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Abstract  This annex provides a description of the sensor schemes and the non-destructive testing (NDT) methods that have been investigated in this project. Acoustic emission and fibre optic sensors are described in some detail whereas only the key features of well-established NDT methods are presented. Estimates of the cost of different sensor systems are given and the advantages and disadvantages of the different schemes is discussed.
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Preface

This report is Annex B of the reports of the pre-project "Grundlag for fjernovervågning af vindmøllelinjes tilstand (Fase I: Forprojekt)", supported by PSO-funding through Elkraft System, contract no. Bro-91.055, FU nr. 1102. The project was performed within 12 month 2001-2002 in collaboration between Risø National Laboratory (project leader), DELTA, Sensor Technology Center A/S, Force Technology, InnospeXion and LM Glasfiber. The project is reported in a summary-report and in some Annexes (A-F).

The title of the summary report is:


The titles of the annexes are:


1 The basis of Acoustic Emission monitoring in Polymer Matrix Composites

Malcolm McGugan, Risø National Laboratory

1.1 Introduction

Here, the general process of detecting stress wave emission in polymer matrix composites is described. There follows some initial work detailing the application of such a detection system on a wind turbine blade. A proposed architecture is chosen and its advantages and disadvantages are discussed. Likely advances in sensor type, analysis technique and display modes are put forward as they relate to the topic of structural monitoring and repair of large and remote composite structures.

1.2 Sensing Acoustic Emission

When certain dynamic processes occur rapidly in or on the surface of a material some of the energy which is released generates elastic stress waves; we might say vibrations within the material. These stress waves propagate from the source and eventually reach the surface, so producing small temporary surface displacements. In most cases the stress waves are of too low an amplitude and/or too high a frequency to be audible. However, sensitive transducers can detect the very small surface accelerations produced by the waves. As generally the signal does not fall in the human audible range, acoustic emission (AE) is a misnomer and the alternative term stress wave emission (SWE) is a more accurate description of the phenomenon, both are used but acoustic emission is the expression in more widespread use.

The most common variety of transducers for AE monitoring are piezoelectric sensors that convert the accelerations at the surface into an electrical signal. Broadband piezoelectric transducers respond to all the frequencies of the stress wave and return a signal which closely replicates the small-scale motion of the surface. Resonant piezoelectric transducers are left undamped and free to "ring" at their resonant frequency (or frequencies). For detecting SWE in large structures, the resonant transducers are more common as they can detect events much further away.

The electrical signal obtained from the transducer is amplified. An idealised signal is shown in Figure 1, such as might be returned from an undamped (resonant) piezoelectric crystal transducer as the result of a single surface displacement resulting from a micro-damage event (fibre snap or matrix crack).
1.3 Interpreting the waveform

In research applications it is often desirable to record the entire AE waveform for close study. However, where many such waveforms are generated, and certainly in a structural health monitoring system, it is more common to simply record some of the characteristics of each event (or "hit").

In order to do this the operator of the AE monitoring system must define certain parameters and among these will be a threshold voltage. Once the signal from the sensor exceeds this threshold the system registers it as the beginning of a "hit", the number of times the threshold is exceeded in this way during one AE event is known as the "hit-count". The end of the hit is defined by the system when the sensor signal drops below the threshold voltage and does not exceed it again for a set period of time (the hit definition time, also an operator defined property).

In addition to simply recording the occurrence of an AE hit, there are many characteristics of each hit that can be recorded by the system. It may be chosen to record (for example) the peak amplitude, the event duration and/or the hit count, the rise time and/or counts to peak, the "energy" of the hit (related to the area under the waveform), the waveform frequency analysis and so on.

It is of course by analysing such data and the way in which it changes that the operator of such a system attempts to discern valuable information about the condition of the material in question. For example when failure will occur as a test progresses or whether a defect is present as a proof load is increased or if a repair is necessary as a structure ages.

1.4 Acoustic emission in polymer matrix composites

Polymer Matrix Composite materials (PMCs) have many characteristics which make them very suitable for monitoring with AE equipment. PMCs under load emit a huge number of transient stress waves that can be detected by AE sensors and analysed to give information about the material. The sensors permit us to "listen to the sounds" of (among other modes of active damage) cracks growing and fibres snapping. These small-scale (microdamage) events are detectable long before a macroscale "crack" in the material has developed, and so the potential exists for AE to be used as a non-destructive technique to locate defects and damaged areas in structures before they become threatening to the structure integrity. These defective and/or damaged areas will emit significantly more

---

Figure 1. Idealised representation of an AE signal
under load (that is more frequently and at higher amplitudes) than surrounding "good" material.

The AE sensors also detect the larger stress wave emissions resulting from structurally significant damage events in PMC materials, events such as interface failures, delaminations, impacts and adhesive failure. These macro-scale events result also in distinctly different waveforms compared to the micro-scale events. Often much higher amplitudes and, more significantly, longer duration waveform types are observed.

1.5 Zonal and time of flight localisation of the stress wave source

The localisation of events by AE sensors can be done most simply with a zonal array of sensors. In this location mode the first sensor to detect the "hit" is the zone to which that hit is ascribed. This array allows the greatest material area to be covered by the fewest available sensors.

But it is also most common to construct a linked array of sensors and use time of flight measurements to triangulate the source of the event. A hit that is detected by at least two sensors can be assigned a linear location between the two. A hit must be detected by at least three sensors on a surface to be assigned a planer location. This is called passive mode detection and localisation and is illustrated in Figure 2.

---

Figure 2. SWE detection and localisation using time of flight measurements in a three-sensor array.

The piezoelectric crystals used in AE sensors convert the accelerations at the surface they are in contact with into an electrical modulation. This phenomenon also applies in reverse so that a pulsed electrical signal connected to the crystal results in a corresponding pulsing of the crystal. It is therefore possible to use one AE sensor in pulse mode to transmit stress waves through the material to the other sensors in the array. A damaged area of material between the pulse sensor and the receiving sensor will alter the shape of waveform generated, with respect to the template waveform expected when transmitting between the two sensors. This is called active mode detection and localisation (or acousto-ultrasonics) and has been demonstrated in both bulk and sandwich PMC structures [1+2]. The general process is illustrated in Figure 3.
1.6 Mounting sensors

A piezoelectric sensor with an integral preamplifier and resonant response at 150kHz is likely to be the most suited for general structural health monitoring applications in polymer matrix composite structures. This is a standard sensor type and would have the following specifications,

Electrical specifications
(enclosed crystal for RFI/EMI immunity)
Gain 40dB ±1dB
Peak sensitivity 30dB re 1V/µBar
Noise (RMS rti) <1.0µV
Dynamic range >80dB
Output voltage >15Vpp into 50Ω
Power required 28V at 20mA

Physical specifications
Weight 75gm
Dimensions 2.86cm x 2.92cm
Connectors BNC
Case material SS
Contact shoe Ceramic

Environmental specifications
Temperature -45°C to +80°C
Pressure withstands <400psi hydrostatic pressure

Figure 3. Active mode detection and localisation (acousto-ultrasonics) in a three-sensor array.
It is most likely, if it was to be mounted permanently, that the sensor would be protected by a casing. This casing would be bonded to the structure and would hold the sensor tightly against the material surface.

