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Abstract

Stainless steels grade AISI 316 was subjected to high temperature solution nitriding and low-temperature nitriding in order to dissolve various amounts of nitrogen in the bulk (up to approx. 0.45 wt%) and in a surface layer (up to approx. 13 wt%), respectively. Potentiodynamic polarization tests in a 0.1 M NaCl solution and crevice corrosion immersion tests in 3 wt% FeCl₃ solution were studied before and after the bulk and surface treatments.

Nitrogen addition in the bulk proved to have a beneficial effect on the pitting resistance of the alloy. The formation of a zone of expanded austenite at the material surface through low-temperature nitriding resulted in a considerable improvement of the pitting potential and the crevice corrosion performance of the steels.
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1 Introduction

Austenitic stainless steels are well known for their excellent corrosion resistance due to the formation of a dense chromium oxide layer at the surface. This passive film however, is susceptible to local breakdown, especially in chloride containing solutions, thereby causing localized corrosion such as pitting and crevice corrosion [1].

Stainless steels’ resistance against localized corrosion can be augmented by the addition of elements such as Cr, Mo and in particular N [1]. For this reason, high nitrogen steels (HNS) have been considered as a substitute for conventional stainless steels for applications where high corrosion resistance is required [2]. Alloying with nitrogen in fact offers several advantages compared to other alloying elements in terms of corrosion and mechanical properties. Nitrogen provides a stabilization of austenite, thereby reducing the amount of expensive nickel required for minimizing the risk of forming (delta) ferrite and/or martensite upon solidification or strain-induced martensite on deformation [3]. Furthermore, nitrogen provides an important increase in yield and tensile strength, without sacrificing toughness [4–7].

The dissolution of nitrogen in austenitic stainless steels leads to a significant improvement of the crevice and pitting corrosion in aqueous solutions containing chloride ions [8–10]. Several hypotheses have been put forward to explain this behavior: (1) the presence of a high concentration of nitrogen at the steel/pассив film surface stabilizing the passive film and suppressing dissolution [11–15]; (2) formation of NH₄⁺ ions at the film/solution interface, neutralizing the acidity in the pit and thus promoting repassivation [16,17]; (3) formation of NO₃⁻ (nitrate) ions would result in improved pitting resistance [18]; (4) the austenite-stabilizing effect of nitrogen [3,4].

Despite the obvious advantages, a major obstacle to large scale application of high nitrogen stainless steels is related to their production. The solubility of nitrogen in liquid stainless steel is
limited at atmospheric pressure [19]. Hence, HNS production requires high-pressure melting technologies or the utilization of powder metallurgical production techniques [3,4,20].

On the other side, the solubility of nitrogen in the solid state is appreciably higher than in the liquid state [21]. Accordingly, post-solidification gas treatment, such as solution nitriding followed by rapid (gas) quenching [22,23] can be used to dissolve a controlled amount of nitrogen in existing steel grades. This process can be used on austenitic stainless steel grades, in order to increase the austenite stability and prevent strain-induced martensite formation [24], but also on duplex and ferritic stainless steels in order to transform ferrite and/or stabilize the austenite phase in the nitrogen enriched region [25,26].

Low-temperature surface treatment, such as low-temperature nitriding can be used to improve the surface properties of the material. This thermochemical treatment allows the dissolution of a very high amount of N (up to 38 at%) into the materials surface, and leads to the formation of a supersaturated solid solution referred to as expanded austenite [27] (erroneously also referred to as S-Phase [28,29]). The formation of an expanded austenite case during the low-temperature nitriding (LTN) at a temperature where the precipitation of CrN from supersaturated solid solution is avoided, results in a significant improvement of the resistance to galling and, provided that no strain-induced martensite is present, improves the pitting corrosion resistance as well as the wear and fatigue resistance of the component [30–36]. A similar hardened case of supersaturated solid solutions of interstitials in austenite can also be obtained with dissolving carbon instead fo nitrogen (see for example [36]). It was demonstrated that carburized stainless steels also show significantly improved resistance against pitting and crevice corrosion [37-39]. Obviously, the improved local corrosion behavior cannot be explained from a mechanism that only considers nitrogen species as the abovementioned mechanisms 1, 2 and 3. Heuer, et al. [40,41] suggested a chemomechanical model for passive film breakdown, which essentially considers the existence of a critical thickness.
of the passive film. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopical (XPS) investigations of the passive film on carburized stainless steels have shown consistently that the passive layer is thinner than on stainless steel under the same anodic polarization conditions [40,41]. An actual explanation for the thinner passive film was however not given. In this respect the detailed XPS and ellipsometry investigations of the initial oxidation of iron and iron-nitrides by Graat, et al. [42,43] demonstrated that the growth kinetics of the developing oxide layer (of the same thickness as the passive layer on stainless steel, i.e. up to 2 nm) is retarded importantly by the presence of nitrogen. This was attributed to the negative charge transfer to nitrogen atoms and the associated reduction of the electrostatic field strength over the thickness of the oxide film, which slows down the transport of cations through the film and, thus, the growth rate [42,43].

