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CMUT Electrode Resistance Design: Modelling and Experimental Verification by a Row-Column Array

Andreas Spandet Havreland, Mathias Engholm, Borislav Gueorguiev Tomov, Jørgen Arendt Jensen, Ole Hansen and Erik Vilain Thomsen

Abstract—This paper addresses the importance of having control over the resistivity of the electrodes for capacitive micromachined ultrasonic transducers (CMUT) devices. The electrode resistivity can vary depending on the fabrication technology used, and resistivity control becomes especially important in the cases where metal electrodes cannot be used. This raises the question: When is the resistivity of an electrode sufficiently low? To answer this question, we have developed a simple design criterion. The criterion describes the attenuation of AC signals along a CMUT element. It is shown that the non-dimensional product between angular excitation frequency, resistance, and capacitance of an element has to be smaller than 0.35 to ensure an AC potential drop along the element of less than 1%. The optimal magnitude and directionality of the transmit pressure will be achieved if CMUT elements are designed according to the developed criteria. Hence, the model can be used to estimate device parameters that will ensure the CMUT is suitable for generating ultrasound images. An example is given where the model is used to predict the required electrode thickness for structured electrodes made of Gold, Aluminium, and Indium-Tin-Oxide, respectively. To verify the model, two Row-Column addressed (RCA) CMUT transducers were used to illustrate the effect of high and low electrode resistivity. One transducer had a sufficient electrode resistivity, and the other had an insufficient electrode resistivity. The RCA CMUT transducers were fabricated using fusion bonding, where the top electrode is made of aluminium and the bottom electrode is made of doped silicon. The resistivity of the aluminium top electrode is $2 \times 10^{-6} \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ for both transducers, whereas the resistivity for the bottom electrode is $0.1 \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ for the first transducer and $0.005 \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ for the second transistor. The transducer with low resistivity emits pressure uniformly along both the rows and columns, whereas the transmit pressure field from the other transducer has a uniformly distributed pressure field along the rows, but a decreasing pressure field along the columns due to the high resistivity in the bottom electrode. The pressure drop, along the columns, is frequency dependent and has been observed to be 63%, 74%, and 82% for the excitation frequencies 2 MHz, 4.5 MHz, and 7 MHz, respectively.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Capacitive Micromachined Ultrasonic Transducers (CMUT) have shown promising results within the field of ultrasonic medical imaging [1]. Several CMUT papers have been published demonstrating fabrication [2], theoretical models [3], electronics [4], assembly of transducers [1], and safety concerns [5]. The fabrication process of CMUTs can vary significantly from one transducer design to another. However, common for all CMUTs is the overall structure consisting of a substrate, a cavity, and a vibrating plate or membrane. Furthermore, CMUTs have two electrodes, a top and a bottom electrode. The top electrode is typically a metal electrode, whereas the bottom electrode mostly is made of either a metal or doped silicon.

The resistivity of metal electrodes is on the order of $10^{-6} \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ [6], while the resistivity of a silicon electrode varies from $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-6} \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ depending on the doping level [7]. The resistance in the electrode has to be sufficiently low to achieve acceptable performance of the CMUTs, which in most cases never becomes an issue for metal electrodes due to their low resistivity. However, in special cases it can become challenging to use even highly doped silicon, particularly for long elements such as elements in a Row-Column Addressed (RCA) array. If the resistivity in an electrode becomes too high, the overall system will behave as a low pass filter, and during operation the applied AC signals will be significantly attenuated along the electrode, leading to variations in the transmit pressure. A non-uniform transmitting element will skew the transmitted field and seriously degrade the receive focusing. Additionally, a larger element resistance decreases the received energy leading to an overall degradation of the SNR in the ultrasound image. Another example where limited electrode resistivity can occur is transparent CMUTs [8], [9]. In such applications the transparent conductor Indium-Tin-Oxide (ITO) is typically used as electrode material, due to its optical transparency and low electrical resistivity. However, the resistivity of ITO is on the order of $10^{-4} \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$, hence, two orders of magnitude higher than that of aluminium and gold films, and electrode resistance can therefore become a significant problem in such devices.

