Synthesis of oligo (15)--L- arabinofuranosides related to the plant polysaccharide pectin

Daugaard, Mathilde

Publication date: 2016

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation (APA):

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Synthesis of oligo (1→5)-α-L-arabinofuranosides related to the plant polysaccharide pectin
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Preface

This thesis describes the research carried out from May 2011 to March 2016 as part of the Danish program to obtain a Ph.D. degree. The work was carried out at the Department of Chemistry at the Technical University of Denmark supervised by Professor Mads H. Clausen. The project has been part of the GlycAct project in collaboration with Professor William G.T. Willats at the University of Copenhagen. An external research stay was conducted in Professor Willats’ group from June 2013 to October 2013 as part of the Ph.D.

Chapter one is a brief introduction to pectic polysaccharides and previously reported arabinofuranoside syntheses. Chapter two presents the synthetic strategy and challenges, which includes characterization of synthesized compounds. Chapter three describes the synthesis of two octasaccharides. In chapter four work done in the Professor Willats’ group is outlined. This involves a linker system for oligosaccharides and a transglycosylation assay. Chapter five is a conclusion, chapter six contains experimental protocols and compound data, and chapter seven holds the references.


An article describing the work in chapter three is currently under preparation and included in appendix C: Mathilde Daugaard and Mads H. Clausen. “Chemical synthesis of (1→5)-α-L-oligoarabinofuranosides.” In preparation.
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Abstract

A strong fundamental understanding of plant biology is essential for meeting society’s growing demand for safe and nutritious food, dietary fibers, clothes, and renewable energy sources for an increasing global population. The plant cell wall is one of the main targets for biotechnological research, as it represents almost 50% of plant biomass.

A major constituent of the plant cell wall is different complex polysaccharides. The knowledge about their detailed structure and function on a molecular level is far from complete, and structural studies are complicated by the great complexity of the cell wall. The diversity of polysaccharides and the microheterogeneity in the cell wall make it extremely challenging to isolate well-defined compounds after partial degradation of plant material. Chemical synthesis, on the other hand, is capable of producing structurally diverse oligosaccharides of excellent purity, and in larger quantities.

The objective of the present study is to design and execute chemical syntheses of well-defined pectic oligosaccharides. These can serve as models for the more complex polysaccharide network found in the plant cell wall. The chemical synthesis of two branched structures of oligo (1→5)-α-L-arabinofuranosides that are prominent side chains in RG-I is presented. By employing a disaccharide donor, the number of glycosylation reactions was reduced significantly and late stage regioselective deprotection made it possible to introduce different sidechains in the oligosaccharides.

The work done during an external research stay at University of Copenhagen is also described. This includes the implementation of a covalent linker system as an alternative to bovine serum albumin (BSA) for oligosaccharides, as well as the development of a microarray-based transglycosylation assay capable of screening for novel glycosyl transferase/hydrolase activities.
Dansk Resumé

For at imødekomme en global voksende befolknings efterspørgsel på f.eks. fødevarer, kostfibre, beklædning og vedvarende energikilder, er en fundamental forståelse af plantebiologi essentiel. I den forbindelse er plantecellevæggen et interessant forskningsområde, da den repræsenterer halvdelen af planters biomasse.

Hovedbestanddelen af plantecellevæggen er forskellige komplekse polysakkarider, men det nuværende kendskab til dennes detaljerede struktur og funktion på et molekylært niveau er langt fra fuldkommen. Mikroheterogeniteten og mangfoldigheden af polysakkarider i cellevægen gør det utrolig kompliceret at isolere veldefinerede stoffer efter delvis nedbrydning af plantemateriale. Ved hjælp af kemisk syntese er det derimod muligt at producere strukturelt forskellige oligosakkarider i fremragende renhed og i større mængder.

Formålet med dette studie har været at designe og udføre kemiske synteser af strukturelt veldefinerede oligosakkarider kendt fra pektin, som sidenhen kan tjene som modeller for de komplekse polysakkarider i plantecellevæggen. Den kemiske syntese af to forgrenede oligo (1→5)-α-L-arabinofuranosider, der er sidekæder i RG-I, er præsenteret. Det var muligt at holde antallet af glykosyleringsreaktioner nede ved at benytte en disakkarid donor. En regioselektiv afbeskyttelse på et sent tidspunkt i syntesen, gjorde det muligt at introducere forskellige sidekæder på to lineære oligosakkarider.

Arbejdet udført i forbindelse med et eksternt forskningsophold på Københavns Universitet er også beskrevet. Dette inkluderer implementeringen af et linker system for oigosakkarider som alternativ til bovint serum albumin (BSA) og udviklingen af et mikroarraybaseret transglykosyleringsassay i stand til at screene for ny glykosyltransferase/hydrolase aktivitet.
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1 Introduction

Pectins are an important group of polysaccharides found in the plant cell wall (Figure 1). Pectins contribute to cell functions such as support, defense, signaling, and cell adhesion and they play an important role as a food additive, serving as stabilizer and thickening agent. Pectin polysaccharides are composed of three different structural domains known as rhamnogalacturonan I (RG-I), rhamnogalacturonan II (RG-II), and homogalacturonan (HG).

![Figure 1 Representation of the plant cell wall printed with permission from Willats et al.](image)

In an effort to improve the understanding of the pectin, increasing attention has, in recent years, been given to the structure and function of plant wall polysaccharides.\(^2\)-\(^4\)

The structure of pectin has long been a focus of interest in the Mads H. Clausen group. Mads H. Clausen completed the synthesis of five partially methyl-esterified hexagalacturonates from the HG part of pectin.\(^5\) Later Alexandra Zakharova, a Ph.D. student in the Mads H. Clausen group, synthesized a hexasaccharide fragment of the RG-I backbone,\(^6\) and Mathias C. F. Andersen, a Ph.D. student supervised by Mads H. Clausen, synthesized a variety of D-galactosides, which are found as prominent side chains in the RG-I structure.\(^7\)

One way to examine structurally complex molecules, such as pectin, is to study the smaller constituents individually, like done for the galacturonates and the galactosides.\(^8\)-\(^10\) To gain more information about the structure of pectin, another prominent side chain of RG-I, L-arabinofuranoside, was desired.

Chemical synthesis provides reliable routes to compounds with well-defined structures in multi-milligram scale. De novo synthesis of oligosaccharides offers the possibility of great structural diversity in the target molecules. Chemical synthesis of oligosaccharides is, however, a laborious process, since...
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no straightforward methods exist for oligosaccharide synthesis, as opposed to e.g. the solid phase synthesis of peptides.\textsuperscript{11} One of the main limitations in oligosaccharide synthesis is distinction of the many hydroxyl groups on the monosaccharides, which require different protecting groups. The other main limitation involves the glycosylation reactions, which are often only modest yielding, and in which stereocontrol can be challenging. The goal is to prepare target molecules in minimum 95% purity, of which the impurities should mainly come from the protecting groups or the chemical reagents used, which most often do not affect the following biological tests of the oligosaccharides.

\subsection{1.1 Pectin}

Pectin was first discovered as “a peculiar substance” in tamarind fruit in 1790 by Vauquelin,\textsuperscript{12} and later described by Braconnot as ”pectin” in 1825.\textsuperscript{13} Pectin arrives from the Greek word pektikos, which means congeal, solidify or curdle, which is the exact property of pectins: their ability to jellify. But pectic polysaccharides possess much more important biological roles than acting as jellifying agent in the food industry. It is generally understood that the mechanical strength and physical properties of primary cell walls are largely dependent on the pectic polysaccharides.\textsuperscript{14–16}

Pectins are also useful in the pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries for the manufacture of encapsulated drugs and skin-care products.\textsuperscript{17,18}

Pectins constitute an important part of the plant cell wall.\textsuperscript{19} Investigating the structure of pectin can contribute with more knowledge of properties such as cell wall biosynthesis and protein-carbohydrate interactions. Pectins are built up of different regions: RG-I, homogalacturonan (HG), xylogalacturonan (XGA), and rhamnogalacturonan II (RG-II) (Figure 2).

