



## Physics based, validated reliable modeling of single element focused ultrasound transducer (SEFT)

Pasquinelli, Cristina; Montanaro, Hazael; Lee, Hyunjoo J.; Kuster, Niels; Neufeld, Esra; Thielscher, Axel

*Publication date:*  
2019

*Document Version*  
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*

Pasquinelli, C., Montanaro, H., Lee, H. J., Kuster, N., Neufeld, E., & Thielscher, A. (Accepted/In press). *Physics based, validated reliable modeling of single element focused ultrasound transducer (SEFT)*. Abstract from 19th International Symposium for Therapeutic Ultrasound, Barcelona, Spain.

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## PHYSICS BASED, VALIDATED RELIABLE MODELING OF SINGLE ELEMENT FOCUSED ULTRASOUND TRANSDUCER (SEFT)

Cristina Pasquinelli<sup>1,2</sup>, Hazael Montanaro<sup>3,4</sup>, Hyunjoo J. Lee<sup>5</sup>, Niels Kuster<sup>3</sup>, Esra Neufeld<sup>3</sup>, Axel Thielscher<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> DRCMR, Hvidovre, Denmark

<sup>2</sup> DTU, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

<sup>3</sup> ITIS, Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup> ETH, Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>5</sup> KAIST, Daejeon, South Korea

\*e-mail: axelt@drcmr.dk

### OBJECTIVES

Transducer models for the simulation of transcranial focused ultrasound stimulation (TFUS) are often not accurate when only based on the specifications of the manufacturer, but require adaptations based on hydrophone measurements. We investigated the importance of creating a transducer model that is based on a real physical representation of the geometry and internal transducer structure, rather than an 'effective' model optimized to fit hydrophone measurements in water.

### METHODS

A SEFT operating at 500 KHz has been characterized through measurements in a water tank with and without obstacles of varying shape (plate, pig and sheep skull) printed from a material with known acoustic properties (Veroblack) at different positions. We compared an 'effective' model with our new physical model accounting for internal structure, using the gamma method (spatial and intensity tolerance: 5mm and 15%). We calculated the percentage of points outside this tolerance (failure %) as well as the deviations of the position of maximum intensity (max) and intensity and the full width at half maximum (FWHM).

### RESULTS

The results are shown in the Table.

### CONCLUSIONS

While 'effective' transducer models can well reproduce the acoustic distribution in water, they are significantly less accurate than physical representation-based models when obstacles are introduced.

		Failure [%]	Deviation (% normalized by tolerance) of		
			Position of max	FWHM	Intensity
Water background	Effective model	0.4	-88	-157	-
	Physical model	0	-28	37	-
Obstacle plate	Effective model	19	-135	-220	-77
	Physical model	0	-72	-57	5.4
Sheep skull	Effective model	4	-100	-88	-17
	Physical model	0	-92	38	-42
Pig skull	Effective model	25	-42	-	-284
	Physical model	0	-41	-	100

**TABLE:** Results of the comparison. In one case the obstacle was too close to the focus to measure the FWHM. The deviations are normalized to the chosen tolerances, i.e. values outside the range from -100 to 100 exceed the tolerance limits.