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Abstract

Alginate is a linear polysaccharide from brown algae consisting of 1,4 linked β-D-mannuronic acid (M) and α-L-guluronic acid (G) arranged in M, G, and mixed MG blocks. Alginate was assumed to be indigestible in humans, but bacteria isolated from fecal samples can utilize alginate. Moreover, genomes of some human gut microbiome–associated bacteria encode putative alginate-degrading enzymes. Here, we genome-mined a polysaccharide lyase family 6 alginate lyase from the gut bacterium Bacteroides cellulosilyticus (BcelPL6). The structure of recombinant BcelPL6 was solved by X-ray crystallography to 1.3 Å resolution, revealing a single-domain, monomeric parallel β-helix containing a 10-step asparagine ladder characteristic of alginate-converting parallel β-helix enzymes. Substitutions of the conserved catalytic site residues Lys-249, Arg-270, and His-271 resulted in activity loss. However, imidazole restored the activity of BcelPL6-H271N to 2.5% of that of the native enzyme. Molecular docking oriented tetra-mannuronic acid for syn attack correlated with M specificity. Using biochemical analyses, we found that BcelPL6 initially releases unsaturated oligosaccharides of a degree of polymerization of 2–7 from alginate and polyM, which were further degraded to di- and tri-saccharides. Unlike other PL6 members, BcelPL6 had low activity on polyMG and none on polyG. Surprisingly, polyG increased BcelPL6 activity on alginate 7-fold. LC–electrospray ionization (ESI)–MS quantification of products and lack of activity on NaBH₄-reduced octa-mannuronic acid indicated that BcelPL6 is an endolyase that further degrades the oligosaccharide products with an intact reducing end. We anticipate that our results advance predictions of the specificity and mode of action of PL6 enzymes.
Alginates are linear anionic polysaccharides present in the cell walls of brown seaweeds. They are composed of blocks of 1,4 linked β-D-mannuronic acid (M), its C-5 epimer α-L-guluronic acid (G), and of both M and G arranged in alternating or random order (Fig. 1A) (1, 2). Alginates are hydrocolloids and serve as a gelling and stabilizing agents in food and pharmaceutical products (3, 4). Moreover, alginates and alginate oligosaccharides have applications in the biomedicine and health sectors (5–7). Biofilms produced by some terrestrial bacteria, e.g. Azotobacter vinelandii and Pseudomonas aeruginosa contain alginates O-acetylated on C2 and C3 in the M blocks with low G content (8).

Humans lack alginate degrading enzymes, but certain gut bacteria, e.g. strains of the commensal Bacteroides ovatus, B. xylanisolvens and B. thetaiotaomicron can grow on and ferment alginate in vitro to form health beneficial short chain fatty acids (9–13). The population of Bacteroidetes, Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli increased in the gut of rats fed on alginate (10) and alginate oligosaccharides were bifidogenic in skim milk media (9). Little is known, however, at the molecular level on alginate breakdown and utilization in the gut beyond the demonstrated substrate specificity of a PL17 enzyme from Bacteroides eggerthii, found to be polyM specific (14). By contrast, several polysaccharide lyases (PLs) involved in alginate utilization have been described from marine bacteria, including bacteria of the Bacteroidetes phylum (15–17).

PLs are categorized in 37 families in the CAZy database (www.cazy.org), 10 of which (PL5, 6, 7, 14, 15, 17 18, 32, 34 and 36) contain alginate lyases (18, 19). Alginate lyases break the O-C4 bond to uronic acid residues through a β-elimination reaction that leads to formation of the 4,5-unsaturated sugar 4-deoxy-L-erythro-hex-4-enopyranosyluronic acid (denoted as Δ) at the non-reducing end of the released product. Alginate lyases are either endo-acting (2), initially releasing oligosaccharides which can undergo further degradation, typically to di and tri-saccharides (14, 20), or exo-acting producing the unsaturated monosaccharide 4-deoxy-L-erythro-hex-4-enopyranosyluronic acid (Δ) (Fig. 1B) (21, 22). A PL6 family enzyme has yet to be characterized from the gut niche. PL6 is multispecific and can be divided into three subfamilies (19). PL6_1 of endo- and exo-acting alginate or dermatan sulfate specific
enzymes, and PL6_2 and PL6_3, which are reported to contain only polyMG endolyases (20). Most characterized alginate lyases of PL6_1 have broad substrate specificity on polyMG and polyG (20, 23, 24) but a few e.g. Patl3640 and Pedsa0631 from _Pseudoalteromonas atlantica_ and _Pseudobacter saltans_ respectively, are strictly polyG specific (20).

With regard to three-dimensional structures, alginate lyases adopt several different folds; β-jelly roll; (α/α)_n_ toroid; and parallel β-helix and some are multimodular (21, 22, 25). PL6 displays a right-handed parallel β-helix fold similar to several other polysaccharide lyase families (21, 22, 25). The first PL6 crystal structure was determined for the single domain chondroitin B lyase from _Pedobacter heparinus_ DSM 2366 (PDB: 1OFL) that degrades dermatan sulfate (26). Recently, structures also became available for two marine bacterial alginate lyases, namely the polyG specific homodimeric, two-domain exolyase AlyGC from _Paraglaciecola chatamensis_ S18K6T (PDB: 5GKQ) that produces Δ; and the monomeric, single-domain endolyase AlyF from _Vibrio splendidus_ OU2 (PDB: 5Z9T) releasing unsaturated trisaccharides from alginites and polyG (27, 28). PL6 thus encompasses various types of specificity towards alginates as well as for dermatan sulfate, an _O_-sulfated glycosaminoglycan of alternating 1,3-β-d-galactosamine and 1,4 α-L-iduronic acid (20, 21). PL6 is proposed to have conserved lysine and arginine residues acting as catalytic residues. This is opposed to alginate lyases of other PL families in which tyrosine and histidine are identified as catalytic residues (21, 22, 25). In PL6, the negatively charged C6 carboxyl group accommodated at subsite +1 is neutralized by Ca^{2+}. This reduces the pK_a of the C5 proton facilitating its abstraction by the general base catalyst (Fig. 1B) (26, 27, 29, 30). However, a calcium-independent PL6 alginate lyase was reported recently (28). The proton abstraction can occur either in _syn_ configuration, having the C5 proton and the glycosidic oxygen of the bond to be cleaved situated on the same side of the sugar ring in the transition state, as is the case of M-specific lyases, or in _anti_ configuration when these groups are placed on opposite sides of the sugar ring, as for breaking G-linkages (21, 22). The majority of characterized PL6 members produce di- and tetrasaccharides as end products (20).
Here we show that BcelPL6 of PL6 subfamily 1 from the human commensal gut bacterium *Bacteroides cellulosilyticus* CRE21, is a monomeric, single-domain polyM specific enzyme. The crystal structure is solved to 1.3 Å resolution and contains a long, highly conserved asparagine ladder. The residues at the active site provide insights into specificity determinants in PL6.