1.7 Alternative sensor types

The most common sensor type used in when monitoring stress waves in materials is based on a surface mounted piezoelectric crystal. However, there are many other sensors that exist that either use alternative methods for detecting stress wave activity or use piezoelectric materials in different ways. Depending on the application these alternative sensor types may be more attractive than the "traditional" sensor type.

**Thin film sensors**

Besides the brittle quartz and polycrystal materials, there are also polymers that show piezoelectric effect. Of these, PVDF (Polyvinylidene Fluoride) is an example of an efficient material for sensors. These materials have the advantages of flexibility, high mechanical strength, dimensional stability, a high and stable piezoelectric coefficient and ease of handling. PVDF can be bonded as a smart layer (25-110µm thick) over composite materials and used as an actuator and a sensor for ultrasonic inspection [3].

**Piezoelectric composite materials (PCMs)**

Piezoelectric composite materials are a new type of functional material combining piezoelectrics with polymers. This gives excellent opportunities for designing electrical-mechanical properties into materials. An example would be to use parallel piezoelectric ceramic rods that show orthotropic properties of piezoelectricity embedded into the matrix of a fibre composite. These rods can then be calibrated and used as strain sensors [4].

**Rolling sensors**

In some automated and moving processes (composite disbond detection, wood product defects, welding, etc.) a rolling stress wave sensor is utilised. This type of sensor has the advantage of needing no couplant, as the compliant tyre makes contact with the moving part being monitored Figure 4 [5].

**Optic based sensors**

In applications where, for example, electrical wiring is to be avoided, it may be possible to use optical fibre bragg grating lasers as acoustic wave sensors. [6+7].

**Embedded piezoelectric sensors**

Where necessary it is possible to embed piezoelectric transducers within a polymer composite lay up. These transducers (which are 270µm thick) can detect and generate stress waves within the material in the same way as the more common surface mounted ones. The advantages of having embedded sensors/wiring and therefore a "clean" structure surface must be balanced against possible disadvantages such as accessibility and any effects on the structure properties [8].
Air coupled transducer

Air coupled stress wave transducer exist using laser technology to perform non-contact monitoring [5].

Unidirectional sensors

Where only stress waves propagating from one direction are significant it may be possible to use a unidirectional sensor where off-axis signals are rejected [5].

1.8 The Kaiser effect and its exceptions

Passive mode AE monitoring responds to the growth of damage and therefore has a fundamental relationship with applied stress, an unloaded structure generally emits very few stress waves. In this respect AE is significantly different from (and complementary to) many other NDT techniques which generally detect the presence of defects, rather than the growth of new damage.

It can be stated that when a load is applied to a PMC structure there is AE as the material undergoes some small-scale, permanent deformation at regions of stress concentrations. The Kaiser effect is one of the principal tenants of AE testing and it states that if a structure is unloaded and reloaded again the material will give no further emission, until the load is taken higher than previously.

The Kaiser effect holds true often, but not universally. This is especially the case with PMC materials which commonly emit at below previous maxima, during unload and even during a steady load period. This is fortunate for those who wish to use AE monitoring to supply through-life health information on PMC structures.

In a PMC structure that experiences a steady load through its lifetime we might expect SWE due to short term changes in environment (such as temperature changes, moisture exposure, wind loading, impact, etc.) and long term changes in material properties due to ageing (such as matrix cracking, water ingress, interface failure, etc.)

A PMC structure that experiences a fatigue load will also emit SWE resulting from the phenomenon listed in the steady load case. In addition we can expect almost constant SWE from damaged areas of the structure due to the rubbing between crack faces and the friable matrix and reinforcement material during fatigue cycling. The AE monitoring system will also be able to identify the initiation and growth of new damage sites.
1.9 Problems and questions for a stress wave based monitoring system

The strongly attenuating effect of PMCs on stress waves travelling through them is in contrast with metallic materials, where stress waves can propagate large distances. The practical effect is that the range of a standard AE sensor is not very great compared to the size of a structural PMC component.

The sensoric range in resonant AE sensors is dependant on the particular resonant frequency of the sensor; because, when propagating through a PMC material, high frequency signals are attenuated more quickly than low frequency signals. That is the low frequency components of a stress wave will propagate further through the material before being attenuated below detectability.

A sensor resonant at 150kHz allows the creation of a zonal localisation array with a sensor spacing of 2m, or a time of flight localisation array with the sensors spaced between 0.7-1.0m apart. The frequency of 150kHz is common as this gives a good compromise between noise rejection and sensor spacing. A sensor resonant at 60kHz will allow a much wider spacing but will respond strongly to unwanted "noise" signals, which are commonly low frequency dominated. A sensor resonant at 300kHz might never respond to "noise" signals, but will respond only to AE from failures that occur a matter centimetres from the sensor location.

One aspect of PMC materials is that changes in properties are expected through the lifetime. These changes can be short term (and to some extent reversible) such as temperature and water exposure, or long term (and generally irreversible) ageing due to material degradation, matrix cracking, interface failure and so on. These changes in properties will also affect the attenuation characteristics of PMC material.

There are many different failure modes associated with PMC material, transverse cracking, splitting, fibre snapping, fibre pull out, delamination and so on. It is certain that each of these different dynamic failure modes result in different SWE waveform characteristics. It can be proposed that a Structural Health Monitoring System (SHMS) which distinguishes between these failure modes would be very desirable.

The problem here relates to the fact that the waveform received by the sensor depends heavily on the propagation distance. A further complication is due to the anisotropy of PMC materials, the received waveform is also highly dependant on the orientation of SWE source, sensor and reinforcement direction. Therefore it may prove to be impossible to distinguish the subtle differences in the source waveform characteristics following propagation through such an attenuating and complex material. However larger differences, such as those between micro- and macro-scale events, may be easier to distinguish. In this case failure type identification might best be achieved with source location and a knowledge of the structure design.

In addition to the problems specific to PMCs, there are also those common to any SHMS based on AE monitoring. These include the exclusion of "noise" sources from the record and the identification of the correct data handling procedure in the face of so much potentially recordable information.

1.10 Detecting stress wave emission in wind turbine blades

The goal of the main report "Fundamentals for remote structural health monitoring of wind turbine blades - a pre-project", of which this document is an annex
(Annex B), investigates the possibility of using structural health monitoring sensors on large, offshore wind turbine blades. Establishing the technical details of such a systems architecture is beyond the scope of this initial investigation. However, it may be germane to describe systems that exist presently and consider their advantages and disadvantages as well as possible future developments. In this way the scale of the challenges facing future work can, to some extent, be determined. In this section of the annex a Can-bus system architecture is proposed here as one which may be considered in future work as a possible template for a structural health monitoring system in wind turbine blades.

1.11 The Can-bus system, integrated sensors and advanced information display

The diagram in Figure 5 shows a structure architecture possible using a Can-bus line with distributed microcontrollers linked in and running sensors attached to the blade structure. The sensors required are assumed to be resonant at 150kHz and have integral preamplifiers. The waveforms obtained require conversion from analogue to digital with a sampling frequency of 1MHz. The microcontroller then takes the information rich waveform signal, calculates relevant characteristics and stores the data for each one. This information is relayed along the Can-bus line and transmitted to a remote computer.

It has been assumed here that the microcontrollers and A/D convertor are distributed along the blade. Placed together, these components are not large and so this is easily possible (weight: 100gm size: 120 x 80 x 40mm). The distributed design reduces cable lengths and the likelihood of total loss of function in the event of an accident. However the preamplifiers in the sensors are powerful enough to boost signals along the length of the blade and so the microcontrollers could just as easily be positioned in the hub.

