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**ABSTRACT**

Shiga toxin–producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) comprise a large, highly diverse group of strains. Since the emergence of STEC serotype O157:H7 as an important foodborne pathogen, serotype data have been used for identifying STEC strains, and this use continued as other serotypes were implicated in human infections. An estimated 470 STEC serotypes have been identified, which can produce one or more of the 12 known Shiga toxin (Stx) subtypes. The number of STEC serotypes that cause human illness varies but is probably higher than 100. However, many STEC virulence genes are mobile and can be lost or transferred to other bacteria; therefore, STEC strains that have the same serotype may not carry the same virulence genes or pose the same risk. Although serotype information is useful in outbreak investigations and surveillance studies, it is not a reliable means of assessing the human health risk posed by a particular STEC serotype. To contribute to the development of a set of criteria that would more reliably support hazard identification, this review considered each of the factors contributing to a negative human health outcome: mild diarrhea, bloody diarrhea, and hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS). STEC pathogenesis involves entry into the human gut (often via ingestion), attachment to the intestinal epithelial cells, and elaboration of Stx. Production of Stx, which disrupts normal cellular functions and causes cell damage, alone without adherence of bacterial cells to gut epithelial cells is insufficient to cause severe illness. The principal adherence factor in STEC is the intimin protein coded by the *eae* gene. The aggregative adherence fimbriae adhesins regulated by the aggR gene of enteraggregative *E. coli* strains are also effective adherence factors. The *stx2* gene is most often present in locus of enterocyte effacement (*eae*)–positive STEC strains and has consistently been associated with HUS. The *stx2* gene has also been found in *eae*-negative, *aggR*-positive STEC that have caused HUS. HUS cases where other *stx* gene subtypes were identified indicate that other factors such as host susceptibility and the genetic cocktail of virulence genes in individual isolates may affect their association with severe diseases.
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Shiga toxin–producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) is a large, complex group of *E. coli* strains that vary greatly in phenotypic, serologic, and genotypic characteristics. STEC pathogenesis is highly complex, requiring multiple virulence factors to cause severe diseases. Some of these virulence factors have many subtypes or alleles, not all of which seem to affect humans. Many of these STEC proven and putative virulence factors reside on mobile genetic elements and can be lost or transferred. As a result, strains of the same serotype may have different virulence genes and pose different health risks. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and the World Health Organization (WHO) were asked to provide advice to the Codex Committee on Food Hygiene on a number of aspects related management of STEC in the food chain, one of which was the identification of the hazard. A Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meeting on Microbiological Risk Assessment (JEMRA) Group was convened to address this issue. The JEMRA Group decided that a set of criteria and/or a decision tree (http://www.fao.org/3/ca0032en/CA0032EN.pdf) based on current knowledge of factors known to be required in STEC pathogenesis and of phenotypes historically linked with disease should be developed to provide a harmonized risk-based approach for characterization of STEC isolated from a food or along the food chain. A database of strains and serotypes could be developed to facilitate application of the decision tree. For example, the database could include information on strains that have certain patterns when assessed against the criteria used in the decision tree and historically linked in different regions with various levels of health risk from severe to minimal or when no known risk has been reported. This characterization,
together with other factors such as knowledge of the intrinsic nature of the food, further handling that may affect survival, food preparation practices before consumption, and whether the food is to be provided to known high-risk consumer groups, could be used for determining the potential human health risk posed by an STEC strain found in the food chain.

Pathogenicity of STEC is complex, but in general infection entails three features: ingestion of a contaminated food or other vehicle, colonization of intestinal epithelial cells by STEC, and production of Shiga toxins (Stx), which disrupt normal cellular functions and cause cell damage. The evidence suggests that production of Stx alone without adherence of bacterial cells to gut epithelial cells is insufficient to cause severe illness. STEC infection can be asymptomatic. Most people who come to medical attention have diarrhea, which is often bloody and can be hemorrhagic (hence the term enterohemorrhagic E. coli). Hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) is the most important complication (58), and some patients with HUS develop chronic renal failure. People with STEC infection with or without HUS can die. This risk-based discussion focuses on mild diarrhea, bloody diarrhea (BD), and HUS.

**ADHERENCE FACTORS**

The majority of STEC known to cause BD or HUS have virulence factors that enable attachment to intestinal epithelial cells, so adherence factors are generally considered essential for severe illness and perhaps even for non-BD. The main adherence factor in STEC is the intimin gene coded by the eae gene that resides on the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE) pathogenicity island. Intimin is also a virulence factor of enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) and is crucial in the attaching-effacing lesion that has been associated with EPEC and LEE-positive STEC strains (78). The eae gene is highly polymorphic, with over 34 genetic variants (alleles) (72, 89), which are designated by Greek letters. For example, E. coli O157:H7 carries γ (gamma) eae, serotype O26:H11 often has β (beta) eae, and serotype O121:H19 has ε (epsilon) eae. The presence of both eae and the Stx gene stx2 is a reliable predictor that the STEC strain may cause BD or HUS (40).

