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Abstract

This study presents an innovative biosensor that was developed on the basis of a microbial electrolysis cell for fast and reliable measurement of volatile fatty acids (VFA) during anaerobic digestion (AD) process. The bio-electrolytic sensor was first tested with synthetic wastewater containing varying concentrations of VFA. A linear correlation ($R^2=0.99$) between current densities (0.03±0.01 to 2.43±0.12 A/m$^2$) and VFA concentrations (5-100 mM) was found. The sensor performance was then investigated under different affecting parameters such as the external voltage, VFA composition ratio, and ionic strength. Linear relationship between the current density and VFA concentrations was always observed. Furthermore, the bio-electrolytic sensor proved ability to handle interruptions such as the presence of complex organic matter, anode exposure to oxygen and low pH. Finally, the sensor was applied to monitor VFA concentrations in a lab-scale AD reactor for a month. The VFA measurements from the sensor correlated well with those from GC analysis which proved the accuracy of the system. Since hydrogen was produced in the cathode as byproduct during monitoring, the system could be energy self-sufficient. Considering the high accuracy, short response time, long-term stability and additional benefit of H$_2$ production, this bio-electrolytic sensor could be a simple and cost-effective method for VFA monitoring during AD and other anaerobic processes.
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1. Introduction

Biogas, an alternative to fossil fuels, is becoming a promising source of renewable energy worldwide. In Europe, there are more than 14500 biogas plants by 2014 with total installed capacity of 7857 MWel (Dahlin et al., 2015). However, process instability caused by clogging, foaming and ammonia inhibition is often encountered in anaerobic digestion (AD), which may cause serious economic losses and prevent this technology from being widely applied. To prevent such problem and to ensure the biogas unit a long life-span, monitoring of the AD process is crucial. Parameters like volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentrations, pH, biogas yield, biogas composition and alkalinity are commonly used as indicators of the complex biochemical process (Li et al., 2014). Among those indicators, it is widely acknowledged that the concentration of VFAs in the digester is prone to be a more meaningful indicator of the process status (Falk et al., 2015). Several off-line methods for VFA monitoring such as titration method (Purser et al., 2014), GC (Boe et al., 2007), high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and mid-infrared spectroscopy (Falk et al., 2015) have been developed. However, these methods are time consuming, inaccurate, expensive and typically tested manually. There are also a few online VFA monitoring systems based on the aforementioned methods (Boe and Angelidaki, 2012). Nevertheless, those systems often require complex equipment and careful maintenance, or need difficult sample preparation, which prevents their widely application. Therefore, development of an efficient, accurate and cost-effective VFA sensing system is crucial for the application of AD technology.

In recent years, bioelectrochemical systems (BESs) have demonstrated great potential to be alternatives for water quality measurement. In particular, microbial fuel cell, a typical BES, has been applied as biosensors for monitoring biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) (Zhang and Angelidaki,
2011), dissolved oxygen (DO) (Zhang and Angelidaki, 2012), microbial activity (Zhang and Angelidaki, 2011), toxic components (Shen et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2015), and even VFA concentrations (Kaur et al., 2013). BES-based biosensors have attracted great attention due to the advantages of cost-effective, rapid, sustainable and portable. The first demonstration of VFAs quantitative measurement in a MFC was presented by Kaur et al (2013). They further modified the anode by the immobilization of bacteria to ensure the sensor’s stability and repeatability (Kaur et al., 2014). However, the detection range is quite limited for real application which is less than 80 mg/L and it would still function as a sensor of total organic matter instead of VFAs with real wastewater. To solve these problems, a VFA biosensor based on the principle of a microbial desalination cell (MDC) was proposed by our group which could detect a wide range of VFAs and eliminate the effect of sample matrix and complex organic matter (Jin et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the response time of the sensor could be shortened as well as the complex three-chambered architecture could be simplified further.