One of the major strengths of such a system is that the remote computer system can also communicate with the microcontrollers. This means the function of the microcontroller can be changed “on-line” by an operator back in the office. These changes could include (among many others that may be imagined) the following operations.

\[ \text{Monitored Structure} \]

\[ \text{Figure 5. A generalised Can-bus system schematic for remote monitoring of a structure.} \]
1.12 A Structural Health Monitoring System based on Acoustic Emission

A SHMS could be implemented as shown in Figure 5. We envisage 20 transducers in order to comply with the required 1 m spatial resolution. The AE transducers are glued to the inside of the glass fiber shell. Each transducer is fitted with a dedicated digital signal processor, which incorporates an analog to digital converter. A microcontroller collects and transmits data from up to 16 transducers. A cost estimate for this set-up is given in section 7.

- Increasing sensitivity until ambient noise signals are detected by the sensors, this would be a form of systems check.
- Altering the waveform characteristic set that is recorded.
- Installing entirely new waveform transformations or operations.
- Increasing update speed during extreme weather.
- Using a sensor in “pulse mode” for active sensing.
- Requesting entire waveform samples for analysis.

The Can-bus line and microcontrollers are also available for other sensors and functions of the blade. These could include de-icing functions, accelerometer signals, strain readings, temperature readouts and motor functions. Again the limit is only imagination and the systems present on a future structure.

It is also worth including the observation that the entire suite of functions present would also be available to on-site inspection teams. An operator could use a laptop computer to communicate directly with the blade structure he wishes to examine and get real time information to speed up inspection times and defect diagnosis.

Such a system has the potential to significantly reduce inspection effort where large numbers of structures are involved. It is also likely that an inspector with such a system could be more rapidly trained to a point where they were competent for independent off site inspection than is currently the case.

Further enhancements possible for future offshore inspectors interacting with advanced, "smart" wind turbine structures include the use of AR (Augmented Reality) displays to create an intuitive and immediate view of the structure, its defects and their repair strategies. Smart structures and augmented reality displays are likely to become increasingly common within the next twenty years. Basic systems exist now for surgeons, repair people, soldiers, tourists and computer gamers [8].

1.13 Fabrication issues and sensor distribution

Installing a sensor network within a mass produced structure such as a wind turbine blade will involve some degree of additional work during the fabrication process. Ideally this "extra" work will be minimised. The blades consist of two large shells and an internal arrangement of stiffening beams and stringers. It can be proposed that the Can-bus line and microcontrollers are mounted on the internal spar and the sensors arranged so that they can be attached and tested during an additional stage of fabrication before the two shells are closed. It is impossible to determine whether this would in fact be a suitable method or not without more detailed information about the scope of the monitoring system (briefly discussed later) and the specific hardware involved. Work following on from this pre-project must examine system issues (including fabrication) far more vigorously than has been attempted here.
Throughout this project we have assumed a 40m standard blade length. A piezoelectric stress wave sensor resonant at 150kHz will only have a sensoric range of 0.7-1.0m when detecting the microcracking in composites that are the precursors to delaminations, cracking and other visible/repairable damage. Sensor spacing greater than 2m will therefore leave areas where such microcracking damage would not be detected.

We can illustrate the effect of sensor detection range on sensors numbers required in the following simple way. For point sensors, two key parameters are the maximum allowable undetected damage size, \( D \), and the sensoric range, i.e., the radius, \( R \), of the area (assumed to be circular in-plane) in which the sensor detects damage. Assuming all sensors placed in a single row along the entire blade length, \( L \), the number of sensors required are:

\[
N = \frac{L - D}{2R + D}
\]

Figure 6 shows \( N \) calculated as a function of the undetected damage size for two values of the sensoric range and \( L = 40 \) m. It is seen that the number of sensors required depends strongly on both \( R \) and \( D \).

A sensor spacing of under 2m would result in complete blade coverage in a zonal sensor array, but in order to obtain the high accuracy (1cm) source location possible using time of flight measurements in a planer location array, every point on the blade must be no further than 1m away from 3 sensors! It is obvious, therefore, that to ensure complete coverage of such a huge structure a very large number of sensors would be required and this is a problem that must be addressed. (The equations required to determine sensor numbers in a time of flight array are more complex than the zonal situation illustrated in Figure 5, but the principles are exactly the same.)

One reasonable solution to this problem is to restrict the monitored area to a specific part of the blade and so reduce the number of sensors required. It might
be decided, for example, to only have sensors along the trailing edge, or along
the central spar, or around the blade root, or at the mid-blade area, and so on.

Another solution that may prove to be satisfactory is to restrict the number of
sensors to a set number. These sensors are then “zoned” along the length of the
blade. A profile of the stress wave activity along the blade can be produced that
may be very useful in speeding up scheduled blade inspections, but it is ac-
cepted that some areas of the blade are not being covered by the monitoring sys-
tem.

Further solutions are more advanced and it may not be feasible to attempt
them in a large structure until the practice of health monitoring with these sen-
sors is more thoroughly developed. These include the use of alternative sensor
types such as smart piezoelectric layers, multi-resonant sensor heads and mobile
sensors; as well as advanced analysis functions such as signal relevant risetime
and duration calculations, partial energy frequency analysis and pre-signal in-
terpretations. These future solutions are briefly mentioned in the remaining sec-
tions of this document.

1.14 Sensor adaptation

Smart layers

Developments in polymer type piezoelectric layers may make it possible to
cover a structure surface with an inbuilt non-destructive sensing technique using
passive and active acousto-ultrasonics to detect the evolution of damage and the
presence of defects.

Multi-resonant sensor heads

Sensors resonant at different frequencies respond to different components of the
broad bandwidth signals emitted by damaged polymer composites. At low fre-
quencies (60kHz) sensors have a greater range, but are more influenced by
noise sources. At higher frequencies (300kHz) the sensor detection range is
poor, but noise rejection is perfect. 150kHz is a compromise between these ex-
tremes. A sensor that could change its resonant frequency could also adapt its
function, using low frequency resonance to give the greatest sensoric range, mid
frequency resonance to track down local source location and high frequency
resonance to interpret damage type without noise interference.

Mobile sensors

This ability would be of greatest benefit where the sensor itself was mobile over
the structure surface. Such a system would probably require a system of rails or
tracks to be incorporated into the internal shell stiffening of the blade, needless
to say this is likely to entail some major design work! Rolling, dry contact sen-
sors exist for composite materials (Figure 4) and would need to have the ability
to move along their track with the position controlled by the Can-bus microcon-
troller. In theory, a truly free roaming sensor would be capable of monitoring an
entire blade surface on its own and accurately determining location and diag-
nosing damage sources (this assumes that load cycle $N$ is roughly comparable to
load cycle $N+1$ and $N-1$, where $N$ is any in-situ load cycle). However even
where a sensor is only mobile along a 5m long rail the effective sensoric range
of the sensors is massively increased and, as we have discussed (Figure 6), this
is the main obstacle to full structure monitoring with a reasonable number of
sensors.
1.15 Advanced analysis

Signal relative threshold calculation

In order to calculate waveform characteristics such as risetime and duration it is necessary to establish a threshold voltage. Most systems use a threshold value chosen and entered by the operator. However, this results in values for risetime and duration which are more influenced more by the operator input than by the signal waveform they are intended to represent. If a system calculated the threshold independently for each signal waveform received (for example designating threshold as equal to a quarter of the signals peak amplitude) it would give a more meaningful value for risetime and duration. An accurate and signal dependant risetime characteristic could be of great benefit in calculating distance from source information from a single hit. This is because the stress wave energy travels at different speeds, and so the signal becomes more dispersed the further it has travelled. When plotting risetime of a stress wave against source distance using standard AE equipment it is common to see a general increase in signal risetime with distance from source. However the slope is not linear and increases in a step wise manner. By altering the method of determining risetime a linear relationship with source distance is anticipated.

