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Concise and factual abstract:

Electrochemical oxidation of small biomolecules provides an approach to generate clean energy from a sustainable resource. It serves as a principle for anode reactions in fuel cells to convert energy stored in chemical bonds into electrical power. Efficient and robust nanocatalysts are essential to reduce the reaction barrier and accelerate the reaction kinetics. First the general aspects of electrochemical oxidation of small biomolecules are outlined and the green synthesis of metal particles by microwave synthesis is compared to conventional heating synthesis. Then recent progress in microwave-assisted nanocrystals used for electrochemical oxidation of small biomolecules is reviewed for alcohols, acids, and glucose. The challenges and future perspectives for the microwave synthesis as well as electrochemical oxidation of small biomolecules are discussed.
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**Introduction**

Energy technologies with reduced resource consumption and lower pollution to the environment represent a core aspect of the sustainable development of modern society [1]. Especially in the chemical industry, useful chemicals are produced, accompanied by generation of waste and consumption of energy. *Green Chemistry* has been proposed with twelve fundamental principles to guide the implementation of renewable chemical processes [2]. Electrochemistry, i.e. electron transfer through an electrolyte/electrode interface to achieve a redox reaction, can match most of the principles involved in green chemistry [2, 3]. Specifically, oxidation of small biomolecules as fuels via an electrochemical route is an attractive alternative to obtain clean energy with renewability and high theoretical energy density [4]. Due to the complex multistep electron transfer processes involved, efficient catalysts for the electrochemical oxidation reactions are crucial [5-8] and the ways found to improve fuel cell processes may also aid in other energy conversion and chemical synthesis processes.

This review describes recent advances in electrochemical oxidation of small biomolecules assisted by metal nanocatalysts, which are sometimes called nanocrystals, nanoparticles (NPs) or nanostructures in the literature. Particularly we focus on metal nanocatalysts synthesized using a microwave-assisted strategy, which can be regarded as a green synthesis technique due to the high heating efficiency under optimal conditions where significant rate improvements over traditional heating methods are possible [9-11]. Microwave synthesis has previously been reviewed with emphasis on water as a green chemistry solvent and organic synthesis [12-14]. Given the at times considerable improvements in reaction efficiency and rates with microwaves over conventional heating, several possible non-thermal effects of microwaves have also been considered in previous reviews [15]. The main concept of the present review is microwave synthesis of materials for electrocatalysis using the nanocatalysts for cleaner energy conversion, Figure 1. A perspective on possible future directions of microwave synthesis is suggested.
**Electrochemical oxidation of small biomolecules**

Small biomolecules, a class of organic molecules produced abundantly by plants, yeasts and bacteria in nature, primarily consist of carbon, hydrogen and oxygen, and to lesser extent other elements, such as phosphorous and sulfur. Typical small biomolecules are simple alcohols, polysaccharides, glucose and organic acids. Electrochemical oxidation of these molecules attracts great interest due to the perspective of direct oxidation-type fuel cells (DOFCs) for applications in electronic devices and transport vehicles [4, 16]. Under ideal conditions, the products from the electrochemical oxidation of the small biomolecules should be pure CO₂ and H₂O to achieve the maximum possible chemical energy. The electrochemical oxidation of a small biomolecule consists of interfacial electron transfer, the diffusion of the molecules toward the electrode surface and the products’ diffusion from the electrode. The whole process is kinetically slow due to the multiple complex process/reaction steps involving the formation of numerous adsorbed species and stable reaction products. The process can be significantly accelerated by introduction of efficient electrocatalysts [17, 18]. The electrocatalysts are classified as inorganic, organic, enzymatic and microbial. Among them, inorganic catalysts, such as metal nanostructures, have been developed extensively due to their durability, high catalytic efficiency and stability.