**Results**

**BcelPL6 homologues in human gut Bacteroides genomes**

Most known genes from marine *Bacteroides* associated with alginate utilization, except from PL7, have orthologues in *Bacteroides cellulosilyticus* CRE21 as identified by a BLAST search. Searching against non-redundant protein sequences revealed that BcelPL6 is conserved in *Bacteroides* with homologs of >85% sequence identity in strains of human gut *B. intestinalis*, *Bacteroides sp. 14(A)*, *B. oleiciplenus*, *B. timonensis* and *B. stercorirosoris*. While gene up-regulation has not been analyzed for human gut *Bacteroides* growing on alginate, it has been reported in case of several members of PL6, PL7 and PL17 from the marine *Gramella forsetti* that belongs to the *Bacteroidetes* phylum, and for *Alteromonas macleodii* (15, 31). *B. cellulosilyticus* of the HGM encodes polysaccharide utilization loci (PULs) involved in degradation and product uptake of polysaccharides, e.g. starch (32). BcelPL6, was not annotated to a PUL (32), but BcelPL6 orthologues are predicted along with an annotated PL17 in PULs of *Bacteroides intestinalis* DSM 17393, *B. ovatus* NLAЕ-zl-H73 and *B. xylanisolvens* NLAЕ-zl-G339 of the HGM (14, 32). A Pfam domain search suggested BcelPL6 is a chondroitinase B, yet another PL6 specificity. This reflects that target substrate variation probably correlates with subtle changes in the active site structure in PL6 (26–29). Therefore, sequence-based prediction of PL6 specificities is currently not reliable.

**Specificity and mode of action**

BcelPL6 catalyzed release of products with unsaturated non-reducing end (Fig. 1B) from alginate (Fig. 2A) and polyM (Fig. 2B). The reactions followed Michaelis-Menten kinetics and $k_{cat}$ was eight fold higher for polyM ($43.4 \pm 1.6$ s$^{-1}$) than alginate ($k_{cat} = 5.4 \pm 0.15$ s$^{-1}$), while $K_m$ was three fold lower for alginate ($0.59 \pm 0.04$ mg mL$^{-1}$) than polyM ($K_m = 1.96 \pm 0.18$ mg mL$^{-1}$) (Table 1).
Activity was barely detected towards polyG (Fig. 2C; Table 1; Fig. S2A) and polyMG (Table 1; Fig. S2B) even at high concentration (6 µM) of BceIPL6 and the observed very low rates of degradation of 0–2.0 mg mL⁻¹ polyG or polyMG did not follow Michaelis-Menten kinetics (Table 1). Trace of product formation from polyG possibly stems from the 3% M being found in the used polyG candidate substrate. BceIPL6 moreover did not degrade acetylated polyM that mimics bacterial alginate (8) (Table 1, Fig. S2C).

LC-ESI-MS analysis showed that BceIPL6 initially released unsaturated oligosaccharides of DP2–7 from alginate with DP4 and 6 transiently increasing in abundance and DP2 being the predominant end product (Fig. 3A, Table S1). Monosaccharide products were not observed. Molecular masses of released unsaturated oligosaccharides of DP2–7 were confirmed using MALDI-TOF MS (Fig. S3) and quantified by LC-ESI-MS. BceIPL6 released di- and trisaccharides from polyM (Fig. S4) with pentasaccharides dominating initially (Fig S4, Table S1). Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) on Superdex 200 that separates linear dextrans of 1–100 kDa, showed increasing amounts of unsaturated breakdown products of alginate (\( \bar{M}_n = 40 \) kDa) as a broad asymmetric peak containing oligosaccharides (Fig. 3B). Thus unsaturated oligosaccharides are the primary products in agreement with the LC-ESI-MS analysis (Fig. 3A). As no unsaturated higher molecular weight products were observed (Fig. 3B), the mode of action of BceIPL6 can be described as specific attack on M blocks in alginate followed by further degradation of the released oligosaccharides (20, 23). This is in agreement with both endo- and exo-lyases to occur in PL6_1 (20, 24, 27). BceIPL6 moreover, seems to recognize the reducing end of the oligosaccharide substrates as it did not further degrade octa-mannuronic acid after NaBH₄-reduction (Fig. S2D).

PolyG activation of BceIPL6

Addition of polyG to BceIPL6 acting on alginate increased the activity up to 5-fold at 3.3 mg mL⁻¹ polyG (Fig. 4A), \( k_{\text{cat}} \) and \( K_m \) both increasing about 7-fold (Fig. 4B). The polyG binding was monitored by decreased fluorescence intensity of BceIPL6 and \( F_{\text{max}} \) blue shifted by 1 and 1.5 nm using excitation wavelength of 280 nm and 295 nm, respectively (Fig. 4C inserts). A \( K_{\text{d,app}} \) of 2.9 ± 0.2 mg mL⁻¹ polyG of 6–8 kDa (equivalent to 363–483 µM) was determined by fitting a one
site binding model to the intensity decrease (Fig. 4C). The presence of polyG did not affect \( T_m \) of \textit{BcelPL6} as shown by DSC (Fig. 4D).

