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Abstract

Development of sustainable technologies for treatment of recalcitrant pollutants containing wastewaters has long been of great interest. In this study, we proposed an innovative concept of using microbial reverse-electrodialysis electrolysis cell (MREC) based Fenton process to treat azo dye wastewater. In such MREC-Fenton integrated process, the production of H$_2$O$_2$ which is the key reactant of fenton-reaction was driven by the electrons harvested from the exoelectrogens and salinity-gradient between sea water and fresh water in MREC. Complete decolorization and mineralization of 400 mg L$^{-1}$ Orange G was achieved with apparent first order rate constants of 1.15 ± 0.06 and 0.26 ± 0.03 h$^{-1}$, respectively. Furthermore, the initial concentration of orange G, initial solution pH, catholyte concentration, high and low concentration salt water flow rate and air flow rate were all found to significantly affect the dye degradation. This study provides an efficient and cost-effective system for the degradation of non-biodegradable pollutants.
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1. Introduction

Azo dyes are the most important synthetic dyes in textile industries. During textile coloration processing, approximately 10-15% of azo dyes are lost in the discharged effluents (Pearce, 2003; Solanki et al., 2013). Textile wastewaters if not efficiently treated would constitute a serious environmental issue for water pollution (Wang & Bai, 2016). Most of azo dyes have complex structures and are toxic, which makes them difficult to be degraded by biological processes (Banerjee et al., 2015). Electro-Fenton reaction as one of typical advanced oxidation processes has been extensively studied as a promising and efficient method for treatment of dyes wastewater (Nidheesh & Gandhimathi, 2012). The most important advantages of Electro-Fenton technology are high efficiency and mild operating conditions (Martinez-Huitl et al., 2015). However, there are still several shortcomings such as short lifetime of catalyst, costly electrode materials and high energy consumption (ranges from 87.7 to 275 kWh kg TOC$^{-1}$), which hinder the industrial application (Gao et al., 2015; Martinez-Huitl et al., 2015; Nidheesh & Gandhimathi, 2012; Rosales et al., 2012).

More recently, bioelectrochemical systems (BES) such as microbial fuel cell (MFC) and microbial electrolysis cell (MEC) based Electro-Fenton systems have been demonstrated as promising alternative method to the traditional Electro-Fenton process for the degradation of azo dyes (Feng et al., 2010; Solanki et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015b). In such systems, the electrons used for H$_2$O$_2$ production at the cathode are fully or partly derived from organic wastes by bacteria in the anode. Thus, the catalyst cost and energy-consumption have been greatly reduced. The BES-Fenton process not only can remove the biodegradable organics in anode chamber, but also can remove the biorefractory pollutants in cathode chamber (Solanki et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2011; Zhuang et al., 2010). However, there are still several challenges
which need to be addressed before field application. For example, high mineralization
efficiency has been mainly achieved at low dye concentration (≤100 mg L⁻¹) in the MFC-
Fenton process due to the extreme low H₂O₂ production (Asghar et al., 2014; Fu et al., 2010).
Comparatively, MEC-Fenton system could be more efficient due to much higher and faster
H₂O₂ production (Zhang et al., 2015b). However, the requirement of external power supply
for MEC may add the capital and operational costs and also complicate the whole system.
Thus, there is a great research and practical interest to develop more economical and efficient
BES-Fenton system for dye wastewater treatment.

Recently, a novel type of BES system called microbial reverse-electrodialysis electrolysis
cell (MREC), which combines a reverse electrodialysis stack (RED) and MEC have been
developed to drive H₂ or CH₄ generation (Kim & Logan, 2011a; Luo et al., 2014). In our
previous study, the MREC system has been demonstrated as one promising system to produce
high concentration of H₂O₂ with low electrical energy consumption. Therefore, intergration of
MREC and Fenton process could be an ideal technology to remove azo dye, which has never
been previously reported.

In the present study, we developed one novel MREC-Fenton system for the treatment of
wastewater containing Orange G which is a typical model azo dye used in dyeing the textile
fabrics (Banerjee et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2016). The effects of main process paremeters such
as the wastewater pH, initial Orange G concentration, HC and LC flow rate, and air flow rate
were investigated. Furthermore, its concentration on the system performance was also
investigated. It is the first time that MREC-Fenton system was used to degrade azo dye
wastewater. This new system may offer a potential platform technology for azo dye
wastewater treatment.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Configuration and operation of MREC-Electro-Fenton system.