Figure 2 illustrates a general structure of pectin in a cartoon fashion for overview purposes. Each monosaccharide holds a different color; D-enantiomers are fully colored whereas L-enantiomers are shaded. Whether the monosaccharide occurs in the furanose or the pyranose form is illustrated by the geometry of each monosaccharide, where each corner represents either a carbon or an oxygen atom. This gives a simple overview of which position links one monosaccharide to the next one. The glycosidic linkages between two monosaccharides are illustrated with a dot for $\alpha$-linkages and no dot for $\beta$-linkages. This cartoon fashion will be used throughout the thesis for overview purposes when relevant.
Figure 2 Representation of pectin with its four parts: RG-I, HG, XGA, and RG-II.
I am aware of the recently published article in Chemical and Engineering News, which presents a different glycan notation as new standard.20 We, however, feel that the representation in Figure 2 provides an easier overview using less space.

HG is the most abundant pectic polysaccharide. It consists of a linear chain of (1→4) linked α-D-galactopyranuronic acid residues, with a length of around 100-200 galacturonic acid units of which some of the carboxylic acids are methyl esterified. The degree of esterification depends of the specific plant and the position of the cell in the plant tissue.21 The methylation degree is well known to influence the gelling character as well as other properties. HG is referred to as the smooth region of pectin.

RG-II is a minor component in pectin. It has a backbone of minimum eight and up to 15 (1→4) linked α-D-galactopyranuronic acid units with four distinct side chains (A-D, shown on Figure 2). The side chains are constructed from 13 different monosaccharides, of which many are rare sugars, such as L-aceric acid (AceA; 3-C-carboxy-5-deoxy-L-xylene), 2-keto-3-deoxy-D-manno-octulosonic acid (Kdo), 2-keto-3-deoxy-D-lyxo-heptulosaric acid (Dha), D-apiose (Api; 3-C-(hydroxymethyl)-β-D-erythro), L-galactose, 2-O-methyl-D-xylene and 2-O-methyl-L-fucose. The monosaccharides are connected by over 20 different linkages.22 Borate forms ester bonds with the apiosyl residues of side chain A of two RG-II monomers to generate crosslinked RG-II dimers.23 Studies have shown that RG-II is conserved across the plant kingdom and increasing evidence supports that RG-II and its borate crosslinks are critical for plant growth and development by supporting e.g. cell adhesion and mechanical strength.14

XGA is also a minor constituent of pectin. It consists of a (1→4) linked α-D-galactopyranuronic acid, which is substituted with β-D-xylose at the O-3 position.24 The 3-linked xylose has occasionally been found to be further substituted at O-4 with an additional β-linked xylose. Some studies suggest that XGA may help HG be more resistant to degradation by endopolygalacturonases produced during pathogen attack.25

1.1.1 Rhamnogalacturonan I
RG-I is the most abundant part of pectin after HG. RG-I holds a backbone of alternating galacturonic acid and rhamnose monosaccharides branched on the rhamnose residues with side chains of linear and branched galactans, arabinans, and arabinogalactans.21 Along with the RG-II region these are called the hairy regions of pectin as opposed to the smooth unbranched HG region.
The degree of branching and the length and composition of the side chains depend on the plant source. The galactan side chains are mostly linear (1→4) linked β-D-galactosides, whereas the arabinan side chains are (1→5) linked α-L-arabinofuranoside chains with possibility of L-arabinan sidechains in the 2- and/or 3-positions.\textsuperscript{26,27} The arabinogalactan side chains either contain a backbone of (1→4) linked β-D-galactopyranoside substituted mainly in the 3-position with L-arabinofuranosides.\textsuperscript{28}

### 1.2 Beneficial effects of L-arabinose

Initially our interest in L-arabinofuranosides arose from their presence in pectin and our urge to better understand the structure of this complex polysaccharide. Later we realized that literature holds several examples of beneficial health effect of L-arabinose.

Oligo (1→5)-α-L-arabinofuranosides derived from sugar beet pectin have been reported to possess a wide range of biological activity profiles including immunological activity\textsuperscript{29,30} and being a promising dietary supplement for improvement of human intestinal health.\textsuperscript{31,32}

Recently the monosaccharide L-arabinose has been found to pose selective intestinal sucrase inhibition effect\textsuperscript{33} as well as protective effects in high-carbohydrate, high-fat diet-induced metabolic syndrome in rats,\textsuperscript{34} and great usage in tumor therapy as inducer of bacterial gene expression in combination with a molecular switch.\textsuperscript{35,36}

Gao \textit{et al}. reported that arabinose can increase or decrease the interaction between galactans and galectin-3 depending on their location within the RG-I molecule.\textsuperscript{37} Galectin-3 is a galactans-binding protein considered as a diagnostic marker and a target protein for cancer treatment.\textsuperscript{38}

### 1.3 L-Arabinofuranoside structures found in Nature

L-Arabinofuranosides are found in the cell wall of many different plant sources and green alga. Table 2 offers an overview of many of the L-arabinofuranosides reported in Nature.

The arabinan side chains in pectin are generally thought to be directly attached to the rhamnogalacturonan backbone, as illustrated in many schematic representations of pectin/RG-I.\textsuperscript{39–43} However, very limited evidence for this claim has been reported up until now. To the best of our knowledge the only clear evidence for an arabinose-rhamnose link in literature is found in the work by Wu \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{44} They reported a cross peak between the anomic proton H-1 of the arabinosyl residue and C-4 of the rhamnosyl residue in the heteronuclear
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multiple bond correlation spectroscopy (HMBC) spectrum of an oligomer obtained from boat fruit.

L-Arabinofuranosides are mainly found to be (1→5) linked with occasional branchings on the 2- and/or the 3-position. Recently a (1→3) α-linked chain was obtained and characterized, and occasionally terminal β-arabinofuranoside residues have been detected in pectic substances. The degree of polymerization of the arabinans varies with the stage of ripening of a fruit, as described for the olive fruit by Ferreira et al., Vierhuis et al., and Mafra et al.

Table 1 Arabinans reported in different plant sources. The table is an expanded version of a similar table reported by Ding et al., who also presented an overview of linkage types reported in each case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant source</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin (Citrus unshiu)</td>
<td>Coll-Almela et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma Huan (Ephedra sinica)</td>
<td>Xia et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amaranth (Amaranthus hypochondriacus)</td>
<td>Wefers et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato (Solanum tuberosum)</td>
<td>Khodaei and Karboune 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styrian oil-pumpkin (Cucurbita pepo)</td>
<td>Koštálková et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa)</td>
<td>Cordeiro et al. 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nata Karanja (Caesalpinia bonduc) seed</td>
<td>Mandal et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat-fruit (Semen Sterculiae lychnophorae)</td>
<td>Wu et al. 2007 and Wu et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green alga (Chlamydomonas reinhardii)</td>
<td>Bollig et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roots of (Echinacea pallida)</td>
<td>Thude and Classen 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almond (prunus dulcis) seed</td>
<td>Dourado et al. 2004 and Dourado et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honey locust (Gleditsia triacanthos) seed</td>
<td>Navarro et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaves from Oleander (Nerium indicum)</td>
<td>Dong and Fang 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazilian firetree (Schizolobium parahybae) seed</td>
<td>Petkowicz et al. 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassia (fustusa) seed</td>
<td>Petkowicz et al. 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizolobium amaranticum seeds</td>
<td>Petkowicz et al. 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapeseed (Brassica napus)</td>
<td>Eriksson et al. 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemp bast fibres (Cannabis)</td>
<td>Vignon and Garcia-Jaldon 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese herbal medicine (Kampo medicine)</td>
<td>Yamada 1995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lupin \((\textit{Lupinus angustifolius})\) cotyledon

Pea hull \((\textit{Pisum sativum})\)

Red wine

Guapuruvu \((\textit{Schizolobium parahybum})\)

Leaves from \((\textit{Ginkgo biloba})\)

Pigeon pea \((\textit{Cajanus cajan})\) cotyledon

Flax \((\textit{Linum usitatissimum})\)

Parsnip \((\textit{Pastinica sativa})\)

Mung bean \((\textit{Vigna radiate})\)

Cowpea \((\textit{Vigna sinensis})\)

Pollen tubes from Tobacco \((\textit{Nicotiana alata})\)

Horsebean \((\textit{Vicia faba})\) root

March mallow root \((\textit{Althaea officinalis})\)

Grape \((\textit{Vitis vinifera})\)