LEE-negative (i.e., eae-negative) STEC has been implicated as a cause of severe disease (112). For example, an STEC O113:H21 strain was first isolated from a child with HUS in 1983 (83), and this serotype later caused a cluster of HUS cases in Australia (122). STEC O91:H21 strains that are also LEE negative have been implicated in HUS cases in Germany (99, 100). LEE-negative STEC strains probably have other means or mechanisms for adherence (36). The O113:H21 strains have the STEC agglutinating adhesin (121). The sab gene that codes for an outer membrane autoprotease protein that enhances biofilm formation (70) is also thought to be an adherence factor. Evaluations of other STEC strains have revealed the presence of paa, efa1, ompA, lpfA, and other genes that code for adhesins (78). The plasmid-borne toxB gene also codes for an adhesin and is found in O157:H7 and many LEE-positive STEC strains, including strains of the O26, O121, and O145 serogroups, and in EPEC strains (154). The toxB-encoded adhesin is thought to contribute to the adherence properties of the O157:H7 serotype. However, like other adhesins, the role of the toxB adhesin in STEC virulence has not been fully determined, so this adhesin is often regarded as a putative virulence factor, and its prevalence differs among STEC strains (42). In a recent study, an 86-kb mosaic pathogenicity island (PAI) named the locus of adhesion and autoaggregation (LAA) was reported, which is composed of four modules of 80 genes, including those that code for novel and known virulence factors associated with adherence and autoaggregation (104). Phylogenomic analysis revealed that LAA appears to be exclusively present in a subset of emerging LEE-negative STEC strains, including strains from hemorrhagic colitis and HUS cases. LAA acquisition may be a recent evolutionary event, which may have contributed to the emergence of these STEC strains (104).

By far the most compelling evidence that adherence is critical to severe disease outcome is the enterohagaggregative E. coli (EAEC) O104:H4 strain, which caused the large HUS outbreak in Germany in 2011 (48). EAEC strains do not have eae but have the aggregative adherence fimbriae (AAF) adhesins regulated by the aggR gene. The ability of O104:H4 strains to aggregate on epithelial cells coupled with Stx2 production resulted in a remarkably high HUS rate of 22% (20). This outbreak indicated that an adherence factor other than eae, in combination with stx2, can produce severe disease (8). Some public health agencies are now testing STEC for both eae and aggR to detect EAEC strains that have acquired the ability to produce Stx. Because the aggR genes reside on plasmids that can be lost after disease is produced, chromosomal markers such as the aaeC gene have also been used to identify EAEC strains (38).

**Key Points on Adherence Factors**

1. Adherence factors are critical factors for STEC pathogenicity.
2. The principal adherence factor in STEC is the intimin protein coded by the eae gene.
3. The AAF adhesins regulated by the aggR gene of EAEC are also effective adherence factors.
4. Other putative STEC adherence factors include those coded by genes saa, sab, paa, efa1, ompA, lpfA, toxB, and the LAA PAI.

**Stx Types and Subtypes**

STEC are characterized by the production of Stx, of which two main types have been described, Stx1 and Stx2, with three Stx1 (Stx1a, Stx1c, and Stx1d) and seven Stx2 (Stx2a, Stx2b, Stx2c, Stx2d, Stx2e, Stx2f, and Stx2g) subtypes (137). A novel subtype of Stx1, Stx1e (GenBank accession no. KF926684), which has limited reactivity with anti-Stx1 antibodies, has been found in Enterobacter cloacae (128). Provisional designations also have been proposed (88) for two new Stx2 subtypes, encoded by genes stx2h (GenBank AM904726) and stx2i (GenBank FN252457), but the proposed sequence of stx2h was found to be identical to the already published variant stx2c-O8-FHI-1106-1092 (137). STEC strains can produce any of the Stx or a combination of Stx subtypes, but not all subtypes have
been implicated in severe illness (71, 96). For example, among the Stx1 group, little is known about the clinical significance of the Stx1d subtype. Stx1c is the most common subtype in strains isolated from sheep, wild deer, and wildlife meats (24, 71, 106); these strains often do not produce intimin and tend to cause asymptomatic infection or mild diarrhea (51). The Stx1a subtype is often produced by LEE-positive STEC strains that have caused severe infections, including serotypes O157:H7, O26:H11, and O111:H8. Brooks et al. (25) found that 83% of O26, 50% of O111, and 100% of O103 strains that caused BD in the United States carried stx1 and eae, but of these, only one O111 strain was implicated in HUS. Consistent with those observations, O103:H2 is the second most common STEC causing infection in Norway but is not associated with HUS (110). These three O groups have been declared as adulterants in raw nonintact beef and intact beef products intended for nonintact use in the United States (156). Some STEC serotypes with stx1a and eae are found in foods (45) but have not been implicated in human infections, suggesting that not all STEC that produce Stx1a and have eae pose the same health risk. STEC with stx1c, either alone or together with stx2e, is often isolated from wild ruminants. Most of these strains are eae negative (71), so their presence in humans has not received much attention. However, in some studies 10 to 15% of clinical samples from patients with diarrheal illnesses have been positive for stx1c and/or stx2e (22, 26, 32, 47).

Stx2 is more important than Stx1 in the development of HUS (35). Among the Stx2 group, the subtypes most often associated with severe disease are Stx2a, Stx2c, and Stx2d (50, 124). Some researchers have suggested that other subtypes may also cause severe infections. Some Stx2 subtypes share high gene sequence similarities and have probably been misidentified in some reports. The nomenclature for Stx subtypes is continually being refined. Increased use of whole genome sequencing should help to clarify the associations of Stx subtypes with severe diseases. Whole genome sequencing results have also indicated that different stx subtypes are associated with different virulence profiles. In a study from The Netherlands, the genes ehxA and ureC were significantly associated with HUS-associated STEC strains but not with the presence of eae (49), suggesting that these genes could be important pathogenicity markers next to eae and stx2e.