To eliminate problems with electrode resistance it would be natural to design a given CMUT device such that the bottom electrode is made of metal. However, this is not always an option, due to process compatibility as high temperature processes do not permit the use of metals. In the literature
multiple approaches have been reported, and the four most important techniques are fusion/direct bonding [10], sacrificial release [11], anodic bonding [12], and adhesive polymer bonding [13]. Cross sectional views of a CMUT cell fabricated using the four techniques are shown in Fig. 1. A fusion bonded CMUT is sketched in a). This process requires a post annealing temperature on the order of 1000 °C, which excludes the use of a metal bottom electrode. A doped silicon bottom electrode is therefore commonly used instead. A sacrificial release fabricated CMUT is shown in b). This method allows both metal [11] and silicon [11] bottom electrodes. Thus, resistivity problems can be present in this type of CMUTs, if a silicon electrode is used. An anodically bonded CMUT is shown in c), and a gold electrode will be the natural choice for the bottom electrode. Electrode resistance considerations can also be relevant for transparent applications, when ITO is used as electrode material. Finally, an adhesive polymer bonded CMUT is shown in d). This technique is flexible with respect to design, and enables low temperature bonding between all common semiconductor materials. Similar to the sacrificial release an adhesive bonded CMUT can be designed with both a silicon [13], [14] and a metal bottom electrode [15]. This technology has also been used for transparent applications [16], [17], where electrode resistance again can become an issue. In the CMUT applications where problems with electrode resistance can occur, the resistivity and electrode dimensions have to be chosen correctly to obtain full functionality. The minimum required resistivity and electrode dimensions, such as thickness and length, can be estimated by a simple criterion developed in this paper. The criterion is developed from delay line theory, which is used to predict the attenuation of AC signals along an element, and experimental data, from two RCA transducers, are used to validate the theory. The RCA transducer has two electrodes perpendicular to each other with different resistivities [18]. A RCA transducer is therefore ideal to evaluate the effect of electrode resistance, since the same CMUT cells can be excited by two different electrode configurations. Measuring the AC potential along the bottom electrode is not easy experimentally, however, the effect can be quantified by measuring the transmit pressure along an element. The model will later be used to estimate the required thickness for different electrode materials. The discussed theory can be used regardless of the fabrication method and applied to both top and bottom electrodes. Publications regarding electrode resistance for CMUTs are limited, and only a brief discussion have been found in [11]. The paper is organized as follows: Section II introduces a delay line theory for CMUT arrays and an explanation of the measurement set-up. Results are discussed and compared to the developed theory in Section III. Finally, Section IV concludes the paper.

II. Method

A. Modelling

The potential along CMUT elements can be modelled as a delay line as shown in Fig. 2, where (a) shows a common CMUT design with an equivalent circuits on top. The resistivity of the electrode determines the magnitude of the resistors. The variable capacitors represent CMUTs and the constant capacitors represent the parasitic capacitance. By merging the capacitive components, the electronic circuit can be described by Resistor Capacitor (RC) delay lines as shown in Fig. 2 (b). In this model the resistors and capacitors are assumed to be evenly distributed along the element, \( R_d \) and \( C_d \), are resistance and capacitance of a distributed segment of length \( \Delta x \), and are related to the total resistance and capacitance by

\[
R_d = \frac{R}{L \Delta x} \quad C_d = \frac{C}{L \Delta x},
\]

where \( L \) is the length of the element. The capacitance of a CMUT element can be mathematically formulated as
where $C_p$ is the total parasitic capacitance and $C_{cell}$ is the capacitance of each of the $n$ CMUT cells. The device capacitance of a CMUT cell can be calculated as described in several papers [11], [19], [20], and is determined by cell geometry and gap height. These parameters are fixed depending on the application. The resistance of an element with an uniform cross-sectional area, $A$, is [7]

$$R = \frac{\rho L}{A},$$

where $\rho$ is the resistivity and $L$ is the length of the element. The resistivity in silicon can vary eight orders of magnitude depending on the doping level [7], hence it is a crucial parameter from a design perspective.