In this study, an innovative biosensor based on a microbial electrolysis cell (MEC) was developed to monitor VFA concentrations during AD process. The bio-electrolytic sensor was constituted of only two chambers and the synthetic wastewater was dosed into the cathode chamber. An external voltage was supplied to accelerate the transportation of VFAs from the cathode to anode through an anion exchange membrane (AEM). With such system, the response time and capital cost could be greatly reduced compared to those of the previous MDC-based VFA sensor. Furthermore, H₂ could be produced at the cathode during the monitoring activity, which may partly compensate the energy used for powering the sensor. The aim of the present study is to provide proof-of-concept evidence that the bio-electrolytic sensor can be an alternative to the traditional complex and time-consuming analytical methods for the real-time detection of VFA concentrations in anaerobic process. With this purpose, the
current response of bio-electrolytic sensor to various VFA concentrations in the artificial wastewater (mimicking AD effluent) was tested in terms of response time, detection range, sensitivity and operational stability. The effect of external voltage, VFA composition, and ionic strength on the performance of the sensor was investigated. The interference such as the presence of complex organic matter, anode exposure to oxygen and the effect of low pH on the system performance was explored. Finally, effluent from a lab-scale AD reactor fed with manure and industrial food-wastes was detected by the bio-electrolytic sensor for 30 days to verify the sensor’s reliability. The application of the bio-electrolytic sensor might have the potential to supply an efficient way to control AD process and bring economic benefit.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Biosensor Setup and Operation

Two double-chamber reactors constructed of nonconductive polycarbonate plates were used in this study. The dimensions of the anode and cathode chambers were the same (8×8×4 cm) for both reactors. Anion exchange membrane (AEM) (AMI 7001, Membrane international, NJ, 9×9 cm) was used to separate the two chambers. Prior to use, membranes were soaked overnight in 50 M NaCl solution, and then stored in distilled water until placed in the cell. The reactors were tightened by rubber gaskets and screws to avoid leakage. The anode electrode was made of carbon brush (5.0 cm in diameter, 5.0 cm in length, Mill-Rose, USA) and was attached with biofilm since it was obtained from an existing microbial electrochemical system (Jin et al., 2016). The cathode electrode was a titanium woven wire mesh (4×5 cm, 0.15 mm aperture, William Gregor Limited, London) coated with 0.5 mg/cm² Pt. Rubber tubes were inserted for medium refill and gas collection. A power supply (HQ PS3003,
Helmholt Elektronik A/S, Denmark) was used to provide an additional voltage to the circuit. The positive lead of the power source was connected to the anode electrode, and the negative lead was connected to a 10 Ω resistance connecting the cathode electrode in the circuit (Fig. 1).
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**Fig. 1.** Prototype (a) and schematic diagram (b) of the bio-electrolytic sensor.

The anode electrode was initially operated in the MFC mode without substrates for several days until the current density decreased below 0.1 A/m². During the experiment, the anode chamber was filled with approximately 220 mL of buffer solution (pH = 7.22±0.17) containing 50 mM phosphate buffer (Na₂HPO₄, 4.33 g/L; and NaH₂PO₄, 2.03 g/L) and nutrient solution (NH₄Cl, 0.31 g/L; KCl, 0.13 g/L; 12.5 mL mineral solution and 12.5 mL vitamin solution) (Kvesitadze et al., 2012). The cathode was filled with 220 mL of synthetic wastewater as “artificial AD effluent”, which was prepared with the same buffer solution containing varying concentrations of sodium acetate, sodium propionate and sodium butyrate (total VFAs ranges from 0 to 120 mM). To mimic real AD effluent, we set the concentration ratio of acetate, propionate and butyrate in “artificial AD effluent” at 5:1:1 which corresponds well to what is often measured in biogas plants (Hollinshead et al., 2014). In one set of tests, we tested the sensor with voltages at 0.3, 0.5, 0.8 and 1.0 V to elucidate the effect of external voltage on the current generation. Then the effect of VFA composition on the system was studied: at
three different concentration ratios (acetate: propionate: butyrate were 5: 1: 1 (R
1), 10: 10: 1 (R2), and 20: 5: 1 (R3)). Subsequently the performance of the system was evaluated under different ionic strength by adding 0, 20, 40, and 80 mM NaCl to the artificial AD effluent. Both chambers were purged with N2 for 15 min to maintain anaerobic conditions prior to each batch run. Mixing was ensured in the anode by a magnetic stirrer. A gas bag was connected with the cathode to collect the produced hydrogen. All chemicals were of reagent grade. All experiments were carried out in duplicate at least at room temperature (22±2°C).