The Stx2b subtype was proposed to designate a strain subtype with a variant of the stx2e gene but that did not cause HUS (124). Analysis of STEC in Europe revealed that stx2b alone or together with stx1c is common in STEC from deer droppings and wildlife populations (71, 106) but does not appear to cause severe human illness (21, 27, 32, 47). The Stx2e subtype is mostly found in isolates from pigs and pork meat (9) and is commonly associated with pig edema disease (7), STEC with stx2e have been isolated from fresh produce (45) and rarely from humans; in one study the frequency of isolation of STEC with stx2e was similar among people with and without diarrhea (50). In another study, isolation of Stx2e-producing STEC was not correlated with diarrheal illness (7), suggesting that Stx2e-producing strains are generally not pathogenic for humans. However, Fasel et al. (41) reported the isolation of an STEC O51:H49 strain with stx2e and eae from a 65-year-old patient with HUS in Switzerland, and in another study, stx2e was found in STEC O9abH- and O101:H- strains isolated from an HUS patient (151).

The Stx2f subtype has a genetic sequence that is very distinct from that of the other Stx2 subtypes, and the designation Stx2f was first applied to STEC strains isolated from pigeons (139), although this subtype was first reported as Shiga-like toxin I1va from an STEC strain isolated from an infant with diarrhea (56). Analyses of STEC isolates from the wild, from bovine farm environments, and from humans have seldom found Stx2f (50, 71, 103). Some studies suggested that an STEC strain that produce Stx2f can cause mild diarrhea or can be asymptomatic (54, 126), but it appears to be rare (71, 124). However, in a recent study an STEC O8:H19 strain that carried both stx2f and eae was isolated from an HUS patient in The Netherlands (53), and others have also reported isolating Stx2f-producing STEC strains from HUS patients (63). Additional information is needed to understand the association between Stx2f and severe illness.

STEC with the Stx2g subtype was first isolated from bacteriophages in water with fecal contamination (57). The Stx2g subtype was also found in 8.4% of the STEC strains isolated from farm environments (103) and in some STEC strains isolated from foods (9). STEC strains with stx2g have rarely been isolated from human samples (9). Such a strain was isolated from German patients with diarrhea, fever, and abdominal pain but has not been implicated in severe diseases (125).

Several researchers have indicated that subtypes Stx2a or Stx2d are significantly associated with the risk of BD, HUS, or both (22, 26, 40, 94, 99, 124). These subtypes were at least 25 times more potent than Stx2b and Stx2c in cytotoxicity assays using primary human renal proximal tubule epithelial cells and Vero cells (55). In mice, the potencies of Stx2b and Stx2c are similar to those of Stx1, whereas Stx2a and Stx2d were 40 to 400 times more potent than Stx1 (55).

In STEC O157:H7, four major and two minor subtypes of stx2-encoding bacteriophages have been studied to determine the production of Stx2a (115). One of the two bacteriophage subclades in clade 8, a hypervirulent lineage of serotype O157:H7, confers the highest Stx2a production in the host strain (115). Striking phage-related variability in toxin production has been observed in clinical isolates of O157 as well as in other O groups (O83, O111, and O145). The genotypes of the bacteriophage and host strain factors are relevant to STEC pathogenesis (158) as was recently demonstrated in a whole genome sequencing comparison of Stx2f-producing STEC strains, some of which were isolated from patients with HUS (63). In that study, only the three strains isolated from HUS patients had the EPEC-associated efal gene, which resides on the PAI OI122, the STEC plasmid genes ehxA, espP, and katP, and intimin type ζ (xi) or β. The stx2e STEC strains isolated from patients with diarrhea but without HUS and the strains isolated from pigeons lacked these genes (63). Although some of these genes, such as ehxA that codes for enterohemolysin, are
prevalent in STEC strains that have caused severe infections, their role in STEC pathogenesis remains undetermined. Nevertheless, this example suggests that the genotype of the host strain can have an effect on disease outcomes.

The Stx2d subtype has been suggested as an indicator for severe clinical outcomes such as BD or HUS (12). This subtype used to be known as stx2d activatable because it was activated by elastase in mucus to become 10- to 1,000-fold more cytotoxic (102). In a French outbreak caused by a hybrid STEC–extraintestinal pathogenic E. coli (ExPEC) strain of serotype O80:H2, stx2d in combination with other stx subtypes was found in 69% of the 52 strains isolated from HUS patients. Among the isolates, 62% had stx2c, 7% had stx2a, and 31% had unique variants of stx2a (22%) or stx2d (9%). All 52 strains had the intimin variant eae-\(\xi\), and 87% carried the elha gene (95). All 52 O80:H2 strains examined also shared the four genes (sitA, cia, hlyF, and ompF) that are characteristic of the ExPEC pS88 plasmid and other ExPEC traits, 98% carried the iss and troN genes, 96% had the cvaA gene, and 61% had the iucC and etsC genes (142). In a study in Spain, 236 STEC strains isolated from patients with HUS, diarrhea, or both were evaluated. Of these, 193 were eae positive, 43 were eae negative, and 7 (3%) carried stx2d (135). Further analysis revealed that six of the stx2d-bearing strains were eae-negative STEC of serotypes O73:H18, O91:H21, O148:H8, O181:H49, and ONT:H21 and one was an O157:H7 strain that was also positive for stx2c and eae. In a study of 32 isolates of O26:H11 sequence type 29 recovered from patients with HUS between 2010 and 2013 in France, 7 isolates were positive for stx2c, eae-\(\xi\), and SP_26_E (using a CRISPR-based assay) but lacked any of the usual plasmid genes associated with O26 strains (33). Although these findings indicate that Stx2d causes severe infections, not all STEC strains with stx2d may cause severe disease. For example, nine patients in Norway infected with an stx2d-positive STEC strain did not develop HUS (22). In an outbreak of gastroenteritis in Japan, both Escherichia albertii and STEC O183:H18 that were stx2d positive were isolated, but none of the 44 patients examined developed BD or HUS (21, 118). In a large study of 626 STEC infections in Germany, none of the 268 HUS patients were infected with an STEC strain that was positive for stx2d (50). At least 18 genetic variants of the stx2d subtype have been identified, and eight of the strains tested were variable in being activatable by elastase (137), which may account for the variability in clinical outcomes associated with Stx2d strains.