Partial power frequency analysis

Each waveform has a frequency spectra associated with it. This frequency spectra can be analysed and segmented into portions as shown in an example below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P1</th>
<th>10-30kHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>30-80kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>80-160kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>160-400kHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By calculating the energy content for each of these portions of the frequency spectra, a system of partial powers (where total energy in the spectrum = 1) for each waveform detected can be generated. This information has the potential to give even more information about the event that caused the stress wave and therefore improves the value of the sensor system.

For example, by plotting P3 against P1 for each hit detected by a sensor, the following type of graph would be created (Figure 7).

![Figure 7. A partial powers graph showing the quadrants where certain types of hit are expected.](image-url)
Hits clustering in a certain quadrant of the graph suggest the following causes.

A  Friction sources (most energy at low frequencies)
B  Matrix cracking (most energy in high frequencies)
C  A small event
D  A large event

**Modal analysis**

Many different wave modes carry the energy contained in a stress wave. If we consider a simple case of a flexural mode travelling through a material plate we can imagine that a surface mounted piezoelectric sensor will detect it directly. For an extensional mode however the surface sensor is activated only due to the Poisson's ratio of the material. The flexural mode can be considered to be high amplitude and slow moving relative to the fast moving but low amplitude signal caused by the extensional mode.

A signal waveform displayed by an acoustic emission system often contains a "pre-blip" prior to the main signal that triggers the data recording. The pre-signal trace is displayed due to the pre-trigger function on these oscilloscopes (Figure 8). This "pre-blip" is the faster moving, lower amplitude extensional mode of the stress wave.

![Figure 8. A damage waveform containing a "pre-blip" recorded in the pre-trigger.](image)

The position of this "pre-blip" can give extra information about the source event. The spacing between the main signal and the "pre-blip" is proportional to the distance between the sensor and the source event. The size of a "pre-blip" (or it's absence) can even give information about the orientation of the damage event relative to the sensor. A strong extensional wave mode is generated by a crack opening, but only transverse to the crack direction. If the sensor is positioned on the crack axis then no "pre-blip" signal would be present.

**1.16 Final words**

Most of the information in this annex relates to the basics of detecting stress wave emission in polymer matrix composites. The later sections suggest the use of such sensors in an integrated system for remote wind turbine blade health monitoring and then the developments in sensors, analysis and information display that may be of relevance to such a system. This information is certainly
beyond the scope of the initial preproject but it does indicates the area where future work will have to develop ideas far more vigorously than has been attempted here.

1.17 References


2 Fiber optic sensors for strain and displacement measurements

Peter Sendrup, DELTA Danish Electronics, Light & Optics
Lars Lading, Sensor Technology Center

The use of optical fiber based sensors for measurement of strain and damage is a relatively well-established technique. We shall here give a short introduction to the basic principles, present a survey of methods and published material on the subject. A dedicated sensor developed for the project is described in Annex C.

2.1 Basic principles

A typical optical fiber is illustrated in Figure 9. The fiber consists of a core and a cladding possibly with a protective coating. The refractive index of the core is slightly higher than the refractive index of the cladding. If light is focused at the end of the fiber it is so that light within a solid angle confined by

\[ \theta_a = \left( \frac{n_1}{n_2} \right)^{1/2} \]  

(1)

will propagate within the fiber. The refractive indices of the core and the cladding are given by \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \), respectively. Light impinging at an angle larger than \( \theta_a \) will escape the fiber. It is customary to characterize fibers according to the number of modes they can support. A mode is here defined as a solution to Maxwell's equations. Fibers for demanding applications are generally made of glass. However, polymer fibers can be made at a lower cost and may have advantages for short-range applications.

A single mode propagating in free space will in general exhibit a beam divergence in the far field given by the following expression

\[ \alpha = \frac{\lambda}{a} , \]  

(2)

where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the light and \( a \) is the radius of the light beam at its smallest diameter. Laser light is often confined to a single spatial mode whereas light from a thermal source will occupy a large number of modes. The number of modes that a fibre can support is given by

\[ M = \text{Const.} \left( \frac{\theta_a}{\alpha} \right)^2 . \]  

(3)

The constant depends on the polarization possibilities for the light and the specific type of refractive index variations in the fiber. Single mode fibers are preferably used for high-speed long haul transmission. Multimode fibers are generally more robust in terms of coupling light in and out. However, in several other respects they are inferior to single mode fibers.
Now, if a fiber is bent some of the light propagating in the fiber may escape. This is the principle for one of the simplest types of fiber optic sensors and in fact also the principle that has been applied in the present project.

The fiber may itself be prepared in such a way that its transmission properties are affected by displacements of the medium in which it is imbedded. The simplest type is a cut in the fibre so that a cavity (a so-called Fabry-Perot cavity) is formed between the two tips. The cavity will have characteristic optical resonance frequencies at which the transmission is high. At other frequencies the reflection is high. The resonance frequencies are changed when the spacing between the two tips is changed. This can provide for an extremely sensitive displacement detector for displacements in along the axis of the fibre. Acoustic emissions may be detected with such a sensor.

A sensor type derived from the cavity type is based on a distributed refractive index structure written in the fibre. Such fibres with imbedded gratings (called Bragg gratings) have been developed for in-line filtering of optical signals in optical communication systems. Since the optical pass-band is displaced if the fibre is subjected to strain this type of fibre grating can also be applied to strain measurements.

Other mechanical sensing methods may be based in changes in light scattering in the fibre itself as a consequence of mechanical stress or strain. Coherent scattering from density waves (which may be thermally excited) is called Brillouin scattering, whereas incoherent scattering or scattering from nonpropagating structures is called Rayleigh scattering.

### 2.2 Survey of fiber optic sensing methods

This survey is based on a number of selected papers given in the reference list. The aim has been to provide the best possible overview of the different sensor technologies. The result of the survey is presented in one large table at the end of this section. The table summarises and classifies the different sensor technologies. The classification is neither unique nor completely systematic, but it is hopefully intuitively obvious and fulfils its intention: to provide a comprehensive overview of the different sensor technologies.
The different categories of sensor types are given in the first column; ‘**Basic phenomenon/components**’. The sensor types are defined by the basic component(s) of the sensor (eg. Bragg gratings), or by the physical phenomenon lying behind the sensor principle (eg. Brillouin scattering). The number of categories becomes relatively large using this classification principle.

The content of second column, ‘**Measured parameter**’, is the physical parameter measured by the sensor. In most cases this parameter is axial strain, or temperature.

The accuracy or resolution of the parameter measured by the sensor is given in the third column, ‘**Accuracy**’. The presented values are of course rough estimates, and not based on uncertainty budgets.

Column 5 ‘**Principle**’ describes how the measured physical parameter is related to the optical properties of the basic component or to the physical phenomenon on which the sensor principle is based.