Platinum (Pt) is one of the most widely used catalysts for electrochemical oxidation and reduction reactions [18, 19]. Pt catalysts possess the highest activity to dissociate adsorbed fuel molecules. On the other hand, the surfaces of Pt group catalysts (ruthenium (Ru), rhodium (Rh), palladium (Pd), osmium (Os), iridium (Ir), and Pt) are prone to be poisoned by possible byproducts created during oxidation reactions [20]. The poisoning results in steady degradation of the catalytic activity. To overcome this barrier, Pt-based bimetallic or multi-metallic catalysts have been designed with improved activity and stability toward the electrochemical oxidation reactions [20]. In general, synthesis of metal nanocatalysts with controllable size, morphology and composition is essential for optimizing the catalytic efficiency and reducing the total cost.
Wet chemical synthesis of metal nanocatalysts includes chemical reduction, seed formation/nucleation, growth, and stabilization by protection layers in solution. The geometric structures obtained and the properties of the nanocatalysts depend strongly on the synthesis conditions. Conventional heating for the syntheses using water/oil baths, hot plates or furnaces, will heat the solution from the outside, i.e. temperature increasing from outside toward the center of the solution [22]. In contrast, microwave synthesis is heating from the inside of the solution by microwave absorption (Figure 1). This technology has been advanced now over a decade.

**Microwave synthesis**

Microwave irradiation heating often leads to improved synthesis efficiency, enhanced sample purity and reduced energy consumption compared with the conventional heating methods [23]. Synthesis through this methodology can be scaled up for potential industrial applications when taking due care of the limited penetration depth of the absorbed microwaves [24]. The main principle of microwave-assisted synthesis is the selective absorption of microwave irradiation by mobile electric charges such as polar molecules or conducting ions in an electrolyte media [13], in which the reaction temperature is uniformly distributed by the spread-out dissipation of microwave irradiation energy (Figure 1) [25]. Moreover, the rate and efficiency of microwave irradiation heating are highly dependent on the properties of the reaction system [9]. In the liquid phase, solvent properties especially its polarity are important factors in microwave-assisted syntheses. Typical polar solvents with a high dipole moment, such as water, dimethylsulfoxide, ethylene glycol, dimethylformamide, and ionic liquids, are frequently used. In the early days of microwave synthesis, one had to be aware of the risk of explosions if the processes were not controlled properly, particularly for organic solvents with a low boiling point and a high vapor pressure. Today, warning systems or protection programs/devices have been integrated in state-of-the art microwave synthesizers.
As a powerful synthesis method, microwave synthesis has been used for producing a number of inorganic compounds with various nanostructures [26-28]. A wide range of nanocatalysts based on Pt and their alloys or compounds have been successfully synthesized by employing microwaves (Figure 2). The geometrical parameters and chemical compositions of the nanocrystals can be well controlled by microwave reaction thermodynamics and kinetics by adjusting microwave conditions, precursor ratio and concentrations [29, 30]. For example, the size of the Pt nanocrystals is monodisperse and controlled by changing microwave irradiation time and monomer concentrations. The widely exploited strategies toward composition control rely on seed-mediated growth schemes. The nanocrystal morphologies depend on the relative growth rates of the exposed facets, which can be tuned by different approaches to inhibit or promote the growth of specific facets, such as space confinement, seed mediation, facet stabilization, or oriented attachment [31]. By controlling microwave reaction kinetics we have for example successfully synthesized thin Pt nanosheets, which is difficult to obtain by conventional heating methods. The thin nanosheets were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and atomic resolution scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) (Figure 3). However, the ability to arbitrarily control the above parameters in nanocrystals by tuning microwave irradiation processes is still in its early stage as also largely for conventional synthesis processes. Systematic investigation and experience are accumulated step by step, and broader foundation of knowledge of microwave-assisted controllable syntheses is being established as the method also becomes more widespread in use.

**Electrochemical oxidation via microwave synthesized metal nanocrystals**

The oxidation of small biomolecules represents a class of important anode reactions in power generation devices, typically including direct methanol fuel cells (DMFCs), direct ethanol fuel cells (DEFCs), and direct formic acid fuel cells (DFAFCs). A series of multi-step processes, e.g., molecule adsorption, C-H or C-C bond cleavage, and the further oxidation of byproducts, are involved. Pt or Pd based noble metals,
non-noble metals, and their alloys or compounds have been designed based on well-established principles, including the electronic effects and structure effects [4-8], to achieve good activity and durability. The structure effect are important to understand the effects of exposed facets, steps, kinks, etc. on the catalytic activity. The electronic effects determine the electronic status of active sites, which are realized by tuning the local chemical composition. Recent progress on the oxidation of some important small biomolecules (alcohols, organic acids, glucose, etc) catalyzed by microwave synthesized metal nanocrystals is discussed in the following and summarized in Table 1 and Table 2 that also provide a comparison of the typical rates and efficiencies achieved.