Prickly pear \((\textit{Opuntia ficus-indica})\)

Cabbage \((\textit{Brassica oleracea Var. capitate})\)

Inner bark of young stems \((\textit{Rosa glauca})\)

Roots and leaves of \((\textit{Althea officinalis})\)

Sesame \((\textit{Sesamum indicum})\)

Rape seed \((\textit{Brassica napus})\)

White willow \((\textit{Salix alba})\) bark

Rape seed \((\textit{Brassica campestris})\)

Jujube fruit \((\textit{Ziziphus vulgaris})\)

Soybean \((\textit{Glycine max})\)

Lemon peel \((\textit{Citrus limon})\)

Apple \((\textit{malus domestica})\)

Cardoso \textit{et al.} 2002,\textsuperscript{45} Vierhuis \textit{et al.} 2003,\textsuperscript{69} Ferreira \textit{et al.} 2006,\textsuperscript{46} and Cardoso \textit{et al.} 2007\textsuperscript{70}

Cheetham \textit{et al.} 1993\textsuperscript{71}

Ralet \textit{et al.} 1993\textsuperscript{72}

Belleville \textit{et al.} 1993\textsuperscript{73}

Zawadzki-Baggio \textit{et al.} 1992\textsuperscript{74}

Kraus 1991\textsuperscript{75}

Swamy and Salimath 1991\textsuperscript{76}

Davis \textit{et al.} 1990\textsuperscript{77} and Ding \textit{et al.} 2015\textsuperscript{49}

Siddiqui and Emery 1990\textsuperscript{78}

Herve du Penhoat and Michon 1987\textsuperscript{79}

Muralikrishna and Tharanathan 1986\textsuperscript{80}

Rae \textit{et al.} 1985\textsuperscript{81}

Joseleau \textit{et al.} 1983\textsuperscript{82}

Capel \textit{et al.} 1983\textsuperscript{83}

Villetaz \textit{et al.} 1981\textsuperscript{84} and Saulnier and Brillouet 1988\textsuperscript{85}

McGarvie and Parolis 1981,\textsuperscript{86} Vignon \textit{et al.} 2004,\textsuperscript{87} and Habibi \textit{et al.} 2005\textsuperscript{88}

Stevens and Selvendran 1980\textsuperscript{89}

Joseleau \textit{et al.} 1977\textsuperscript{90}

Tomoda \textit{et al.} 1977,\textsuperscript{91} Tomoda \textit{et al.} 1980,\textsuperscript{92} and Tomoda \textit{et al.} 1981\textsuperscript{93}

Wankhede and Tharanathan 1976\textsuperscript{94}

Norton and Harris 1975\textsuperscript{95}

Karacsonyi \textit{et al.} 1975\textsuperscript{96}

Siddiqui and Wood 1974\textsuperscript{97} and Larm \textit{et al.} 1975\textsuperscript{98}

Tomoda \textit{et al.} 1973\textsuperscript{99}

Aspinall and Cottrell 1971\textsuperscript{100}

Aspinall and Cottrell 1970\textsuperscript{101}

Barrett and Northcote 1965,\textsuperscript{102} Churms \textit{et al.} 1983,\textsuperscript{103} and Aspinall and Fanous 1984\textsuperscript{104}

Stevens and Selvendran 1980\textsuperscript{89}

Joseleau \textit{et al.} 1977\textsuperscript{90}

Tomoda \textit{et al.} 1977,\textsuperscript{91} Tomoda \textit{et al.} 1980,\textsuperscript{92} and Tomoda \textit{et al.} 1981\textsuperscript{93}

Wankhede and Tharanathan 1976\textsuperscript{94}

Norton and Harris 1975\textsuperscript{95}

Karacsonyi \textit{et al.} 1975\textsuperscript{96}

Siddiqui and Wood 1974\textsuperscript{97} and Larm \textit{et al.} 1975\textsuperscript{98}

Tomoda \textit{et al.} 1973\textsuperscript{99}

Aspinall and Cottrell 1971\textsuperscript{100}

Aspinall and Cottrell 1970\textsuperscript{101}

Barrett and Northcote 1965,\textsuperscript{102} Churms \textit{et al.} 1983,\textsuperscript{103} and Aspinall and Fanous 1984\textsuperscript{104}
1.4 Previously synthesized oligo arabinofuranosides

Chemical synthesis is, as previously described, an often essential method to acquire compounds with well-defined chemical structures, with target molecules originating in Nature. This section offers an overview of the reported approaches to obtain oligomers of D- and L-arabinofuranosides. These are enantiomers, which mean that they are the mirror images of each other. In many aspects enantiomers behave identical e.g. possessing identical \( R_f \) values, NMR spectra and melting points. When exposed to a chiral environment, two enantiomers, however, do not perform alike, therefore introducing the correct enantiomer in a biological context is crucial, and synthesizing L-arabinofuranosides to investigate the plant cell wall is essential. Since enantiomers perform alike in chemical reactions, inspiration to synthetic strategies can very conveniently and sensibly be gained from the enantiomer.

1.4.1 Chemical synthesis of oligo D-arabinofuranosides

Extensive work has been carried out synthesizing oligomers of D-arabinofuranosides that make up part of the cell wall of \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis}. These bacteria causes the infectious disease tuberculosis, which is one of the leading causes to deaths worldwide.\(^{\text{122}}\)

A major mycobacterial cell wall glycan is lipoarabinomannan (LAM), which is a lapidated polysaccharide that plays a critical role in mycobacteria-host interactions (Figure 3). The following is meant as a short introduction to the
synthetic strategies used in this work. Many more references can be found in the cited articles and in Cao and Williams’ review about the chemical approaches for the study of the mycobacterial glycolipids phosphatidylinositol mannoses, lipomannan and lipoarabinomannan.\textsuperscript{123}

![Figure 3 Schematic structure of the composite structure of lipoarabinomannan.\textsuperscript{124}](image)

A main arabinan structure in lipoarabinomannan is the hexasaccharide motif capturing the non-reducing end of the big oligosaccharide structure, marked on Figure 4. The terminal (1→2)-β-arabinofuranose residue might be capped with mannopyranosyl oligosaccharides. Initially much focus was put on synthesizing the branched hexasaccharide motif 2 (Figure 4). With this chemically synthesized structure, it was found that one of the major antibodies generated against mycobacterial LAM binds this hexasaccharide.\textsuperscript{125} Based on these findings an assay has been developed, which can be used to discriminate between tuberculosis and non-tuberculosis patients.\textsuperscript{126}

![Figure 4 Hexaarabinoside motif 2 found at the non-reducing termini of lipoarabinomannan.](image)
The synthetic strategy of the “middle”-tetrasaccharide, holding monosaccharides A, B, C, and D (Figure 4), which are connected by α-linkages, was first established by Ayers et al. in 1998. The reducing end of the molecule was capped with a methyl group. Thioglycosides were chosen as the glycosyl donors because of their hydrolytic stability. The reactions were performed at 0 °C promoted by NIS and silver triflate (AgOTf) and resulted in high yields and excellent stereoselectivity (Scheme 1). The stereoselectivity for the α-linkage was assisted by neighboring group participations and the difficulties in forming a β-D-arabinofuranosyl-linkage as discussed below.

Due to the symmetric nature of the hexaarabinoside motif, monosaccharide C and D are often introduced simultaneously. Although stepwise introduction of monosaccharide C and D also have been shown. Monosaccharide E and F are linked with β-linkages and these are notoriously difficult to synthesize, similar to the β-D-mannopyranosyl linkage which is a stereochemical analog. The cis orientation of the C-1 and C-2 groups in the two molecules prohibits the advantage of neighboring group participation using glycosyl donors with C-2 acyloxy groups because this produces 1,2-trans glycosides. Donors holding a nonparticipating group at C-2 also mainly result in 1,2-trans glycosides since both stereo electronic and steric effects favor the formation of the α-glycoside, in these cases. Recently various methods to overcome the challenge with β-arabinofuranosides have been reported and a few are mentioned below. For a more thorough discussion about β-arabinofuranosides please consult Imamura and Lowary’s review about chemical synthesis of furanose glycosides, who refer to reported methods up until 2011. Furthermore the work by Thadke et al. and Liu et al. are worth mentioning.