Because of sequence similarities, genes stx2c, stx2c, and stx2d can be quite difficult to discern and identify (137). The stx2c-positive strains had been thought to cause severe disease and HUS (37, 50, 124), but recent information has doubts about this assumption. For example, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) Panel on Biological Hazards (37) stated that O111 strains isolated from HUS patients (162) were stx2c positive. However, the alignment of the two sequenced strains revealed 100% homology with the stx2 sequences found in O157:H7 strain EDL933, which is known to have stx2a but not stx2c (137). Persson et al. (124) examined 20 STEC strains isolated from HUS patients and found only 1 strain that had stx2c alone. That strain has since been sequenced (unpublished data) and identified as belonging to clade 8 of O157:H7, which is known to have stx2a but not stx2c (115). Friedrich et al. (50) did not find a significant difference in the prevalence of stx2c between STEC isolates from patients with HUS versus those from patients with diarrhea (P = 0.49) nor between isolates from patients with HUS versus those from asymptomatic patients (P = 0.74). Additional data obtained with discriminating molecular subtyping methods may clarify whether stx2c is strongly associated with severe disease.

Large genotypic differences in stx phage have also been observed in LEE-negative STEC strains (146). The virulence potential of STEC is quite likely determined by a combination of factors, including bacteriophage clade, stx subtype, and genotype of the bacterial host. Consistent with those assumptions, identification of the specific stx subtype, the bacteriophage mechanisms that control Stx production, and selected virulence genes carried by a particular STEC strain would be useful for assessing health risks, especially considering that not all Stx subtypes appear to affect humans and that some subtypes are more often associated with severe illnesses than are others.

**Key Points on Stx**

1. Twelve subtypes of Stx have thus far been identified: Stx1a, Stx1c, Stx1d, Stx1e, and Stx2 subtypes Stx2a to Stx2g, and Stx2i encoded by genes stx1a, stx1c, stx1d, stx1e, stx2a to stx2g, and stx2i, respectively.
2. The gene stx2a is often found in LEE (eae)–positive STEC and has consistently been associated with HUS.
3. The gene stx2a has also been found in eae-negative, aggR-positive STEC that have caused HUS.
4. The gene stx2d in LEE-negative strains has to a lesser degree been reported from cases of HUS, but not all STEC strains with stx2d may cause severe disease.
5. HUS cases associated with other Stx subtypes have been identified, indicating that other factors such as host susceptibility, the genetic cocktail of virulence genes in individual isolates, and other (bacteriophage related) factors may affect the association of other Stx subtypes with severe disease.

**SEROTYPES AND REGIONAL DIVERSITY**

E. coli strains are typically identified serologically by two surface antigens; the somatic (O) and the flagellar (H), of which there are ~180 and 53 types, respectively. The serotype identity of STEC strains has been used widely to identify STEC strains with the potential to cause severe diseases, but serotype is not a virulence factor and E. coli strains can carry any combination of O and H antigens; thus, the number of E. coli serotypes is very large. An estimated 470 STEC serotypes have been reported (105) that can produce any one of the 12 Stx1 and Stx2 subtypes or combinations of these subtypes. However, not all Stx subtypes appear to cause human illness, possibly because these strains lack known adherence factors associated with human illness. The estimated number of STEC serotypes that causes human illness ranges from >60 (4) to >100 (77).
Incidentes of STEC strains causing foodborne infections have been reported from numerous countries worldwide (77). Serotype O157:H7 is the prototypic STEC that has caused infections worldwide. Serotype O26:H11 also seems prevalent and has caused infections in many countries, but other serotypes have caused infections only in a particular country or region (5, 98, 120), suggesting that there may be regional variations in STEC serotypes of importance. For example, in 2009, the EFSA (37) identified STEC with stx and eae from five O groups (O157, O26, O103, O111, and O145), also known as the “big 5,” as being of health concern in the European Union (EU). Similarly, in the United States, six O types (O26, O45, O103, O111, O121, and O145), or the “big 6,” have been found to account for >75% of clinical non-O157 STEC infections (25, 68). As a result, in 2011, the U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Safety Inspection Service (156) declared the “big 6” STEC O types that carry stx and eae and the STEC O157 strains as adulterants in raw nonintact beef and intact beef products intended for nonintact use. Although many of these O types of importance identified by various public health agencies are the same, types O121 and O45, which are on the U.S. priority list, are not listed as types of concern in other countries (77).