The column ‘**Techniques**’ provides key words indicating the techniques used to interrogate the sensor. The different sensor types can typically be interrogated in a number of ways.

The column ‘**Components**’ describes some of the typical components used together with the basic component to form the sensor system.

The column ‘**Applications**’ contains both actual applications and proposed applications. Many of the papers present the results of pure generic research. For some sensor categories there has therefore been no proposals for applications.

The column ‘**Comments**’ contains specific pieces of information that cannot be placed in any of the other columns.

The next two columns are ‘**Pros**’ and ‘**Cons**’. These columns are only scarcely filled out. They are only filled out if the current sensor type has properties that separate it from the rest of the sensor types in either a particularly positive or negative way.

The second last column ‘**Commercial systems**’ is even more scarcely filled out than ‘Pros’ and ‘Cons’, for the simple reason that only a few of the sensor types are commercially available in ‘ready to use’ systems.

The last column ‘**References**’, refers to the papers in the reference list describing the specific sensor type.

## 2.3 Evaluation

The commercial systems are based on following sensor types:

- **Bragg gratings** (Blue road research, Micron Optics, Smart fibres)
- **Fabry Perot** resonators (Fiso technologies)
- **Micro bend** (Navy FOSS sensor systems)

These sensor types are robust and there is a simple relation between the measured optical parameter and the requested physical parameter (strain, temperature). Micro bend sensors are cheap and the Bragg gratings are potentially cheap in large quantities. Blue Road Research has estimated a price of 20 $ for mass production in one of their application notes.

The sensor element in the ‘**Torsion stress measurement utilizing Bragg gratings**’ consists of a rod around which there is wrapped a fiber with a Bragg grating. This sensor is therefore in what could be called the ‘Bragg grating family’. The sensor type **Bragg/etalon** is based on a combination of fiber Bragg grating and a Fabry Perot etalon and it is obviously also in this family. This special combination enables a combined measurement of axial- and transverse strain.
Other sensor categories are:

- Brillouin scattering
- Rayleigh scattering
- Twisted fibers

As they are presented, they are based on relatively sophisticated measurement schemes (OTDA) that are estimated to require expensive instrumentation, but at the same time offers a small spatial resolution. These techniques are primarily addressed to the fiber optic communication industry where it is desired to inspect the conditions of several kilometre long fiber optic communication cables. Furthermore, the twisted fiber sensor can be regarded as a variant of the micro bend fiber sensor.

The ‘Interferometry’ sensor category covers two different sensors. Both use the Michelson interferometric set-up, but in one case the light source is a coherent He-Ne laser and in the other case it is a low coherent light source. In both cases it is possible to measure a change in the optical path length of the sensor element which will change when the sensor element is stretched or compressed. These set-ups are not as well proven as those listed under commercial systems.

The ‘speckle correlation’ fiber strain sensor is neither a well proven technique and the connection between strain and the measured optical parameter (the speckle pattern recorded with a CCD camera) is not physically simple. The measurement of strain using this sensor type must therefore rely on a calibration.

The micro bend sensor and the fiber Bragg grating sensor are overall concluded to be the most simple, the most well proven and potentially cheapest fiber based strain sensors. It has therefore been decided that the potential of these sensor types for detection of strain and damage in composites is investigated experimentally in this project.

At the present time a specific set-up based on a Bragg grating is selected. This set-up is available at the company Blue Road Research and details about the sensor system is given below.

It is the intention to make a very simple version of the micro bend system. The idea is that the sensor simply has to consist of a piece of single mode optical fiber with a U-bend. The U-bend is placed at a location in the composite structure where a crack is expected to appear, for instance in the adhesive layer between to parts. The U-bend is oriented so that the U is stretched when the crack appears, with the result that the transmittance increases.
2.4 A Bragg grating sensor set-up

Figure 11. Optical schematic for demodulation of a Bragg grating sensor. The dashed line indicates components within the demodulation box.

Blue road research offers different strain and temperature sensors based on Bragg gratings. Their simplest and cheapest sensor system is shown in figure 1. The price of the sensor is $7495.

The ELED is a broadband light source. The light is guided through the 50% beam splitter (coupler) towards the Bragg grating sensor. The Bragg grating reflects light at a wavelength that depends linearly on the strain of the sensor. This light returns through the upper beam splitter, passes through the lower left arm, into the lower beam splitter, where the light is equally distributed in the two optical fiber arms leading to two detectors at the detector board. The light going through the upper arm is transmitted through a chirped grating, whose transmittance depends linearly on the wavelength within a smaller wavelength region. The result is that for a light source with constant spectral optical power and a Bragg grating with a reflectance of constant magnitude, the detector signal of the upper detector depends linearly of the strain of the Bragg grating. None of these ideal requirements are fulfilled in practice and the detector signal of the upper detector therefore has to be normalised by division of the signal from the lower detector to obtain the linear relationship. The normalisation also makes the measurement relative and insensitive to variations in transmittance through the fibers to, and from, the Bragg grating and insensitive to variations in the optical power of the light source.

