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ABSTRACT
An accurate and efficient tool for the design of contoured beam reflectarrays is presented. It is based on the Spectral
Domain Method of Moments, the Local Periodicity approach, and a minimax optimization algorithm. Contrary
to the conventional phase-only optimization techniques, the geometrical parameters of the array elements are di-
rectly optimized to fulfill the far-field requirements. The design tool can be used to optimize reflectarrays based
on a regular grid as well as an irregular grid. Both co-
and cross-polar radiation can be optimized for multiple
frequencies, polarizations, and feed illuminations. Two
offset contoured beam reflectarrays that radiate a high-
gain beam on an European coverage have been designed,
manufactured, and measured at the DTU-ESA Spherical
Near-Field Antenna Test Facility. An excellent agree-
ment is obtained for the simulated and measured patterns.
To show the design tool’s ability to optimize electrically
large reflectarrays, a $50 \times 50$ square wavelengths con-
toured beam reflectarray has been designed.

Key words: Printed reflectarrays, accurate antenna anal-
ysis, method of moments (MoM), measurements, con-
toured beam, optimization, satellite antennas.

1. INTRODUCTION
Printed reflectarrays provide a way for realizing low-
cost, high-gain antennas for space applications and are
the subject of increasing research interest [1–3]. For
satellite broadcasting and telecommunication applica-
tions, the most often used antenna is the shaped reflector
antenna. Although the shaped reflector antenna is a ma-
ture technology, both in terms of manufacturing and sim-
ulation tools, they suffer from large volume and mass, as
well as high cost of manufacturing. Printed reflectarrays
on the other hand consist of a flat surface, they are light,
easy and cheap to manufacture, and can be packed more
compactly, saving volume for the launch.

To obtain a certain antenna performance, several degrees
of freedom in printed reflectarrays can be utilized, e.g.
the size [4], the shape [5–7], the orientation [8], and the
position [9, 10] of the array elements, as well as the shape
of the reflecting surface [11] of the reflectarray. An ac-
curate and efficient design procedure that is capable of
including all these parameters is a challenging task.

The conventional approach for the design of contoured
beam reflectarrays is using a phase-only optimization
technique (POT) [12, 13]. Initially, a phase-only synthe-
sis is performed to determine the phase distribution on
the reflectarray surface. The array elements are subse-
quently optimized, element by element, to match the re-
quired phase distribution. Several contoured beam reflec-
tarrays have been designed using this technique [13–15].

Although the POT is efficient, since the analysis of all
array elements at each iteration is avoided, a direct opti-
mization technique, where all the array elements are si-
multaneously optimized, may produce more optimal de-
designs. Such approaches are presented in [16, 17]. In [16],
a small contoured beam reflectarray was designed and
measured. However, significant discrepancies between
simulations and measurements were observed, and it was
concluded that further work is needed to improve the ac-
curacy of the analysis. In [17], a direct optimization tech-
nique where also the position of the array elements can
be included in the optimization is presented. The array
elements are located in a strongly distorted grid and a
full-wave Method of Moments (MoM) is used in the op-
timization. This involves a high computational burden.

In this work, we present a new direct optimization tech-
nique, which is both efficient and accurate. It is based
on the Spectral Domain Method of Moments (SDMoM)
assuming Local Periodicity (LP) and a minimax opti-
mization algorithm. The direct optimization technique
can be used for the design of reflectarrays based on a
regular grid as well as for reflectarrays based on an ir-
regular grid. To verify the accuracy of the direct opti-
mization technique, two offset contoured beam reflectar-
rays that radiate a high-gain beam on an European cov-
erage have been designed, manufactured, and measured
at the DTU-ESA Spherical Near-Field Antenna Test Fa-
cility [18]. An excellent agreement is obtained between
simulations and measurements, thus validating the direct
2. DIRECT OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE

The direct optimization technique (DOT) is based on a gradient-based method for non-linear minimax optimization.