In the present work, high-temperature solution nitriding (HTSN) and low-temperature nitriding (LTN) are applied to stainless steel grade AISI 316 in order to dissolve nitrogen in the bulk and the surface, respectively. The nitriding response was studied with light-optical microscopy and X-ray diffraction. The pitting and crevice corrosion performance after the nitriding treatments was investigated with potentiodynamic measurements, immersion tests and scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials

The composition of the AISI 316 stainless steel used in this study is reported in Table I. The steel samples were annealed at 1323K (1050 °C) for 300s in a horizontal tube furnace with a protective high-purity argon atmosphere. Subsequently, the samples were subjected to four different treatments: three high temperature solution nitriding treatments (HTSN) and one low-temperature nitriding surface treatment (LTN).
2.1.1 High Temperature Solution Nitriding (HTSN)

High temperature gas solution nitriding is a commercial process provided by Expanite A/S [37,44,38,45]. Three different N₂ partial pressures were applied at 1423K (1150°C) for 4h: 0.3 bar for HTSN1, 0.6 bar for HTSN2 and 0.9 bar HTSN3. The thickness of the specimens was 0.70 mm. The duration of the treatments resulted in full homogenization and a uniform nitrogen concentration throughout the sample, indicating equilibrium between gas atmosphere and solid state. High pressure gas quench was applied to avoid formation of nitride precipitates during cooling. The nitrogen contents in the samples after treatment, as adjusted by the various applied nitrogen partial pressures, were measured with a LECO TN500 nitrogen analyzer are given in Table II. The equilibrium concentration of nitrogen that can be dissolved in the various stainless steel matrix depends on the chemical composition of the alloy [4]. Our previous work has demonstrated that the nitrogen content in the alloys can be predicted accurately by ThermoCalc, assuming equilibrium between nitrogen in the gas phase and nitrogen in austenite during HTSN [24].

2.1.2 Low-temperature nitriding (LTN)

Samples with dimensions 5x2cm² were obtained from the annealed steel grades. Their surfaces were ground, polished until 3µm finish and subsequently electro-polished in a “Struers Polectrol” apparatus using a “Struers electrolyte A2” with an applied potential of 30V and a current density of 2A/cm² to remove any deformation induced by grinding before low temperature nitriding. Low-temperature nitriding was carried out in a LAC annealing furnace model PKRC 55/09 retrofitted for gaseous nitriding under gas circulation. The sample surface was activated in-situ in order to enable the low-temperature surface hardening. The LTN process was performed at 703K (430 °C) for 20h.
2.2 Electrochemical measurements

Potentiodynamic polarization measurements were performed at room temperature using an ACM potentiostat (GillAC). The surface of the annealed and HTSN treated materials was ground and polished before and after heat treatment, and prior to electrochemical testing. For low-temperature nitriding the surface of the steel samples was ground and polished only before treatment, while electrochemical testing was performed in the as-treated condition in order not to mechanically alter the surface after the thermochemical treatment. In order to investigate the HTSN sample in plate geometry a flat cell set-up is required. This flat cell set-up was made of glass and a polytetrafluoroethylene bottom with an acrylonitrile butadiene rubber O-ring gasket, resulting in an exposed area of 0.95 cm$^2$ of the working electrode (sample), was used for the measurements. An Ag/AgCl electrode and a Pt wire were employed as reference and counter electrode, respectively. The open circuit potential (OCP) was monitored for 30 min prior to conducting each polarization scan. The polarization scans were conducted in naturally aerated 0.1 M NaCl solution of pH 5.2 ± 0.3. The current was measured as a function of the applied potential, starting at -0.2 V Ag/AgCl below OCP and ending at +1 V above OCP. For each sample at least six scans were measured. As this is part of a larger investigation with many samples, a relatively high scan rate of 1 mV/s was chosen. Each scan was repeated at least 6 times.