The evidence for geographic clustering and divergence seems to apply to both different STEC serotypes and strains within serotypes. Mellor et al. (101) used multilocus genotyping to examine O157:H7 strains isolated in the United States versus Australia and found that the strains differed in both genotype and genetic markers and virulence genes. Feng et al. (43) used multilocus sequence typing to characterize O113:H21 strains that have caused HUS in Australia versus environmental and clinical strains isolated elsewhere in the world and found that even though all the strains were within the same STEC clonal group, the Australian O113:H21 strains were of sequence type 820, which was not observed in the other strains.

STEC serotypes are evolving and moving among countries, partly because of the ease of worldwide travel, the vast international commerce of foods, and migration of wildlife (106). For example, an atypical O157:H7 variant that ferments sorbitol (SFO157) was first identified in Bavaria, Germany, in 1988 (80) and has now been found in other EU countries, including Finland (39), Austria, Czech Republic (17), and Scotland (2). SFO157 strains seem to be better able to adhere than do other O157 STEC (52, 132). Perhaps related to this difference are reports that a higher percentage of individuals with SFO157 infections develop HUS than do individuals with other O157 STEC infections (2, 132). Analysis of SFO157 strains isolated from various EU countries revealed identical or near identical pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) profiles, suggesting that the same strains may have spread between the countries (44). SFO157 strains have thus far not been isolated in the United States but have been found in Australia (6), Egypt (134), and Korea (90); however, some of the strains described in those reports had genetic traits different from those of the German SFO157 strain, including the presence of stx1. Most STEC O26:H11 strains were initially found to produce only Stx1, but isolates obtained later produced both Stx1 and Stx2. Since the mid-1990s, a new clone of O26:H11 that produces only Stx2 has emerged in Europe and has caused several outbreaks of severe disease (1, 18, 31, 79, 92, 119, 141, 157, 163, 164). STEC O26 strains have also been isolated from cases of HUS in Argentina (131), and O26 was the most common non-O157 STEC serotype isolated from 1983 to 2002 (25) and 2000 to 2010 (62) in the United States. Among the O26 human isolates in the United States from 1983 to 2002, 13% had stx2, of which only 2% had stx2 alone and the other 11% also had stx1 (25). Another example of changing regional clustering is STEC O121, which was not listed as being of concern in many countries (77), but together with O26, O103, O111, O117, and O145, O121 was listed as the third most common among the top six non-O157 STEC serogroups associated with serious illness in Canada (29). A strain of serotype O121:H19 (stx2 positive) was implicated in a 2017 Canadian outbreak suspected to have been associated with contaminated flour (108). STEC O104 became a concern in the United States (77) because of an outbreak of BD in 1994 associated with milk contaminated with a strain of O104:H21 (30). However, the large outbreak of O104:H4 infection in Germany and France in 2011 (48) quickly raised our awareness of the health risks of this serotype and sent a cautionary message concerning the difficulties of anticipating STEC serotypes that may emerge to cause severe illness. The O104:H4 outbreak strain has not been found in the United States except for one strain isolated from a patient who had travelled to Germany during the outbreak period, thus highlighting the risk of pathogen spread via travel.

Identification of the serotype of STEC causing a particular group of infections is important in epidemiology for detection, investigating outbreak incidences, and tracking global emergence. However, E. coli serotyping is complex because of the large number of O and H antigens that exist, and not all E. coli isolates can be serotyped. Studies of STEC and enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC) strains isolated from fresh produce revealed that >50% of the isolates could not be typed or yielded only partial serotypes (45, 46). Because many STEC virulence genes are on mobile genetic elements that can be lost or transferred, it is not unusual to find STEC strains of the same serotype that carry different virulence genes and pose different health risks. As a result, although serotype data can be useful for identifying STEC strains, these data should not be assessed independently but rather evaluated along with the other attributes when determining health risks.

Key Points on Serotypes and Diversity

1. At least 470 STEC serotypes can produce any one or more of the 12 known Stx subtypes.
2. The number of STEC serotypes that cause human illness differs depending on reports but is probably >100.
3. Serotype is not a virulence factor and does not (necessarily) predict the virulence profile and health risks associated with a particular STEC but is useful in outbreak investigations and for prevalence surveillance.
OTHER FACTORS THAT AFFECT VIRULENCE CHARACTERIZATION

Horizontal gene transfer. Mobile genetic elements such as plasmids, bacteriophages, transposons, PAIs, and insertion sequence elements play a major role in the evolution of E. coli (161). Plasmids are highly diverse and may possess genes for antibiotic resistance, virulence, regulation, and adhesins. Through the process of conjugation, plasmids can transfer small or large fragments of DNA between bacteria and convey various traits to the recipient. Some bacteriophages have the capacity to mobilize genes, as indicated by the enormous proportion of fecal phage particles that contain bacterial DNA. Through lysogenic conversion of resident intestinal bacteria, phage may introduce new phenotypic traits, such as antibiotic resistance and the ability to produce exotoxins (23). Stx-converting bacteriophage (Stx phage) carry the stx gene and can lysogenize nonpathogenic bacterial strains and convert them into STEC (69). Stx phage, therefore, represent highly mobile genetic elements that play an important role in Stx expression, in horizontal gene transfer, and in STEC genome diversification. One example is the Stx-producing EAEC O104:H4 strain, which caused a large outbreak in Germany in 2011 (48). Some researchers have hypothesized that this strain originated from a genetically primitive lineage of E. coli in a confined geographical area but evolved via several independent streams of horizontal gene exchange (11, 14, 130).