**Biochemical characterization**

\textit{BcelPL6} retained full activity for alginate after 5 min at 65 °C, but lost 86% activity at 70 °C (Fig. 5A) in agreement with a \( T_m \) of 68.8 °C (Fig. 4D). The inactivation of \textit{BcelPL6} at 65.0 °C showed a half-life of 34 min (Fig. 5B). Activity towards alginate was suppressed to 50% in the presence of 350 mM NaCl and was almost completely lost in 0.95 M NaCl (Fig. 5C). Various acidic compounds and neutral sugars did not significantly change the activity, except for sodium-citrate presumably chelating the essential Ca\(^{2+}\) in \textit{BcelPL6} leading to loss of activity (Fig. S5). The activity optimum for alginate degradation was found to be around pH 7.5 in 50 mM sodium phosphate (Fig. 5D).

**Three-dimensional structure**

\textit{BcelPL6} crystallized from 0.2 M calcium acetate, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.0, 20% PEG 3000 in the space group \( P2_1 \) and the structure was solved at 1.3 Å resolution (Fig. 6; Table 2) with two molecules in the asymmetric unit. Both PISA analysis (33) and SEC (Fig. 6E) indicated that \textit{BcelPL6} is a monomer in solution. \textit{BcelPL6} is a right-handed parallel \( \beta \)-helix formed by three \( \beta \)-sheets similar to the other three available \textit{PL6} structures (PDB: 1OLF, 5GKD, 5Z9T) (27–29) (Fig. 6C). In the \( \beta \)-helix fold nomenclature, PB1–3 are the parallel \( \beta \)-sheets and T1–3 the connecting loops (34). A complete “helix turn” thus comprises PB1-T1-PB2-T2-PB3-T3, PB1 being designated to contain the active site (35) (Fig. 6D). Though the T2 loops have a distinct shape (Fig. 7A, see also below), T loops generally lack secondary structure except for a T1 forming a two-turn \( \alpha \)-helix loop in the C-terminal part of the \( \beta \)-helix (Fig. 6D). The C-terminal segment of the poly-peptide (residues 432–468) adopts two three-turn \( \alpha \)-helices parallel to the plane of \( \beta \)-sheet PB3 (Fig. 6C). The N-terminal part of the \( \beta \)-helix has an \( \alpha \)-helix in the plane of PB1 (Fig. 6D) and the C-terminal part has an \( \alpha \)-helix and a \( \beta \)-strand almost perpendicularly to PB3 (Fig. 6C and D). These structural elements match \( \beta \)-helix features referred to as the N-terminal helix cap and C-terminal visor cap, generally needed to avoid oligomerization and amyloid formation of \( \beta \)-helix proteins (36). The visor cap is kept in place by a hydrogen bond from G423 to an asparagine ladder that creates an extensive hydrogen bond network on the hydrophobic side of T2 loops leading to their distinct shape (Fig. 7A).
The asparagine ladder in BcelPL6 contains 10 > 95% conserved residues across 1944 sequences sharing no more than 80% identity pairwise: C135, C169, N199, N234, N258, N280, N305, N350, N376 and N402; 7 of which (bolded) are > 98% conserved (Fig. 7, Table S2).

Electron density indicated Ca\(^{2+}\) and two acetate molecules near the putative catalytic lysine and arginine residues in BcelPL6 (Fig. S5; Table S2). The Ca\(^{2+}\) is assumed to neutralize the C6 carboxylate group at subsite +1 (Fig. 6B), thus facilitating substrate binding and lowering pK\(_a\) of the C5 departing proton in the lyase reaction (27, 29). This is consistent with the loss of BcelPL6 activity after dialysis against EDTA (Fig. 6F).

**Docking of tetra-mannuronic acid (DP4M)**

Attempts to solve the structure of BcelPL6 in complex with DP3G, DP4G, DP3M, DP4M or BcelPL6 reaction products were unsuccessful. Therefore, DP4M was docked into the active site. Using Glide (Schrödinger suite 2016-1), 12 among the 68 docked ligand conformations had glide score < −9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), but in only one, the C5 proton was on the side of the sugar ring that allows syn-elimination and pointed towards the catalytic residues (Fig. 6B). The carboxylic acid group of DP4M at subsite −1 aligned with acetate seen in the native BcelPL6 structure (Fig. 6B) and interacts with H219 at subsite −1, while C5 carboxyl groups at subsites +2 and −2 interacted with the 98% conserved R294 and R216, respectively (Fig. 6B).

The putative BcelPL6 catalysts K249 and R270 were mutated to Ala or His and Ala or Tyr, respectively, where His and Tyr represent the pair of catalytic residues found in all other alginate lyases, but not in PL6 (21). These four BcelPL6 mutants were inactive. Moreover, the \(T_m\) of K249H and R270Y decreased by 5 and 15 °C, respectively (Fig. 8A and B) indicating an effect on the conformational stability, while the corresponding alanine mutants were stabilized by several degrees (Fig. 8B). The 95% conserved H271 situated between subsites +1 and −1 appears critical for activity as BcelPL6 H271N was inactive, although it retained the \(T_m\) of BcelPL6 wild type (Fig. 8B). Addition of imidazole restored up to 2.5% of the wild type activity (Fig. 8C), supporting that H271 is implicated in the function.

Alignment of BcelPL6 with the crystal structures of AlyGC (PDB 5GKD) and AlyF (PDB: 5Z9T) highlighted conserved residues important for catalytic activity and previously investigated by
mutational analysis (27, 28). However, BcelPL6 differs by R160 that corresponds to AlyGC Y130 and W172 in AlyF (Table S3) as well as two notable positions at subsites +1 and −1 where BcelPL6 has H219 and S338 corresponding to AlyGC T190 and R303, respectively, both situated to interact with substrate. Notably, H219 binds with the docked DP4M at subsite −1 (Fig. 6B).