Because the set-up is not based on scanning it is potentially very fast. The current set-up is limited to 7 kHZ, but Blue Road Research expects to develop a 3 MHz version. The resolution is only approx. 150 μ-strain. This relatively poor resolution is caused by small ripple-like deviations from linearity in the spectral transmittance of the chirped fiber grating. Blue road Research hopes to be able to reduce the effect of the ripple by use of a fiber Bragg grating with a larger bandwidth.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic phenomenon/components</th>
<th>Measurable parameter</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Techniques</th>
<th>Components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetrical-core fibers with Bragg gratings</td>
<td>Two dimensional bending</td>
<td>0.02 m⁻¹ (ex. 2 mm vertical displacement on 1 m rod with fiber)</td>
<td>Long period grating in optical fiber with core eccentricity. Transmission spectrum changes differently when the fiber is bend up and down.</td>
<td>Components are apparently only: a PC ad-on card a fiber with Bragg gratings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bragg gratings</td>
<td>Strain/temperature/torsion</td>
<td>Strain: typically 10 microstrain</td>
<td>Reflection peak of Bragg grating shifts when fiber is either stretched or compressed</td>
<td>103: Quadrature sampling, fourier methods, interferometry</td>
<td>Erbium doped fiber, directional couplers, fiber Bragg grating, (long, short) monochromator(WDM) SLD, E-LED, Fabry Perot resonators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bragg/Etalon</td>
<td>Transverse and axial strain simultaneously</td>
<td>5 u-strain</td>
<td>ILFE is sensitive only to axial strain, the FBG to both axial and transverse strain.</td>
<td>Path matched differential interferometer (PMI)</td>
<td>In-line fiber etalon (ILFE), FBG, broadband light source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bragg/birefringence</td>
<td>Two transverse strain components, (eventually axial strain and temperature)</td>
<td>Estimated visually from graphs: a few u-strain</td>
<td>The wavelength of the reflection of the two polarization states in Bragg grating in polarization maintaining (PM) stress-induced birefringent fiber (3M) depends linearly on both components of the transverse strain.</td>
<td>Fiber (3M-PS-6121), spectrum analyzer, index matching oil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torsion stress measurements utilizing Bragg grating</td>
<td>Torsion</td>
<td>Angular resolution: a few degrees</td>
<td>The FBG is mounted on a torsion beam. Twisting the beam causes the FBG to be stretched.</td>
<td>Optical spectrum analysis</td>
<td>FBG, broad band source, optical spectrum analyzer, index matching oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brillouin scattering</td>
<td>Continuous distribution of strain/temperature</td>
<td>Spatial resolution: 5 m strain: 0.01%=100 u-strain.</td>
<td>The Brillouin frequency shift (**)(BFS) depends on the strain. A pulse is amplified by a CW laser wave if the frequency difference is equal to the BFS. Using optical time domain analysis the amplification can be spatially located.</td>
<td>OTDA, OFDA (BOFDA)</td>
<td>40 GHz photo detector low-noise-satellite -converter(LNC) spectrum analyzer, network analyzer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coherent Rayleigh scattering interferometry</td>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>1 n-strain/√Hz Spatial resolution: 0.5 m</td>
<td>Rayleigh scattering makes it possible to detect reflected light from all parts of the fiber. By use of TDM it is possible to select two specific separated reference regions. Application of strain in the region between these two regions alters the relative phase of the reflections.</td>
<td>Mach-Zender interferometry</td>
<td>Distributed feed back –laser, integrated optical chip for modulation, Ebdoped amplifier, tunable fabry perot filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coherence-tuned interrogation of elliptical-core dual mode fiber</td>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Strain alters the differential phase between the two polarization states</td>
<td>Interferometry</td>
<td>Multimode laser diode elliptical-core-dual-mode fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabry Perot</td>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>1-2 u-strain Range: 10.000 u-strains (Feo)</td>
<td>Strain affects fiber Fabry Perot cavity length.</td>
<td>Details not given</td>
<td>Fabry Perot cavity formed by separation of two fiber ends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferometry</td>
<td>Damage, Strain/temperature</td>
<td>Detection of 0.06 J impact 5 u-strain 0.35 K</td>
<td>Light reflected from the end of a short sensing fiber interferes with light from reference fiber.</td>
<td>Michelson interferometry, spectrum analysis</td>
<td>HeNe-laser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro bend</td>
<td>Strain, displacement, acc., pressure, flow, temperature</td>
<td>10⁻⁹ m/√Hz</td>
<td>The propagation loss in a microbend strain sensor depends on the applied strain. Using OTDR an abrupt change in backscattered intensity is observed at the locations of the sensors.</td>
<td>OTDR</td>
<td>OTDR-unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twisted fibers</td>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>0.01%=100 u-strain</td>
<td>The propagation loss in two twisted fibers depends on the axial strain. The location is determined by OTDR.</td>
<td>OTDR</td>
<td>Two twisted single mode fibers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speckle correlation</td>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>0.1 um abs. deformation</td>
<td>Propagation of coherent light in multimode fibers causes intensity distributions at the end similar to a speckle pattern. This speckle pattern changes with strain.</td>
<td>Interferometry between probe and reference</td>
<td>CCD-camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic phenomenon/components</td>
<td>Applications</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Pros</td>
<td>Cons</td>
<td>Commercial systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetrical-core fibers with Bragg gratings</td>
<td>Windmill and aeroplane wings, concrete constructions.</td>
<td>The fiber is placed loosely inside the construction under investigation. Only bending is measured.</td>
<td>Temperature independent measurements. 10 km detection range</td>
<td>10 gratings pr. fiber, 10 Hz detection frequency with 10 gratings</td>
<td>NKT-Research – project stopped.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bragg gratings</td>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>Ref 103: 30 kHz sampling, 3.5 u-strain. Ref 104: 22 Bragg gratings on a single fiber. Typical sample rates: 100 Hz. (*) See below for extended comments</td>
<td>+ Multiple strain measurements with same fiber + commercially available</td>
<td>- Only localized values of strain measurable - Expensive interrogation equipment - Bragg grating reflection frequency temperature dependency</td>
<td>- Blue Road Research (Complete systems) - Micron Optics (Interrogation systems) - Smart Fibres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bragg/Etalon</td>
<td>Strain detection in composite cantilever beam</td>
<td>Single point measurement</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bragg/bifringence</td>
<td>No specific suggestions</td>
<td>0.5 nm difference in wavelength between reflections of different polarization</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Blue Road Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torsion stress measurements utilizing Bragg grating</td>
<td>No specific suggestions</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brillouin scattering</td>
<td>Evaluation of installation of fiber cables. Brillouin shift: 12.1 GHz-12.4 GHz</td>
<td>Measurement of a continuous strain distribution over large distances. (3 km)</td>
<td>Low spatial and strain resolution</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coherent Rayleigh scattering interferometry</td>
<td>No specific suggestions</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>+ Redistributable measurement points</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coherence-tuned interrogation of elliptical–core dual mode fiber</td>
<td>No specific suggestions</td>
<td>Accuracy or measurement range is not reported.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabry Perot</td>
<td>Pultrusion of FRP composites Propeller blades of ships</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>+ Can be temperature compensated + Commercially available</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Fiso Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferometry</td>
<td>No specific suggestions</td>
<td>Ref 97 proposes a simple setup Ref 116,117: low coherence interferometry</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro bend</td>
<td>Damage detection Tooth spacing 1.5 mm multimode fiber</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Navy FOSS sensor systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twisted fibers</td>
<td>No specific suggestions</td>
<td>Idea: Wouldn’t it be cheaper to twist a single mode optical fiber with strands from ropes. The sensor properties could be varied through the elastic properties of the strand.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speckle correlation</td>
<td>No specific suggestions</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3 Inertial sensing

Inertial sensors detect linear acceleration or angular motion. Damages will inevitably affect the modal dynamics of a wind turbine blade. According to the results of Task 7 we note that linear acceleration may be up to 9 g and angular acceleration up to $5300^\circ/\sec^2$. Scale considerations indicate that even for larger blades similar numbers would be anticipated (lower eigen frequencies and larger amplitudes).

Linear acceleration sensors have been applied for many years in connection with R&D investigations of the dynamics of mechanical structures. Angular rate sensors (gyros) are common in navigation equipment. The equipment (both for linear and angular motion) is relatively costly (from 10,000 DKK to 100,000 DKK per channel including signal transmission and data processing). However, safety systems in automobiles require low cost accelerations sensors. The cost of single component acceleration sensors in the form of a MEMS device (Micro Electro Mechanical System with an electronic signal pre processor in the same package) are commercially available in large numbers for a few USD per unit (Analog-Devices). Angular rate sensors are also being developed for low cost applications (S_D). We have identified commercial low-cost sensors that appear to have the required specifications.

Figure 12. A wind turbine blade with estimated positions of acceleration sensors (A) and rotational rate sensors (G) for monitoring the modal dynamics of the blade.

A conceptual layout for a system could be as indicated in Figure 12. The placement is based on likely positions for acceleration – linear and rotational – for estimation of modal dynamics as given in annex F. We have here anticipated that only lower order modes have to be detected. If this hypothesis is correct then we can rely on relatively low number of sensors (here five). It is an intrinsic feature of the procedure that all extended region of the blade will provide weighted contributions to the signals. Thus, all extended regions are in principle monitored.

The signal processing and decision making has not been investigated in any detail. Here we shall only outline the principles of a processing architecture. The signals from the inertial sensors will be relatively narrowband. If damage occurs both frequency and amplitude will change. A tracking band-pass filter (e.g. implemented with a common phase-locked loop) can be used in the estimation of these processes. The demodulated signals could then be correlated with the signal from an anemometer in order to compensate for variations in wind load. The correlations would then be used as parameters in a fitting procedure using a general model for the modal dynamics of the blade. A decision-making processor would then determine if damage had occurred.