Evidence from Central Europe and Italy indicates that O26:H11 strains have been shifting from carrying stx1 only to carrying stx1 and stx2 and now to carrying stx2 only and that those strains with only stx2 are more virulent than the other O26 strains (1, 13, 18). As a further complication, loss and gain of Stx-encoding phage has been observed in O26:H11 strains (15). In the United States, mostly stx1-bearing O26 strains have been found in foods, and isolation of strains with stx1 alone has thus far not been common.

Frequent loss of stx genes in clinical STEC isolates has been observed upon subcultivation (81), and stx-negative E. coli O157:H7/H- variants may occur at a low frequency in patients with diarrhea or HUS (34, 138). The loss and gain of Stx-encoding phage from E. coli in the human intestine or during cultivation can result in strains with different pathotypes. Such strains can present challenges for DNA fingerprinting techniques (such as PFGE), resulting in variable diagnostic results, and can have clinical, epidemiological, and evolutionary implications.

Free and infectious Stx phage can be found in high densities in fecal samples from healthy humans, in environments polluted with human and animal feces, and in foods (73, 97, 109). As a result, molecular detection of stx genes in a sample merely reflects the presence of stx genes (phage), and results will have to be confirmed through isolation and characterization of the STEC strain. Other enterobacterial species also known to acquire stx phage are Shigella dysenteriae type 1, Shigella flexneri, Shigella sonnei, Citrobacter freundii, E. albertii, Acinetobacter baemolyticus, Aeromonas caviae, and E. cloacae (3, 10, 21, 28, 64, 69, 84, 117), and these genes may also be detected by stx-specific assays. Usually, detection of one or more stx genes in foods associated with an outbreak coupled with supporting epidemiological data provide sufficient information to link the food to human illness. However, free Stx phage found in foods may result in false-positive findings. Alternative methods that can eliminate or significantly reduce the detection of free Stx phage may allow more specific detection of STEC in foods (129).

More than 170 PAIs carrying important virulence properties have been annotated as genomic islands in the sequences of the STEC O157:H7 strains EDL933 and Sakai (67, 123). One of these PAIs carries the LEE, which has the eae gene necessary for the attaching and effacing lesion. Another PAI, designated O island 122 (OI-122), carries the large virulence gene cluster efa1-lifA (85, 113, 145) and has frequently been found in STEC strains associated with severe human disease (82, 87, 107). OI-122 has multiple other functions and appears to be involved in cell adhesion, immunosuppression, disruption of epithelial barrier function, and intestinal colonization (86).

Another important PAI is OI-57, which harbors adfO, a putative virulence gene for adhesion, and cjk, which encodes a putative killing factor for bacterial cells. OI-57 is present in the majority of the STEC genomes and in a proportion of human EPEC, suggesting that this PAI could be involved in the attaching and effacing colonization of the intestinal mucosa (74).

A more complete description of many of the additional mobile genetic elements is beyond the scope of this assessment, but a few examples of recombinant strains derived from these elements, also referred to as hybrid strains, deserve mention here.

EAEC-STEC. E. coli O104:H4 from the German outbreak in 2011 has stx2a and EAEC pAA (the virulence plasmid with genes coding for AAF/I, AggR, and SepA), extended-spectrum β-lactamase antibiotic resistance plasmid, and chromosomal genes for Aat (dispersin translocator), SigA (IgA protease-like homolog), and Pic (serine protease precursor) (19, 20).

EPEC-STEC. E. coli serotypes O26:H11, O55:H9, and O80:H2 from HUS patients in Austria and Italy have stx2f, the EPEC-associated efa1 gene that resides on PAI OI-122, the STEC plasmid genes ehxA, espP, and katP, and intimin types ε or β (63).

ExPEC-STEC. E. coli O80:H2 strains reported from France and Spain have stx2a, stx2c, or stx2d, intimin gene eae-ε, and at least four genes characteristic of pSS8 (sitA, cia, hlyF, and ompT) and other genes associated with ExPEC virulence (iss, iroN, and cynA) (142). Thirteen O2:H6 strains with sequence type 141 had stx2b, saa, and ExPEC-associated genes vat, clb island, cdiAB+, and ybt clusters; 12 also had iro, 10 had χ-hly, cfa1, the pap cluster, and hek, and 9 also had the gfaII cluster (16).
ETEC-STE C. An E. coli O2:H27 strain with stx2a, ehxA, and estla (gene for ETEC heat-stable toxin) was isolated from two people (one had diarrhea and one was asymptomatic), and an O101:NM strain with stx2a, ehxA, estla, and eae was isolated from a patient with HUS in Finland (114). E. coli O159:HUT sequence type 171, with stx2a, elt (gene for ETEC heat-labile toxin), and ETEC colonization factor CS12, was isolated from a patient with diarrhea in Korea (116). Four O15:H16, five O175:H28, two O136:HNM, and one ONT:H16 human clinical isolate from Germany were positive for stx2g and estla (the O15:H16 strains were also positive for the plasmid-encoded astA and espP) (125).

A less well-characterized stx2-positive O8:H19 isolate from a patient with HUS in The Netherlands was also positive for the eae gene but negative for ehxA (54). STEC O8:H19 strains do not usually have stx2a.

In summary, mobile DNA and horizontal gene transfer in E. coli can transfer virulence genes to other bacteria and pose an ongoing challenge in diagnostic procedures and in making health risk determinations for STEC found in foods.

Key Points on Horizontal Gene Transfer

1. Independent streams of horizontal gene exchange play a major role in STEC diversity.
2. Mobile DNA and horizontal gene transfer in E. coli poses an ongoing challenge for diagnostic and detection methods and for the risk assessment of STEC found in foods.
3. Other diarrheagenic E. coli pathotypes are also known to acquire stx phage.
4. Other species of Enterobacteriaceae are also known to acquire stx phage.