Electrochemical oxidation of alcohols. Pt is the most efficient catalyst used for a number of electrochemical oxidation reactions [11, 12]. As a typical example, Liu et al. [42] synthesized polymer-free Pt nanoparticles/graphene nanosheets by microwave-assisted reduction of graphene oxide (GO) and H₂PtCl₆, in which ethylene glycol served as a reducing agent and solvent. The microwave irradiation time was 2 min under a power of 750 W. The catalytic activity of the particles toward methanol oxidation was further demonstrated. On the other hand, the scarce nature and high cost of pure Pt catalyst, as well as the risk of poisoning, hinder its more widespread application. Alloying Pt with cheaper transition metals is a powerful route to approach this problem [20, 21]. To date, Pt- or Pd based alloy or compounds, such as PtNi, PtCo, PtMn, PtPb, PtRu, PtSn, PdNi, PdFe, PdMn, PdRu, PdSn, etc., have been successfully synthesized by the microwave-assisted method [30, 34, 38, 39, 41, 44-46, 49-51, 53-55]. Compared to the corresponding monometal catalysts, these alloys or compounds show higher electrochemical activity and durability in electrochemical oxidation of methanol, ethanol, and other polyalcohols.

A comparison of the conventional heat-treatment and microwave irradiation method was reported by Mathe et al. [39] who synthesized PtM (M=Co, Ni) bimetallic alloys using both methods and found that the alloy
structure/composition and the electrochemical methanol oxidation properties are directly related to the synthesis process. Firstly, X-ray diffraction and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy results confirmed that alloyed structures with surface segregation of Co and Ni were formed in the microwave synthesized samples. In contrast, the conventional heat treated catalysts showed Pt surface segregation. Secondly, the microwave synthesized PtNi catalyst displayed a better activity towards methanol oxidation, due to large amounts of Ni-hydroxide species observed on the catalyst surface.

Nassr and Born et al. [44] compared the catalytic properties of PtNi nanoparticles supported on oxygen functionalized carbon nanotubes, which were synthesized by microwave-assisted methods through two different irradiation modes, i.e., continuous and pulsed irradiation. It was found that the irradiation mode influenced the metal loading and the activity of the as-prepared catalysts, and the samples formed under continuous irradiation showed the highest electrocatalytic activity for methanol oxidation, caused by the electronic effect. [44]. Palma and co-authors [30] reported multimetallic Pt-based electrocatalysts (PtNi, PtRu, PtRuNi, PtSnRuNi) supported on carbon prepared by the microwave-assisted heating method. Of all the samples, the Pt_{75}Sn_{13}Ru_{11}Ni/C catalysts showed the best performance in the DEFCs test. Recent studies (see Table 1, 2) show that the synergy interactions between Pt and transition metal oxides or metal hydroxides promote the oxidation of intermediate carbon containing small molecules by providing oxygen species. Huang et al. [36] synthesized Pt/Ni(OH)_{2}/rGO ternary hybrids through a rapid microwave treatment (90 s). Through collective synergy, the three functional components in the hybrid materials together showed high activity and durability for the methanol oxidation reaction. Besides the electrochemical oxidation of simple alcohols, Pt- or Pd based alloys (PtPb, PtRu, PdRu, PdNi, PdSn, PdMn, PdFe) obtained by microwave synthesis show surprisingly high catalytic activity in the oxidation of polyalcohols (ethylene glycol, glycerol, etc) [35, 49, 50].
Catalysts without Pt are also being developed. Abdel Hameed et al. [40] prepared Ni nanoparticles on carbon black by combining wet chemical deposition with microwave irradiation. In that study the optimized Ni catalyst (30 wt. % Ni/C) showed the highest electrocatalytic activity towards methanol oxidation.