The far-field requirements are specified in a number of far-field stations in the $u-v$ plane where $u = \sin \theta \cos \phi$ and $v = \sin \theta \sin \phi$. At each iteration, the maximum difference between realized and specified objectives are minimized. The optimization variables are the geometrical parameters of the array elements, e.g. the size and position of the array element. Both co- and cross-polar radiation can be optimized for multiple frequencies, polarizations, and feed illuminations.

To calculate the far-field during the optimization, the Floquet harmonics technique [21, Technique II] is used. It is based on the field equivalence principle and uses the scattering matrices determined from the fundamental Floquet harmonics through the SDMoM formulation. To evaluate the final optimized reflectarray, the more accurate continuous spectrum technique [21, Technique III] is used.

To ensure an accurate and yet efficient calculation of the scattering matrices, higher-order hierarchical Legendre basis functions as described in [22] are used to represent the electric currents on the array elements. These basis functions can be applied to any arbitrarily shaped array elements, and have been demonstrated to yield very accurate results [23]. The versatility of the higher-order hierarchical Legendre basis functions is an important feature in the DOT as it enables the optimization of reflectarrays consisting of non-canonical element shapes, e.g. those reported in [5–7].

To utilize the position of the array elements in the DOT, an irregular distribution of element positions is obtained through a mapping from a regular to an irregular grid. Constraints on the mapping must be enforced to ensure the area of the reflectarray surface is efficiently utilized. In [17], the edges of the reflectarray are not constrained. In our case, the edges are kept fixed to avoid any undesired increase in the antenna size.

The mapping used in this work is acquired by adding a distortion to the regular grid. Let us define $(\alpha, \beta)$ as normalized coordinates in the regular grid such $|\alpha| \leq 1$ and $|\beta| \leq 1$. The new normalized coordinates in the irregular grid is then given by $(\alpha', \beta') = (\alpha + f_x, \beta + f_y)$, where

\begin{align}
  f_x(\alpha, \beta) &= (\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1) \sum_{p=0}^{P} \sum_{q=0}^{Q} c_{pq} T_p(\alpha) T_q(\beta), \\
  f_y(\alpha, \beta) &= (\beta - 1)(\beta + 1) \sum_{p=0}^{P} \sum_{q=0}^{Q} d_{pq} T_p(\alpha) T_q(\beta).
\end{align}

Herein, $T_i$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of order $i$, and $c_{pq}$ and $d_{pq}$ are the distortion coefficients. The factors in front of the summations are boundary conditions that ensure the edges of the reflectarray are kept fixed.

The degree of the distortion is governed by the values of $c_{pq}$ and $d_{pq}$ and the order $i$. To avoid strong distortions, where array elements overlap, bounds are specified for the values of $c_{pq}$ and $d_{pq}$ and the maximum order of the Chebyshev polynomials should not exceed 4. To achieve strong irregularities, only 2-6 distortion coefficients are needed [19]. The distortion coefficients $c_{pq}$ and $d_{pq}$ are included as optimization variables to optimize the positions of the array elements.

Due to the grid distortion, the array elements are now positioned in a non-periodic lattice and the LP approach can no longer be applied. Therefore, a new unit-cell must be defined to approximate locally these non-periodic cells. Let us define the center of the distorted cell as the intersection of the two diagonal lines of the distorted cell. The array element is rotated to orient in parallel of the bisector lines of the two diagonal lines of the distorted cell, and located at the center of the distorted cell. This is illustrated in Fig. 1a, where the diagonal and bisector lines are shown as the solid and dashed lines, respectively. Now, we define an equivalent square cell with the same area as the distorted cell. The equivalent cell has the same center as the distorted cell and is oriented parallel to the bisector lines in the distorted cell. This is shown in Fig. 1b. The equivalent cell is used in the LP computations to calculate the scattering matrices. It was shown in [19] that the accuracy of the LP approach for the analysis reflectarrays with irregularly positioned array elements is very good, even for the cross-polar radiation. Consequently, the LP approach can be used to analyze and optimize reflectarrays with regularly positioned as well as irregularly positioned array elements.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{An example of (a) a distorted cell and (b) its equivalent square cell.}
\end{figure}
To circumvent the calculation of the scattering matrices of all array elements at each optimization iteration, the scattering matrices are calculated in advance and stored in a look-up table, which is accessed during the optimization. For a given frequency and substrate, the scattering matrices depend on: illumination angles, geometry of the array element, and unit-cell dimensions. For the reflectarrays presented in this paper, we have found that a sufficient accuracy can be obtained by using approximately $N_{el} = 60$ patch samples, $N_{inc} = 60$ incident angles, and $N_{cell} = 50$ different unit-cell sizes, yielding a total of $N_{total} = N_{el} N_{inc} N_{cell} = 60 \cdot 60 \cdot 50 = 180000$ scattering matrix calculations per frequency. The computation time on a standard laptop computer is approximately one hour. The look-up table can be reused and needs only to be recalculated if another substrate or frequency is used.