2.2. Electrochemical Analyses and Calculations

Conductivity and pH were measured by a CDM 83 conductivity meter (Radiometer) and a PHM 210 pH meter (Radiometer), respectively. VFAs were measured using a GC with FID detection (Agilent 6890). Hydrogen was analyzed by a GC-TCD fitted with a 4.5 m×3 mm s-m stainless column packed with Molsieve SA (10/80). Voltage readings were taken every 10 mins using a digital multimeter (Model 2700, Keithley Instruments, Inc.; Cleveland, OH, USA). Current density was calculated as $i=I/A$, where $I$ (A) is the current calculated according to ohm’s law and $A$ (m$^2$) is the project surface area of the cathode. The amount of energy supplied to the sensor by the power source ($W_E$) and the energy efficiency ($\eta_E$) relative to the electricity input were calculated as below:

$$W_E = \sum_{1}^{\eta}(I E \Delta t)$$

$$\eta_E = \frac{n_{H_2} \Delta H_{H_2}}{W_E}$$
Where $E$ (V) is the voltage applied to the sensor, $\Delta t$ (s) is the time increment for n data points measured during the experiment, $n_{H_2}$ is the number of moles of hydrogen collected during operation, $\Delta H_{H_2}$ (285.83 kJ/mol) is the energy content of hydrogen based on the heat combustion.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. The Response of the Bio-electrolytic Sensor to Variations of VFA Concentrations

![Fig. 2. Typical current density generation along with time from the biosensor (a) and the relationship between current density generated at 1 h and initial VFA levels in the artificial AD effluent (b).](image)

The feasibility of the bio-electrolytic sensor was demonstrated with the artificial AD effluent at VFA concentrations ranging from 5 to 120 mM. Fig. 2a shows the current output along with time under varied VFA levels. The external voltage was 0.5 V and the concentration ratio of acetate, propionate and butyrate was 5:1:1. At each VFA concentration, it was found that the current density increased along with the time and reached to a platform within 4 hours. No significant increase was observed thereafter (data was not shown). It could be due to the establishment of equilibrium between VFA transportation from the cathode to anode chamber and VFA microbial consumption by anodic bacteria.
Therefore, the reaction time of the sensor was chosen as 4 h for each sample in the following tests. When organic matter was omitted from the artificial AD effluent in the cathode, the current density was as low as observed during the starvation period (<0.1 A/m², 0 mM VFA). It was observed that the maximum current density increased with VFA concentrations and vice versa within a certain VFA concentration range (5~100 mM). As indicated in Fig. 2a, the sensor showed a good reproducibility independently the sequence of measurements and was not affected by VFA concentrations changed from low to high or oppositely. Furthermore, the sensor recovered immediately after a period of starvation and functioned well as soon as VFA were introduced into the sensor.

As shown in Fig. 2b, current densities obtained at 1 h were plotted as the function of initial VFA concentrations. The current density increased from 0.03±0.01 to 2.43±0.12 A/m² with VFA concentrations increasing from 5 to 100 mM. A linear relationship was obtained with a high correlation coefficient factor (R²>0.98). No additional increase in the current output was observed when VFA concentrations were above 100 mM, which suggests that the current density was saturated at higher VFA concentrations. The current density obtained at 2, 3 or 4 h also showed linear relationship with VFA concentration (Fig. S1-3, Supplementary data). Since a relatively short response time was wished, current densities generated at 1 h were focused in the subsequent tests. The results above clear demonstrated the applicability of the sensor for VFA monitoring. The detection range of the bio-electrolytic sensor was up to 100 mM which is much higher than that of MFC-based sensor (Kaur et al., 2013) and almost at the same level as that of the MDC-based sensor reported previously (Jin et al., 2016). It should be noted that the response time (i.e., 1 h) achieved in this study was much shorter compared to that (5 h) of the previous MDC-based sensor. The response of the current density to VFAs in the cathode suggested that VFAs first transported through the AEM and then were utilized by the anodic exoelectrogenic biofilm. Migration and diffusion could be the main mechanisms responsible for
such transportation, and thus, the relatively quicker response time could be due to the enhanced migration with external voltage supply (discussed later).

3.2. Sensor Performance under Different Operational Conditions

A series of experiments were conducted to study the individual effect of external voltage, VFA composition ratio, and solution ionic strength on the sensor performance.