In the limit where $\Delta x \to 0$ and under the assumption of constant capacitance and resistance the voltage distribution $u(x,t)$ in a RC delay line is governed by the diffusion equation [21]

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = L^2 \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{RC}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x},$$

where $x$ is the position along the length of the element, $t$ is time, and the diffusion coefficient is described by the product $L^2/RC$. During operation a CMUT will be subject to the following boundary conditions and initial condition

$$u(0,t) = V_0 g(2\pi ft)$$

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=L} = 0$$

$$u(x,0) = 0,$$

where $V_0$ is the amplitude of the excitation voltage, and $g(2\pi ft)$ are the excitation frequency and function, respectively. The first boundary condition (5) corresponds to the input signal at the electrode pad. The second boundary condition (6) states that the current density is zero at the end of the electrode, hence, the flux is zero. The initial condition (7) implies zero AC voltage at $t = 0$. The diffusion equation does not have a closed form solution for these particular initial and boundary conditions. However, a closed form solution of the transfer function can be found in the frequency domain. After a Fourier transform, $\mathcal{F}$, the diffusion equation (4) can be expressed as:

$$\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\kappa^2}{L^2} U = 0$$

where

$$\mathcal{F} \{ u \} = U \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa^2 = i\omega RC.$$  

By imposing the transformed boundary conditions

$$\mathcal{F} \{ u(0,t) \} = V_0 G(\omega)$$

$$\mathcal{F} \left\{ \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x} \right\} \bigg|_{x=L} = 0$$

the final solution becomes:

$$U(x, \omega) = V_0 G(\omega) \left( \frac{\cosh \left( \kappa \left( 1 - \frac{x}{L} \right) \right)}{\cosh(\kappa)} \right),$$

where $H(x, \omega)$ is the transfer function of the system. The product $\omega RC$ is the determining factor for this system, and the product can be understood as an inverse dimensionless diffusion coefficient, see explanation in Appendix A. A visualization of the transfer function is plotted for different values of $\omega RC$ in Fig. 3, for both the absolute magnitude a) and phase b). The absolute magnitude decays along the element, and it translates into a decreasing AC magnitude along the element, hence, the emitted pressure is highest near the contact pad and then attenuated along the element. The attenuating effect becomes more pronounced as $\omega RC$ increases. The phase shift along the element is also influenced by $\omega RC$, so the emission will be time delayed along the element. Equivalently to the magnitude, the higher $\omega RC$ value the more pronounced effect. Consequently, at the end of an element the applied AC signal becomes increasingly attenuated and delayed in time as $\omega RC$ increases. The design criterion is found by evaluating the absolute magnitude of the transfer function at the end of an element, where $H(x, \omega)$ simplifies to

$$H(L, \omega) = \frac{1}{\cosh(\kappa)}.$$  

A criterion of a 1% potential drop at the end of an element is used as a guideline to get close to a uniform acoustic pressure
The lower bound of the model where $\omega$ indicates a magnitude less than 0.99. b) The phase delay at the end of an magnitude of the transfer function is above above 0.99 and the red region indicates a magnitude less than 0.99. b) The phase delay at the end of an element. The 0.99 magnitude threshold is also indicated on this plot, and the criterion corresponds to a delay at the end of -0.17 radians or -9.7 degrees.

along the element. In terms of phase shift along the element this criterion corresponds to a delay of -0.17 radians or -9.7 degrees. The magnitude and phase of the transfer function at the end of the element are plotted as a function of $\omega RC$ in Fig. 4 a) and the phase delay is plotted in b). The green area indicates the regime where this criterion is satisfied and red where it is not. A numerical solution of (13) shows that the criterion is met when

$$\omega RC < 0.35.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

CMUTs can be considered as a voltage dependent capacitor, thus, a time dependent voltage signal yields a time dependent capacitance. The time dependence of the capacitance gives rise to non-linearity in this delay line model, and these effects are assumed to be negligible, therefore, all capacitive effects are modelled into a constant capacitor. The capacitance is inversely proportional to the gap height, and during operation of a CMUT the top plate is displaced to either transmit or receive a signal. Hence, changes in capacitance is a necessity for an operational CMUT. However, a constant capacitance assumption is used to obtain a simple analytical closed form model. The largest change in gap size occurs at the resonance frequency, where the constant capacitance assumption is violated most. Away from the resonance the displacement of the top plate decreases, and the validity of the constant capacitance assumption increases. The lower bound of the model where $\omega RC \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to the stationary DC case and is well described by the model. The upper bound where $\omega RC \rightarrow \infty$ will first of all be limited by the underlying assumptions of ideal resistors and capacitors, since inductive components will start to dominate as the frequency increases.