For large reflectarrays, where the number of array elements exceeds 10000, the number of optimization variables becomes prohibitively large and the computational burden of the optimization is too high to be run on a standard laptop computer. Thus, to reduce the number of optimization variables, cubic splines have been included in the DOT to represent the sizes of the array elements $s(x, y) = \sum_i \sum_j b_{ij} B_i(x) B_j(y)$. (2)

Herein, $s(x, y)$ describes the sizes of the array elements at coordinates $(x, y)$, $b_{ij}$ are the spline coefficients, and $B_i(x)$ and $B_j(y)$ are the cubic splines. The spline coefficients $b_{ij}$ are the optimization variables used to optimize the sizes of the array elements. However, the variation of the dimensions of the array element over the reflectarray surface can have discontinuities when the scattered phase is required to jump after a complete $360^\circ$ cycle. Such discontinuities are hard to represent using splines. As a result, a design obtained using splines is inferior compared to a design where the array elements are directly optimized (unless the number of splines and array elements are equal). It is expected the spline representation can be improved if a periodic mapping between $s(x, y)$ and the sizes of the array elements is used such the discontinuities can be taken into account. This is subject to on-going work. Nevertheless, the current spline implementation can be used to generate a design, which can be used as a starting point for the more rigorous optimization where the array elements are directly optimized. In this way, the number of optimization iterations, and hence the overall computation time, that is needed for the rigorous optimization, can be reduced.

For more details on the analysis methods and the look-up table used in the DOT, the reader is referred to [21, 23–25].

3. VALIDATION BY MEASUREMENTS

To validate the DOT, two offset contoured beam reflectarrays that radiate a high-gain beam on an European coverage with cross-polar suppression within the same coverage and sidelobe suppression within a southern African contour have been designed, manufactured, and measured at the DTU-ESA Spherical Near-Field Antenna Test Facility, see Figure 2. The coverages seen from the longitude $0^\circ$ geostationary orbital position are shown in Figure 3. The reflectarray parameters are summarized in Table 1 with respect to the coordinate system depicted in Figure 4.

3.1. Reflectarray Samples

The mask layout of the two reflectarray samples are shown in Figure 5.
Table 1. Reflectarray Sample Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>10 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflectarray dimension</td>
<td>600 mm × 600 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>50 × 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative permittivity</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_r = 3.66$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss tangent</td>
<td>$\tan \delta = 0.0037$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate thickness</td>
<td>$h = 0.762$ mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed distance</td>
<td>$d_f = 0.6$ m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed offset angle</td>
<td>$\theta_i = 30^\circ$, $\phi_i = 0^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main coverage</td>
<td>European coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-polar suppression</td>
<td>European coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidelobe suppression</td>
<td>Southern African coverage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Reflectarray geometrical parameters.

polarizations, V and H, at 10 GHz. The reflectarray in Figure 5b, sample II, is optimized with the same optimization goals as for sample I, but only V-polarization and also at 10 GHz. However, the sample is an irregular design where the array elements are positioned in an irregular grid. For this design, 10 distortion coefficients are included in the optimization.

For both samples, a corrugated horn with a taper of $-17.5$ dB at $30^\circ$ at 10 GHz is used as feed. The feed has been measured at the DTU-ESA-Spherical Near-Field Test Facility, and its measured pattern is used in the optimization.

