated concentrations of bSiO₂ in the upper 4.5 m of the −Si mesocosms, decreased to 0 and 0.1 µmol/L for −Cops and +Cops at Day 6 respectively. At the beginning of the sampling period, bSiO₂ concentrations were 0.8 ± 0.1 µmol/L and 0.7 ± 0.1 µmol/L for the −Cops and +Cops respectively. In the +Si mesocosms, bSiO₂ concentrations generally increased from Day 1 to Day 3 and then declined until Day 10 before increasing again until the end of the experiment (Figure 5E). At the end of the experiment, the bSiO₂ integrated concentrations in the upper 4.5 m of the +Si +Cops mesocosms, averaged 1.6 ± 0.6 µmol L⁻¹, which was roughly 3-fold higher than that measured in the +Si − Cops mesocosms (0.5 ± 0.6 µmol L⁻¹). The molar Si to POC ratios (Si/C) of standing stocks mirrored the changes in bSiO₂ concentrations rather than reflecting overall patterns in the POC standing stocks (Figure 5F). Outside the mesocosms, Si/C ratios were around 0.015, which is similar to the lowest ratios reported for North Atlantic Waters (Ragueneau et al., 2002). The +Si mesocosms had Si/C ratios of 0.04–0.10, similar to global ocean average (0.04–0.25, Ragueneau et al., 2002). The Si/N ratios in the nutrient stocks of +Si treatments were higher than 1, the average Si/N utilization in the +Si mesocosms was around 1 with fluctuations from 0.6 and 2.1.

POC standing stocks and Chl a concentrations were both high at the beginning of the experiments especially in the +Si mesocosms. Chl a estimated from CTD measurements 2 days before the beginning of the sampling period gave an average value of 2 mg/L. The daily uptake rate estimated from the total nutrient additions were also lower than the first uptake calculated during the experiment (0.2 vs. 0.3 µM/days for nitrate, 0.004 µM/days vs. 0.02 µM/days for phosphate and 0.3 vs. 0.3 µM/days for silicate), suggesting that no phytoplankton bloom had developed before the sampling period. Throughout the entire experiment, and in all treatments, 30–50% of the total biomass was in the 2.7–20 µm size fractions, while the other four size-fractions (0.7–2.7 µm, 20–44 µm, 44–100 µm, and >100 µm) each had between 10 and 20% of the total biomass.

Taxonomic analysis confirmed that differing nutrient additions induced changes in phytoplankton population, with diatoms and flagellates dominating the +Si treatments, and flagellates alone dominating the −Si treatments (Figure 6). We identified up to 43 phytoplankton species in the +Si +Cops mesocosms with an average of 19 over the entire sampling period (Table 1). By contrast, a maximum of 23 species were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mesocosms</th>
<th>Total net removal</th>
<th>Ratios of total net removal</th>
<th>Average number of species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO4</td>
<td>DIN</td>
<td>Si(OH)₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Si − Cops</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Si +Cops</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−Si − Cops</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−Si +Cops</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
found in the +Si −Cops, with an average of 13. For the −Si mesocosms, the maximum number of species in +Cops and −Cops treatments was 24 and 17, respectively, with an average total amount of species of 16 and 11 for −Si −Cops treatments, respectively. In the +Si +Cops treatments, diatom concentrations decreased from Day 3 to Day 10, after which their abundance increased (Figure 6). At Day 13, diatoms concentrations were much lower in the −Si mesocosms. This result was not mirrored by standing stock measurement of bSiO$_2$ concentrations or by total cell concentrations according to cytometry. This sudden change of diatom concentrations may therefore potentially be due to analytical problems with phytoplankton enumeration at this sampling day.

In the +Si treatments, 97% of the diatom populations were constituted by small species (cell volume < 2500 µm$^3$) until Day 6. *Skeletonema marinoii* (diameter ∼10 µm) dominate all treatments until Day 3 and disappeared from −Si mesocosms thereafter. From Day 13 the diatom population of the +Si −Cops treatments switched from small *S. marinoii* to medium sized-diatoms, with *Cylindrotheca closterium* (50 to 125 µm length) forming most of the population in +Si −Cops while *Leptocylindrus danicus* constituted more than 90% of the +Si +Cops diatom population. The +Si treatments also developed a large population of dinoflagellates, with the *Gymnodinium* sp. dominating the dinoflagellates population, except for the +Si −Cops after Day 6 when *Scripsiella* contribute for more than 50% to the dinoflagellates population.