One of the first synthetic approaches to obtain β-arabinofuranosides were reported by D’Souza and Lowary. They succeeded in introducing both β-D-arabinofuranosyl residues from hexaarabinoside motif 2 (E and F from Figure 4) simultaneously in good yield and with excellent stereocontrol (Scheme 1).

The authors suggest, that the stereoselectivity might result via a ion-pair S_N1 pathway, but no studies following up on the selectivity of this monosaccharide was reported till recently. These studies suggest that β-arabinofuranosidation is influenced by the stereochemical environment around the hydroxyl group of the acceptor and the temperature of the reaction. Low temperatures on sterically demanding and less reactive substrates afford better β-selectivity.
In 2006, Fraser-Reid et al. synthesized an impressive 28-mer oligosaccharide core (12, Figure 5) of LAM using n-pentenyl orthoesters catalyzed by ytterbium triflate and promoted with NIS. Trichloroacetimidate donors were used when the n-pentenyl orthoester donors did not perform satisfactory. At the time the oligosaccharide was prepared, it was the longest hetero-oligosaccharide synthesized, recently exceeded by a heparin-related [4]n 40-mer. The final product (12) was the fully benzylated compound, and no attempts of deprotecting the oligosaccharide have been reported. The synthesis of the fully deprotected mannan core was reported in a different paper. The linkage between the arabinoside and the mannoside in the synthesized oligosaccharide was a (1→6) connection, even though it other places is reported to be a (1→2) linkage. The synthetic route to the mannanarabinan fragment (23) of oligo structure 12 is depicted in Scheme 2, (missing yields were not reported in the article).
Figure 5 A 28-mer oligosaccharide synthesized by Fraser-Reid et al.$^{134}$
Scheme 2 Synthetic route for the mannoarabinan fragment 23 of compound 12.\textsuperscript{134}
The same year, Höllemann et al. reported a synthesis of the fully deprotected arabinomannan dodecaoligosaccharide (24, Figure 6). The synthesis of the arabinan motif (Scheme 3), began with synthesis of the arabinan core from four building blocks 26-29, all prepared from ortho ester 25. They used trichloroacetimidate donors to obtain good glycosylation yields. To enable branching on the 3-position in building block 27, they applied a levulinoyl protecting group, which could be removed selectively in the presence of the benzyl- and benzoyl groups using hydrazine monohydrate. With tetrasaccharide diol 35 in hand, they introduced another two arabinose residues simultaneously before transforming the hexasaccharide into trichloroacetimidate 36. Hexasaccharide 36 was used as a donor in a [6+6] glycosylation with a mannohexaose acceptor before full deprotection to obtain compound 24. Hexasaccharide 36 was also coupled with a 6-(benzylthio)-hexan-1-ol linker and thereafter fully deprotected to obtain hexasaccharide 37. Another arabinohexasaccharide with two free secondary hydroxyl groups in the non-reducing end were also synthesized to introduce mannan cappings, however with limited success. This work by Höllemann et al. demonstrated a flexible synthetic strategy involving late-stage couplings and modifications, without addressing the more challenging β-linkages in the target molecules.

Figure 6 Arabinomannan synthesized by Höllemann, Stocker, and Seeberger.
Scheme 3 Synthesis of the arabinan motif of compound 24.137
Joe et al. reported a synthesis of an octadeca- and a docosanasaccharide (38 and 39, Figure 7).\textsuperscript{138} The 22-mer includes four \( \beta \)-residues. A different synthetic route to the same oligosaccharide was reported in 2011 by Ishiwata and Ito.\textsuperscript{139}

\textbf{Figure 7 Octadeca- and docosanaarabinofuranoside synthesized by Joe et al.} \textsuperscript{138}

A key part of the strategy was to use building blocks protected only with benzoyl groups to ease the final deprotections. Tri- to heptasaccharide building blocks (40-43, Figure 8) were convergently glycosylated in sequential couplings to obtain the two target molecules. A combination of trichloroacetimidate and thioglycoside donors was used to afford these four building blocks. The symmetric nature of building blocks 41-43 made it possible to perform double glycosylations. The number of glycosylations was kept down using a disaccharide donor to elongate the chain in both compound 40 and compound 41.
Figure 8 Building blocks required for the synthesis of compound 38 and 39.

The introduction of the key β-arabinofuranoside residues in building block 43 (Figure 8) relied on a conformationally rigidified thioglycoside donor (44, Figure 9A), which favored attack from the β-face, a method first reported by Zhu et al.\textsuperscript{140} A study of the low energy conformers of the arabinofuranoside oxo-carbenium ion (B) indicated that the E\textsubscript{3} conformer (Figure 9C), would favor approach from the β-face, since only staggered substituents would be experienced, whereas attack from the α-face should be disfavored due to eclipsed H-2.\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1} As opposed to pyranosides, which primarily exist in the $^4$C\textsubscript{1} chair conformation and occasionally in the $^1$C\textsubscript{4} or the boat conformation, five membered rings can exist in many different conformations. Either they are found as an envelope conformation, in which four atoms lie in a plane and the last atom is displaced from this plane. As all five atoms can be displaced either up or down, this gives rise to ten different envelope structures. Alternatively it can exist as a twist conformation in which two atoms are displaced from the plane – one up and one down. Here are likewise ten different possible structures. The conformations are shown on the pseudorotational wheel in Appendix A.
First glycosylation between thioglycoside donor 46 and diol acceptor 47 afforded trisaccharide 48, then the two levulinate esters protecting the 2-positions were cleaved to afford a new diol acceptor 49 to which the β-arabinofuranoside residues were introduced via thioglycoside donor 44 in 70% yield. Manipulation of protecting groups gave building block 43.

Scheme 4 Synthesis of building block 43.

The reducing end pentasaccharide 40 was coupled with a trichloroacetimidate donor derived from heptasaccharide 41 to give the reducing end dodecasaccharide for both compound 38 and 39. By cleaving the two TBDPS groups in the non-reducing end, a diol was obtained, which again was coupled with the trichloroacetimidate donors prepared from either trisaccharide 42 or
pentasaccharide 43 in a double glycosylation. Treatment with base followed by reduction afforded target molecules 38 and 39.

1.4.2 Chemical synthesis of oligo L-arabinofuranosides
So far not many synthesized oligo-L-arabinofuranosides have been reported, and literature still lacks examples of any fully unprotected targets. All reported examples minimum carry a protecting group on the anomeric position of the reducing end glycoside.

The following serve, to the best of our knowledge, as a comprehensive resume of reported synthesized oligo-L-arabinofuranosides. An overview of the synthesized structures is presented in Table 2. When it comes to manipulation of protecting groups on mono-L-arabinans, the literature holds more examples, but it is not considered relevant to mention them all in this context. Neither are the reported syntheses of oligosaccharides with substituents of monosaccharide L-arabinofuranosides, such as arabinoxylans and arabonogalactans.

Table 2 Overview of reported synthesized oligo L-arabinofuranosides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Structure 1" /></td>
<td>Arndt and Graffi 1976&lt;sup&gt;141&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Structure 2" /></td>
<td>Nepogodev et al. 1986&lt;sup&gt;142&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Structure 3" /></td>
<td>Kawabata et al. 1995&lt;sup&gt;143&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Structure 4" /></td>
<td>Kawabata et al. 1995&lt;sup&gt;143&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Structure 5" /></td>
<td>Kawabata et al. 1995&lt;sup&gt;143&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 1976 Arndt and Graffi presented the synthesis of an (1→5) linked α-L-diarabinofuranoside capped with a p-nitrophenyl group at the reducing end of the glycon (Table 2, entry 1). The disaccharide was prepared from a perbenzoylated bromide donor and a 5-O-trityl 2,3-di-O-acetylated p-nitrophenyl acceptor in 25% yield.