The reflectarrays samples were measured for both V- and H-polarizations at a series of frequencies from 9.6 GHz to 10.5 GHz. For the peak directivity, the measurements have a 1σ uncertainty of 0.05 dB.

3.2. Simulations Versus Measurements

In Figure 6 and Figure 7, the radiation patterns of sample I and II for V-polarization at 10 GHz are shown. It is seen that both antennas radiate a high-gain beam on the European coverage. For sample I, which is optimized for dual-polarization, a minimum co-polar directivity of 26.5 dBi is obtained, whereas sample II, which is optimized for a single polarization, has a minimum directivity of 27.3 dBi. The measured cross-polar radiation has been successfully suppressed below 0 dBi for both samples. It is also seen for sample II in Figure 7b that the co-polar radiation on the southern African coverage has been suppressed below 3 dBi. This is however not the case for sample I where the co-polar radiation within the southern African coverage is higher than expected. During the design of sample I, the expected isolation level was above 25 dB, but the measurements showed an isolation level around 17 dB. The source of error was found to be an inadequate number of basis functions used in the SDMoM to characterize the electric currents on the patches during the design process. The analysis was not entirely converged in the entire forward hemisphere and resulted in a non-optimum design. By increasing the number of basis functions, we obtain the results shown in Figure 6.

A comparison of the solid and dotted lines, in Figure 6 and 7, shows an excellent agreement between simulations and measurements, where the high gain curves practically coincide. Also the accuracy for the lower levels is very
Figure 6. Simulated (solid) and measured (dotted) radiation patterns of sample I for V-polarization at 10 GHz, (a) co-polar pattern and (b) cross-polar pattern.

Figure 7. Simulated (solid) and measured (dotted) radiation patterns of sample II for V-polarization at 10 GHz, (a) co-polar pattern and (b) cross-polar pattern.

good. This is the case for both samples I and II. The performance of the samples are summarized in Table 2. It is seen that the peak and minimum directivity within the European coverage are perfectly predicted for both samples in both polarizations. Even for the XPD and the isolations level, which are approximately 30 dB below the co-polar peak, the accuracy is good. The accuracy for the other measured frequencies is also very good; in all cases the maximum difference in the minimum co-polar directivity is 0.1 dB.

These excellent agreements between simulations and measurements for the presented reflectarrays are similar
to those obtained for conventional shaped reflector antennas, and clearly demonstrates the accuracy of the proposed DOT.

4. DESIGN OF LARGE CONTOURED BEAM REFLECTARRAY

To demonstrate the DOT’s ability to design electrically large reflectarrays, an offset $1.5 \times 1.5$ m² contoured beam reflectarray that radiates a high-gain beam on the European coverage in the frequency range $9.5 - 10.5$ GHz is designed. At the center frequency 10 GHz, the dimension of the reflectarray corresponds to $50 \times 50 \lambda_0$, with $\lambda_0$ being the free-space wavelength. It is optimized for both V- and H-polarizations including cross-polar suppressions within the European coverage. A linearly polarized Gaussian beam with a taper of $-25$ dB at 30° is used as feed and square patches positioned in a regular grid are used as array elements. A scattering matrix look-up table for frequencies $f = 9.5$, 10, 10.5 GHz has been calculated. The geometrical parameters are summarized in Table 3.

Initially, a reflectarray optimized using $50 \times 50$ splines is designed. A minimum co-polar directivity of 27.5 dBi within the European coverage is achieved in the specified frequency range for both polarizations. This design is subsequently used as the starting point for the final design where all patches are directly optimized. The final layout of the reflectarray is shown in Figure 8 and the performance summarized in Table 4. It is seen that the minimum directivity is above $28.3$ dBi in the $9.5 - 10.5$ GHz frequency range for both polarizations, and that the minimum XPD is around $27.0$ dB. It is expected that the XPD levels can be improved if a reduction in the minimum directivity is allowed. At 9 GHz and 11 GHz, which are outside of the specified frequency range, the minimum directivity drops several dBs, thus demonstrating that the reflectarray has been successfully optimized to operate in the specified frequency range. Compared to the two previous reflectarray samples, the minimum directivity is improved by less than 2 dB, even though the antenna area is more than 4 times larger. This is expected as this design is a multi-frequency design, and that the minimum directivity for contoured beam antenna scales differently with respect to the antenna size than pencil beam antennas [26].