Dinoflagellate dominated the −Si treatments from Day 3 until the end of the experiments, with *Gymnodinium* sp. and *Scripsiella* (trochoida and sweenea) making up 60 to 95% of the total dinoflagellates community in terms of cell abundance. *Gymnodinium* sp. dominate the community before Day 6 and *Scripsiella* after.

**Sinking Fluxes**

Gel traps showed that sinking particles mostly consisted of fecal pellets and large aggregates such as marine snow. During the first trap deployment the +Si −Cops mesocosms particle fluxes were characterized by a higher contribution of marine snow and fewer fecal pellets than the +Si +Cops treatments. The flux composition between these two treatments became more similar toward the end of the study. At the end of the study, particle fluxes in the +Si treatments where characterized by more marine snow aggregates than the −Si that had a much higher contribution by fecal pellets (Figure 7). Sinking fluxes of POC, PON, and bSiO$_2$ decreased over time (Figure 7), not mirroring standing stocks in the upper 4.5 m of the mesocosms (Figure 5). The total POC exported during the experiment was 1.47 ± 0.30 g of C m$^{-2}$ in the +Si −Cops, 1.05 ± 0.28 g of C m$^{-2}$ in the +Si +Cops, 0.78 ± 0.10 g of C m$^{-2}$ in the −Si −Cops and 0.86 ± 0.24 g of C m$^{-2}$ in the −Si +Cops (Figure 8). The C/N ratio of the material sinking into sediment traps was higher than the C/N ratios found in the suspended particles with more variability between traps than between treatments (C/N in standing stocks ∼ 4.5 ± 0.6; C/N in sinking particles ∼ 7.6 ± 2.0). The difference between C/N in sediment traps and standing stocks was very pronounced in the −Si −Cops at the end of the experiment, when flux C/N ratios value reached an average of 10.6 ± 5.

---

**Figure 5** | Composition of standing stocks of biomass in the upper 4.5 m of the four treatments: (A) POC, (B) PON, (C) C/N, (D) chlorophyll a, (E) bSiO$_2$, and (F) Si/C. Data points and error bars represent averages and standard deviations for the three mesocosms of each treatment. Gray lines represent concentrations in the Bay outside mesocosms as measured at the end of the experiment (Day 16).

---

**Figure 6** | Composition of standing stocks of biomass in the upper 4.5 m of the four treatments: (A) POC, (B) PON, (C) C/N, (D) chlorophyll a, (E) bSiO$_2$, and (F) Si/C. Data points and error bars represent averages and standard deviations for the three mesocosms of each treatment. Gray lines represent concentrations in the Bay outside mesocosms as measured at the end of the experiment (Day 16).
The ratios of bSiO$_2$ to POC of sinking particles (Si/C) were twice those measured in suspended particles (Figure 8). This difference increased with elevated copepod abundances. Despite the lack of measurable bSiO$_2$ in the suspended particles after day 6, Si/C ratios for the sinking material were still 0.02 in the −Si mesocosms and some diatoms were observed in the sediment traps. The POC fluxes were positively correlated to the Si/C ratios of the standing stocks (Figure 9). Only the sinking material of the traps set up at day 8 and 16 were analyzed for the phytoplankton taxonomy. Small diatoms formed 96 to 100% of the diatom fluxes collected in all traps except at the end of the experiment. In the +Si −Cops the medium sized (<125 µm) Cylindrotheca closterium formed 60% of the diatom cell numbers found in the sediment traps. The contribution of Proboscia alata increased in the +Si +Cops to achieve half of the sinking at the end.

**DISCUSSION**

Diatoms with their ballasted frustule (Armstrong et al., 2002; François et al., 2002) have long been recognized to be efficient for downward transport of matter (Smetacek, 1985; Nelson et al., 1995; Sarmiento et al., 2004). Recent studies are challenging this belief highlighting rather potential links between export and the structure of the whole plankton community (Henson et al., 2012; Lima et al., 2014; Guidi et al., 2016). Additionally a combination of poorly understood processes, such as gravitational settling as aggregates or fecal pellets, physical transport of particulate and dissolved organic matter, or zooplankton disaggregation or migration may also contribute substantially to downward transport of matter (Sanders et al., 2014; Turner, 2015; Siegel et al., 2016).