The MREC consists of anode and cathode chamber which were separated by a RED stack (Fig.1). The anode and cathode chamber had a working volume of 50 mL (5 cm × 5 cm × 2 cm) separately. The anode was a carbon fibre brush (5.0 cm diameter, 5.0 cm length, Mill-Rose, USA), which was heated to 450 °C for 30 min in a muffle furnace before use (Zhang & Angelidaki, 2015b). The anode was first enriched with biofilm in a MFC using domestic wastewater collected from primary clarifier (Lyngby Wastewater Treatment Plant, Copenhagen, Denmark) together with acetate sodium (20 mM) as substrate (Zhang & Angelidaki, 2015a), and then transferred into the anode chamber of MREC. The cathode was a graphite plate (3 cm × 3 cm). In order to avoid anode substrate limitation on the system performance, the anode chamber was continuously fed with domestic wastewater amended with acetate sodium (~1.6 g COD L⁻¹) at 100 mL d⁻¹. The cathode chamber was filled with 40 mL Orange G-containing synthetic wastewater and operated in batch mode. Air was bubbled into the catholyte continuously at the rate of 8 mL min⁻¹ expect otherwise mentioned. HC and LC solutions was 35g L⁻¹ and 0.35g L⁻¹ NaCl, respectively. All experiments were carried out in duplicate at room temperature (22 ± 2°C).

2.2. Analytical methods.

The concentration of Orange G was determined by a UV-vis spectrophotometry (Spectronic 20D+, Thermo Scientific) at 478 nm (Banerjee et al., 2015). The mineralization rate of orange G in the wastewater during the degradation experiment was estimated through the analysis of total organic carbon (TOC) of the samples measured by shimadzu TOC 5000 A. The pH was
measured using a pH meter (PHM 210 pH meter, Radiometer). Chemical oxygen demand (COD) was measured according to the Standard Method (A.W.W.A, 1998). The voltage across on the external resistor (10 Ω) was monitored with 30 min intervals using a digital multimeter (model 2700, Keithley Instruments, Inc., Cleveland, OH, USA). Current density was calculated base on the surface area (3 cm × 3 cm) of cathode. Coulomic efficiency (CE) were calculated as previous reported (Kim & Logan, 2011a).

The apparent decolorization rate constant \( K_{app} \) and mineralization rate constant \( K_{TOC} \) were determined according to Eq. 1 and Eq. 2

\[
\ln \frac{C_0}{C_t} = K_{app}t \tag{1}
\]

\[
\ln \frac{TOC_0}{TOC_t} = K_{TOC}t \tag{2}
\]

where \( C_0 \) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) and \( C_t \) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) are the Orange G concentrations at time 0 and reaction time \( t \), respectively. \( TOC_0 \) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) and \( TOC_t \) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) are the TOC concentrations at time 0 and reaction time \( t \), respectively.

The TOC removal and corresponding electrical energy consumption were evaluated to determine whether the MREC-Fenton process is economical. Electrical energy consumption in the MREC system was mainly due to the pumping system for supply of anolyte, high concentration (HC) and low concentration (LC) solution and the aeration of catholyte. The specific electrical energy consumption was calculated in terms of the removal of 1 kg of TOC from dye wastewater by the MREC-Fenton process (kWh kg\(^{-1}\)) using Eq. 3.

\[
\text{Energy consumption} = \frac{1000000W}{TOC_0 \times V_0 - TOC_t \times V_t} \tag{3}
\]
where $W$ (kWh) is the total electrical energy consumption, which was measured by a spar
meter (Type NZR230, S.L. Energitekinik, Denmark). $V_0$ (L) and $V_t$ (L) are the volume of dye
wastewater at time 0 and reaction time $t$, respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. System performance