2.3 Crevice corrosion immersion tests

The crevice corrosion performance of the materials was evaluated by immersion tests according to inspired by, and close to, the ASTM standard G48-11 [4639]. A hole of 6 mm was drilled in the centre of 4-0.7 mm thick samples with dimensions 5x2cm$^2$. For the HTSN samples the hole was drilled after HTSN treatment; for the LTN samples the hole was drilled before the LTN treatment. Two Teflon crevice washers were applied on the two parallel flat surfaces of the sample and hand-
tightened (by the same person for all samples) with bolts; the torque for fastening was not measured. Immersion tests were carried out at room temperature for 168h (1 week) in a 3 wt% FeCl₃ solution of pH 1; the exposure time was chosen 96 hours longer than the time recommended in standard testing. The mass reduction after immersion was measured with a precision balance (0.0001 g). The crevice corrosion immersion experiments were repeated carried out twice.

2.4 Microstructure and materials characterization

The microstructures of the samples after HTSN and LTN were investigated in cross-section with reflected light microscopy. The samples were ground, polished and etched for 8s with Kalling’s reagent no. 1.

Microhardness indentation measurements were performed on a Future-Tech FM700 instrument using a load of 0.05 N for the evaluation of the microhardness of the “case”. The surface morphology of the samples after the potentiodynamic polarization measurements was investigated with scanning electron microscopy, using a Jeol JSM-5900 microscope at an acceleration voltage of 10 kV. Glow discharge emission spectroscopy (GD-OES) was applied for the determination of the surface composition profiles after LTN treatments. The controlled sputtering of the surface was performed with a plasma at 1000Pa and 40W using a Horiba Jobin Yvon GD profiler 2.

Quantification of the concentration depth-profiles was achieved using a selection of stainless steel reference materials supplemented with a custom-made γ’-Fe₄N layer on pure iron as the nitrogen reference.

3 Results

3.1 Characterization of the HTSN samples

The microstructure of the samples after HTSN was examined by XRD and LOM. The investigation revealed a significant increase in the grain size and the bulk hardness depending of the nitrogen
content dissolved. Table II summarizes the hardness and grain size after the different HTSN treatments [24]. No significant difference in grain size was found between the three HTSN treatments. This is in agreement with the experimental conditions; the treatment time and temperature for the three HTSN treatments was in fact the same. The only parameter that was changed was the partial pressure of nitrogen gas (nitrogen activity) which determines the equilibrium content of nitrogen that can be dissolved in the stainless steel.

Fig. 1 shows an isopleth of the phase diagram for AISI 316 with increasing nitrogen content as calculated with Thermocalc. Isobars of total N₂ pressures are superimposed onto the isopleth to demonstrate the range of compositions and phase stabilities that can be obtained under equilibrium between steel and gas (see Ref. 24 for details of the calculations). An excellent agreement between the experimental values and the calculated equilibrium nitrogen contents was concluded for a range of austenitic stainless steels with different compositions [24], among these the type under investigation in this work. XRD investigation (not shown) revealed that austenite is the only phase present in the as received condition and after the HTSN treatments.

3.2 Characterization of the low-temperature nitrided samples

Low-temperature nitriding was performed on the annealed condition of AISI 316. The optical micrograph in Fig. 2 shows that a homogenous pore-free case of expanded austenite has formed during the low-temperature surface treatment. The thickness of the obtained case is 11µm and appears virtually unattacked by the etching agent, suggesting a better corrosion resistance than the underlying substrate. The hardness of the expanded austenite zone was measured to be (1200±50) HV₀.₀₅N. The GD-OES profiles (Fig. 3) confirm the presence of a nitrogen enriched zone at the sample surface. The nitrogen level obtained after LTN treatment is, within experimental accuracy, similar to the values obtained in the literature.
At the case-core transition a thin carbon-enriched zone is present for AISI 316 (Fig. 2) as was verified with GD-OES (Fig. 3). This carbon accumulation results from pushing carbon originally present ahead of the advancing nitrogen front [24]. X-ray diffraction analysis confirmed the formation of expanded austenite. No evidence for the presence of CrN was found in any of the samples, thereby confirming that the selected LTN process parameters avoid precipitation of nitrides.