_Electrochemical oxidation of organic acids._ Typical organic acids including formic acid, acetic acid and ascorbic acid, are potential fuels in DOFC devices. Generally, organic acid oxidation reactions on catalytic electrodes undergo a dual-path mechanism: (1) through direct oxidation to produce CO$_2$, and (2) through formation of CO$_{ads}$ and the subsequent oxidation of CO$_{ads}$ to CO$_2$ at higher potentials. Among pure metals, Pd shows better catalytic activity than Pt toward the oxidation of organic acids. For example, Pd and Pt nanoparticles on Vulcan XC-72 carbon were produced by a microwave-assisted polyol process (700 W, 50 s) [52]. Tested as anode catalysts in a DFAFC device at room temperature, the open-circuit voltages of the cells using Pd/C and Pt/C catalysts were 0.74 and 0.685 V, respectively, while the corresponding peak power densities are 120 and 76.5 mW cm$^{-2}$. However, Pd catalysts are vulnerable to acidic conditions in practical applications. Pt and Pt-based alloys (PtPb, PtAu, PtSn, etc), which show high tolerance to acidic environments, are therefore widely used as electrocatalysts to oxidize organic acids. Recently, Cabello and co-workers [51] reported microwave-assisted hydrothermal synthesis of bimetallic PtAu nanoparticles with different Pt/Au ratios. PtAu alloys showed better activity than bulk Pt or even Pt nanoparticles used as electrocatalysts for the oxidation of formic acid in terms of onset potential and peak current density.

_Electrochemical oxidation of glucose._ The electrochemical oxidation of glucose attracts much attention due to the fact that glucose containing six carbon atoms is produced abundantly by plants. Hydrolysis of starch can produce large amounts of glucose at low cost. Theoretically, the total oxidation of glucose to CO$_2$ includes 24 electron transfer steps. Glucose oxidase is a redox enzyme which can selectively catalyze
electrochemical oxidation of glucose to gluconic acid, but this reaction often requires the presence of a mediator to facilitate the electron transfer to an electrode. Developing metal based nanocatalysts for glucose is challenging and progressing steadily. Pt and Pd have been tested for activity for the glucose oxidation reaction, but unfortunately the catalytic activity gradually degrades due to a risk of poisoning. In this respect, alloys based on the combination of noble and non-noble metals have shown more robust and active catalysis. Carbon supported PdAu binary catalysts were made by a modified pulse microwave assisted polyol method. The PdAu alloy with a Pd/Au ratio of 30/70 showed desirable electrochemical performance, considering current density, overpotential and poison tolerance [53]. This modified pulse microwave assisted polyol method is also successfully used for the synthesis of other alloy nanocatalysts such as PdRh [55], with electrocatalytic activity toward oxidation of glucose and with a potential for the development of direct glucose fuel cells.

**Perspectives**

Electrochemical oxidations of small biomolecules are multi-step processes that depend strongly on the properties of the catalysts. The past decade has witnessed the rapid development of design and synthesis of a wide range of anode nanocatalysts through microwave-assisted synthesis. However, some fundamental challenges of microwave synthesis clearly should be addressed in the near future: (1) precise control of the microstructures (size, morphology, composition, etc.) and assembly of the microwave synthesized nanostructures; (2) the understanding of the detailed nucleation and growth mechanisms in liquid phase under microwave irradiation; (3) investigation on scaling up microwave-assisted large-scale synthesis. (4) Understanding why and when the microwave method is better than conventional heating methods.

For commercialization of the nanocatalysts as anode materials in fuel cells, excellent performance, cost of the synthesis, and environmental impact are all factors that are important to assess. To enhance fundamental
understanding of the nanocatalysts, the following aspects are currently pursued: (1) performing
\textit{in-situ/operando} spectroscopic or microscopic studies to directly trace the evolution of catalysts in real
working conditions, which is crucial to study the catalyst degradation as well as the catalytic mechanism
[56]. (2) theoretical studies, for example density functional theory calculations and molecular dynamic
simulations, will help us to understand the atomic scale catalytic mechanism and design ideal catalyst
materials [57]. (3) as a long-term perspective, biocatalysts such as enzymes and microbial organisms are
expected to be developed in combination of biomolecules and/or systems with metal nanocatalysts to
increase their stability, durability and efficiency in oxidation processes of fuel molecules.
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This important review is provided from the perspective of a fuel-cell component supplier who needs to consider all factors (such as catalytic activity, manufacture, cost, and so on) that any electrocatalyst approach will need to meet if it is to be commercially successful.