Fig. 2 shows the decolorization and mineralization of orange G in the cathode of MREC-
Fenton system with the initial Orange G concentration of 100 mg L$^{-1}$. The decolorization
efficiency of Orange G reached to about 70% within one hour, and 88% of Orange G was
removed after 3 hours (Fig. 2A). Comparatively, the decolorization efficiency of 10% was
observed after 5 hours under open circuit condition (control 1), which could be due to the
absorption on the electrode material and the anion membrane (the side closed to the cathode
chamber). The Orange G decolorization efficiency without air flow in cathode chamber
(control 2) and without Fe$^{2+}$ addition in catholyte (control 3) only reached about 32% and
45%, respectively, after 5 hours. The mineralization of Orange G in terms of TOC removal
showed similar trend as decoloration. As shown in Fig. 2B, the TOC removal efficiency could
reach to 87% after 5h, which was only 16% and 13% in control 2 and 3, respectively. The
slight decolorization observed in control experiments could be due to the reduction of Orange
G as electron acceptor at the cathode. This is supported by the observation that Orange G
could be decomposed to colorless shorter organic molecules without dissolved O$_2$ in control 2
and lack of the Fenton regent (Fe$^{2+}$) in control 3. Similar behaviour from other azo dyes (e.g.,
Orange 7 and Methylene Blue) have been previously observed in BES system (Li et al., 2016;
Mu et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2015a). On the other hand, the results also confirmed that the
removal of Organge G was mainly due to the Fenton reaction driven by the energy from
anodic bacteria and salinity gradient (Luo et al., 2011). In addition, based on the experiment
data the degradation kinetics of Orange G dye were studied, which showed that degradation
of Orange G dye followed a first-order reaction (Fig. 2). The decolorization rate constant ($K_{\text{app}}$) and mineralization rate constant ($K_{\text{TOC}}$) were 1.22 h\(^{-1}\) and 0.46 h\(^{-1}\), respectively. In recent studies for oxidation of Methylene blue (a compound similar to Orange G dye) in MFC-
MEC-Fenton system, $K_{\text{app}}$ of 0.43 h\(^{-1}\) and $K_{\text{TOC}}$ of 0.22 h\(^{-1}\) were reported, which were much lower than that observed in this study (Zhang et al., 2015b). Feng et al. (2010) also reported a first order removal reaction of Orange II with $K_{\text{app}}$ of 0.212 h\(^{-1}\) and $K_{\text{TOC}}$ of 0.0827 h\(^{-1}\) in MFC-Fenton system. These results demonstrated that the MREC-Fenton system could be more efficient than other BES system for azo dye wastewater treatment.

3.2. The effect of initial wastewater pH on the system performance

Degradation performance of organic compounds by Electro-Fenton technologies are often found to be dependent on the wastewater pH, and for different dyes in different degradation systems, the effect of wastewater pH was found to vary greatly. On the other hand, the actual dye wastwaters may have variable pH values. Therefore, the effect of initial pH on the Orange G wastewater degradation in the MREC-Fenton system was examined. As shown in Fig.S1 (Supplementary data), the decolorization and TOC removal were greatly affected by the initial pH of the wastewater. The increasing of initial pH from 2 to 7 caused a decrease in decolorization rate and TOC removal rate. The highest removal rate of Orange G was found at pH 2 (79 ± 0.8 mg L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) in the first hour, and the maximum decolorization efficiency reached to 100% after 4 h reaction. The decolorization and TOC removal rate decreased with further increasing of the initial pH from 3 to 7. For example, when the pH increased to above 4, the decolorization rate of Orange G started to decline. When the pH increased to above 7
(Fig. S1) during the reaction, the decolorization process continued with a rate of $4.9 \pm 0.4$ mg L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, which was much lower than $32$ mg L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ (average in 3 hours) at pH 2. However, the TOC was not decreasing with the reaction time when the initial pH was above 4. Moreover, the pH also increasing along the reaction time in all tests (Fig. S1). In general, the decolourization efficiency was higher than the TOC removal at all the tested initial pH. That is because the azo bond could be first cleaved by hydroxyl radical, resulting in the formation of colorless shorter organic molecules. The results observed was in line with the conventional Fenton process for Orange G degradation (Cai et al., 2016). Even though the acidic environment is benefitting the cleavage of the azo bond and mineralization of azo dye, initial pH lower than 2 may counteract generation of hydroxyl radical. Thus, pH 2 was adopted for the following test, unless otherwise stated.