The most uncertain part of this method for damage detection is believed to be associated with the algorithms and procedures for data processing.
References

Analog Devices Inc. produce a number of low-cost accelerometers (http://www.analog.com/technology/mems/accelerometers/designTools/selectionGuides/products.html). We do not have a formal quotation on prices. However, from personal communications we have indications of prices around 1 USD for large volumes.

Systron Donner Inertial Div. manufactures true inertial gyros (that is gyros where the operation does not depend on a gravitational force) (http://www.systron.com/). Also from personal communications we have indications of a unit price of around 10 USD for volume delivery.
4 Real-time X-ray Inspection

Jørgen Rheinländer, InnospeXion Aps

Real-time radioscopy is a key technology for revelation of e.g.:
- missing glue between laminates;
- cracks and voids in the laminates;
- non-intended orientation of fibres;
- irregular lay-up (kink-band revelation).

The technology is simple, efficient and reliable (Figure 13). It involves imaging through radiation with wavelengths that requires shielding and/or proper safety distances. However, the energy needed is low and safety requirements are easily satisfied. In this context, the technology has been used to evaluate the structural homogeneity relative to artificially implemented defects.

*Figure 13. X-ray sources can be small, air cooled and easily implemented to various applications. The microfocus sources enables detection of defects less than 10 µm.*

4.1 Methodology

For the present application real time X-ray inspection (known as radioscopy) has been accomplished using a microfocus X-ray source combined with an X-ray image intensifier tube. These units have been mounted on a rail with a specially developed remote controlled positioning unit.
Figure 14. The radioscopy system comprising a compact microfocus source (right) and a real time X-ray image intensifier tube (left), mounted on a rail that in this case was positioned with the help of a crane on the rear edge of the windmill fan blade.

4.2 Objectives

The aim of this work has been to demonstrate:

(i) That real-time X-ray inspection is a viable, accurate and effective technology that can be applied for quality control during or shortly after windmill fan blade production;

(ii) That the structural revelation is of such a precision and efficiency that it can be applied to monitor the location of many cases of damage;

(iii) That the inspection allows a precise and clear depiction of the location of sensors that may be implemented to perform a remote monitoring of a windmill fan blade condition during its operation.

4.3 Detection Capabilities

X-ray based imaging technology is ideal for revelation of a variety of defects and for very demanding non-destructive measurement tasks in a production line.

The technical state of the art today is that X-ray imaging systems can be configured to meet the following demands, separately or in combination:

- Inspection speed up to 40 meters per minute, covering up to 0.5 m in width;
- Detection of defects down to a few microns;
- Detection of sample height or porosity variations down to 2-4 per cent of the total sample height;
- Novel very compact detectors and small X-ray sources enable inspection of difficult to access parts and structures.

In this project, the important imaging capabilities are:
- Ability to detect defects down to 0.1 mm in lateral (2-Dimensions) extension;
- Fast scanning capability (in this prototype case, about 1 meter per minute);
- Low X-ray energy requirement leading to very modest shielding requirements;
- Fast and easy set-up;
- Quick and easy adjustments if scanning at other angles proves necessary.

The concept used was a microfocus X-ray source combined with a 6 inch X-ray image intensifier. This was mounted on a rigid rail, as a prototype unit. The unit was moved by means of specially adapted motor drivers. Due to time constraints on the development of the motor drivers, the holding torque was not properly adjustable and hence the up-downward scanning was relatively unstable.

Figure 15. Mounting of remote controlled Non-destructive testing equipment around area of the failure, defect type 1. The X-ray source and the detecting camera is set on an inverted U-frame that again is mounted on a track for remote control. This set-up was originally developed for pipeline inspection but can as demonstrated easily be adapted for other purposes of inspection on other structures of similar dimensions.

4.4 Work Accomplished

Using the set-up described and shown previously, the inspection method has been applied to monitor the progression of artificially implemented damages to a test windmill fan blade. The tests were conducted in the Risø windmill fan blade test facility at Sparkær, in Jutland, Denmark. All tests were conducted within the framework of the Public Service Obligation (PSO) project “Remote Monitoring of Windmills”, partly sponsored by ELKRAFT AS.

The assessment was performed on a 22m long windmill fan blade, supplied by LM Glasfiber AS.
To this structure two defects were implemented, a transverse crack (herein named defect 1) and a longitudinal crack extending the length of the blade (herein named defect 2).

Prior to the implementation of these artificial defects the structure homogeneity was evaluated non-destructively by radioscopy.

Since it was assumed that the defects arising in connection with compressive loading of defect 2 would be of a de-lamination like character, the radioscopic inspection was performed only to assess the location of sensors and for assessment of the homogeneity of the structure after filling the artificial crack with glue.

In the case of defect 1, inspection of the crack development was performed at five stages of loading.

Figure 16. Example of the structural revelations made by X-radioscopy, defect 2. On this image voids in the glue can be observed. This failure of completely filling the void may be the cause of the initial delamination process. Hence any reported failure from the sensors in this exact area must be assessed with this in mind.
5 Ultrasound inspection

Jens Rusborg, FORCE Dynamics

Ultrasound is the most widely used technique for inspection of composite materials. The basic principle of the technique is that an ultrasonic wave is passed through the material. The ultrasonic wave can be reflected and/or mode converted by a defect. A transmitter transfers ultrasound waves into the material. A receiver will pick up the signal of the wave once it has passed through the material. In the simplest arrangement, the transmitter and receiver are placed on opposite surfaces of the material. The technique may also be applied with a single transmitter/receiver transducer in pulse-echo mode or with separate transmit and receive transducers placed on the same side of the material. Finally ultrasound can be applied for detection of defects by means of acousto-ultrasonics, a comparative technique, which can be applied either from one side or from both sides of the structure.

The transducers have to be moved across the surface in a controlled way to scan an area for defects.

Due to the large impedance mismatch between air and solid materials the transducers have to be coupled to the surface of the structure by liquids, gels or suitable matched materials. Coupling can be made by immersion in water, use of water jets or by a thin layer of gel.

In all cases the transmit time and/or amplitude of the ultrasound is monitored. The transit time can be used to determine the position of the defect relative to the position of the transducers. The amplitude can be used to assess the severity of the defect. The position of the defect along the surface and through the thickness can be determined. The data can be displayed in many ways. The most applied data presentations are:

A-Scan: Signal amplitude vs. transit time (the fundamental recording of the test)
B-Scan: A cross sectional view (line scan) of the material.
C-Scan: A plan view (surface scan) of the material.

For field-testing the equipment on the marked range from small manual equipment to fully automated scanning equipment. Compared to metals the attenuation within composites is not always constant for a given thickness. In these cases application of automated C-scan inspection improve the evaluation of the scanning results. Automated inspection improves also the possibilities for evaluation of the size and depth of the defects. Small manual equipment can be applied in some cases but are most useful for determination of laminate thickness and detection of delaminations in laminates with small variations in thickness and attenuation.

