



Expected characteristic in Tunnels & Trolls character creation, with generalizations

Brander, Tommi Olavi

Published in:
Mathematics for Application

Link to article, DOI:
[10.13164/ma.2018.09](https://doi.org/10.13164/ma.2018.09)

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Brander, T. O. (2018). Expected characteristic in Tunnels & Trolls character creation, with generalizations. *Mathematics for Application*, 7(2), 111-116. <https://doi.org/10.13164/ma.2018.09>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

EXPECTED CHARACTERISTIC IN TUNNELS & TROLLS CHARACTER CREATION, WITH GENERALIZATIONS

TOMMI BRANDER

Abstract. In the roleplaying game Tunnels & Trolls the characteristics of player characters are determined by rolling dice in the following manner: First, one rolls three dice and calculates their sum. If the three dice all give the same result, another three dice are rolled and added to the total. This is continued until the three dice no longer match. We calculate the average result of the stochastic sum: $10 + 4/5$.

We also consider a generalized dice rolling scheme where we roll an arbitrary number of dice with an arbitrary number of sides. This generalization is motivated by various exotic dice that are used in many roleplaying games. We calculate the expectation, and how much it differs from the situation where we only roll the set of dice once, with no rerolling and adding. As the number of dice increases, or the number of sides the dice have increases, this difference approaches zero, unless there are two dice (with the number of sides increasing), in which case the difference approaches one.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tunnels & Trolls [6] is one of the oldest published tabletop roleplaying games [5, footnote 814]. Roleplaying games have become the subject of scholarly studies¹, as the influence and study of video games has increased. Many tabletop roleplaying games use dice to create or represent uncertainty [4, 8]. Some of the schemes for rolling dice are mathematically nontrivial; we investigate one such scheme in the present article. In tabletop roleplaying games, most players take the role of fictional characters. On defining roleplaying games, see Arjoranta [1] and, for a description, see Dormans [4].

In Tunnels & Trolls, the player characters are described numerically by characteristics that define, for example, how strong, lucky and intelligent the character is. In the 5.5th and further editions of Tunnels & Trolls [7] the characteristics are determined by rolling dice with a process explained below.

The starting characteristics are random, so we can hope to determine their expected value. This value is useful for a player of the game, since it allows for a quick appraisal of the worth of a new player character – are its scores above or

MSC (2010): primary 60K10; secondary 91F99, 60F99, 91A60.

Keywords: stochastic sum, roleplaying game, dice.

Part of the research was carried out at Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O.Box 35 (MaD) FI-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland. I would like to thank Eija Laukkarinen for her comments and the anonymous referee for their comments.

¹In for example International journal of roleplaying <http://journalofroleplaying.org/> and Analog game studies <http://analoggamestudies.org/>.

below average? A designer or game master might also consider various alternative methods of determining characteristics, and information about their average is helpful in the process. The expected values and distributions of various methods of rolling dice are often discussed by game designers and players of tabletop roleplaying games.

Each characteristic is independently determined using the following process.

- (1) Roll three dice (ordinary six-sided dice).
- (2) If the result is triples – each of the dice shows the same value – roll three additional dice.
- (3) Continue until the newly rolled dice are not a triple.
- (4) Sum up the results of all the dice rolled thus far.

Example 1.1. Suppose we roll $(3, 3, 1)$. This is not a triple, so the value of the characteristic is $3 + 3 + 1 = 7$.

Example 1.2. Suppose we roll $(5, 5, 5)$. This is a triple, so we roll again and get $(1, 1, 1)$. This is also a triple, so we roll yet again and get $(1, 2, 2)$. This is no longer a triple, so we sum up everything rolled thus far: $5 + 5 + 5 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 = 23$.

The same rolling process can be used with an arbitrary number of dice, which may have an arbitrary number of sides. Indeed, the saving roll system in *Tunnels & Trolls* uses the same process with two six-sided dice; there, doubles add and roll over. It turns out that the expected value of a characteristic is $54/5 = 10.8$, and the expected value of the dice roll in a saving roll is $42/5 = 8.4$. These values can be found as corollary 2.6.

Several roleplaying games use various exotic dice (for example *Pathfinder* [2] and *Dungeon Crawl Classics* [3]), which motivates us to ask how the number of sides the dice have influences the expectation.

It is interesting to ask how significant it is to roll all the dice again when they match and add this to the previous result. One way of answering this is checking how much the expected value of the result changes when matches are added and rolled over, when compared to the situation where only one set of dice is rolled and matching dice do not have any special meaning. It turns out that the difference in expectations vanishes in the limit of increasing number of dice or increasing number of sides in the dice, except when we roll precisely two dice and let the number of sides they have increase to infinity. For the precise result, see theorem 2.7.