This important review paper shows the significant advances in the area of microwave-irradiation synthesis with an emphasis on the opening period of the 21st century.

This review article illustrates microwave-assisted methods that have been developed to synthesize colloidal inorganic nanocrystals and critically evaluates the specific roles that microwave irradiation may play in the formation of these nanomaterials.


- One dimensional Pt-Co alloy nanowires were synthesized by microwave-irradiation process.


- This paper reports on electrocatalysts supported on carbon containing 40 wt% metal loading of Pt, Ru, Ni, and Sn prepared by microwave-assisted heating. Ethanol electrolysis and the related products are analyzed.


- This paper show an extensive comparative study of the effects of microwave versus conventional heating on the nucleation and growth of near-monodisperse Rh, Pd, and Pt nanoparticles. The effect of heating modes on the nucleation and nanoparticle growth kinetics is studied in detail.


- Pt-Ni(OH)₂-graphene ternary hybrids synthesized by microwave-assisted heating show improved activity and durability for methanol oxidation reaction. The incorporation of highly defective Ni(OH)₂ plays the decisive role in promoting the dissociative adsorption of water molecules and subsequent oxidative removal of carbonaceous poison on neighbouring platinum sites.


- This paper demonstrates the size, shape, and morphology of the Au nanocrystals can be controlled by varying the microwave reaction parameters, including the ratio of mixed solvents (oleylamine, oleic acid), the microwave time, and the concentration of the gold ions.


This paper compares the microstructure and methanol oxidation reaction activity of Pt-based alloys synthesized by conventional heat-treatment (HT) method and microwave-irradiation (MW). The Pt-based alloys obtained by MW method show better electrocatalytic properties toward the oxidation of methanol.