**Impact of Phytoplankton Community Structure**

Daily addition of small amount of dSi triggered the growth of diatoms in the +Si mesocosms and globally increased POC fluxes (Figure 8), with however, 50 to 100-fold less carbon exported per unit dSi in our non-blooming situation compared to similar bloom simulating experiment (Wassmann et al., 1996). All treatments showed strong positive correlations between the POC fluxes and the Si to POC ratios of suspended material in the upper 5 m of the mesocosms (Figure 9) suggesting that the magnitude of POC export was first driven by the presence of diatoms. This is supported by the high POC flux in the +Si −Cops at Day 6 (Figure 7) and by the high export efficiency in the +Si −Cops mesocosms, in terms
of the amount of POC produced reaching the sediment traps (Figure 10). Globally, POC flux intensities follow the aggregate contribution to the flux, with downward trend when the aggregate contribution to fluxes decrease (Figure 7). On the +Si mesocosms the aggregate contribution was slightly lower than the average aggregate contributions in the flux of the −Si mesocosms at Day 6 and 11, when Gymnodinium sp. dominate the phytoplankton population. However, when aggregate contribution to the flux is similarly high such as seen at Day 6 for +Si −Cops and −Si −Cops, the flux is almost twice as high in the diatom dominated treatment compared to −Si treatments. Aggregation capacity is not restricted to diatom species (Cataletto et al., 1996), but diatom aggregates transport more carbon than non-ballasted aggregates due to higher density and sinking rates (Long et al., 2015). However, even for non-diatom species, decreased aggregation involved lower fluxes as seen at the end of the experiment in −Si mesocosms in association to the growth of Scrippsiela sp.

Aggregate contribution to the fluxes stay around 82% in the +Si −Cops, where the aggregating species Skeletonema marinoi, and Pseuodontschia sp and Cylindroteca claterium prevailed (Cataletto et al., 1996). In the +Si +Cops the aggregating S. marinoi constituted most of the diatom population at the beginning of the sampling period. The non-aggregating Leptocylindrus danicus (Cataletto et al., 1996) that dominate diatom population at the end were not visible in the sediment traps where the aggregates still formed 70% of the particles collected. Instead the large non-aggregating Proboscia alata and the small aggregating S. marinoi were majoritary in the sediment traps confirming that size may be also an important factor, together with ballast and aggregation capacity for an efficient carbon export.
The Importance of Zooplankton Abundance to POC Flux

The global role of zooplankton for POC export is difficult to quantify since zooplankton indirectly influence export by changing the structure of the phytoplankton community, from small to larger species as seen in the previous paragraph and in other study (Quéguiner, 2013). Moreover, zooplankton activity may both (1) increase POC fluxes by converting slow-sinking single cells into fast-sinking fecal pellets and (2) reduce the flux by fragmenting large aggregates into small particles with slow sinking velocities and high degradation in the surface ocean (Iversen and Poulsen, 2007; Giering et al., 2014; Sanders et al., 2014). In our mesocosm study, higher copepod concentrations resulted in higher standing stock of phytoplankton (Figure 4) and in higher phytoplankton diversity (Table 1). The flagellate diversity appeared to be mainly driven by the copepod presence. Diatom diversity was more influenced by the silicic acid addition but the dominant species change depending on the copepod abundances (Table 1). Higher phosphate and nitrate uptake in the +Cops treatments compared to the −Cops treatments (Figure 4), suggest that the phytoplankton community benefitted from the presence of mesozooplankton. Such a counter-intuitive influence of meso-zooplankton addition on phytoplankton growth has already been observed in other studies (Sommer et al., 2001, 2004, 2005). The silicate uptake also increased with copepods addition (Figure 4). This could be explained by the change of diatoms community toward more silicified species (Assmy et al., 2013; Quéguiner, 2013), or by the increase of diatom silification in the presence of grazers (Pondaven et al., 2007). Grazers feeding activity may also increase the remineralization of the dead diatom frustules (Schultes et al., 2010). Such an effect would benefit diatom growth but couldn’t be seen in our study because we only measured the net uptake. Moreover, the trophic connections are much more complex than only copepods and prey. Additionally, trophic cascades can explain the increased phytoplankton biomass in the +Cops mesocosms (Gismervik et al., 2002; Sommer et al., 2004; Stibor et al., 2004). Copepods may partially feed on microzooplankton. The grazing pressure of micro-zooplankton on phytoplankton would then be reduced as observed in previous mesocosm experiments (Gismervik...
et al., 2002; Stibor et al., 2004). In this case, an increase of the copepods abundance mean a decrease of the grazing pressure on phytoplankton, and an increase of the phytoplankton net growth.