The large positively charged active site area in both AlyF and AlyGC did not resemble BcelPL6 (Fig. 9). Introducing positive and other side chains by mutation in BcelPL6 to mimic AlyGC (Fig. 10) did not result in activity on polyG, the substrate preferred by both AlyGC and AlyF. For polyM and alginate BcelPL6 D153Q and Y155S had decreased $k_{cat}$ and $K_m$ (Fig. 8A), while R160Y showed lower $k_{cat}$ and unaltered $K_m$ for these two substrates. Furthermore, W184R has 6-fold reduced $k_{cat}$ on both polyM and alginate, and 4- and 15-fold reduced $K_m$ on polyM and alginate, respectively. These residues thus play a role in activity, but appear not to contribute to controlling the polyM vs polyG specificity. Surprisingly, G177K, H219T and S338R had $K_m$ decreased by 3–12 fold on alginate, but practically unchanged on polyM compared to wild type. The $k_{cat}$ was lowered by 5–10 fold on both substrates (Fig. 8A).

**Discussion**

BcelPL6 is the first structure-determined and thoroughly characterized PL6 alginate lyase from HGM. In PL6, sequence-based prediction of substrate specificity is generally difficult and seems to vary with small structural differences at the active site (27). The present results on BcelPL6 therefore strengthen future prediction of substrate specificity and mode of action of related enzymes. An extremely low activity on polyG and polyMG distinguishes BcelPL6 from previously characterized PL6 alginate lyases, which were found to be polyMG (20, 23) and or polyG specific (20, 24, 27). A polyM preference was so far seen only for PL6 from Pseudomonas sp PapOS ALG-9, which however, also showed ~25% activity on polyG (37). Alginate lyases preferring polyM similarly to BcelPL6 have been identified from PL5, 7, 14, 15, 17, 18 and 36 (17–19, 38–42). Alginate endo-lyases are described to release unsaturated oligosaccharides, which are then further degraded (2). Exo-lyases by contrast release unsaturated monouronic acid (21, 22). BcelPL6 probably requires the reducing end for further degradation of initially produced oligosaccharides,
since octa-mannuronic acid was a substrate, whereas the corresponding alcohol, prepared by reduction with NaBH₄, was not degraded. Previously, some PL8 chondroitin lyases were reported to act from the substrate reducing end (43).

**BcelPL6 structure**

The two other PL6 alginate lyase structures, AlyGC from the marine bacterium *Paraglaciecola chatamensis* S18K6T (PDB ID: 5GKD) and AlyF *Vibrio splendidus* OU2 (PDB ID: 5Z9T) are a solution homodimer (27) and a monomer, respectively (28). *Bcel*PL6 is also monomeric (Fig. 6). The activity of polyG specific AlyGC (27), the polyM specific *Bcel*PL6 and the chondroitin B lyase (PDF 1OFL) (29) depends on Ca²⁺. This, however, was reported not to be the case for the polyG specific AlyF (28).

*Bcel*PL6 has a rather flat open active site, whereas in AlyGC the C-terminal dimerization domain partly covers the active site forming a cleft (27) and in AlyF loops form a closed active site over the non-reducing end of the bound DP4M (28). This difference in active site topology may explain that *Bcel*PL6 releases oligosaccharides and AlyGC monosaccharides (Fig. 9). As *Bcel*PL6 is a single-domain monomer, the subunit rotation between the two domains of AlyGC suggested to shape the cleft involved in catalysis (22, 27), cannot be a general feature of PL6. Also *Bcel*PL6 has a notably lower salt tolerance than the marine AlyGC, which remains 50% active in 0.5 M NaCl (27) as opposed to *Bcel*PL6 that only retains 10% activity at 0.5 M NaCl, reflecting adaptation to their individual niches.

The docked DP4M interacts with *Bcel*PL6 R294 and R216 (Fig. 6B) and the corresponding arginines in AlyGC and AlyF were shown to be important for activity (27, 28). Notably, when the C5 proton is in a position compatible with proton abstraction by the catalytic base, the direction of the orientation of DP4M in the complex was flipped compared to DP4M bound in AlyGC (PDB 5GKQ) (Fig. 9, Fig. S7). This fits well with *Bcel*PL6 requiring the reducing end for degrading oligosaccharides (Fig. S2D). It has been suggested that in *syn*-acting, M–specific alginate lyase A1-III from PL5 a single tyrosine acts as both catalytic base and acid (41). In *Bcel*PL6, however, the geometry of the docked DP4M complex, combined with mutational analysis of K249, R270 and H271 (Fig. 8) does not allow for accurate determination of whether there is one or more catalytic residues.
Structure activity relationships

The high preference of \textit{Bcel}/PL6 for polyM matches with the monomeric structure and its flat active site (Fig. 9), as M-specific enzymes apply a \textit{syn}-mechanism (21, 22) that requires both of the catalytic functions to be on the same side of the sugar ring (see Fig. 1, 6A and 6B). Still, PL6 enzymes with dual M and G specificity have been reported, which indicates that structure-based explanation of the specificity needs to be further developed (23, 24, 27). Conservation of H271 at the active site and loss of activity of \textit{Bcel}/PL6 H271N suggest this residue is critical for activity (Fig. 6, Fig. 8 and Table S2). However, the effect of mutating H271 in the G-specific PL6 lyases AlyGC and AlyF is negligible (27, 28), and the equivalent chondroitinase B mutant retains 25% activity toward dermatan sulphate (44). Taken together, it indicates that this histidine plays a particularly important role in the depolymerization of polyM. Notably, the mannanuronate epimerases AlgG and AlgE4, which also have a parallel \( \beta \)-helix fold, both have a conserved histidine in the active site (45, 46). This residue is proposed to act as catalytic base abstracting the C5 proton at subsite +1 as part of the epimerization mechanism with an arginine functioning as charge neutralizer (45, 46).

In both of these two available epimerase structures, the distance between histidine and arginine is about the same as is in \textit{Bcel}/PL6 (45, 46). There could be an evolutionary relationship between alginate epimerases and lyases, and H271 may function as a catalytic base in the M-specific \textit{Bcel}/PL6.