Dose-response assessment for STEC virulence types. Stx is the main STEC virulence factor, but it is seldom produced in foods unless the food has undergone severe time and temperature abuse. Significant production of Stx1 can occur in milk and ground beef when these have been subjected to vigorous aeration at 37°C for 48 h (159). However, these conditions are seldom encountered in normal food production processes because they result in spoilage, which will render the food unfit for consumption. Foodborne STEC infections typically occur from ingesting STEC-contaminated food and other vehicles. The STEC cells then bind to intestinal epithelial cells and express Stx. The severity of disease outcomes in STEC infections may also depend on the number of STEC cells ingested. The infectious doses of STEC are suspected to be low but can differ depending on serotype and strain. Disease outcomes also can differ depending on the individual’s susceptibility.

Limited information is available on the dose-response of STEC. The risk of life threatening illness in humans and the absence of an animal model that replicates human pathology preclude experimental determination of the STEC dose-response. Estimates of dose-response have been made for STEC O157:H7 based levels of the pathogen in food and consumption data from patients in outbreaks. Exposure to <100 cells of STEC O157:H7 may be sufficient to cause infection. Exposure estimates have been reported from three outbreaks in which the level of STEC O157:H7 in the food at consumption could be determined: 2 to 45 cells in salami (152), <700 cells in beef patties (155), and 31 to 35 cells in pumpkin salad with seafood sauce (150). These estimates are supported by reports of STEC O157:H7 levels (expressed either as CFU or most probable number [MPN]) in a variety of foods involved in outbreaks: raw milk cheeses, 5 to 10 CFU/g (147) and 0.0037 to 0.0095 MPN/g (60), and beef patties, 1.45 MPN/g (65) and 0.022 MPN/g (59). The probability of infection after exposure to a single viable STEC O157 cell is significant. In one foodborne outbreak, the median probability was estimated as 25% for children and 17% for adults (150). The frequency of transmission in childcare centers and among family members also suggests that the probability of infection per cell is significant.

It is not known whether the dose-response of STEC strains that use intimin for attachment differs among serogroups, although because of the known genetic and physiological variability of STEC the differences can be presumed to be significant. However, it is not currently possible to identify STEC strains that have a higher probability of causing infection than does STEC O157:H7. An investigation of an STEC infection outbreak involving serotypes O145:H28 and O26:H11 in ice cream revealed levels of 2.4 MPN/g for O145 and 0.03 MPN/g for O26 (27). In an outbreak of STEC O111:H− infection associated with fermented sausage, the estimated exposure dose was 1 cell per 10 g (120). Thus, the probability of infection upon exposure to other STEC strains may approach that of O157:H7.

In addition to STEC strain factors, host factors very likely also affect dose-response relationships and disease outcomes. Individuals with weakened immune systems, such as frail or elderly persons, and individuals that lack acquired immunity, such as young children, have the highest rate of illness and HUS (66). In one study in Germany, examination of the relation between major STEC O groups and the affected individual’s age and severity of illness revealed that age was a relevant factor in the severity of STEC illness (127). In another study in Germany, in children younger than 3 years of age, the relevant risk factors were contact with ruminants and consumption of raw milk; foods such as meats and sausages were not STEC risk factors until the children were at least 10 years old (160). These factors should be considered when extrapolating dose-response estimates to different demographic groups or epidemiological scenarios. Heterogeneity in exposure as influenced by infectivity, dose, attack rates, host susceptibility, food, etc. also needs to be taken into account when determining dose-responses in O157:H7 outbreaks (149).

Key Points on Dose-Response

1. The severity of disease outcome from infections may depend on the number of STEC cells ingested.
2. The infectious dose of STEC is suspected to be low but can differ among serotypes and among strains.

3. Disease outcome severity differs depending on an individual’s susceptibility.

**Human and other factors.** Although selected STEC traits may be used to assess potential health risks, they provide no conclusive prediction on the outcome or the severity of disease. STEC pathogenesis is highly complex, and aside from STEC virulence traits other factors may also play a role in disease outcome. For example, coculturing O157:H7 strains with commensal E. coli can increase Stx2 production and the virulence of O157:H7 strains in mice, suggesting that there is a synergistic effect of STEC and the typical intestinal bacteria (61). Some clades of O157:H7 overexpress Stx2 and are more often associated with severe human infections (111). Severity of STEC infection outcomes can also be affected by synergistic effects with other organisms. In a 2001 to 2010 survey of 1,800 non-O157 STEC infections, 3.6% of the cases had multiple etiologies (93). In some cases, patients were coinfecked with a non-O157 STEC and O157:H7, Cryptosporidium, or Campylobacter. Coinfections with pathogenic E. coli and other pathogens have been characterized by severe diarrhea (153).

The occurrence and severity of STEC infections are also affected by human factors and genetics, which can affect STEC colonization and thus the severity of STEC infection outcomes (133). The impact of human individual susceptibility is also indicated by reports of asymptomatic STEC carriers (144). In a study of fecal samples from 5,590 asymptomatic workers from the Swiss meat processing industry, 3.5% of the samples were positive for stx genes, 47 STEC strains were isolated, and some strains also had the eae gene, including one isolate of the O157:H7 serotype (143). In a study in northern Italy of fecal samples from 350 asymptomatic farm workers from 276 dairy farms and 50 abattoir workers from seven facilities, 1.1% of the farm workers were infected with O157:H7 strains that had eae plus stx1, stx2, or both (140). All of these individuals were adults, and although they were asymptomatic, they could have posed health risks to younger individuals. An asymptomatic mother with an eae-negative O146:H28 strain with stx2b, a Stx subtype usually associated with asymptomatic carriage (143), transmitted the strain to her child, resulting in a neonatal case of HUS (148).