The capacitance and resistance can be measured after the CMUT array has been fabricated. However, estimating $\omega RC$ before fabrication can be cumbersome, especially if the doping profile is varying though the substrate. By introducing the concepts of sheet resistance $R_{\square}$ and capacitance per area $C'$, (14) can be rewritten as

$$\omega RC \omega C'L^2 < 0.35.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

This expression shows a square dependency on the element length, hence, long elements will be influenced significantly by this effect if not designed correctly. The elevation length of 1D arrays is designed by the wavelength multiplied by a factor (typically in the range between 20-30). However, the element length in a RCA array is determined by the number of channels. Thus, by linearly increasing the number of channels the product $\omega RC$ increases with a square dependency. Therefore, problems with resistivity will be much more pronounced in RCA arrays compared to 1D arrays, where these effects rarely will be a problem. Derivation of (15) and an analysis of a varying doping profile can be found in Appendix B.

B. CMUT transducer

The two transducers used in this work are fully assembled CMUT probes, with identical footprints, and 16.6 mm long elements. Details of the design, fabrication, and assembly of such probes can be found in the publications [22], [23]. The top electrode is identical for the two probes and made of 400 nm aluminium with a resistivity on the order of $2 \times 10^{-6} \Omega\cdot$cm. The resistivity for the bottom electrodes differs between the two probes. To distinguish between the two probes, the probe with high resistivity is denoted probe 1 and the probe with lower resistivity is denoted probe 2. Both probes have a pull-in voltage of 220 V and $\lambda/2$ pitch. Probe 1 is a 92+92 RCA array with a center frequency of 4.5 MHz and probe 2 is a 62+62 RCA array with a center frequency of 3.0 MHz. Additional details can be found in Table I. The capacitance is estimated from impedance measurements, and the resistance is calculated using (3) and estimated as the worst-case scenario with respect to the resistivity provided by the SOI-wafer supplier. The difference in capacitance, between the top and bottom electrode, is due to capacitive substrate coupling, as detailed in [24]. The top electrode satisfies in all cases the criterion of $\omega RC < 0.35$. However, the bottom electrode has an $\omega RC$ ranging from 9.5 to 33.3 for probe 1 leading to an expected potential drop of 76%, 92%, and 96% for 2 MHz, 4.5 MHz, and 7 MHz, respectively.

The bottom electrode for probe 2 has $\omega RC = 0.4$, corresponding to an attenuation of 1.2% along the element. This value does not strictly satisfy the developed criterion, but in an experimental setting, the additional 0.2% attenuation will not be critical for the overall performance.

C. Acoustic characterization

The AC potential drop along the bottom electrode is difficult to measure experimentally due to the encapsulation of CMUTs, so to indirectly verify this effect the transmit pressure field has been measured instead. By using the Row-Column addressing
scheme, it is possible to actuate the same CMUTs cells, but with two different electrode configurations. The transmit pressure field is measured for all elements individually at a distance of 1 cm using an AIMS III intensity measurement system (Onda Corp., California, USA) with an Onda HGL-0400 Hydrophone connected to the experimental research ultrasound scanner, SARUS [25]. The elements are excited by a 6 cycle sinusoidal pulse at different frequencies. Six cycles were chosen to ensure the correct excitation frequency for the three positions. The fundamental to harmonic ratio decreases along the element. Near the contact the second harmonic is suppressed −13 dB, whereas at the center of the element the suppression is −19 dB, finally, at the end the suppression is observed to be −25 dB.

frequency of 4.5 MHz and each plot has been normalized to its own maximum and dB compressed. The top electrodes a) have an oRC value of 0.03 satisfying the criterion in (14), and the pressure distribution is observed to be uniformly distributed along the element. The bottom electrodes b) have an oRC value of 21.4 and violates the criterion and is expected to attenuate high frequency signals. A significant attenuation is experimentally verified in the pressure distribution, where the maximum pressure is measured at the edge, followed by a decaying tendency along the element. The model predicts an attenuation of 92% from the electrode pad to the end of the element at 4.5 MHz.