**Fig. 3.** Current densities against VFA levels under different external voltage (a), initial VFA concentration ratios (b), and ionic strength with NaCl (c).
Fig. 3a shows the current density generated at 1 h along with varied VFA concentrations under different external voltage. The linear relationships between current densities (0.02±0.01 to 1.63±0.12 A/m² at 0.3 V; 0.03±0.01 to 2.43±0.12 A/m² at 0.5 V; 0.15±0.05 to 3.42±0.21 A/m² at 0.8 V; 0.19±0.07 to 3.74±0.11 A/m² at 1.0 V) and VFA concentrations (5-100 mM) were observed at all the external voltages. Furthermore, the current density increased with the increasing external voltage. It was also found that the difference among the current densities observed with different external voltages was much larger at high VFA concentrations than that at low VFA concentrations. For instance, with 100 mM VFA in the artificial AD effluent, the current density generated at 1.0 V was 3.74±0.11 A/m², which was much higher than that obtained at 0.3 V (1.63±0.12 A/m²). However, while the initial VFAs were below 20 mM, the differences among the current densities under different voltages were not significant. It is due to that the substrate was the main limiting factor at low VFA concentrations while the external voltage turned to be dominant when sufficient substrate was supplied. The higher voltage applied the faster VFA transportation to the anode, which might explain the increase of current density with higher external voltage (discussed in later section). At the end of experiments, 14.0, 27.5, 34.0, and 41.5 mL H₂ was collected at 0.3, 0.5, 0.8 and 1.0 V, respectively. The energy efficiencies related to the electrical input were 137.6%, 158.6%, 103.3% and 120.8%, respectively. The result was comparable to traditional MEC systems (Zhang and Angelidaki, 2014). Therefore, the bio-electrolytic sensor could realize energy self-sufficient with H₂ production in addition to VFA monitoring. To achieve the highest energy efficiency, 0.5 V was selected as external voltage in the following tests.

Acknowledging that VFA composition might influence the anion transportation across the AEM and the anodic microbial communities as well as the sensor performance, the sensor was further tested at three different VFA ratios (i.e., R₁, R₂ and R₃) to address such concerns. The correlation between current densities and VFA concentrations at different VFA composition is shown in Fig. 3b. Similar
results were obtained at $R_1$ and $R_3$, and the regression function was still suitable. Comparatively, relatively lower current density was observed at $R_2$ resulting in a slightly decrease of the slope of the linear function. It has been recently reported that the transportation rate of acetate was faster than those of propionate and butyrate through the AEM which can be anticipated due to the smaller molecule size of acetate (Zhang and Angelidaki, 2015). Moreover, propionate and butyrate degradations have been known to be thermodynamically less favorable as compared to acetate degradation (Yang et al., 2015).

The proportion of acetate (>70%) was much higher than the proportions of propionate and butyrate at $R_1$ and $R_3$ while the proportion of acetate (<50%) was smaller at $R_2$, which might cause the decrease in current density at $R_2$. Since the amount of acetate was always dominant in real AD effluent, the bio-electrolytic sensor is practicable in field application.

Subsequently our system was examined as a function of the ionic strength. Thus NaCl were added in the artificial AD effluent to study their impact on the sensor performance. Results are shown in Fig. 3c. The current density still displayed linear relationship with VFA levels at different ionic strengths. The current density generally increased with the increasing ionic strength, resulting in the change of the regression function. For example, by increasing the solution ionic strength from 20 to 40 mM with NaCl, the current density obtained at 100 mM VFA increased from $3.01\pm0.11$ to $3.70\pm0.15$ A/m$^2$. This could be due to the decrease of the internal resistance as result of the conductivity elevation (Fig. S4, Supplementary data) at high ionic strength. However, the current density ceased to increase when the NaCl concentration was higher than 40 mM, indicating the saturation of current density at this ionic strength level (Liu et al., 2005). Since the ionic strength in real AD effluent was usually higher than 80 mM (Cai et al., 2013; Sheets et al., 2014), the results from the system will be still valid. However, in practical applications the sensor may need calibration by taking practical environmental conditions into account such as wastewater was diluted.
Fig. 4. Accumulated VFAs in the anode chamber at 1 h under different external voltage (a); Accumulated VFAs in the anode under different conditions: open circuit; close circuit and theoretical value calculated by the accumulated charge (b).

3.3. Mechanism of VFA transportation

The accumulated VFA concentration in the anode under different voltage was measured (Fig. 4a). It was indeed observed that higher voltage caused more VFA to accumulate in the anode under the same initial VFA concentration which is the dosed VFAs in the cathode at the beginning of each batch. Such observation was even clear when the initial VFA concentration was higher than 40 mM. Interestingly, at same applied voltage, the accumulated VFA concentration in the anode increased with the initial VFA concentration of the artificial AD effluent. The results imply that besides electricity driven migration, diffusion caused by concentration gradient might also be responsible for VFA transportation. Then the accumulated VFA in the anode was monitored under both open circuit and closed circuit ($E=0.5$ V) along with the operation time when the initial VFA in the artificial AD effluent was 60 mM (Fig. 4b). VFA accumulation under open circuit confirmed the contribution of diffusion to the VFA transportation. Theoretically, most charge should be balanced by negative VFA ions migrating from the cathode to the anode chamber. Thus, the contribution of migration to the VFA transportation could be estimated on the basis of the accumulated charge ($Q$) during the monitoring. Notably, the actual
concentration of the accumulated VFA in the anode was much lower than that under open circuit or calculated according to the accumulated charge, which implied the substrate consumption by the anodic exoelectrogenic biofilm. In addition, it was noticed that the accumulated VFA concentration in the anode under close circuit did not increase further after 4 h, indicating a dynamic equilibrium between VFA consumption and transportation. This was consistent with the current density where a platform was reached around 4 h.