5.1 Detection of delaminations and cracks in adhesions

Ultrasound is today the most applied method in finding delaminations in single skin laminates. Delaminations are orientated at right angles to an ultrasonic wave propagation at normal incident into a laminate, and therefore ideally aligned for detection by ultrasonics, since they reflect the ultrasound effectively. Cracks in adhesives oriented at right angles to an ultrasonic wave propagation at normal incident are also ideally aligned for detection of ultrasound. The test
frequency depends strongly on the thickness and manufacturing process but in general the frequency range from 0.5 up to 5Mhz for GFRP structures manufactured without use of autoclave. Delaminations and cracks in the adhesives with diameters down to Ø10mm can be found by ultrasound. For field inspection the Pulse-Echo method is the most applied method. However, the thru-transmission method can also be applied on single skins or skins adhesively bonded, where there is access to both sides of the structures. The depth position of delaminations in GFRP-laminates can normally be determined with an accuracy of ± 0.5-1mm.

5.2 Detection of fibre rupture and transverse cracks through laminate

Ultrasound is not sensitive to single fibre rupture in fibre composites. In some cases multiple fibre rupture results in increased attenuation of the sound waves. However, the change in attenuation caused by multiple fibre ruptures is less than then natural attenuation variation in the laminate. However, transverse cracks through the thickness of laminates can be detected with ultrasound by means of in-plane transmission of sound waves.

Cracks in matrix

Multiple cracks in the matrix can be detected by ultrasound, due to increasing attenuation of the sound waves.

Voids

Voids and porosity generally absorb ultrasound waves and can therefore be found with ultrasound. The void content can be so high that it is difficult to inspect the laminate for other defects.

Impact damage

Impact damage on GRP skins on naval sandwich structures leaves a dent in the surface and can be well detected by ultrasound.

5.3 Field applicability

Ultrasound is widely used on composite structures in the field as well as in the laboratory. The set-up is easy and the method can be used anywhere as long as the operator can reach the area that should be inspected. The accuracy cannot be better that the grid size of the scanning. Automated scanning devices and together with suitable post processing software simplify the evaluation of the scanning.
Figure 17 Automated ultrasonic scanning equipment (PS-4 system) developed by FORCE Technology.
6 Optical Coherence Tomography

Lars Lading, Sensor Technology Center

Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) is a relatively new diagnostic tool (For an update see e.g. Reiss 2001 and for an analysis Trane, 2001). The basic principle has similarities with ultrasound detection: A focused beam is scanned over the target. The depth resolution is not obtained by a direct measurement of the time-of-flight – but by using light with a very short coherence length (1 µm – 50 µm). (A direct measurement of the time-of-flight would require femtosecond resolution) The reflected light is interferometrically compared with reference light from the same light source. By scanning the optical delay in the reference beam an image of the depth structure can be obtained. Structural elements down to 1 µm can be resolved, although typical light sources will give a resolution about 10 – 50 µm.

OCT can also be implemented in a configuration where velocity or displacements are measured. This implies that OCT can also be used for detecting acoustic emissions as well as more steady flow phenomena.

Applications have so far predominantly been for medical diagnostics (especially for ophthalmology). Several commercial systems are available. We are not aware of any commercial system for material testing. However, several investigations have been performed. NIST has applied the method for the investigation both of the fabrication of composite materials and for the detection of damages in composites (Dunkers, 2002).

Figure 18. An optical Coherence Tomography system.
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Comparing Sensors for Damage Detection in Wind Turbine Blades

Lars Lading, Sensor Technology Center

Acoustic emission sensors (AE) with piezoelectric transducers and fiber optic displacement sensors were experimentally investigated in this project. Several other sensing schemes have been considered. We have made an initial comparison of the different schemes and summarized the results in Table 1.

A complete sensing scheme based on acoustic emission could be based on 20 transducers. Commercial off-the-shelf prices for the components of the system described in section 1. is in the range of 300 to 400 thousand DKK. We anticipate that in larger volumes a reduction of at least 50% in cost is possible. The electronics is relatively expensive. This is caused by the fact that AE transducers generate a high bandwidth signal where initial signal processing is required. The preprocessing should preferably be done close to the transducers. However, placing signal processors in the turbine wings requires special precautions and is relatively expensive.

Acoustic emissions may also be detected with fiber optics: If the tip of the fiber is terminated with a tiny cavity (Fabry Perot) then the reflected signal will be perturbed by acoustic signals. Fiber systems are not readily available. However, they would make it possible to avoid both signal processors and electrical cabling in the wings.

Inertial sensors will detect acceleration (linear and or rotation). Inertial sensors may utilize MEMS (micro electro-mechanical systems) devises as transducers. However, inertial sensors may also be based on optics. This applies both to linear acceleration and rotation. MEMS devices with on-chip preprocessing have been developed for use in automobile safety systems. Thus, these devices are available at a very low price. The fiber optic counter parts are also being manufactured, but at much higher price than the MEMS devices. We have been informed that some companies are currently developing low cost versions to be used for car navigation equipment.

A dedicated fiber optic transducer was developed for this project (Annex C). Assuming that cracks in the trailing edge must be detected if they exceed 1 m we assumed that 40 transducers would be necessary. The cost of the fibers themselves could be made negligible. The rest of the transducer has been assumed to be made by mechanical processing of metal pieces. Injection molding based on polymers would provide for a much lower production cost, but a higher tooling cost.

Semiconductor strain gauges may also be applied. However, we did not find that they could form the basis for a viable sensor system. A combination of inadequate robustness, electrical wiring has (initially) disqualified them.
Table 1. Comparing different types of sensors for damage detection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Cost 10^3 DKK</th>
<th>Det. likelihood</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Robustness</th>
<th>Electrical wires in blade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AE (piezoelectric)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE fibre</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertial MEMS</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertial fibre</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement fibre</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement, semiconductor</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most important parameter is detection likelihood. By that we mean the likelihood of detecting faults that will cause a crack (damage) that is at least 100 mm long and which has not progressed to a length longer than one meter.

Cost is essential. The cost has to be so low that there is a positive return on the investment (see the cost-benefit analysis). The cost of the sensing system is based on the figures given in Table 2. The electronics in the system I relatively expensive. This Availability indicates how readily available a sensor system is. No complete system has so far been developed. However, if a convincing system architecture has been devised and all the components are commercially available the scheme has a high degree of availability.

Robustness defines how reliable the system is even under extreme conditions. Electrical wires in the turbine blades are of some concern. The presence of extended conductors in the blades may give an unacceptable damage susceptibility to lightning. Some manufacturers find electrical wires in the blades unacceptable; others will accept wires with proper precautions.

The basis for cost estimation is given in Table 2. We note that in all cases is the cost of the transducers the smallest part of the total cost. Electronics for signal conditioning in the rotor hub and possibly in the blades themselves together with the necessary communication system accounts for the most expensive part. Installation and cabling is also more expensive than the transducers.

Table 2. Estimated cost of installing a sensor system in a wind turbine with three blades. The number of transducers specified is for a single blade. It is assumed that 1000 turbines fitted with damage detection sensors are produced annually.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AE 20 transducers</th>
<th>Inertial MEMS 5 transducers</th>
<th>Inertial fibre 5 transducers</th>
<th>Displacement fibre 40 transducers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transducers</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronics in blade</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronics in hub</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central processor</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiring/cabling</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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This annex provides a description of the sensor schemes and the non-destructive testing (NDT) methods that have been investigated in this project. Acoustic emission and fibre optic sensors are described in some detail whereas only the key features of well-established NDT methods are presented. Estimates of the cost of different sensor systems are given and the advantages and disadvantages of the different schemes is discussed.