To verify that high frequency components are attenuated along the element, the frequency components have been evaluated from three different positions, near the contact, in the center of the element, and at the end of the element. Fig. 6 shows the frequency content normalized to its own maximum for the three positions. The fundamental to harmonic ratio is, as predicted, observed to decreases along the element. The second harmonic is suppressed by −13 dB closest to the contact, −19 dB in the center of the element, and −25 dB at the end of the element. Additionally, the low frequency content, relative to the fundamental, is more dominant away from the contact. These measurements verify the low-pass filtering properties of CMUT elements, when oRC is not correctly designed.

The pressure drop along an element should be qualitatively similar to the transfer function delay line model is shown for selected values of oRC in Fig. 7 a). The mean and standard deviation of the cross-correlated peak-to-peak pressure fields along the center of an element is plotted in Fig. 7 b) and c) for probe 1 and 2, respectively. The solid lines represent the bottom electrodes and the dashed line the top electrodes. Only one pressure profile has been plotted for the top electrodes for probe 1 due to same behavior for the other excitation frequencies. The highest frequency of 7 MHz is used as a representation of the worst-case scenario in terms of oRC. To

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe 1</th>
<th>Top</th>
<th>Bottom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>10 Ω</td>
<td>&lt;6.3 kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitance</td>
<td>80 pF</td>
<td>120 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistivity</td>
<td>3.9 × 10⁻⁶ Ωcm</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 Ωcm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oRC @ 4.5 MHz</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe 2</th>
<th>Top</th>
<th>Bottom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>10 Ω</td>
<td>&lt;62 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitance</td>
<td>136 pF</td>
<td>339 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistivity</td>
<td>3.9 × 10⁻⁶ Ωcm</td>
<td>&lt;0.005 Ωcm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oRC @ 3 MHz</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Average peak-to-peak pressure field at 4.5 MHz for probe 1. Pressure field measurement of single top a) and bottom b) electrode. The pressure distribution for the top electrode is uniform along the element. For the bottom electrode the pressure reaches its maximum value near the contact followed by a decaying tendency along the element due to the high resistivity.

Figure 6. Normalized frequency content for three different positions along an element. The measurement is carried out with probe 1 at 4.5 MHz corresponding to oRC = 21.4. The fundamental to harmonic ratio decreases along the element. Near the contact the second harmonic is suppressed −13 dB, whereas at the center of the element the suppression is −19 dB, finally, at the end the suppression is observed to be −25 dB.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean and standard deviation of the peak-to-peak pressure including harmonics have been estimated by spatially cross-correlating the pressure field from Row element 1 with all other Row elements and likewise for the columns. The averaged peak-to-peak pressure field, of single top and bottom electrodes for probe 1, is shown in Fig. 5. The probe is excited at the center.

![Table I Details about top and bottom electrodes for the two probes.](image-url)
obtain a fair comparison between the different frequencies, each pressure profile has been normalized to its own maximum. It is observed that higher values of $\omega RC$ lead to higher reduction of the pressure along the electrode and uniform pressure along the electrode is observed for small value of $\omega RC$, all in good agreement with the transfer function model. The emitted pressure using the bottom electrode from probe 1 is observed to drop 63%, 74%, and 82% for excitation frequencies of 2 MHz, 4.5 MHz, and 7 MHz, respectively.

The data acquisition time for the pressure field maps was 4 hours, and long-time-scales-effects are assumed negligible. The standard deviation would vary across the element, if long-time-scales-effects were present. The standard deviation, on Fig. 7 b) and c), is approximately constant along the element for each measurement, thus, the emitted pressure is stable in time.

Attenuation of the applied AC signal along the element will not only affect CMTU elements in transmit. In receive attenuation issues will also occur for pressure signals incident on the end of an element. In addition to attenuation, a higher electrode resistance will also increase the noise floor due to an increased thermal noise voltage, the mean square value of which scales linearly with the resistance. Hence, besides attenuation and delay along the element, a reduction in SNR is also expected, due to the higher resistance.