2. FORMALIZATION AND CALCULATION

We assume we are rolling $n \in \mathbb{N}$ dice, each of which has $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ sides. Let both n and s be fixed. The cases $s = 1$ or $n \in \{0, 1\}$ are trivial so, by default, we assume $n, s \geq 2$. See remark 2.1 for a precise formulation of the triviality.

Each s -sided die is represented by a random variable Z_j^l where the index $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ indicates which set of dice rolls is in question and $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ indicates which of the dice in a given set of dice rolls is in question; this is made precise in equation (2.1) and the text below it. Each such random variable is a mapping $Z_j^l: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $m \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, we have $\mathbb{P}\{\omega \in \Omega; Z_j^l(\omega) = m\} = 1/s$, and the probability of every other event is zero. We assume the die rolls, i.e. variables Z_j^l , are mutually independent.

Let $M_0 = \Omega$ and M_j be the event of the j th set of rolls being a match; that is, for $j \geq 1$,

$$M_j = \{\omega \in \Omega; Z_j^1 = Z_j^2 = \dots = Z_j^n\}.$$

Define the random variables X_j as follows: $X_0 = 0$ and for $j \geq 1$

$$X_j = \begin{cases} X_{j-1} + \sum_{l=1}^s Z_j^l & \text{when } \omega \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{j-1} M_k \\ X_{j-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

The interpretation of these random variables in terms of dice rolls is that X_1 is the sum of the first set of dice rolls, X_2 allows for the possibility of matches and the corresponding additional dice rolls, X_3 allows for two sets of matches, and so on. Hence, we define

$$X^{n,s} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} X_j,$$

which is the final outcome of the entire dice rolling scheme. Note that the fixed constants n and s are implicit in the X_j variables. We sometimes write them explicitly as superscripts.

Remark 2.1. We have $X^{0,s} = 0$ and $X^{1,s} = X^{n,1} = \infty$, where the free variables satisfy $n, s \geq 1$.

Remark 2.2. Characteristics in Tunnels & Trolls are rolled with $X^{3,6}$ and saving rolls with $X^{2,6}$.

In saving rolls there is an additional rule of automatic failure when the initial roll gives a result of three. If one wanted to assign it a numerical value of 0 (an arbitrary choice which would not necessarily lead to failure in game), then the relevant expectation would have to be decreased by

$$\mathbb{P}(X^{2,6} = 3) \cdot 3 = \frac{2}{36} \cdot 3 = 1/6.$$

This type of adjustment is quite easy to do and generalizing it to all of the situations covered in the paper is far from obvious, so we ignore it from now on. We stress that the choice of zero here is both arbitrary and unsatisfactory; in theory, no finite numerical value leads to guaranteed failure, though large negative numbers such as -1000 would almost certainly guarantee failure in all practical game situations.

We are interested in the expected value $\mathbb{E}(X^{n,s})$, and the relation between it and $\mathbb{E}(X_1^{n,s})$. That is, we want to know how much the expected value increases when matches allow rolling an additional set of dice.

The following lemma follows from the linearity of expectation and the definition of the random variables:

Lemma 2.3. (Expectation without rerolls) *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}(X_1^{n,s}) = n(s + 1)/2.$$

Corollary 2.4. (Expectations of dice rolls in Tunnels & Trolls without rerolls)

$$\mathbb{E}(X_1^{3,6}) = 21/2 \text{ and } \mathbb{E}(X_1^{2,6}) = 7.$$

By monotone convergence, $\mathbb{E}(X^{n,s}) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_j) = \mathbb{E}(X_N)$, where

$$N(\omega) = \sup \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N}; \omega \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{j-1} M_k \right\}$$

is the first time the dice do not match. Note that N is finite almost surely.

We now calculate the probability mass function f of N . The probability of rolling a match is $p = \left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{n-1} = s^{1-n}$, so

$$f(j) = \begin{cases} p^{j-1} (1-p) & \text{for } j \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } j = 0. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbb{E}(X^{n,s}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}[X^{n,s}|N]) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f(j) \mathbb{E}[X_j|N=j].$$

To calculate this explicitly we need to know the value of the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}[X_j|N=j]$. For all $k < j$ we have $\omega \in M_k$, so $Z_k^1 = \dots = Z_k^n$. Further, the set of random variables $\{N\} \cup \{Z_l^1; l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is independent. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_j|N=j] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{l=1}^n Z_j^l + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \sum_{l=1}^n Z_k^l \mid N=j \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{l=1}^n Z_j^l \mid N=j \right] + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \mathbb{E} \left[nZ_k^1 \mid N=j \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate the first and the second part of the expectation separately, starting from the second sum:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \mathbb{E} \left[nZ_k^1 \mid N=j \right] &= n \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \mathbb{E} (Z_k^1) \\ &= n(j-1)(s+1)/2. \end{aligned}$$

For the first sum, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{l=1}^n Z_j^l \mid N=j \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{l=1}^n Z_j^l \mid \omega \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{j-1} M_k \setminus M_j \right].$$