3.3 Electrochemical Testing

Polarization curves in 0.1M NaCl solution of AISI 316 subjected to the different treatments (annealed, HTSN and LTN) are given in Fig. 4 and presented as the applied potential subtracted the corrosion potential, $E-E_{corr}$, vs. the measured current density, $i$. After testing, it was observed that the annealed and, to a lesser extent, HTSN samples suffer from some crevice corrosion under the O-ring; the LTN sample did not show crevice corrosion under the O-ring. This implies that the absolute value of the current density may vary from sample to sample, because the effective exposed surface area varies. For this reason the polarization curves are only interpreted qualitatively with respect to the resistance against localized corrosion, as characterized by a sudden increase in the current density, which is independent of the absolute value of the current density.

The polarization curve for the annealed condition shows a sudden and rapid increase of the anodic current density (675 mV above $E_{corr}$), indicating breakdown of the passive film and pit initiation. The dissolution of (additional) nitrogen in the stainless steel matrix by HTSN results in a significant change in the corrosion behavior. After the HTSN treatments, no sudden increase is observed in the current density that could indicate a breakdown of the passive film in the potential scan region up to 1000 mV Ag/AgCl. Rather, after $E-E_{corr}$ reaches approximately 200 mV Ag/AgCl, oscillations in the anodic current are observed, which may indicate pit initiation and fast re-passivation. It is no
longer possible to identify a potential where passive film breakdown occurs, indicating that the amount of nitrogen promotes passivation of the stainless steel. For the LTN treated samples, no indications of passive film breakdown were observed. Polarization curves show fewer oscillations for the LTN treated sample than for the HTSN treated samples.

Secondary electron images confirmed the presence of large pits for the annealed condition after electrochemical testing (Fig. 5), consistent with the polarization curve AR (1) in Fig. 4. The HTSN treated samples hardly show any evidence of localized corrosion detected by visual inspection. In Fig. 6 the surface morphology of the AISI 316 samples after HTSN treatment and polarization tests is presented. Scanning electron microscopical investigation indicates the presence of small pits, which would be consistent with the hypothesis that pits do form, but that their growth is impaired by repassivation. The pit formation followed by subsequent repassivation is consistent with the oscillation in the anodic current, as recorded for these samples during the polarization measurements (Fig. 4 for HTSN samples).

The surface morphology after the potentiodynamic measurements of the LTN treated AISI 316 is shown in Fig. 7. Visual inspection did not reveal any large pits and the SEM investigation shows no indication of localized corrosion such as pitting or grain boundary corrosion. However, the surface morphology of the nitried samples differs importantly from the as-received and the HTSN-treated samples (Fig. 7). The LTN sample has a significantly increased surface roughness as an immediate consequence of the nitriding process. The presence of slip lines in Fig. 7 is a consequence of plastic deformation accommodation (and associated lattice rotation) of composition-induced strains as generated by the volume expansion caused by dissolution of a high amount of nitrogen [40]. The increase in surface roughness implies that the “exposed” area through which the current density shown in Fig. 4 is measured, is larger than the nominal (projected) area, which was used in the calculation of the polarization curves. Hence, taking surface roughness into account, the current
density for the LTN sample is actually lower than the nominal value depicted in the polarization curve (Fig. 4).

3.4 Crevice Corrosion Performance Testing in FeCl₃ Solution

Macroscopic images of the crevice corrosion tested samples are collected in Fig. 8. Generally, the steels in the annealed and the HTSN treated conditions show severe crevice corrosion attack. No obvious difference can be discerned between these samples, indicating that, for the severe and extended exposure conditions applied here, it is not possible to conclude whether the HTSN treatment has actually improved the crevice corrosion performance. On the other hand, the optical appearance of the LTN treated sample shows a dramatic improvement of corrosion attack in the crevices between samples and Teflon washers, in particular since the severe test was extended to 168 hours instead of 72 hours. The weight loss of the samples after the immersion tests is displayed. Consistent with the images in Fig. 8 a slightly decreasing trend is observed in the weight loss of the as-received and HTSN treated samples, but within experimental accuracy no reduction in weight loss is observed after HTSN treatment. In this respect it is mentioned that the error bars are relatively large and obscure a possible improvement by the HTSN treatment. It is expected that in order to improve the statistics more samples need to be investigated if this test has to be used to rank the treatments. In contrast, the dissolution of a “colossal” amount of nitrogen (up to 38 at% [484–5043]) into the stainless steel surface by low-temperature nitriding has led to a significant reduction of the weight loss in the crevice corrosion performance test. This result is consistent with the corresponding images in Fig. 8 and the above-mentioned interpretation of the potentiodynamic results in Fig. 4.
4 Discussion