The electrostatic force for a CMTU scales with $(V_{DC} + V_{AC})^2$, and whenever $V_{AC} \ll V_{DC}$ the force will be approximately linear in $V_{AC}$. This is the reason why the transfer function model maps the normalized pressure quantitatively correct. The discrepancy between Fig. 7 a) and b) could partly be due to an excitation voltage $V_{AC}$ of 75 V, corresponding to 41% of the 180 V applied DC bias, in which case $V_{AC}^2$ becomes non negligible. Non-linear effects could be reduced by lowering the applied AC voltage, however, these parameters are chosen to imitate real operation conditions for medical imaging. Another reason for the discrepancy is the estimate of $\omega RC$, where in particular the resistance is uncertain. The SOI-wafer, used for the bottom electrodes, is specified with an upper bound on the resistivity, and this was used for estimating $\omega RC$. The true $\omega RC$ value could therefore be lower, and thereby decrease the discrepancy between theory and experiment.

To demonstrate the predictability of the model, the same measurements have been carried out on probe 2. The average pressure field distributions at 3 MHz is shown in Fig. 8 for the top a) and bottom b) electrodes. $\omega RC$ is in this case 0.02 and 0.4 for the top and bottom electrode, respectively. The criterion (14) is nearly satisfied in both cases, and the predicted attenuation is 0.004 % and 1.2 % for top and bottom electrodes, respectively. No significant attenuation is observed for both electrode configurations, which demonstrates that $\omega RC$ can be used as a guideline for the resistance limitation in transducer designs.

Reducing the resistivity will always be beneficial in terms of minimizing $\omega RC$. However, reducing the resistivity in silicon is equivalent to increasing the doping concentration, and as the doping concentration increases, so does the intrinsic stress inside the silicon crystal. In the fabrication process, thermal mismatch after annealing between the layers introduces stress in the CMTU structure. Precise estimates of the stress distribution in the CMTU are difficult to obtain due to a wide temperature
An estimate of the resistance can be obtained by assuming the structured electrode reduces the area of the electrode and the range between 0 horizontal resistors can be ignored. This assumption simplifies the circuit to a parallel circuit with three branches, as shown in Fig. 9 b). The resistance in each branch, \( R_b \), in the simplified model is then calculated by

\[
R_b = 276 \times (R_{\text{wire}} + R_{\text{disk}}).
\]

When the resistance of a single branch, \( R_b \), is known the total resistance can be estimated as a function of electrode thickness and the established criterion (14) can be used to calculate a minimum electrode thickness \( h_{\text{min}} \). The resistivity for aluminium, gold, and ITO for \( h_{\text{min}} \) @ 4.5 MHz can be found in Table II. Four point probe measurements have been carried out to determine the resistivity of a 100 nm aluminium- and gold film, and the ITO resistivity has been found in [26]. Given the design and dimensions in Fig. 9 the estimated minimum electrode thickness for aluminium and gold are 58 nm and 50 nm, respectively. In the literature the typical thickness of a structured electrode varies from 50 nm [27] to 200 nm [28]. Hence, typical metal electrodes will be sufficient for arrays of this size. For transparent CMUT applications the required thickness of ITO is 2.7 \( \mu \text{m} \), which is impractical in such a fabrication process.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper presented a mathematical model to estimate required electrical properties to operate a functional CMUT, and the model has been verified experimentally. Two Row-Column addressed probes were used to demonstrate the effect, one probe with a high resistivity electrodes and another with a low resistivity electrodes. The CMUT probes both had aluminium top electrodes and doped silicon bottom electrodes. The doping level was varied between the two probes corresponding to a bottom electrode resistivity of 0.1 \( \Omega \text{cm} \) for the first probe and 0.005 \( \Omega \text{cm} \) for the second probe. High resistivity in the electrode impedes propagation of high frequency AC signals along the element, and the theory of RC delay lines was used to describe the frequency dependent attenuation. High frequency filtering was demonstrated by examining the frequency content at three different positions along an element. For an \( \omega RC \) value of 21.4 the fundamental to second harmonic ratio was observed to decrease along the element from 13 dB near the contact, to 18 dB in the center of the element to 25 dB at the end of the element. From this work it can be concluded that a CMUT fabrication process should be designed such that \( \omega RC < 0.35 \) where \( \omega \) is the angular excitation frequency, \( R \) is the element resistance, and \( C \) is the element capacitance. When CMUTs are designed according to this criterion, the amplitude attenuation of the applied AC signal will be reduced less than 1% along the element. Experimentally this effect was demonstrated for both probes. The low resistivity probe showed a uniform pressure profile along the element, and the high resistivity probe showed a significant decay in the pressure.