\textbf{PolyG as activator}

In the presence of polyG, \( K_m \) and \( k_{cat} \) both increased by about the same factor for \textit{Bcel}/PL6 acting on alginate (Fig. 3B), thus the catalytic efficiency was not affected by polyG. Assuming the reaction follows the simple mechanism:

\[ E + S \overset{k_{t1}}{\rightleftharpoons} ES \overset{k_{t2}}{\rightarrow} E + P \]

where \( k \) denotes a reaction rate then:
\[ K_m = \frac{k_{r1} + k_{f2}}{k_{f1}} \]
\[ k_{f2} = k_{cat} \]

\( K_m \) and \( k_{cat} \) both increase if \( k_{f2} \) increases. If \( k_{f1} \) increases, \( K_m \) would decrease, while \( k_{cat} \) remains unaltered. Therefore, it may be \( k_{f2} \) that is affected by addition of polyG. One possibility is that polyG binds stronger to the active site than the products, but weaker than the substrates, thus being able to expel the reaction product, while being easily displaced by substrate. This is supported by \( K_m = 0.58 \pm 0.04 \text{ mg mL}^{-1} \) for alginate and \( K_{d,app} = 2.9 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg mL}^{-1} \) for polyG binding to \( BcPL6 \). That \( k_{cat}/K_m \) is unchanged by the addition of polyG further supports that it is the later steps of the reaction that is affected.

The asparagine ladder

The five polysaccharide lyase families PL1, 3, 6, 9, and 16 (26, 48–51) adopt a parallel \( \beta \)-helix catalytic domain fold. Among these, PL1, 6 and 9 contain a so-called asparagine ladder (26, 49, 51). The 10 “step” asparagine ladder in \( BcPL6 \) is the longest reported to date and spans almost the entire length of the \( \beta \)-helix (10 out of 12 turns, Fig. 7). Remarkably, in PL6 a large number of the asparagine residues constituting the ladder are essentially invariant (Table S2) suggesting they are vital for folding and stability of the PL6 \( \beta \)-helix.

The hydrogen bonding network of the asparagine ladder in \( BcPL6 \) ends by G423 in the C-terminal visor cap, indicating its possible implication in prevention of amyloid fibril formation (36). Sequence analysis of families PL1, PL9 and AlgG epimerases revealed that conserved asparagine ladders are only found in \( \beta \)-helix enzymes acting on alginate and not in pectin and pectate lyases (Fig. 7, Table S2). Asparagine ladders may confer rigidity and prevent conformational rearrangement of the secondary structure upon binding of the polyelectrolyte alginate.

In summary, recombinant \( BcPL6 \) from \( B. \) cellulosilyticus is M-specific and produces mainly disaccharides as end products from alginate, and di- and trisaccharides from polyM. \( BcPL6 \) does not degrade polyG, polyMG or acetylated polyM to a significant degree. The \( BcPL6 \) crystal structure solved to 1.3 Å is monomeric similarly to AlyF and opposed to the homodimeric PL6 alginate lyase AlyGC. The more positively charged and narrow active site in AlyGC compared to \( BcPL6 \) may explain the specificity difference. Moreover, the
monomeric BcelPL6 only allows syn-elimination making it mannuronate specific. The conserved H271 at subsite +1 was found to be crucial for activity. The present thorough characterization of BcelPL6 improves the general insight into PL6 structure and function and will advance future identification and specificity assignment of alginate lyases from this family.
Experimental procedures

Materials. *Bacteroides cellulosilyticus* CRE21 was purchased from Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen DSM number 14838 (Germany). Brain Heart Infusion broth and LB broth were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Alginate (ALG) $M_n = 40$ kDa of M/G ratio = 0.6 was a kind gift of DuPont Nutrition and Health (Denmark). PolyM (F$_G = 0.0$, $M_w = 3$ kDa) was obtained from an epimerase negative AlgG mutant of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (52). PolyG $M_w = 6 - 8$ kDa (F$_G = 0.97$) was prepared as previously described (2), alternating polyMG (F$_G = 0.46$, F$_GG = 0.0$) of DP30 was made by epimerization of poly-M in vitro using AlgE4 (53). Alginate oligomers for product identification were obtained by fractionation of alginate hydrolysates on SEC columns as previously described (2). Octa-mannuronic acid (DP8M) was reduced by NaBH$_4$ opening the ring structure at the reducing end without affecting the remaining residues (54, 55). Briefly, to DP8M in MQ water (4 mg mL$^{-1}$) was added NaBH$_4$ (s) 5% (w/v). After 1 h at ambient temperature the mixture was kept on ice, added glacial acetic acid dropwise until no further gas production was observed and pH was adjusted to 7.0 with dilute NaOH. The sample was dialyzed against two shifts of 50 mM NaCl, then against MQ water until conductivity < 2 μS, followed by freeze drying.

Bioinformatics. Lyase sequences were retrieved from the Uniprot database (56) using protein blast with 1PCL, 1RU4, 4NK6 and *Bcel*PL6 as queries, and clustered on a 90% identity threshold on CD-Hit (57). Iterative multiple sequence alignments (MSA) were performed with Clustal Omega (58) to select the maximum number of sequences of 15–80% pairwise identity. The degree of amino acid residue conservation was assessed on the examined sequences.

Growth of *Bacteroides cellulosilyticus*. Isolation of genomic DNA. *B. cellulosilyticus* was grown overnight under anaerobic conditions (Whitley DG250 anaerobic workstation) in 5 mL Brain Heart Infusion medium supplemented with 5 μg mL$^{-1}$ hemin at 37 °C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (2800 g, 4 °C, 20 min; Eppendorf 5810 R centrifuge; USA) and genomic DNA was isolated (59). DNA concentration was determined spectrophotometrically at 260 nm.
**PCR, cloning and mutagenesis.** PCR on genomic DNA was performed using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, USA) with the following primers for In-Fusion cloning:

5'-CGCGCGGCAGCCATATGAAAGATACATTTCACCGAAAG-3’; 5'-GCTCGAATTCGGATCCTCAGCGATTCGTATCGATATGG-3’ covering the BceI PL6 gene from residue 19 to omit the signal peptide predicted by SignalP (60). The PCR product and the p28a+ plasmid linearized by BamHI and NdeI (New England Biolabs; USA) were purified by agarose gel electrophoresis and ligated using the In-Fusion cloning kit (Takara Bio, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The resulting plasmid was verified by sequencing (GATC Biotech, Germany). This construct N-terminally extends recombinant BceIPL6 by MGSSHHHHHHSSGLVPRGSH (a His-tag and a thrombin cleavage site). The plasmid was transformed in *E. coli* BL21.