To investigate a polymerization reaction Nepogodev et al. first reported the synthesis of a linear (1→3)-α-L-trisaccharide (Table 2, entry 2). By coupling disaccharide 53 (Scheme 5) with monosaccharide 54 in the presence of TrClO₄ they synthesized trisaccharide 55, which was deprotected to obtain the unprotected trisaccharide 56, capped with an anomeric methyl group.
Kusakabe et al. synthesized all three α-L-diaraabinofuranosides and the trisaccharide shown in Table 2, entry 3-6, all synthesized with methanol as reducing end aglycon, using Koenigs-Knorr glycosylations promoted by AgOTf and sym-collidine. The synthesis of the trisaccharide involved simultaneous couplings of the 3- and the 5-position of the reducing end monosaccharide. Methyl glycosides were chosen as targets molecules for enzymatic studies, because the methyl-glycosidic linkage is largely resistant to α-L-arabinofuranosidases. As an extra benefit, methyl glycosides return NMR spectra, which are easier to assign than those from “reducing sugars” with a free hydroxyl group in the reducing end.

Kong et al. have synthesized a linear (1→5)-α-L-octaarabinosaccharide with an allyl group capping the reducing end of the molecule. (Table 2, entry 7). They were able to perform a regioselective glycosylation between the perbenzoylated trichloroacetimidate donor 57 and unprotected allyl α-L-arabinofuranoside 58 to obtain partly protected disaccharide 59 in 78% yield (Scheme 6). The disaccharide was converted to a disaccharide donor (60) and a triol disaccharide acceptor (61). The glycosylation between the two also proceeded in good yield (79%). The tetrasaccharide 62 was likewise converted into a tetrasaccharide donor 63 and a tetrasaccharide acceptor 64, holding five free hydroxyl groups. No yield was reported for the glycosylation, but the free octasaccharide 66 was obtained in 71% yield based on tetrasaccharide acceptor 64. The same octasaccharide was synthesized from a disaccharide trichloroacetimidate donor, which selectively was coupled to the 5-position of unprotected allyl α-L-arabinofuranosides with five free hydroxyl groups, under
Introduction

Scheme 6 Synthesis of linear allyl octa (1→5)-α-L-arabinofuranoside 66. It is worth mentioning that unprotected linear arabinans, prepared by controlled
enzymatic hydrolysis of debranched sugar beet arabinan, are commercially available via Megazyme, up to the linear (1→5)-α-L-octasaccharide in more than 90% purity.

The synthesis of a tri- and a tetrasaccharide (1→2)-β-L-arabinofuranoside (71 and 74, Scheme 7), with an N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (NHS-biotin) capping the reducing end of the glycon was recently presented by Kaeothip and Boons (Table 2, entry 10 and 11).\(^\text{150}\) (1→2)-β-L-Arabinofuranosides are found in plant cell wall extensins, which are plant derived glycoproteins that are densely modified by oligoarabinofuranosides linked to hydroproline residues.\(^\text{151}\)

Using the conformationally strained thioglycoside donor 67 (Scheme 7), Kaeothip and Boons were able to get β-selectivity when glycosylating with acceptor 68, like explained in relation to Scheme 4. The 2-Naphthylmethyl (Nap) group was cleaved from disaccharide 69 before another glycosylation with donor 67 afforded a trisaccharide in 84% yield with 1/4.5 α/β selectivity. The silyl ring and the benzoyl groups were removed and then the trisaccharide was reacted with NHS-Biotin to obtain target molecule 71. The trisaccharide diol obtained after desilylation was selectively protected with a benzoyl group in the 5-position of the reducing end monosaccharide in 52% yield using benzoic acid in the presence of 2-chloro-1-methylpyridinium iodide (CMPI) and 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) to obtain acceptor 70. The α-moity capping the non-reducing end was installed using thioglycoside 72 to afford tetrasaccharide 73 in 87% yield. Cleaving the benzoyl and benzyl groups from compound 73 and reacting the tetrasaccharide with NHS-Biotin obtained target molecule 74.

Deng \textit{et al.} have synthesized a fully protected pentaarabininan, in a one-pot fashion composed of a trisaccharide substituted with an α-L-arabinose unit in the 3-position of the two monosaccharides in the reducing end of the chain Table 2, entry 9.\(^\text{149}\)

Besides the synthesis described in this section, L-arabinofuranosides are employed different places in larger molecules. Please consult the references for further examples.\(^\text{152,153}\)
1.4.3 L-Arabinofuranosides obtained from polycondensation

Over the years several examples of polycondensations of different monosaccharides have been reported to produce oligo-L-arabinofuranosides. In 1969 Kochetkov et al. reported the polymerization of the tricyclic ortho-ester \( \beta \)-L-arabinofuranose 1,2,5-ortho-benzoate 75, Figure 10 in nitromethane with catalytic amounts of mercuric bromide. The synthesized polymer was a highly branched L-arabinofuranoside containing (1→5)-\( \alpha \)- (and a few (1→3)-) linkages, with branch points at the 3-position with an average degree of polymerization \( \overline{DP} = 59.5 \), obtained in 50% yield. When the 3-O-acetyl protected 75 was polymerized in the presence of an initiator (1,2,3,4-tetra-\( \alpha \)-acetyl-\( \beta \)-D-glucopyranose) the main product was an (1→5)-\( \alpha \)-L-arabinofuranoside with a few (1→2) linkages, capped with a D-glucose residue in the reducing end of each chain.
In 1985 Backinowsky et al. reported a stereospecific polycondensation of monosaccharide 76 (Figure 10).\textsuperscript{155} The obtained poly (1→5)-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranose only gave rise to five different signals in the \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR spectrum, which the authors ascribes the high stereo- and regiospecificity associated with the polycondensation. However, oligoarabinofuranosides are most often reported with several peaks for at least C-1 and C-5,\textsuperscript{60,76,156} since the chemical environment for C-5 differs from the non-reducing end to C-5’s in the chain. Likewise the chemical environment for C-1 in the reducing end of the saccharide likewise varies from the C-1’s in the rest of the oligosaccharide. However, in polysaccharides the ratio between the C-5 in the non-reducing end and the additional C-5’s might suppress the first mentioned signal, so only the carbons in the homogenous chain are seen as one peak. Similarly a polycondensation of monosaccharide 77 in the presence of TrClO\(_4\) was reported the following year by the same authors.\textsuperscript{142} The highest molecular weight fraction was a regular (1-3)-\(\alpha\)-polymer with a DP of 40-45.

Using 1,4-anhydro-2,3-di-\(O\)-\(\text{tert}\)butyldimethylsilyl-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinopyranose 78, as ringopening polymerization monosaccharide Yoshida et al.\textsuperscript{157} obtained (1→5)-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranoside. However, this procedure didn’t lead to well-defined arabinan.

Another polymerization producing stereoregular (1→5)-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranosides was reported by Hori and Nakatsubo.\textsuperscript{158} In this case the monosaccharide was 3-\(O\)-benzyl-\(\beta\)-L-arabinofuranose 1,2,5-orthopivalate 79 (Figure 10), which resulted in a polysaccharide backbone, in which it was possible to distinguish between \(O\)-2 and \(O\)-3, due to different protecting groups.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure10.png}
\caption{Monosaccharides used in polycondensation reactions to obtain L-arabinofuranosides.}
\end{figure}

\textbf{1.4.4 L-Arabinofuranosides obtained from transglycosylations}

Increasingly, enzymes are adopted for the synthesis of oligosaccharides. The first enzymatic approach for synthesis of arabinofuranosides was reported in 2004.\textsuperscript{147} The thermostable arabinosidase from \textit{Thermobacillus xylanilyticus} was able to catalyze transglycosylation in the presence of \(p\)-nitrophenyl \(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranoside to obtain \(p\)-nitrophenyl \(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranosyl
(1→2)-α-L-arabinofuranoside in 60-70% yield (Table 2, entry 8), along with small quantities of the (1→3) and (1→5) linked disaccharides. This enzyme was also found to catalyze transglycosylation of $p$-nitrophenyl β-D-xylopyranoside to obtain the (1→2) and (1→3) linked disaccharides, but to a lesser extent. The enzyme did not show activity towards $p$-nitrophenyl α-L-arabinopyranoside and $p$-nitrophenyl β-D-xylofuranoside.

Another arabinofuranosidase from *Clostridium thermocellum* was studied by Chlubnova *et al.*[^148^]. This enzyme also catalyzed the transglycosylation of $p$-nitrophenyl α-L-arabinofuranoside to synthesize the (1→2)-α-L disaccharide (Table 2, entry 8) as the major product in 13% yield.