**Figure 1** Schematic representation of core concepts. Left: Microwave synthesis. Right: Electrocatalysis of a nanocatalyst for oxidation of fuel molecules and generation of energy.
Figure 2  Electron microscopy images of microwave synthesized metal nanocrystals: (a) cube-like Pt nanoparticles on reduced graphene oxide nanosheet. (Adapted with permission from ref [32], Springer, Open Access); (b) Rh nanoparticles. (Adapted with permission from ref [33]. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society); (c) Pt-Ru nanoparticles. (Adapted with permission from ref [34]. Copyright 2005 American Chemical Society); (d) FeCo@Fe@Pd nanoparticles (Adapted with permission from ref [35]. Copyright 2015 The Royal Society of Chemistry); (e, f) PtNi(OH)$_2$ nanoparticles on reduced graphene oxide nanosheet. (Adapted with permission from ref [36]. Copyright 2015 The Nature Publishing Group); Au nanoparticles with different shapes formed using (g) dioleamide only, (h) a 1:1 molar ratio of dioleamide and oleic acid, and (i) a 1:2 molar ratio of dioleamide and oleic acid. (Adapted with permission from ref [37]. Copyright 2010 American Chemical Society).
Figure 3 (a) Typical UV-Vis spectrum of Pt nanosheets prepared by microwave-assisted heating method at 170 °C for 6 hours in a mixture of 6 mL isopropanol, 6 mL oleylamine and 7.5 mg platinum(II) acetylacetonate. The inset photographs are the reaction solution after the product has been removed (left) and the purified product dispersed in hexane (right); (b, c) TEM images of the Pt nanosheets; (d, e) STM images of Pt nanosheets immobilized on highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) surface. Data from the authors.
Table 1 Microwave-assisted synthesis of typical metal nanocatalysts for electrochemical oxidation of simple alcohols. MO: methanol oxidation; EO: ethanol oxidation; EGO: ethylene glycol oxidation; GLYO: glycerol oxidation; EG: ethylene glycol. $I_f$: forward peak current; $I_b$: backward peak current; $E_f$: forward peak potential; $E_{onset}$: onset overpotential; $I_{mass}$: mass activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Precursors</th>
<th>Reaction conditions</th>
<th>Size/morphology</th>
<th>Catalytic properties</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PtPb</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6$, Pb(CH$_2$COO)$_2$, EG</td>
<td>800 W-90 s-5 min</td>
<td>5.9 nm spheres</td>
<td>MO: $\delta = 1533.7 \text{ mA mg}^{-1} \text{ Pt}$</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtCo</td>
<td>CoCl$_2$, NiCl$_2$, $\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6$, EG</td>
<td>250 °C- 750 W- 30 min</td>
<td>network of bead like nanoparticles</td>
<td>MO: $\delta = 1.68 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ (PtCo); 55.4 mA cm$^{-2}$ (PtNi)</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNi</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6$, EG, NaOH</td>
<td>min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>NiCl$_2$, NaBH$_4$, pulse mode 50 MHz, 1400 W- 5min</td>
<td>6 nm particles</td>
<td>MO: $\delta = 30 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtRu</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6$, RuCl$_3$, EG</td>
<td>120 °C- 20 min</td>
<td>1.85-4.15 nm particles</td>
<td>MO: $\delta = 1231.7 \text{ mA mg}^{-1} \text{ Pt}$</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6$, EG</td>
<td>750 W- 2 min</td>
<td>nanoparticles</td>
<td>MO: $E = 0.65 \text{ V}$</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 nm in width and 5-10 nm in length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/Cu$_2$O</td>
<td>$\text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_4$</td>
<td>240 W- 6 min</td>
<td>4.0 nm-Pt/Cu$_2$O</td>
<td>MO:</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/Cu$_2$O</td>
<td>$\text{K}_2\text{PdCl}_4$, $\text{Cu(Ac)}_2$</td>
<td>9.6 nm-Pd/Cu$_2$O</td>
<td>MO: $\delta = 1321.9 \text{ mA mg}^{-1} \text{ Pt}$ (Pt/Cu$_2$O); 718.0 mA mg$^{-1}$ Pd (Pd/Cu$_2$O)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNi</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6$, Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$, EG</td>
<td>Pulse mode-700 W</td>
<td>2.5-2.7 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>MO: $\delta/\delta_b = 0.81-0.86$</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNi</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6$, Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$, EG</td>
<td>Continues mode-700 W</td>
<td>3.2-3.3 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>MO: $\delta/\delta_b = 0.75-1.1$</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtRu</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6$, RuCl$_3$, ethanol, $\text{H}_2$O</td>
<td>300 W-4 min</td>
<td>3.1-7.7 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>MO: $\delta/\delta_b = 0.88-1.65$</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/Na(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>$\text{Ni(Ac)}_3$, EG, $\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6$ and poly(methacrylic acid)</td>
<td>800 W-90 s</td>
<td>2 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>MO: $\delta = 1236 \text{ mA mg}^{-1} \text{ Pt}$</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtRu</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6$, RuCl$_3$, EG</td>
<td>163 °C-3 min</td>