![Figure 9](image_url)

**Figure 9.** a) Top view sketch of a hypothetical structured electrode to fit the presented design, dimensions for the calculations are included together with assumed direction of the electric field. b) Lumped modelling of the electrode. c) Simplified lumped model.
profile along the element. For \( \alpha RC \) equal to 9.5, 21.4, and 33.3 the mean transmit pressure at the end of an element was observed to drop 63%, 74%, and 82%, respectively.
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APPENDIX A

The delay line model can be written in a non-dimensional form by introducing the new variables \( x = x/L, t^* = \omega t \) and \( u^* = u/u_0 \), where the asterisk indicates non-dimensional variables. The non-dimensional version of the differential equation then reads

\[
\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial t^*} = \frac{1}{\alpha RC} \frac{\partial^2 u^*}{\partial x^*^2}
\]

where the dimensionless diffusion coefficient is given by

\[
D^* = \frac{1}{\alpha RC}.
\]

To ensure a highly responsive system, and thereby a more uniform voltage distribution along the element, the diffusion coefficient \( D^* \) has to be maximized. Notice, this is in full agreement with the parameter found in (12).

APPENDIX B

\( \alpha RC \) can be decoupled into lateral and vertical geometry dependence by introducing the concepts of sheet resistance and capacitance per area. The sheet resistance, \( R_{S} \), depends on junction depth \( \delta \) and the carrier mobility \( \mu \) in the following manner [29]

\[
R_S = \frac{1}{\epsilon q \mu(z)N(z)\delta}
\]
solution of $R_{\square}$ can be found. In all other cases numerical integration must be used. For an rectangular shaped element the sheet resistance is related to the resistance by

$$R = \frac{\rho}{h} \frac{L}{W} = R_{\square} \frac{L}{W},$$

where $W$ is the width of the element. The capacitance per area $C'$ is simply the capacitance divided by the cross-sectional area

$$C = C'WL.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Thus, the product $\omega RC$ can be rewritten by substituting (21) and (22) into (14):

$$\omega R_{\square}C'L^2 < 0.35 \hspace{1cm} (23)$$

$R_{\square}$ and $C'$ only have a vertical dependence, whereas all lateral dependencies are now moved into $L^2$. $\omega R_{\square}C'L^2$ represents a generalized version of $\omega RC$, which can be estimated for all geometries and doping profiles.

APPENDIX C

The series resistance contribution from the disk shaped element can be calculated using finite elements for any given geometry. An approximate closed form expression, however, is useful when effects of geometrical changes are evaluated. The resistance of the disk shaped element is well approximated by the resistance of two trapezoidal resistance elements in series as illustrated in Fig. 10; each trapezoidal element has a base width equal to the diameter $d$ of the disk, while the top width equals the width $w$ of the connecting wire and the height of the trapezoidal element is $H = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{d^2 - w^2} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(d-w)(d+w)}$ as seen from elementary geometry.

The cross-section of the conduction path then varies linearly along the element, and the incremental resistance contribution $dR$ for an element of length $dy$ becomes

$$dR = \rho \frac{dy}{h f(y)} = \rho \frac{dy}{h w + (d-w) \frac{dy}{H}}$$

where $h$ is the thickness of the conducting material of resistivity $\rho$.

The total resistance of the disk is then approximately

$$R_{\text{disk}} \approx \frac{2\rho}{h} \int_0^H \frac{dy}{w + (d-w) \frac{dy}{H}} = \frac{\rho}{h} \sqrt{\frac{d+w}{d-w}} \ln \left( \frac{d}{w} \right), \hspace{1cm} (24)$$

where the factor of 2 is due to series connection of two trapezoidal elements.

Compared to Comsol FEM calculations the resistance is overestimated by $\sim 4\%$ when (24) is used on the geometry of this paper.