Due to the large electrical size of the reflectarray, the far-field requirements are specified in many far-field stations within the coverage [27]. With the cross-polar suppression, dual polarization, and three frequencies included in the optimization, the total number of far-field samples in the optimization is approximately 8200. This, together with $110 \times 110$ optimization variables, is rather demanding, resulting in an overall optimization time slightly below 20 hours using an 1.86 GHz 8 core Intel Xeon processor computer. Compared to POS for the design of shaped reflectors, the overall computation time is still high, and techniques to reduce this is currently being investigated.

Although square patches are used in this design, a 10% bandwidth is still achieved. However, it is expected that an improved performance can be obtained by using more advanced array elements e.g. rectangular patches or those reported in [6].

5. CONCLUSION AND ON-GOING WORK

An accurate and efficient direct optimization technique (DOT) for the design of contoured beam reflectarrays is presented. It is based on the Spectral Domain Method of Moments, Local Periodicity approach, and a minimax optimization algorithm. Contrary to the conventional phase-only optimization techniques, the geometrical parameters of the array elements are directly optimized to fulfill the contoured beam requirements. To ensure an accurate and efficient optimization procedure, higher-order hierarchical Legendre basis functions are used together with a fast yet accurate far-field calculation technique. The DOT can be used to design reflectarrays based on a regular grid as well as an irregular grid. Both co- and cross-polar radiation can be optimized for multiple frequencies, polarizations, and feed illuminations.

To show the accuracy of the DOT, two offset contoured beam reflectarrays that radiate a high-gain beam on an

---

**Table 2. Measured Versus Simulated Data at 10 GHz**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Peak directivity (dBi)</th>
<th>Min. directivity (dBi)</th>
<th>XPD (dB)</th>
<th>Min. isolation (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meas. (V)</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sim. (V)</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meas. (H)</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sim. (H)</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Peak directivity (dBi)</th>
<th>Min. directivity (dBi)</th>
<th>XPD (dB)</th>
<th>Min. isolation (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meas. (V)</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sim. (V)</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meas. (H)</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sim. (H)</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 3. Reflectarray Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>$9.5 - 10.5$ GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflectarray dimension</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 1.5$ m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>$110 \times 110$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative permittivity</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_r = 3.66$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss tangent</td>
<td>$\tan \delta = 0.0037$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate thickness</td>
<td>$h = 1.524$ mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed distance</td>
<td>$d_f = 1.5$ m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed offset angle</td>
<td>$\theta_f = 30^\circ$, $\phi_f = 0^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main coverage</td>
<td>European coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-polar suppression</td>
<td>European coverage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Performance of $50 \times 50 \lambda_0^2$ Reflectarray Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>Min. Directivity (dBi)</th>
<th>Min. XPD (dB)</th>
<th>Min. Directivity (dBi)</th>
<th>Min. XPD (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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European coverage have been designed, manufactured, and measured at the DTU-ESA Spherical Near-Field Antenna Test Facility. Excellent agreements are obtained for the simulated and measured patterns, showing accuracies that are comparable to those obtained for shaped reflectors. To demonstrate the DOT’s ability to optimize electrically large reflectarrays, a $50 \times 50$ square wavelengths dual polarized contoured beam reflectarrays has been designed.

Several further developments of the DOT are on-going and worth mentioning. First, the orientation of the array elements can be added as optimization variables and exploited as extra degrees of freedom with the aim of improving the performance. This can also be used for the design of circularly polarized reflectarrays. Second, only square patches on a single layer substrate with rectangular rim are presented in this work. The DOT is applicable for more advanced and broadband elements, but will also allow multi-layer configurations with circular or elliptical rim. Finally, techniques for reducing the overall optimization time are currently being investigated.