In terms of export fluxes, we observed that elevated copepod concentrations decreased the POC flux in the +Si while the opposite was observed for −Si treatments (Figure 8). The highest difference can be seen for +Si at Day 6 where copepods strongly impact the flux composition decreasing aggregate contribution to the flux (from 92 to 68% for −Cops and +Cops, respectively, Figure 7). This could have resulted from two mechanisms, a mechanic breakage of the aggregates (Alldredge et al., 1990; Dilling and Alldredge, 2000) or by changing diatom community because diatoms are not equal in terms of aggregation capacity (Cataletto et al., 1996). However until Day 6 the aggregating species Skeletonema marinoi dominate the two treatments suggesting at this time that physical breakage of aggregates by zooplankton feeding and swimming activities must have prevailed. But copepods activities also influenced the diatom community: in the +Si +Cops, the biovolume of the diatom population increased toward the end (Figure 6) with larger species progressively dominating the diatom community (from S. marinoi to L. danicus). We also observed an impact of copepod activity on the species composition of the sinking material. Similarly to observations done in the Kerguelen area, preferential feeding by copepods resulted in an increased proportion of heavily silicified diatoms in the sinking flux (Quéguiner, 2013) even when they are not so well represented in the surface water populations. Diatom composition of the export fluxes in +Si −Cops reflected that of the suspended diatom community, but most of the material recovered in the +Si +Cops traps were constituted by the large and heavily silicified Proboscia alata and aggregates of S. marinoii.

Reversely in the −Si mesocosms, higher copepod concentrations were associated with increased POC fluxes, with a stronger contribution of aggregates at Day 11 and of intact fecal pellets toward the end of the mesocosm study (Figure 7). While the intensity of the POC fluxes generally follow the aggregate contribution to the flux, the slight decrease of the flux intensity at the end in the −Si +Cops mesocosm traps confirm that fecal pellets production can compensate for the disaggregation process in a non-diatom situation.

Overall, our data suggests that zooplankton decreased the efficiency of the system to export POC in diatom dominated ecosystem (Figure 10). For dinoflagellates dominated systems, the net export is increasing due to the positive effect of copepod activity on phytoplankton growth. However, except at Day 6, the efficiency of the system in terms of how much of POC production was exported was not affected by copepod abundance (Figure 10). Instead of copepods simply ingesting and repackaging phytoplankton into fecal pellets in proportions similar to the community composition in the mixed layer, copepods actively shape the phytoplankton community structure in the surface layer and in the export fluxes by selective grazing which determines which phytoplankton species are being retained in the upper ocean, and which ones are being exported.

CONCLUSIONS

In our large scale experimental non-bloom conditions, POC fluxes were positively correlated to Si/C in all mesocosms, highlighting the global importance of diatoms for POC export. The addition of dSi increased POC fluxes, confirming the results by Wassmann et al. (1996). Lightly silicified diatoms, which dominated water column in most mesocosms during our study, drive the export through aggregation when copepods concentration is decreased. With elevated copepod...
concentrations, net phytoplankton growth globally increased, probably due to trophic cascade effect. Grazing pressure also structure the phytoplankton community, with a shift from small to medium or large diatoms in the water column and in the export fluxes. Simultaneously aggregates are mechanically broken by copepod activity which is not compensated by the increase density of the diatoms escaping the grazing. These two opposite effects in diatom dominated ecosystem resulted in a net decrease of the export efficiency. Reversely by favoring phytoplankton growth and formation of fast sinking fecal pellets, copepods increase the net export by non-diatom species, but do not clearly change the export efficiency.
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