Since all the Z variables are independent and, in particular, Z_j is independent of M_k when $k < j$ and M_k is independent of M_j , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{l=1}^n Z_j^l \mid \omega \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{j-1} M_k \setminus M_j \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{l=1}^n Z_j^l \mid \omega \in \Omega \setminus M_j \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{\omega \in \Omega \setminus M_j} \sum_{l=1}^n Z_j^l(\omega) \right) / \mathbb{P}(\Omega \setminus M_j) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{l=1}^n Z_j^l(\omega) - \sum_{\omega \in M_j} \sum_{l=1}^n Z_j^l(\omega) \right) / (1-p) \\ &= \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n Z_j^l \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n Z_j^l \mathbb{I}_{M_j} \right) \right) / (1-p) \\ &= (n(s+1)/2 - n\mathbb{E}(Z_j^1) \mathbb{P}(M_j)) / (1-p) \\ &= n(s+1)/2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_j | N = j] = jn(s+1)/2,$$

whence

$$\mathbb{E}(X^{n,s}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p^{j-1} (1-p) jn(s+1)/2.$$

This is a series of the form $c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ja^{j-1}$, which has the value

$$c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ja^{j-1} = c(1-a)^{-2}.$$

So, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(X^{n,s}) = \mathbb{E}(X_1^{n,s}) / (1-s^{1-n}).$$

This proves the following theorem:

Theorem 2.5. (Expectation of the random sum) *Let $n \geq 2$ and $s \geq 2$. Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}(X^{n,s}) = (1-s^{1-n})^{-1} n(s+1)/2.$$

Corollary 2.6. (Expectations related to Tunnels & Trolls)

$$\mathbb{E}(X^{3,6}) = 54/5 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}(X^{2,6}) = 42/5.$$

The identity in the theorem is consistent with the trivial identities $\mathbb{E}(X^{0,s}) = 0$, $\mathbb{E}(X^{1,s}) = \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}(X^{n,1}) = \infty$ and, furthermore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X^{n,s}) - \mathbb{E}(X_1^{n,s}) &= \left(\frac{1}{1-s^{1-n}} - 1 \right) \frac{n(s+1)}{2} \\ &= \left(\frac{ns^{1-n}}{1-s^{1-n}} \right) \frac{s+1}{2} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, as $s \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(X^{n,s}) - \mathbb{E}(X_1^{n,s}) = \left(\frac{s^{2-n} + s^{1-n}}{1 - s^{1-n}} \right) \frac{n}{2} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } n \geq 3 \\ 1 & \text{when } n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we get the following theorem:

Theorem 2.7. (Limits of expectations)

$$\text{For } s \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \mathbb{E}(X^{0,s}) - \mathbb{E}(X_1^{0,s}) = 0.$$

$$\text{For } s \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \mathbb{E}(X^{1,s}) - \mathbb{E}(X_1^{1,s}) = \infty.$$

$$\text{For } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \mathbb{E}(X^{n,1}) - \mathbb{E}(X_1^{n,1}) = \infty.$$

$$\text{For } s \geq 2 : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{E}(X^{n,s}) - \mathbb{E}(X_1^{n,s})) = 0.$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{E}(X^{2,s}) - \mathbb{E}(X_1^{2,s})) = 1.$$

$$\text{For } n \geq 3 : \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{E}(X^{n,s}) - \mathbb{E}(X_1^{n,s})) = 0.$$

3. CONCLUSION

The effect of rolling again and adding dice is quite small unless one is rolling two fairly small dice. The probability of very high results grows from zero to a small but positive number, which might be relevant even in the absence of a large change in the expected value.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Arjoranta, *Defining role-playing games as language-games*, International Journal of Role-Playing **2** (2011), 3–17.
- [2] J. Bulmahn, *Pathfinder Roleplaying Game Core Rulebook*, Paizo Publishing, LLC, 2009 <http://paizo.com/pathfinderRPG/prd/>.
- [3] M. Curtis, J. Goodman, H. Stroh and D. Zimmerman, *Dungeon Crawl Classics Role Playing Game*, Goodman Games, 2012.
- [4] J. Dormans, *On the role of the die: A brief ludologic study of pen-and-paper roleplaying games and their rules*, Game Studies **6** (2006), <http://gamestudies.org/0601/articles/dormans>.
- [5] J. Peterson, *Playing at the World: A History of Simulating Wars, People and Fantastic Adventures, from Chess to Role-Playing Games*, Unreason Press, 2012.
- [6] K. St. Andre, *Tunnels & Trolls*, Flying Buffalo, 1975.
- [7] K. St. Andre, *Tunnels & Trolls*, 5.5th ed, Flying Buffalo, 2005.
- [8] E. Torner, *Uncertainty in analog role-playing games*, pt. 2, Analog Game Studies **1** (2014), <http://analoggamestudies.org/2014/09/uncertainty-in-analog-role-playing-games-part-2/>.