3.4. Sensor performance under different interference situation

![Figure 5](image.png)

**Fig. 5.** Current density generation when organic matter was dosed in the cathode and anode, respectively; arrow indicates when air sparging was applied; pH of the cathode solution was adjusted to 3.72.

It is of outmost importance for a sensor to be robust to interruptions. Fig. 5 shows the response of the sensor to different interferences. To test the selectivity of the sensor, we dosed a mixture of organic matter (e.g., glucose, cellulose, protein and lipid) instead of VFAs into the cathode or anode chamber, respectively. When 2 g/L glucose was added in the anode, stepwise increases in current density were obtained. On the contrary, only background current density was observed when glucose was added into
the cathode as sole organic matter. As glucose is nonionic it was retained by the AEM in the same chamber where it was added. Likewise, 2 g/L cellulose, 2 g/L gelation or 10 mL/L GTO in the cathode didn’t increase the current density. Comparatively, when some of them were dosed in the anode, very low level of current density was observed since microorganisms may need a bit adaption time to hydrolyze polymer into simple molecules. The results demonstrate the good selectivity of the bio-electrolytic sensor, since the interference from complex organic matter could be avoided as the AD effluent was fed into the cathode. It is one of the important advantages of the sensor developed in our study compared to the previous MFC sensor in which the AD effluent is added into the anode chamber (Kaur et al., 2013). Moreover, the sensor is able to detect a wide range of VFAs since only part of bulk VFAs in the cathode transferred to the anodic biofilm. For assessing the recovery ability after a possible disturbance, 12 mL air was injected to the anode using a syringe and the response of the sensor was recorded. As shown in Fig. 5, anodic biofilm reacted immediately to air pulses by ceasing electricity generation. After 1 hour the current density generation resumed which underlined the resilience of the sensor. Subsequently, we investigated the sensor performance at low pH condition by adjusting the pH of artificial AD effluent to 3.72. Results showed that the sensor performance was not influenced by the low pH as proton transportation towards to anode was prevented by the AEM. Therefore, the utilization of AEM and dosing artificial AD effluent in the cathode made the system selective and robust.

3.5. Verification of the sensor with effluents from real AD reactor

The sensor was finally applied to measure VFA levels in a real lab-scale CSTR. During 30 days operation of the CSTR, samples were retrieved from the reactor every day and immediately dosed into
the cathode of the bio-electrolytic sensor for measurement. The operational data for the CSTR and characteristics of the effluent are listed in Table S1 (Supplementary data). VFA concentrations obtained from our sensor and GC are summarized in Fig. 6. The fluctuation in VFA levels was observed through the sensor with good sensitivity. The values obtained with the sensor agreed well with the total VFA values measured by GC. ANOVA analysis detected no significant difference between the two groups (F=0.54>F(30, 29)=0.11, P≤0.05), which confirmed the good accuracy of the sensor. According to the results, the sensor can easily handle samples from AD reactors with a wide range of VFA levels. Especially, the sensor concept is simple which makes it potentially applicable to various anaerobic processes. Furthermore, this bio-electrolytic sensor has been operated over 5 months in a stable manner without any maintenance service, which demonstrates the reliability of the sensor system.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 6.** Monitoring test of a lab-scale CSTR.

### 4. Conclusion

The present work demonstrated for the first time the feasibility of the MEC-typed sensor for VFA monitoring in AD process. Reproducible current densities as a function of different VFA concentrations over a wide range (0-100 mM) were obtained. The external voltage, VFA composition and ionic strength affected the sensor performance. Nevertheless linear relationships between current
density and VFA levels were always observed. The sensor had a high selectivity since complex organic matter was retained by AEM which only allowed VFA transport through. Moreover it was robust to interruptions such as low pH and resumed soon after expose to air. Hydrogen was produced during the measurement which could compensate (or partly) the energy requirements of the sensor. Though the bio-electrolytic sensor has a potential in monitoring biogas process, further improvements and modifications such as more compact sensor and robust structure is necessary to fit the onsite and real time monitoring.
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