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using a Quick Change lightning site directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent) and the point mutation was verified by sequencing (GATC Biotech, Germany). Mutagenesis primers can be found in Table S4.

**Production and purification of recombinant BceIPL6.** The cryostock was cultured in LB medium at 37 °C overnight, inoculated (10 mL) in 1 L LB-KAN medium and grown to OD$_{600}$ = 0.6–0.8 (37 °C, 160 rpm). Expression was induced by isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) added to 0.5 mM followed by incubation (22 °C, 16 h). Cells were harvested by centrifugation (5000 g, 4 °C, 15 min) and stored at −20 °C. Pellet corresponding to 0.33 L culture was resuspended in 20 mL 50 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl, lysed (pressure cell homogenizer; Stansted Fluid Power, UK) and centrifuged (20,000 g, 20 min). HisPur™ Ni-NTA resin (2 mL; Thermo Scientific, USA), pre-equilibrated in 20 mL 50 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl, was added to the supernatant with gentle mixing (30 min). The resin was washed with 20 mL 20 mM imidazole, 50 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl and the protein eluted by 10 mL 300 mM imidazole in the same buffer. Eluate (10 mL) was immediately gel filtered (Hi-load Superdex 75 26/60; GE Healthcare, USA) in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl at a flow rate of 2 mL min$^{-1}$. Protein purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE and the concentration determined spectrophotometrically at 280 nm using the
predicted (Protparam) \( \varepsilon = 65820 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \). The yield was typically about 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) culture. All purification steps were performed at 4 °C.

**Enzyme activity and kinetics.** Substrates (5 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) dissolved in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl were centrifuged prior to use. Kinetics were determined for 100 nM \( B_{cel}PL6 \) on alginate and polyMG, 50 nM on polyM, 50 nM as well as 6 \( \mu \text{M} \) on polyG using 0–4 mg mL\(^{-1}\) substrates. Samples were mixed in a 96 well UV-star chimney well plate (In Vitro, Australia), equilibrated at 37 °C (5 min) and added enzyme. Formation of unsaturated uronic acid products was measured spectrophotometrically at 235 nm every 10 s for 10 min at 37 °C (Bio-Tek Powerwave XS; Holm & Halby, Denmark) (16, 61) and converted to molar concentration using \( \varepsilon = 6150 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \) (62, 63). The initial part of progress curves was analyzed by linear regression (Origin 2016; Originlab, USA) and initial rates vs substrate concentrations were fitted to the Michaelis-Menten model (64). All data points are with the standard deviation of a triplicate. Activation by 0–3.33 mg mL\(^{-1}\) polyG was assayed either at 3 mg mL\(^{-1}\) alginate for 50 nM \( B_{cel}PL6 \) or in kinetic assays (as above) at 0; 0.16; 0.66; 3.33 mg mL\(^{-1}\) polyG. PolyG was thoroughly dialyzed to remove Ca\(^{2+}\) to avoid an artefact by reconstituting eventually Ca\(^{2+}\) depleted \( B_{cel}PL6 \).

**Spectrofluorometry of polyG binding.** \( B_{cel}PL6 \) (500 nM) was emission scanned with and without polyG at 320–365 nm with excitation at 280 or 295 nm and also analyzed by measuring polyG (0–4.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) elicited decrease in fluorescence intensity at 340 nm with excitation at 280 nm (LS-55 luminescence spectrometer; Perkin Elmer). The \( K_{d,app} \) was obtained from the binding curve that was fitted to a standard one site-binding model:

\[
y = y_0 + \frac{a[L]}{K_d + [L]}
\]

where [L] is ligand concentration, \( y_0 \) fluorescence intensity at [L] = 0, and \( y_0 + a \) a fluorescence intensity at saturation. This model assumes that [L]\(_{\text{free}}\) \( \sim \) [L]\(_{\text{total}}\) which is only valid for weak interactions.

**Product analysis by size exclusion chromatography and mass spectrometry.** Mixtures (2 mL) of 4 mg mL\(^{-1}\) alginate and 100 nM
BcelPL6 were incubated at 37 °C, inactivated at 90 °C at 0, 1, 5, 10, 20, 30, 60, 90 and 120 min and the reaction products separated by SEC (Superdex 200 16/60) in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 700 mM NaCl at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹ and monitored at 235 nm. Breakdown for 20 mg mL⁻¹ alginate by 100 nM BcelPL6 was analyzed at 0, 2, 5, 10, 30, 60, 120, 240 min by LC-ESI-MS. Collected samples were stored at –20 °C until quantification of oligosaccharide products by LC-ESI-MS (Amazon SL iontrap; Bruker Daltonics, Germany, coupled to UltiMate 3000 UHPLC equipped with an Ultimate RS diode array detector (235 nm), Dionex, USA). Samples (5 µL) in 50% ACN were injected (GlycanPac AXH-1 column, 150 mm × 2.1 mm; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and eluted at 0.4 mL min⁻¹ at 30 °C by a three-eluent system of water (A), 100 mM ammonium formate pH 5 (B), and ACN (C), keeping 19% A at time (in min) with the eluent profile: 0–10 isocratic 1% B; 10–45 linear gradient to 19% B; 45–50 linear gradient to 1% B; 50-60 isocratic 1% B. The electrospray was operated in negative mode with enhanced resolution mode and scan range 100–2000 m/z, smart parameter setting of 500 m/z, capillary voltage at 4.5 kV, end plate off-set 0.5 kV, nebulizer pressure at 3.0 bar, dry gas flow at 12.0 L min⁻¹, and dry gas temperature at 280 °C. Identification by m/z and quantification at 235 nm using $\varepsilon = 6150 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ (62, 63) was done in Compass QuantAnalysis 2.2 (Bruker Daltonics, Germany) using the equation:

$$c = \frac{\text{dilution} \times \text{area} \times \text{flow}}{\text{length} \times \text{ext. coeff.} \times \text{injection volume}}$$

ESI-MS results were confirmed by MALDI-TOF for 0 and 120 min reaction mixtures (buffer exchanged to 300 mM acetic acid, Hiprep desalt 26/110, GE Healthcare, USA) spotted onto an MTP Anchor chip target with 9 mg mL⁻¹ DHB matrix in 30% ACN analyzed by MALDI-TOF/TOF MS (Ultraflex II, Bruker Daltonics, USA) in linear positive mode. Mass spectra were analyzed using Flex Analysis (Bruker Daltonics, USA).