Stainless steels derive their excellent corrosion performance from the presence of a self-healing chromium-based (oxy)hydroxide film at the material’s surface. Nevertheless, stainless steels can suffer from localized corrosion, or pitting, which may well be negligible in terms of weight loss, but often is detrimental. The resistance against localized corrosion can be improved by adding more of the substitutional alloying elements Cr and Mo. In particular the addition of interstitial nitrogen is most efficient in increasing the pitting resistance equivalent number (PREN=%Cr + 3.3(%Mo) + 16(%N)) [4451]. Compared to HTSN, LTN allows dissolution of up to 38 at% nitrogen into the material’s surface [481–5043]. Assuming that the equation given above is valid also for very high nitrogen contents, the PREN numbers for the investigated treatments are given in Table III. Clearly, after HTSN, the PREN number increases gradually with the increasing concentration of dissolved nitrogen. On the other hand, due to the colossal amount of nitrogen at the surface after LTN, this value increases dramatically to approx. 180, since the nitrogen has a much stronger effect (factor 16 in the equation).

The present experimental results confirm improved resistance against pitting with an increase in nitrogen content, and thus the PREN number. In particular for the low-temperature nitrided material, no evidence of pitting after polarization was found after polarization in the investigated potential range. These results reflect what has also been observed in other research activities on expanded austenite [5245–547]. The polarization curves in Fig. 4 show that the dissolution of nitrogen in AISI 316 by low-temperature nitriding leads to a more stable passive layer.

For the steel investigated, a high concentration of nitrogen at the surface, obtained through the low-temperature nitriding process, does not reveal any indication of pit formation. This is confirmed by SEM investigations (Fig.7). The behaviour of dramatically higher improved pitting resistance is comparable to alloys which contain higher alloying contents of particularly Cr and Mo. The present
results are in agreement with what has been found in previous research activities [33,37-39,5245,54,55,7,592–5461] and are believed to be due to the high concentration of nitrogen at the interface between the passive film and the steel, which suppresses further dissolution of the metal [11–13]. The effect of nitrogen addition on the current density in the anodic region of the polarization curve was widely published in the literature; so far controversial results have been reported. Several researchers claim that it provokes a decrease of the current density, while others claim that it has no or the opposite effect [10,4956,5458–5663].

In the case examined here, the intercomparison of the investigated HTSN treated samples shows a clear relation between the current density in the anodic region and the dissolved nitrogen content (Fig. 6): the current density in the passive region is reduced to lower values the lower is the current density in the passive region. However, the current densities measured on the HTSN1 and HTSN2 treated samples are still higher than for the annealed condition. This may be associated with the test set-up, which cannot prevent crevice corrosion under the O-ring. In this respect it is mentioned that the as annealed (300 s at 1323 K) sample has a different thermal history than the three HTSN samples (additionally 4 h at 1423 K), which may have an influence on the crystallographic texture as well as the grain size (cf. Table II). The difference between HTSN and annealed samples was not further investigated.

Low temperature thermochemical treatment however causes a decrease of the current density as also indicated in other research activities in a wide range of solutions [4552–4754,5264]. It has been reported in literature that high nitrogen steels exhibit better crevice corrosion performance than stainless steels without nitrogen addition, as reflected by a trend towards lower weight loss and the fewer corrosion spots [4552,4855,5264]. Apparently, the nitrogen present in solid solution or in the surface-adjacent region is dissolved in the aqueous environment filling the crevice and contributes importantly to the suppression of (further) corrosion attack.
In the present investigation, the immersion tests did not reveal a significant improvement in the crevice corrosion performance after enhancing the nitrogen content in the bulk with HTSN. Such a trend is not possible to reveal in the current investigation due to the aggressiveness of the 3 wt% FeCl₃ solution at pH 1. On the other hand, in the LTN treated materials, a dramatically reduced corrosion attack is observed. These findings confirm the results obtained in other activities for which the weight loss is significantly reduced as a consequence of the low-temperature thermochemical treatments.