### 3.3. Effect of initial Orange G concentration

The performance of azo dyes removal by the BES-Fenton process is often found to be independent on the dye concentration (Asghar et al., 2014). In this section, the initial concentration of Orange G was varied from 100 to 500 mg L$^{-1}$ to explore its impact on the system performance. The time course of Orange G dye degradation is shown in Fig. 3. For initial Orange G concentrations of 100, 200, 300, and 400 mg L$^{-1}$, the degradation efficiency after 6 h was about 100%, while degradation efficiency of 94.4% was obtained at 500 mg L$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3A). However, the $K_{\text{app}}$ and $K_{\text{TOC}}$ decreased with the increasing of Orange G concentration (Fig. 3B). For example, the $K_{\text{app}}$ of $1.15 \pm 0.04$ h$^{-1}$ and $K_{\text{TOC}}$ of $0.46 \pm 0.05$ h$^{-1}$ were observed at initial concentration of 100 mg L$^{-1}$, while only $0.59 \pm 0.03$ h$^{-1}$ and $0.21 \pm 0.01$ h$^{-1}$ were obtained at 500 mg L$^{-1}$. The behaviour was consistent with that observed in MEC-Fenton and classical Fenton process (Zhang et al., 2015b).
The current density of MREC increased with increasing of Orange G concentration (Fig. 3C). Similar to electro-Fenton and photoelectro-Fenton processes, relatively higher current density was beneficial for the degradation of Orange G (Pereira et al., 2016). Interestingly, the current density decreased along with decolorization of Grange G wastewater. For example, the current density decreased from 1.73 ± 0.04 to 1.26 ± 0.02 A m\(^{-2}\) with the reaction time at the initial Grange G concentration of 400 mg L\(^{-1}\). This observation was different with previous report in which the current density was stable at same initial methylene blue concentration in MEC-Fenton system (Zhang et al., 2015b). The higher concentration Orange G lead to higher current density, which could support the conclusion that the Orange G might also function as electron acceptor at the cathode. Moreover, we can hereby deduce that Orange G might be a stronger electron acceptor than oxygen in the cathode chamber, which still needs to be clarified in future work.

3.4. Effect of cathode electrolyte on degradation of Orange G.

It was previously shown that the supporting electrolyte can affect the Electro-Fenton process (Bakheet et al., 2013; Pajootan et al., 2014). In addition, the current density achieved in the MREC can also be increased by enhancing the concentration of the cathode supporting electrolyte (Nam et al., 2012). It is therefore of great interest to evaluate the effects of the cathode supporting electrolyte (Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\)) on Orange G removal. In this investigation, the initial concentration of Orange G was kept at 400 mg L\(^{-1}\), while the concentration of NaSO\(_4\) varied from 0, 25, 50, 75, to 100 mM. Parameters describing the treatment performance such as decolorization, mineralization and current density were shown in the Fig. 4. No significant difference on the final decolorization and mineralization efficiency was observed (Fig. 4A and 4B) which was consistent with that observed in other Electro-Fenton systems (Bakheet et al.,...
However, the $K_{\text{app}}$ and $K_{\text{TOC}}$ (the slopes of the inserted figure) increased with the increasing of NaSO$_4$ concentration and reached maximum value at 50 mM NaSO$_4$ (0.86 and 0.24 h$^{-1}$). However, there was no further increase when the catholyte concentration was higher than 50 mM. In comparison, the current density increased slightly with the increasing of the concentration of NaSO$_4$ within the tested range (Fig.4C). This is probably because higher concentration of the catholyte could enhance the conductivity and thereby lowering the overall resistance (D’Angelo et al., 2015).

3.5. Effect of HC and LC flow rate on the system performance.

High flow rates of HC and LC solutions can improve the cell potential of MREC (Kim & Logan, 2011b). However, increasing flow rates could also increase energy consumption on pumping the HC and LC solutions through the RED stack. The energy required for pumping is an important cost for the MREC operation. Thus there is a trade off between pumping and treatment performance. The optimal flow rates of HC and LC solutions were different for various MREC systems (D’Angelo et al., 2015; Kim & Logan, 2011a; Watson et al., 2015). In this study, an increase in the flow rate of the HC and LC from 0.2 to 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$ improved the decoloration and the mineralization rate (Fig. 5). Notably, there was no remarkable difference on degradation rate when the HC and LC flow rate was between 1.0 and 1.5 mL min$^{-1}$. The current density increased with the increasing of HC and LC flow rate (Fig. 5C), which implied that the increase of HC and LC flow rate were able to accelerate the cathode reaction. The behavior was consistent with that observed in the MRC for electrical power production (Kim & Logan, 2011b). Therefore, pumping intensity could be used as a control for the degradation of azo dye in the MREC. On the other hand, the decoloration and the mineralization rate might not always be improved by increasing solution flow rates. It could
be due to that the HC and LC flow rate was no longer the predominate limiting factor when it
over a certain level (e.g., 1.0 mL min\(^{-1}\) in this study), since the electrical energy output in
RED depends on the predominate resistance at a given HC and LC flow rate (Zhu et al., 2015).
Considering both the Orange G degradation and energy consumption, the optimal flow rate
was considered to be 0.5 mL min\(^{-1}\).