**Differential scanning calorimetry.** BcelPL6 wild type and mutants dialyzed against 50 mM Na₂HPO₄/NaH₂PO₄ pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl (3 x 100 fold dilution, 10–14 kDa cut off, 4 h, 4 °C; SpectrumLabs, Greece) were subjected at 1 mg mL⁻¹ to DSC (20–90 °C; scan rate of 1 °C min⁻¹) at constant pressure of 3 atm (NANO DSC; TA, USA). Reversibility of unfolding was examined by scanning the sample twice. The reference cell contained dialysis buffer. A blank scan of dialysis buffer was subtracted as baseline and the data were
converted to molar heat capacity using NanoAnalyze (TA, USA).

**Analytical size exclusion chromatography.** BceiPL6 (2 mL, 10 µM) was analyzed by SEC (Hiload Superdex 75 16/60) in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl at 4 °C (flow rate: 1 mL min⁻¹). Lysozyme (14.4 kDa), β-lactoglobulin A (36.6 kDa) and bovine serum albumin (66.5 kDa) were used for calibration.

**Crystallization and X-ray diffraction.** BceiPL6 dialyzed against 50 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl (3 x 100 fold dilution, 4 h, 4 °C, 10–14 kDa cutoff) was concentrated to 20 mg mL⁻¹ (10 kDa Amicon centrifugal filtration column; Merck, USA) and used with MCSG-1 crystal screen kit (Anatrace, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, each reservoir in four 24 well sitting drop crystallization plates (Hampton Research, USA) was filled (500 µL) with one of the 96 different conditions and 2 µL protein solution was placed on the drop shelf and mixed with 2 µL reservoir solution prior to sealing the plate (Crystal Clear Sealing tape; Hampton Research, USA). The plates were stored 12 d at ambient temperature and crystals obtained (with 0.2 M calcium acetate hydrate, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 7, 20% (w/v) PEG 3000) were flash frozen with PEG 400 as cryoprotectant, using liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data were collected at the ESRF (ID23-2; France, Grenoble).

**Data processing, structure solution and model building.** Diffraction images were processed automatically with Dials using the Xia2 interface and scaled with aimless (65–69) (see Table 2 for details). The structure of AlyGC (PDB: 5GKD) was used for molecular replacement with Phaser (70). First, AlyGC chain A (residues 2-443) was extracted and used as input to Phenix.sculptor (71) to generate the input model for molecular replacement. Phenix (72) was used with the Phaser simple component interface to run a molecular replacement searching for 2 molecules in the asymmetric unit as indicated by the Matthews coefficient (Vm = 2.18 and solvent content of 43.6% with 2 molecules in the asymmetric unit). A final TFZ value of 30.2 indicated a clear solution, and after a round of automated model building with AutoBuild (73), a model with $R_{	ext{work}}/R_{	ext{free}}$ of 23.86/26.14 and 884 residues was produced. Model building was completed by several rounds of rebuilding in Coot (74) with refinement using Phenix.refine (75) at 1.3 Å resolution using riding hydrogens and six TLS groups per monomer. Ca$^{2+}$
was added in the known binding site. An acetate from the crystallization conditions could be modeled in the active site.

The structure was deposited to the protein databank with PDB code: 6QPS

**Glide docking of tetramannuronic acid (DP4M)**

DP4M was built in Accelrys Discovery Studio. *Bcl*PL6 and DP4M were prepared using Protein Preparation Wizard and LigPrep programs, respectively, within the Schrödinger suite 2016-1 (Small-Molecule Drug Discovery Suite 2016-1, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY). Protein and ligand preparation were performed at pH 7.3 (PROpka (47), Epik (76, 77)) with default settings for the remaining parameters.

Grid generation was carried out using default parameters. The docking region was centered at the geometric center determined by the positions of the Ca\(^{2+}\), R216, K249 and R270, and the threshold of ligand size was set to 25 Å, which is ~7 Å longer than the maximum length of DP4M. Docking experiments were performed by Glide (78) using default settings. The first experiments were conducted using Glide SP (78), and the structure with the best glide SP score was used in a Glide XP (78) run. In all energy calculations the OPLS3 force field (79) was applied.
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Table 1. Kinetic parameters of *BcelPL6*

Fits to Michaelis-Menten equation (shown in Fig. 2A and 2B) have an R-squared of 0.99 or better. When no value is informed the initial rates as a function of substrate concentration could not be fitted to a Michaelis-Menten and instead the activity on 2 mg mL\(^{-1}\) substrate is reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>(k_{\text{cat}}) (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(K_m) (mg mL(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alginate</td>
<td>(5.4 \pm 0.1)</td>
<td>(0.58 \pm 0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polyM</td>
<td>(43.4 \pm 1.6)</td>
<td>(1.96 \pm 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylated polyM</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polyG</td>
<td>&lt;0.06*</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polyMG</td>
<td>&lt;0.08*</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*value reported as activity on 2 mg mL\(^{-1}\) substrate

n.d. not detected

n.a. not applicable
Table 2. Data collection and refinement statistics of *Bcel*PL6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution range</th>
<th>55.4 - 1.29 (1.33 - 1.29)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P2₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, b, c (Å), β (°)</td>
<td>58.62, 129.95, 66.99, 113.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total reflections</td>
<td>1513764 (136645)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>230084 (22263)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>6.6 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>99.7 (96.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean I/σ(I)</td>
<td>7.23 (1.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson B-factor</td>
<td>11.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-merge</td>
<td>0.123 (0.753)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-meas</td>
<td>0.134 (0.824)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-pim</td>
<td>0.052 (0.329)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC½</td>
<td>0.998 (0.535)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC*</td>
<td>0.999 (0.835)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections used in refinement</td>
<td>230056 (22261)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections used for R-free</td>
<td>11301 (1106)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-work</td>
<td>0.159 (0.261)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-free</td>
<td>0.175 (0.278)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC(work)</td>
<td>0.976 (0.808)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC(free)</td>
<td>0.970 (0.790)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of non-hydrogen atoms</td>
<td>8671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>macromolecules</td>
<td>7389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ligands</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solvent</td>
<td>1264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein residues</td>
<td>890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS(bonds)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS(angles)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran favored (%)</td>
<td>98.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran allowed (%)</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran outliers (%)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotamer outliers (%)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clashscore</td>
<td>5.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B-factor</td>
<td>16.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>macromolecules</td>
<td>14.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ligands</td>
<td>23.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solvent</td>
<td>29.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of TLS groups</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Numbers in brackets refer to data in the highest resolution shell.