The significantly higher nitrogen content in expanded austenite is effective in mitigating crevice corrosion attack. It is important to mention that an improvement of the crevice corrosion performance by a high nitrogen content requires that nitrogen is not bound to CrN precipitates to such a degree that the Cr content in solid solution is insufficient for passivation of the surface. From the polarization curves for annealed and LTN treated AISI 316 in Fig. 4 it follows that accelerated pitting corrosion attack of the substrate can be expected upon breakthrough of the expanded austenite case. Overlap of LTN and HTSN3 polarization curves shows that a remedy for such corrosion attack is an HTSN treatment with as high a nitrogen content as possible.

5 Conclusion

Austenitic stainless steel grade AISI 316 was subjected to high-temperature solution nitriding (HTSN) treatments or low-temperature nitriding (LTN).

The HTSN treatment allows an increase of the nitrogen content in the bulk, which contributes to an improvement of the pitting resistance (high pitting potential) of the alloy, as compared to the untreated material. No improvement was observed in the crevice corrosion performance test of HTSN treated material. Such an improvement may be obscured by the aggressiveness of ferric chloride solution used for present investigations.
The LTN process leads to the formation of a nitrogen-rich case at the material surface. The processing parameters (nitriding temperature and time) allowed the formation of a supersaturated solid solution of nitrogen without the precipitation of CrN. The nitrogen dissolved during the LTN process was significantly higher than obtained with a HTSN process and allowed a major improvement of the resistance against localized corrosion of the investigated steels.

Crevice corrosion immersion tests of the LTN material showed a dramatic reduction of the weight loss as compared to the untreated and HTSN treated materials, despite extended exposure to a harsh environment.

All results indicate that nitrogen has an important effect on the localized corrosion performance.
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**Figure captions**

Figure 1: Isopleth in the phase diagram for AISI 316 showing the phase stability of austenite in the temperature range 1223-1623K (950-1350 °C) vs. nitrogen content in the solid state. Superimposed on the isopleth, N\(_2\) isobars are given that would provide the corresponding equilibrium nitrogen contents.

Figure 2: Reflected light micrograph on low-temperature nitrided AISI 316, after etching with Kalling´s reagent no. 1 for 8 seconds.

Figure 3: GD-OES Compositional depth profiles for nitrogen and carbon after low-temperature nitriding.

Figure 4: Polarization curves for the AISI 316 in annealed condition (AR), after HTSN treatment and after LTN surface treatment.

Figure 5: SEM micrograph showing the pits formed in the annealed AISI 316 after the potentiodynamic measurements.

Figure 6: SEM micrographs showing the surface morphology of the AISI 316 HTSN treated samples after the potentiodynamic measurements: (a) HTSN1, (b) HTSN2, (c) HTSN3.

Figure 7: SEM micrograph of the AISI 316 LTN after the potentiodynamic measurements.

Figure 8: Weight loss after crevice corrosion immersion tests of AISI 316 after various treatments. The tests were performed in a 3 wt-% FeCl\(_3\) pH≈1 solution for 168 h. The micrographs show the attack at the location of the Teflon washer. The error bars represent the highest and lowest value (of 2) measured for the mass loss.
Tables

Table I: Chemical composition AISI 316 in wt% as provided by the suppliers, Lemvigh Muller.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AISI 316</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>≤0.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: Nitrogen content, [N], grain size, <D>, and Vickers hardness, HV$_{2N}$, of AISI 316 after high temperature solid solution nitriding. Indicated +/- ranges refer to uncertainty in the measurement (for [N]), standard variation of grain size measurement and hardness (<D>, HV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>[N] (wt%)</th>
<th>&lt;D&gt; (µm)</th>
<th>HV$_{2N}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>14±3</td>
<td>170±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTSN1</td>
<td>0.306±0.001</td>
<td>50±8</td>
<td>222±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTSN2</td>
<td>0.407±0.002</td>
<td>50±8</td>
<td>231±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTSN3</td>
<td>0.448±0.002</td>
<td>51±7</td>
<td>238±10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III: PREN numbers calculated for the investigated HTSN and LTN treatments of AISI 316.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>HTSN1</th>
<th>HTSN2</th>
<th>HTSN3</th>
<th>LTN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PREN</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>≈180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6a