3.6. Effect of air flow rate on the system performance.

The effect of air flow rate on decolorization rate are shown in Fig. 6. As presented in Fig. 6,
it was clearly shown that the Orange G degradation rate was greatly affected by the air flow
rate. The \(K_{\text{app}}\) and \(K_{\text{TOC}}\) increased with the air flow rate and reached the maximum value at 16
mL min\(^{-1}\). When the air flow rate was further increased to 32 mL min\(^{-1}\), no further increase in
\(K_{\text{app}}\) was observed, while \(K_{\text{TOC}}\) decreased slightly. The observation indicates that both
inadequate and excessive air supply could deteriorate the mineralization. Moreover, the
enhanced air flow rate could increase the current density (Fig. 6B), which was consistent with
what has been observed in Electro-Fenton processes (Tian et al., 2016). The air flow rate
could also affect the total electrical energy consumption. Thus, setting an optimum air flow
rate may not only improve the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) production but also reduce the operating cost of the
system (Tian et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2013).

3.7. Columbic efficiency and energy consumption

The coulombic efficiency (CE) was 15.56 ± 0.76% at the air flow rate 16 mL min\(^{-1}\) and HC
and LC solution flow rate of 0.5 mL min\(^{-1}\), while the COD removal reached 81.16 ± 1.85% in
the anode fed with domestic wastewater. The low CE could be due to the oxidation of organic
matter by the non-exoelectrogenic microorganisms from wastewater. The anolyte pH was
maintained at 6.7-7.9, which exclude inhibition of anodic biofilm by non optimal pH (Kim & Logan, 2011b).

Energy consumption is one of the major concerns for wastewater treatment using Electro-Fenton technology, especially for recalcitrant pollutant degradation (Liu et al., 2015). In this MREC-Fenton process, the current density for Orange G decolorization was in the range of 1.27-1.37 A m$^{-2}$ (Fig. 6B), which is much lower than that required by Electro-Fenton process (500 A m$^{-2}$) (Pereira et al., 2016). The MREC-Fenton process was driven by renewable energy derived from domestic wastewater and salinity gradient, which are abundant and relatively unlimited (Kim & Logan, 2011a; Zhu et al., 2014). The costs of the MREC-Fenton system mainly include capital and operating costs. The MREC capital costs are approx. 930 € m$^{-3}$ (in Denmark) (Zhang & Angelidaki, 2016). The operating costs mainly include reagent costs and energy consumption for pumping. The MREC-Fenton system required energy consumption of 25.93 kWh (kg TOC)$^{-1}$, which is much lower than for traditional Electro-Fenton process treat Orange 7 with a cost of 865 kWh (kg TOC)$^{-1}$ (Xu et al., 2008). It was also much lower than that required by sequential Electro-Fenton process (45.8 kWh (kg TOC)$^{-1}$) (Gao et al., 2015). However, our estimates were based on small laboratory-scale reactor and more accurate assessment is required. The above results suggest that the MREC-Fenton system could be a potentially cost-effective method for azo dye degradation.