Figure 1. Schematics illustrating alginate block structures and general lyase mechanism of PL6 enzymes. A) 1,4 linked α-L-guluronic acid block (top), 1,4 β-D-mannuronic acid and α-L-guluronic acid mixed linkage block (middle), and 1,4 linked β-D-mannuronic acid block (bottom). n represents the continued polymer. B) syn-mechanism of alginate lyases with positions of catalytic Brøndsted acid (pink) and base (blue) and the uronic acid group neutralizer, ++ (typically Ca\(^{2+}\); in red).
Figure 2. Kinetics and specificity of BcelPL6. Michaelis-Menten plots of A) alginate degraded by 100 nM BcelPL6 and B) polyM degraded by 50 nM BcelPL6. Inserts in A) and B) show linear regression of the initial part of the progress curve (from 0.2 mg mL\(^{-1}\) (purple) to 4.2 mg mL\(^{-1}\) (black) substrate). C) Progress of absorbance at 235 nm by action of 300 nM BcelPL6 on 0.2–2.2 mg mL\(^{-1}\) polyM (blue) or polyG (black).
Figure 3. Progress of products release by Bcel/PL6 from alginate. A) Quantification of reaction products (LC-ESI-MS) during 0–120 min. B) Size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200) of products of degradation from 4 mg mL\(^{-1}\) alginate by 100 nM Bcel/PL6. Curves (blue to brown) correspond to reaction for 0, 1, 5, 10, 20, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min prior to enzyme inactivation. Dashed line is the conductivity, which drops due to low salt in the samples and the SEC-run being complete.
Figure 4. *Bcel*PL6 activation by polyG. A) Initial velocity of 50 nM *Bcel*PL6 degrading 3 mg mL$^{-1}$ alginate in the presence of 0–3.3 mg mL$^{-1}$ polyG. B) Influence of polyG on $K_m$ (blue) and $V_{max}$ (black) for alginate degradation normalized to values without polyG. C) Fluorescence intensity of *Bcel*PL6 with 0–4.5 mg mL$^{-1}$ polyG. The red line is the fitted binding function. Inserts are emission scans of *Bcel*PL6 without (black) and with (red) 4.5 mg mL$^{-1}$ polyG and excitation at 280 nm (left) and 295 nm (right). The vertical red line on scans indicate the fluorescence maximum wavelength. D) DSC of *Bcel*PL6 with 5 mg mL$^{-1}$ polyG (red) and without (black). Vertical red lines indicate $T_m$. 
Figure 5. Biochemical characterization of BcelPL6. A) Relative activity towards alginate of BcelPL6 at 30 °C after 5 min incubation at the given temperature. B) Inactivation of BcelPL6 at 65 °C. C) Effect of sodium chloride on BcelPL6 activity towards alginate. D) pH activity profile of BcelPL6 degradation of alginate.
Figure 6. Ribbon representation of BcelPL6 (PDB: 6QPS). A) Zoom-in of active site with PL6 conserved catalytic residues K249 and R270 as well as the H271, situated between subsites −1 and +1, and the neutralizing Ca$^{2+}$ (red). B) Docked DP4M with subsites indicated. The yellow molecule is an acetate found in the crystal structure presumably from the crystallization solvent. C) Overall structure of BcelPL6, black box indicates the active site zoom-in in panel A. D) N- and C-terminal part of the β-helix with the capping features and sheets (PB1–PB3) named. E) Analytical SEC of BcelPL6 (Superdex 75). The insert is the standard curve of lysozyme, β-lactoglobulin and bovine serum albumin yielding a molecular weight of BcelPL6 of 52.3 kDa (theoretical 52.9 kDa). F) Increase in absorbance at 235 nm as a function of time of 4 mg mL$^{-1}$ alginate degradation by 100 nM BcelPL6 dialyzed against 50 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl (solid line) or 50 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA (dashed line)
Figure 7. Asparagine ladder of the T2 loops in BceIPL6 and B-factor putty representation of β-helix enzymes related to BceIPL6. A) The hydrophobic core of BceIPL6 with the conserved asparagine ladder as stick models showing Asn (green) and Cys (grey); hydrogen bond partners (purple), the broken line (yellow) represents the hydrogen bond between donor and acceptor. Conserved residues (purple) on B) BceIPL6. C) AlgG alginate epimerase. D) PL9 pectin lyase. E) PL1 pectate lyase.
Figure 8. Characterization of *BcPL6* mutant enzymes. A) Kinetic data of wild type and mutants normalized to wild type values for A) top: alginate, bottom: polyM. $k_{\text{cat}}$ (black), $K_m$ (red) and $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$ (blue). B) Melting temperature of wild type and mutants determined by DSC. The black line represents wild type melting temperature.
temperature. C) Imidazole rescue of activity of BcelPL6 H271N towards 4 mg mL$^{-1}$ alginate.


Figure 10. Positions mutated in the BcelPL6 structure to match corresponding residues in AlyGC (PDB: 5GKD)
Figure 11. Cartoon of product release manner for BcelPL6, 1,4 β-D-mannuronic acid (green) and 1,4 α-L-guluronic acid (orange). BcelPL6 binds to alginate and cleaves off a larger unsaturated oligosaccharide (up to DP7) (top). If the oligosaccharide is ≥DP4 and contains an M-M linkage it can be cleaved again to yield DP2 (middle). This process continues until the final product mixture is achieved (bottom).
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