Other evidence on the effects of human factors include a case in Finland, where an eae-negative, stx1c-positive O78:H7 strain was isolated from the fecal samples of all five family members (91). The Stx1c subtype is most prevalent in STEC strains from sheep (24), and infections by Stx1c-producing strains tends to be mild or asymptomatic (51). Accordingly, the parents and the older siblings had no symptoms, but the 2-year-old child developed HUS. In another study, 3-year-old identical twins were infected with the same O157:H7 strain but had different outcomes; one twin developed HUS but the other did not (75). The authors speculated that differences in inoculum size may have impacted the disease outcomes. These examples suggest that human genetics and individual susceptibility can greatly contribute to disease outcomes. Hence, no STEC strain may be “without risk”; all STEC strains probably pose some health risk to some individuals but maybe not to everyone. Thus, instead of the commonly used terms such as pathogenic and nonpathogenic, perhaps STEC strains should more appropriately be designated as having a low or high health risk. Such terminologies have been proposed and advocated by others for distinguishing the health risk associated with STEC strains (88, 136).

Medical histories can reveal that a particular STEC serotype has caused severe infections and outbreaks; therefore, serotype information may be useful when characterizing the STEC health risks, but such data need to be interpreted with caution. STEC strains of serotype O8:H19 have been found in flour in the United States and are common in cattle (76), and an O8:H19 strain also was reported to have caused HUS in a boy in The Netherlands (54). Most O8:H19 strains do not have eae and can have stx1a, stx2a, or both genes, but the HUS-causing strain from The Netherlands was unusual in that it had eae and stx2f. Most of the STEC virulence genes reside on mobile genetic elements that can be transferred between strains and, as evident from various studies, strains with the same serotype can have different virulence genes and therefore can differ in their potential to cause severe illnesses. The case in The Netherlands also shows that under certain circumstances stx2f can cause severe disease, supporting the conclusion that all STEC strains can pose health risks to certain individuals. The fact that strains of the same serotype can differ in pathotype greatly complicates health risk decision making and indicates the difficulty in establishing uniform criteria that can be used to determine whether an STEC has the potential to cause severe disease. Future research may identify better traits that can be used in STEC health risk characterization, in which case the critical health risk criteria currently used will need to be modified accordingly.

**Key Points on Human and Other Factors**

1. Human factors are thought to play a role in the outcome and severity of STEC diseases, but this role is undetermined.

2. All STEC strains have the potential to cause diarrhea and pose some health risks, but those that carry certain virulence traits are regarded as high risk and can cause HUS.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. Adherence factors are critical for STEC pathogenicity.

2. The principal adherence factor in STEC is the intimin protein coded by the eae gene.

3. The AAF adhesins regulated by the aggR gene of EAEC are also an effective means for adherence.

4. Other putative adherence factor genes are saa, sab, paa, efa1, ompA, lipA, toxB, and the LAA PAI.

5. Twelve subtypes of Stx have been identified: Stx1a, Stx1c, Stx1d, Stx1e, Stx2a to Stx2g, and Stx2i, encoded
by genes \textit{stx}_{1a}, \textit{stx}_{1c}, \textit{stx}_{1d}, \textit{stx}_{2e}, \textit{stx}_{2a} \textit{to stx}_{2g}, and \textit{stx}_{2i}, respectively.
6. The gene \textit{stx}_{2a} is most often present in LEE (\textit{ede})–positive STEC and has consistently been associated with HUS.
7. The gene \textit{stx}_{2a} has also been found in \textit{ede}-negative, \textit{aggr}R-positive STEC that have caused HUS.
8. The gene \textit{stx}_{2d} in LEE-negative STEC has, to a lesser degree, been reported from HUS cases, but not all STEC strains with \textit{stx}_{2d} cause severe disease.
9. HUS cases in which other Stx subtypes were identified indicate that other factors such as host susceptibility and the genetic cocktail of virulence genes in an individual isolate may affect the association of these other Stx subtypes with severe diseases.
10. An estimated 470 STEC serotypes can produce any one or more of the 12 known Stx subtypes.
11. The number of STEC serotypes that cause human illness differs depending on the individual report and is probably >100.
12. Serotype is not a virulence factor and does not (necessarily) predict risk or virulence profile of an STEC strain but is useful in outbreak investigations and for prevalence and surveillance studies.
13. Independent horizontal gene exchanges play a major role in STEC pathogenicity and diversity.
14. Mobile DNA and horizontal gene transfer in \textit{E. coli} transfers virulence genes to other bacteria and poses an ongoing challenge to diagnostic procedures and detection methodologies and to the risk assessment of STEC findings.
15. Other diarrheagenic \textit{E. coli} pathotypes are known to acquire stx phage.
16. Other species of \textit{Enterobacteriaceae} are also known to acquire \textit{stx} phage.
17. The severity of disease outcome in infections may depend on the number of STEC cells ingested.
18. The infectious dose of STEC is suspected to be low but can differ between serotypes and strains.
19. Disease outcomes differ depending on individual susceptibility.
20. Human factors are thought to play a role in STEC diseases, but this role is undetermined.
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