[^148^]: Chlubnova *et al.*
2 Strategy and challenges

The aim of this project was to synthesize (1→5) α-L-arabinofuranosides branched in either the 2- or the 3-position mimicking the branched structure of the RG-I region of pectin to obtain a better biochemical understanding hereof.

We wished to design a synthetic strategy, in which the branching was introduced at a late stage in the synthesis, which would enable us to introduce various branchings on the same backbone structure thereby getting hands on a variety of oligosaccharide structures by changing the late-stage synthesis strategy.

For the synthesis of the backbone structure, we were encouraged by the convergent strategy used by Joe et al.\textsuperscript{138} utilizing a disaccharide donor (80, Figure 11), which held an orthogonal protecting group (P\textsubscript{5}) in the 5’-position, enabling elongation of the chain. We aimed for a hexasaccharide backbone (81) with a P\textsuperscript{3} protecting group, of non-leaving group nature, protecting the anomeric hydroxyl group. The hexasaccharide structure should hold branching possibilities on the fourth monosaccharide. Ideally only one backbone structure holding two orthogonal protecting groups (P\textsuperscript{4} and P\textsuperscript{5}) was needed, however, it was very challenging to find so many different protecting groups, all being truly orthogonal. Therefore it was decided to synthesize three different hexasaccharide backbone structures (82, 83 and 84), holding three different monosaccharide substituents as the fourth monosaccharide. In octasaccharide 82 P\textsuperscript{5} = P\textsuperscript{1}, enabling branching on the 3’’-position, whereas branching was made possible in the 2’’-position in compound 83, where P\textsuperscript{4} = P\textsuperscript{1}, and simultaneous branching was feasible in decasaccharide 84, where P\textsuperscript{4} = P\textsuperscript{5} ≠ P\textsuperscript{1}.

Figure 11 Illustration of disaccharide donor 80 and hexasaccharide backbone structures 81-84.
As previous work on arabinofuranosides primarily was aimed towards linear or branched oligosaccharides, in which the branching and the continuation of the chain were introduced simultaneously, we wished to develop a synthesis of three monosaccharide building blocks with an orthogonal protecting group in the 2- or the 3-position or in both positions.

We decided primarily to use thioglycosides as glycosyl donors, as there was experience with this donor in the group. This type of donor is widely used, because of its appreciated stability towards many chemical manipulations and its readily activation with a variety of thiophilic reagents. The many advantages of thioglycosides have recently been reviewed by Lian et al. In this work, the synthesis presented have mainly focused on N-iodosuccinimide (NIS)/silver triflate or triflic acid (TfOH) as activator systems. Additionally, a few experiments were conducted with the widely used trichloroacetimidates and N-phenyl trifluoroacetimidates, developed by Schmidt and co-workers and Yu and Tao, respectively.

The target molecules in this work are α-arabinofuranosides; five-membered rings of arabinose connected by α-linkages. Fortunately the α-compounds are the least challenging to synthesize, as discussed in relation to β-arabinofuranosides previously. The furanoside structures are either obtained via the Fischer glycosylation, which affords the furanoside as the kinetic product, or by reacting arabinose with a silyl protecting group with preference for primary hydroxyl groups.

2.1 NMR characterization

All the synthesized compounds presented in this thesis were analyzed by NMR. Except for a few, all 1D $^1$H and $^{13}$C spectra have been fully assigned by the use of the 2D spectra: heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC), HMBC and double quantum filtered correlation spectroscopy (DQF-COSY).

In carbohydrate chemistry it is important to verify, if the obtained structure is the α- or the β-anomer. For fully deprotected structures the anomeric carbons of α-arabinofuranosides resonate in the range of 105-110 ppm, and the β-anomers appear between 97 and 104 ppm. In addition, $^3J_{H1,H2}$ is small (0-2 Hz) for the α-anomers and larger (4-5 Hz) for the β-anomers. The same tendency is true for the protected mono- and oligosaccharides, where C-1 α-carbons resonate further downfield than β-carbons, as opposed to e.g. glucopyranosides, in which the shift of the anomeric β-carbon lies further downfield than the one for the α-carbon. The carbon shift, however, also depends on the functional group.
protecting the anomeric hydroxyl group. The anomeric carbons in \( \alpha \)-thioglycosides give rise to peaks around 90 ppm, whereas the anomeric carbon in \( \alpha \)-compounds, with an ester group protecting the hydroxyl group, resonates close to 100 ppm, and with an ether group it resonates around 105 ppm. The C-1s in the chain of (1→5)-\( \alpha \)-arabinofuranosides have frequencies between 105 and 108 ppm. In the 1D \( ^1\)H spectrum, protons deshielded by neighboring hydroxyl protecting groups are found further downfield than those in unprotected carbohydrates. Generally H-1 to H-3 adjacent to protected hydroxyl groups are found in the range of 5.0 - 6.0 ppm, whereas H-4 is found between 4.4 and 4.8 ppm, and the two H-5 protons are found around 3.7 to 4.2 ppm. The protons in the methylene group of benzyl arabinofuranosides are diastereoisotopic and therefore always split into two doublets around 4.85 and 4.60 ppm with a carbon chemical shift around 68.7 ppm for the synthesized compounds. The upfield \( ^1\)H doublet often overlaps with H-4, whereas the downfield doublet of benzyl arabinofuranosides usually is separated from other signals, often as one of the only protons in the case of bigger oligosaccharides, why it can be used to normalize the integrals from.

The methylene group in the chloroacetyl group, which is used as an orthogonal protecting group throughout the synthesis, sometimes gives rise to a singlet integrating to two protons and are other times found as two doublets with a coupling constant of \( J = 15 \) Hz. The pattern of the CH\(_2\) peak relates to the conformation of the molecule and how much the chloroacetyl group in that conformation is affected by the stereochemistry of the sugar moiety. Sometimes the two doublets are positioned so close to one another, that they give rise to an AB spin system.

AB spin systems are the result of strong coupling (or second order effects), occurring when the frequency difference between spins A and B approach the magnitude of \( J \), and for this reason it is also observed more frequently at lower field strengths. Figure 12 shows an AB spin system in which the two protons resonate with increasing differences in frequency. Because of the asymmetry of the individual doublets, the so-called roof effect, the chemical shift value for each proton is not exactly in the geometrical center of the doublet, but rather closer to the tallest peak. It is therefore necessary to calculate the correct chemical shifts, which are also marked between the peaks on Figure 12, using the formulas in Figure 13.
Figure 12 A series of spectra of a two spin system in which the frequency of spin 2 is moved closer to spin 1 (from bottom to top). The spectra become more and more strongly coupled, showing a pronounced roof effect until the two frequencies are equal and give rise to a singlet.\textsuperscript{166}
1. Determine the four line positions in Hz, and measure $J_{AB}$

$$|J_{AB}| = (v_1 - v_2) = (v_3 - v_4) = 10 \text{ Hz}$$

2. Calculate the center position (in Hz):

$$v_{center} = \frac{1}{2}(v_2 + v_3) = 2024.1$$

3. Calculate $v_{AB}$.

$$\Delta v_{AB} = \sqrt{(v_1 - v_4)(v_2 - v_3)} = 9.94 \text{ Hz}$$

4. Calculate $v_A$ and $v_B$ (spectrometer frequency: 300 MHz).

$$v_A = v_{center} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta v_{AB} = 2029.1 \text{ Hz}$$

$$v_B = v_{center} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta v_{AB} = 2019.1 \text{ Hz}$$

$$\delta_A = \frac{v_A}{\text{MHz}} = 6.76$$

$$\delta_B = \frac{v_B}{\text{MHz}} = 6.73$$

5. Combining the formulas

$$\delta_A = \frac{v_{center} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(v_1 - v_4)(v_2 - v_3)}}{\text{MHz}}$$

$$\delta_B = \frac{v_{center} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(v_1 - v_4)(v_2 - v_3)}}{\text{MHz}}$$

Figure 13 Formulas used to calculate the actual peak for an AB spin system.

In case of the monosaccharides, it was easy to distinguish all the peaks from one another in the $^{13}$C spectra and assign them. The C-2s and C-4s resonate around 82 ppm and C-3s give rise to peaks very close to the solvent peak for
Strategy and challenges

CDCl$_3$ around 77 ppm. The C-5s are found around 62 ppm. In case of oligosaccharides, the C-5 in the non-reducing end of the oligosaccharide is always found further upfield.