<td>2.8 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>MO: $I_{mass} = 20 \text{ A g}^{-1} @ 0.4 \text{ V}$</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal Catalyst</td>
<td>Precursors</td>
<td>Synthesis Conditions</td>
<td>Nanoparticle Size</td>
<td>Electrochemical Oxidation Properties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtSn</td>
<td>H₂PtCl₆, SnCl₂, EG, ethanol, or propylene glycol</td>
<td>800 W - 60 s</td>
<td>2.9-8.1 nm</td>
<td>Nanoparticles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EO:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; = 12.2 A g⁻¹ Pt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/SnO₂</td>
<td>H₂PtCl₆, SnO₂, EG</td>
<td>140 °C-5 min</td>
<td>3-4 nm Pt</td>
<td>Nanoparticles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EO:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; = 180 A g⁻¹ Pt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNi</td>
<td>H₂PtCl₆, NiCl₂</td>
<td>800 W-60 s</td>
<td>~2.5 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>EO: Imass=</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtRu</td>
<td>SnCl₂, RuCl₃</td>
<td>500 W, 80 bars, 198 °C-15 min</td>
<td>7.4-10.8 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>EGO: k&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;/k&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt; = 2.05-3.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtRuNi</td>
<td>NiCl₂, EG</td>
<td>500 W, 80 bars, 198 °C-15 min</td>
<td>7.4-10.8 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>GLYO: k&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;/k&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt; = 3.05-4.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtSnRuNi</td>
<td>NiCl₂, EG</td>
<td>500 W, 80 bars, 198 °C-15 min</td>
<td>7.4-10.8 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>GLYO: k&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;/k&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt; = 3.05-4.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>PdCl₂, citric acid,</td>
<td>650 W-5min</td>
<td>~7 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>EO:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;/k&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt; = 0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/FeCo@Fe</td>
<td>FeCl₂, CoCl₂, PdCl₂, EG, Polyvinylpyrr</td>
<td>500 W, 80 bars, 198 °C-15 min</td>
<td>7.4-10.8 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>EGO: k&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;/k&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt; = 2.05-3.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Polyvinylpyrr</td>
<td></td>
<td>GLYO: k&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;/k&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt; = 3.05-4.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PdM/C (M = Ru, Ni, Sn, Mn, Fe)</td>
<td>PdCl₂, SnCl₂, RuCl₃, NiCl₂, FeCl₂, MnCl₂, propylene glycol, NaOH</td>
<td>Pulse mode-800 W</td>
<td>3.1-4.9 nm</td>
<td>Nanoparticles</td>
<td>GLYO: k&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;/k&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt; = 2.05-3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtRu</td>
<td>PdCl₂, H₂PtCl₆</td>
<td>Pulse mode-800 W</td>
<td>2.47-4.55 nm</td>
<td>Nanoparticles</td>
<td>GLYO: k&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;/k&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt; = 2.05-3.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Microwave-assisted synthesis of typical metal nanocatalysts for electrochemical oxidation of...
formic acid and glucose. FAO: formic acid oxidation; GO: glucose oxidation; EG: ethylene glycol. $I_f$: forward peak current; $I_b$: backward peak current; $E_f$: forward peak potential; $E_{onset}$: onset overpotential; $I_{mass}$: mass activity; $P_{max}$: maximum power of single cell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Precursors</th>
<th>Reaction conditions</th>
<th>Size/morphology</th>
<th>Catalytic properties</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PtPb</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_5$, Pb(CH$_3$COO)$_2$, EG, Pb(CH$_3$COO)$_2$</td>
<td>800 W- 90 s-5 min</td>
<td>5.9 nm spheres</td>
<td>FAO: $I_f = 1139.1 \text{ mA mg}^{-1} \text{ Pt}$</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtAu</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_5$, HAuCl$_4$, Na$_3$C$_6$H$_5$O$_7$</td>
<td>150 °C- 6 min- 10 bar</td>
<td>~2nm nanoparticles agglomeration</td>
<td>FAO: $E_{onset} = 0.218-0.348$ V</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_5$, PdCl$_2$, EG, KOH</td>
<td>700 W- 50 s</td>
<td>4 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>FAO: single cell @25 °C: $P_{max} = 120$ mW cm$^{-2}$ (Pt), 76.5 mW cm$^{-2}$ (Pd)</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>EG, KOH</td>
<td>5 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PdAu</td>
<td>PdCl$_2$, HAuCl$_4$, EG</td>
<td>Pulse mode</td>
<td>6.6-9.7 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>GO</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PdAu</td>
<td>PdCl$_2$, HAuCl$_4$, EG</td>
<td>Pulse mode</td>
<td>4.4-4.8 nm nanoparticles</td>
<td>GO</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PdRh</td>
<td>PdCl$_2$, RhCl$_3$, EG, NaOH</td>
<td>Pulse mode</td>
<td>5-12 nm Nanoparticles</td>
<td>GO: $I_f = 1.7-3.5$ mA cm$^{-2}$; $I_b = 0.2-0.9$ mA cm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>