3.8. Practical significance and perspectives

The results in this study demonstrated that the MREC-Fenton system was environment-friendly, efficient and low-cost compared to conventional Electro-Fenton system. In this process, the MREC not only can treat domestic wastewater in anode chamber, but also degrade Orange G in cathode chamber. Compared to other bioelectro-Fenton system such as
MFC and MEC, the MREC has its own merits. Firstly, the degradation rate was greatly improved by employing the RED stacks between the anode and cathode, compared to MFC. Secondly, unlike MEC based Fonton process, the electric energy was mainly produced by RED stack using the renewable salinity-gradient energy which replaced the electrical grid power source. Furthermore, salinity-gradient, as source of energy, is abundant, which could be regenerated using waste heat and thermolytic solutions or seawater and river water (Kim & Logan, 2011a; Nam et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2014). Thirdly, the energy consumption was only 25.93 kWh (kg TOC)$^{-1}$ under optimal operation condition, indicating that the MREC is a low-cost bioelectro-Fenton system with efficient mineralization. Though promising, more efforts should be made to accelerate the industrial application. First of all, this system has the potential to degrade many refractory compounds, so other nonbiodegradable and toxic pollutants such as nitrobenzene and phenol should be tested for their potential degradation by this system. Although the decalorisation rate was high, the TOC removal rate was low. For improving the TOC removal rate, development of a more cost-effective and efficient MREC reactor configuration is required. Moreover, the CE was relatively low which could probably be improved by process optimisation. Lastly, large scale system with continuous-flow operation should be tested in order to validate the technology at industrial scale conditions.

4. Conclusions

This study demonstrated that the MREC-Fenton system is an effective and environmentally friendly technology for azo dye wastewater treatment. In such system, Orange G (400 mg L$^{-1}$) was not only effectively degraded with first order kinetic constant of 1.15 ± 0.06 h$^{-1}$, but also highly mineralized with TOC removal efficiency of 99.6% and K$_{TOC}$ of 0.26 ± 0.02 h$^{-1}$ at pH 2. Notably the energy consumption was only 25.93 kWh (kg TOC)$^{-1}$. This work provides a
cost-effective method for azo dye degradation, which is also attractive and applicable for efficient degradation of recalcitrant pollutants.
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Figure Captions

**Fig. 1.** Schematic illustration of the MREC-Fenton reactor. LC: low concentration NaCl solution; AEM: anion exchange membrane; CEM: cation exchange membrane; HC: high concentration NaCl solution.

**Fig. 2.** The decolorization and mineralization of Orange G. Control 1, open circuit; Control 2, without air flow in cathode; Control 3, without Fe$^{2+}$ addition in azo dye wastewater. MREC-F (MREC-Fenton) conditions: Fe$^{2+}$ concentration of 10 mM, initial pH 3, air flow rate of 8 mL min$^{-1}$, HC and LC flow rate of 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$.

**Fig. 3.** The effect of initial Orange G concentration on the degradation of Orange G in the MREC. Operational conditions: initial pH 2, Fe$^{2+}$ of 10 mM, HC and LC flow rate of 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$, and air flow rate of 8 mL min$^{-1}$.

**Fig. 4.** The effect of cathode electrolyte concentration (Na$_2$SO$_4$) on the degradation of Orange G in the MREC. Conditions: Orange G concentration of 400 mg L$^{-1}$, initial pH 2, HC and LC solutions flow rate of 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$, and air flow rate of 8 mL min$^{-1}$.

**Fig. 5.** The effect of solution flow rate on the Orange G degradation in the MREC. Conditions: Orange G concentration of 400 mg L$^{-1}$, initial pH 2, Fe$^{2+}$ of 10 mM, NaSO$_4$ concentration of 50 mM, and air flow rate of 8 mL min$^{-1}$.

**Fig. 6.** The effect of air flow rate on the Orange G degradation in the MREC. Conditions: Orange G concentration of 400 mg L$^{-1}$, initial pH 2, Fe$^{2+}$ of 10 mM, HC and LC solutions flow rate of 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$, NaSO$_4$ concentration of 50 mM.
Figure 1

Figure 1 shows a diagram of an electrochemical system with various components labeled:

- **Anode**
- **Cathode**
- **AEM**
- **CEM**
- **LC**
- **HC**
- **Wastewater**
- **Biofilm**
- **Influent**
- **Effluent**
- **Resistor**
- **Air**
- **O₂**
- **H₂O₂**
- **Fe²⁺**
- **•OH**
- **CO₂+H₂O**
- **Orange G**

The diagram illustrates the flow of electrons and the production of oxidants like H₂O₂ and •OH, which are used in the treatment of wastewater. The process involves the reduction of pollutants and the generation of gases like CO₂ and H₂O at the Anode and Cathode respectively.
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