For the synthesized di- and trisaccharides, it was also possible to assign all peaks, even though the chemical environment for all C-2s was very similar, likewise the respective chemical environments for all C-3s and all C-4s were very alike, and all the peaks from one position were very closely spaced. As an additional challenge the C-2 and C-4 all resonated around 82 ppm. This often resulted in overlapping signals. By zooming in, it was possible to assign the separate peaks. An example of a HSQC spectrum of a trisaccharide is shown in Figure 14.

![HSQC spectrum of trisaccharide 85.](image)

As the oligosaccharides got bigger, the spectral overlap increased and full NMR characterization became increasingly challenging working with datasets acquired in automation. With up to ten different protons in a 0.07 ppm interval, the demands for higher resolution increased.
Almost all the $^3J_{\text{H1,H2}}$ in $\alpha$-arabinofuranosides are very close to 0 Hz, which results in missing cross peaks in the COSY spectra between H-1 and H-2, so even though the H-1s very often are well dispersed, they cannot be correlated to the H-2’s using a COSY spectrum. The H2BC experiment is sometimes used in the analysis of carbohydrate molecules, as the resulting spectrum almost exclusively shows correlations between proton and carbon spins separated by two covalent bonds. However no H2BC spectra of the compounds were run, and it probably would not have helped in this case anyway, since the H2BC correlation relies on $^1J_{\text{CH}}$ and $^3J_{\text{HH}}$, and since no $^3J_{\text{H1,H2}}$ is present, probably no cross peak would have been seen in the H2BC spectrum.

The small coupling constant between H-1 and H-2 in $\alpha$-arabinofuranosides tells us something about the conformation of the molecule. The Karplus equation describes the correlation between $^3J$ coupling constants and dihedral torsion angles in NMR:

$$^3J_{n,n'} = A \cos^2 \phi + B \cos \phi + C$$

where $^3J$ is the three-bond (homonuclear) coupling constant, $\phi$ is the dihedral angle, and A, B and C are empirically derived parameters whose values depend on the atoms and substituents involved. The $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ coupling constants are generally smallest when the torsion angle between the two protons is close to 90° and largest at angles of 0° and 180°, as illustrated by the Karplus curve in Figure 15. This tells us, that the torsion angle between H-1 and H-2 in most $\alpha$-arabinofuranosides is close to 90°.

![Figure 15 Karplus curve](image)

H-4 occasionally gives rise to a peak which resembles a quartet but in which the individual distances between the four peaks are not the same, see Figure 16 for three examples from compound $\beta$-86, 87 and 88. In the first case the coupling constants obtained from the H-3 and H-5 cross peaks with H-4 are $J_{\text{H3-H4}} =$
4.0 Hz, $J_{H4-H5a} = 2.9$ Hz, and $J_{H4-H5b} = 2.4$ Hz, which theoretically should give rise to a ddd. However, since $J_{H4-H5a} \sim J_{H4-H5b}$, H-4 appears as a doublet of triplets (dt), which can be recognized. In the next case $J_{H3-H4} = 5.4$ Hz and $J_{H4-H5a+b} = 4.2$ Hz, therefore H-4 should also be a dt, as is also recognized. But since it is very hard to read the coupling constants, it was chosen to report both the peaks as multiplets.

The two peaks shown for compound 88 are H-4’ and H-4”’, in these cases it is easier to recognize the coupling pattern as td and dt, which they were reported as with coupling constants $J = 4.6$ Hz and 2.9 Hz for the first peak being a td and $J = 5.0$ Hz and 3.6 Hz for the second peak being a dt.

![Figure 16 Peak structure of H-4 in compounds 86 and 87 88.](image)

The two H-5 protons sometimes show as a doublet integrating to two protons, other times as an AB system of two doublet of doublets each integrating to one proton, which depends on whether the H-5s have different chemical shifts or not, which again depends on the local shielding environment of the oligosaccharide, Figure 17.

![Figure 17 Peak structure of two doublet of doublets assigned to H-5a and H-5b in compound 89.](image)
3 Results and discussion

The aim of this project was to synthesize branched (1→5) linked α-L-arabinofuranosides to get a better understanding of the structure of pectin. Three target molecules (90, 91, and 92, Figure 18) each consisting of a hexasaccharide backbone were chosen as targets. The two octasaccharides 90 and 91 (Figure 18) each carry a disaccharide branching in either the 3- or the 2-position of the fourth monosaccharide from the reducing end, whereas decasaccharide 92 is branched in both the 2- and the 3-position with a disaccharide.

3.1 Retrosynthetic analysis

The completely deprotected target molecules 90, 91 and 92 were envisioned synthesized from the fully protected counterparts 94, 95, and 96 (Figure 18). As 2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside (98) recurs several places in the target molecules, a disaccharide donor (e.g. 99) was an important building block in the synthesis, since it would limit the number of glycosylations. Retrosynthetically speaking, the first disconnection was between the different core hexasaccharides and the branching part. The core hexasaccharide was built up of three different building blocks; a disaccharide donor 99, a reducing end monosaccharide with a benzyl group on the anomeric position (89), and a monosaccharide with an alternative protecting group, to introduce branching. Three differentially protected monosaccharides were needed with an alternative protecting group on either the 2- or the 3-position (100 and 87) or on both (101). These five building blocks would open for a variety of different oligosaccharide combinations, also the three target molecules 90, 91 and 92. The convergent synthetic strategy in which the branching is introduced as the last step will offer other branched oligosaccharide products by slight changes.

Four different protecting groups were used for the building blocks. The benzyl group was used to cap the anomeric position of the growing oligosaccharide, the tert-butylidiphenylsilyl (TBDPS) group was used to protect the 5-OH, the chloroacetyl group was used as the alternative protecting group in the monosaccharides, and finally the benzoyl group was used for the remaining hydroxyl groups.
Figure 18 Building blocks involved in the synthetic strategy of (1→5)-α-L-arabinofuranosides and target molecules 90, 91 and 92.
3.2 Synthesis of monosaccharide building blocks

Scheme 8 Synthesis of building blocks.

The reducing end monosaccharide 89, the N-phenyl-trifluoroacetimidate donor 105, and the thioglycoside acceptor 104 were all synthesized from TBDPS protected 102 which was obtained in only two steps from L-arabinose (Scheme 8), inspired by Zhang et al.’s work on D-arabinose.\textsuperscript{169} The first step took advantage of TBDPS’s selectivity for primary hydroxyl groups, then the monosaccharide was perbenzoylated to obtain building block 102. The TBDPSCI needed to be added slowly to the reaction mixture in order to avoid silylating the 3-position, and thereby obtain 1,2-di-O-benzoyl-3,5-di-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside 106. Even though it is possible to silylate some secondary hydroxyl groups, no arabinopyranose was observed in the reaction.

To synthesize the reducing end monosaccharide 89 it was first planned to selectively debenzoylate the anomeric position of building block 102 and then introduce the benzyl group. Debenzoylating experiments with BF\textsubscript{3}-Et\textsubscript{2}O, dimethylamine in H\textsubscript{2}O, hydrazine-acetate in dimethylformamide, and ethane-1,2-diamine, however, did not give good results (Table 3). Following investigations showed that it was possible to benzylate building block 102 directly using BF\textsubscript{3}-Et\textsubscript{2}O and benzyl alcohol to achieve the benzyl arabinoside 107, Table 4. The reaction conditions were adapted from Almendros et al., who selectively benzylated the
anomeric position of 1-\textit{O}-acetyl-2,3,5-tri-\textit{O}-benzoyl-\textalpha-L-arabinofuranoside.\textsuperscript{170} With 1.2 equivalents of benzyl alcohol the synthesis however only resulted in 26\% yield both at 22 °C and 0 °C (Table 4, entry 1 and 2). The major side-reaction was removal of the TBDPS group to obtain reducing end monosaccharide 89. Triethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (TESOTf) and TMSOTf were investigated as the Lewis acid (Table 4, entry 3-7) without satisfactory outcome. It was found crucial to use a big proportion (6 equivalents) of benzyl alcohol, as this improved the yields remarkably (Table 4, entry 7-9). BF\textsubscript{3}∙Et\textsubscript{2}O was found superior to TMSOTf as Lewis acid and the benzylated arabinofuranoside 107 was obtained in 89\% yield from 11 g starting material. The TBDPS group was removed using tetra-\textit{n}-butylammonium fluoride (TBAF) affording reducing end building block 89 in 90\% yield (Scheme 8).

Table 3 Attempted selective debenzoylation of the anomeric position of 102.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BF\textsubscript{3}∙OE\textsubscript{t}\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}O, CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>All benzoyl groups removed</td>
<td>Nikolaev et al. 1990\textsuperscript{171}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Me\textsubscript{2}NH in H\textsubscript{2}O, pyridine</td>
<td>Many products formed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DMF, hydrazine-acetate - 0 °C</td>
<td>No selectivity for the anomeric position</td>
<td>Campbell and Tanner 1999\textsuperscript{172}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}NH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{3}COOH, THF</td>
<td>No selectivity for the anomeric position</td>
<td>Zhang and Kovác 1999\textsuperscript{173}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 Direct benzylation of the anomeric position of 102, all performed in CH$_2$Cl$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>BnOH (equiv.)</th>
<th>Conditions (equiv.)</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (3), Et$_3$N (0.8)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (3), Et$_3$N (0.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>TESOTf (0.05)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (1.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>TMSOTf (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>TESOTf (0.05)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5 (very little conversion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>TMSOTf (1.2)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (3)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (3), 11 g starting material</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thioglycoside 103 was obtained from building block 102 in a similar fashion (Scheme 8); thioglycosylation was best mediated by TMSOTf since BF$_3$·Et$_2$O resulted in poor conversion and many different by-products. Similar poor results using BF$_3$·Et$_2$O were observed by Wang et al.; they achieved better thioglycosylation yields using SnCl$_4$ as promoter.$^{174}$

N-Phenyl-trifluoroacetimidate donor 105 was obtained from thioglycoside 103 by hydrolyzing the anomeric position with N-bromosuccinimide (NBS)/water before introducing the acetimidate group by treating the lactol with N-phenyl trifluoroacetimidoyl chloride and Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (Scheme 8). Cs$_2$CO$_3$ was found to improve the yield and selectivity for the α product compared to K$_2$CO$_3$.

Treating thioglycoside 103 with TBAF removed the TBDPS group and afforded acceptor 104 in 86% yield (Figure 8).

It was envisioned that the two differentially protected monosaccharides 100 and 87 (Scheme 10) could be furnished from the same thioglycoside 110,$^{150}$ which was synthesized in five steps from L-arabinose (Scheme 9). The furanose was produced in an approximate mixture of 1:1 α:β, therefore the maximum yield of
the first two steps was about 50%. The purification was simple since methyl 2,3,5-tri-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside 108 was a crystalline solid, and the β-glycoside was an oil. Then the thiophenyl group was introduced on the anomeric position and the benzoyl groups were removed with the Zemplén conditions before the TIPDS group was introduced to protect the 3- and the 5-position affording compound 110.

Scheme 9 Synthesis of TIPDS protected 110, a precursor for the synthesis of building blocks 100 and 87.

To synthesize monosaccharides 87 and 100 we envisioned protecting the 2-position of compound 110 with either a chloroacetyl or a benzoyl protecting group, followed by TIPDS removal and then TBDPS protecting the 5-position before introducing either a benzoyl or chloroacetyl protecting group on the free 3-position. This strategy gave satisfactory yields for monosaccharide 87 (Scheme 10).

Scheme 10 Synthesis of monosaccharide 87 and the proposed route to monosaccharide 100.

However, removing the TIPDS group from compound 113 with a chloroacetyl group in the 2-position resulted in only 45% yield of diol 114. Diol 115, where acetic acid had reacted with the chloroacetyl group, was found as a major by-product see Scheme 11. Gu et al. actually reports that TBAF is able to remove the chloroacetyl group completely. Instead it was tested if HF – water could remove the TIPDS group, but this resulted in the TIPDS group still being
attached to one of the hydroxyl groups. It was hard to determine which position
the ring-opened TIPDS group was attached to, so the structure of the product
was verified by NMR after reacting the free alcohol with benzoyl chloride,
which clearly protected the 5-position to obtain 117 (Scheme 11). Accordingly
the product from the ring-opening of the TIPDS group was compound 116.

![Scheme 11 By-products from deprotection of the TIPDS group of 113.]

The selective opening of the TIPDS ring was used by Ziegler et al. to obtain an
acceptor (119, Scheme 12) which was used in a glycosylation reaction. It was
also found possible to perform a direct glycosylation reaction between a
fluoride donor 123 and a TIPDS protected monosaccharide 122 in the presence
of BF$_3$OEt$_2$ to afford (1→6) linked disaccharide 124 (Scheme 12). These
conditions were not applicable as an alternative to monosaccharide 87 since we
needed an orthogonal protecting group for the 3-position and the remaining
TIPDS group was removed with TBAF like the TBDPS group on the 5-
position.

Instead monosaccharide 100 was synthesized from the TBDPS protected diol
125 (Scheme 13). It was envisioned that reacting 125 with chloroacetic
anhydride could give some selectivity for the 2-position either due to steric or
electronic factors, or a combination thereof. The reaction showed a slight
selectivity for protecting the 2-position, however, the 3-position was also
protected either solely or in combination with the 2-position resulting in 127
and 101. Since it was possible to separate the two regioisomers 126 and 127
using column chromatography, and since 126 is only one step from
monosaccharide 100, this route was followed despite the low yield. Reacting
126 with benzoyl chloride using 4-dimethylaminopyridine and triethylamine as
bases resulted in monosaccharide 100 in 74% yield (Scheme 13). It was found
necessary to use milder conditions than standard benzoylation conditions using
Regioselective opening of the TIPDS ring used by Ziegler et al.\textsuperscript{176,177} benzoyl chloride in pyridine, which degraded the starting material. By-product 101 was found useful for synthesizing the third target molecule, the decasaccharide 92 with a hexasaccharide backbone branched in both the 2- and 3-position of the fourth monosaccharide.

![Scheme 12](image)

**Scheme 12** Regioselective opening of the TIPDS ring used by Ziegler et al.\textsuperscript{176,177}

3.3 Synthesis of disaccharide donor 99

Initially the possibility of synthesizing disaccharide 128 from only one monosaccharide building block 104 (Table 5) was examined. The glycosylation was promoted by a combination of NIS and either silver triflate or TESOTf and yielded disaccharide 128 in maximum 20\% yield (Table 5, entry 1). Experiments showed that it was essential to keep the temperature low and the reaction time short (Table 5, entry 2-3). In all cases the starting material was consumed and thin layer chromatography (TLC) showed production of many by-products, even when the temperature was kept at -78 °C. The same was the case with TESOTf as promoter (Table 5, entry 4). This suggests that

![Scheme 13](image)

**Scheme 13** Synthesis of monosaccharide 100 in two steps from diol 125.
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disaccharide 128 is not significantly less reactive than monosaccharide 104, therefore longer oligosaccharides were formed.

Table 5 Reaction conditions for the self glycosylation of monosaccharide 104 to synthesize disaccharide 128.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Temp</th>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-78 °C</td>
<td>AgOTf (0.5)</td>
<td>4.5 h</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-20 °C</td>
<td>AgOTf (0.5)</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-78 → 5 °C</td>
<td>AgOTf (0.5)</td>
<td>22 h</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-78 °C</td>
<td>TESOTf (0.5)</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next approach explored the possibility of using thioglycoside 104 as an acceptor in combination with different thioglycoside donors (Scheme 14). The TBDPS protected thioglycoside donor 103 led to the desired disaccharide 99 in 30% yield when 1 equivalent of TESOTf was used (Table 6, entry 1 and 2). The yield was optimized to 35% when catalytic proportions (0.1 equivalents) of TESOTf were used and the donor was added dropwise during the course of the reaction (Table 6, entry 3). The major by-product was the trisaccharide thioglycoside 85, which either resulted from self-coupling of 104 before reacting with the TBDPS protected thioglycoside 103, or the desired product 99 reacting once again with acceptor 104. Either way by-product 85 indicated that the reactivity difference between monosaccharide donor 103 and disaccharide donor 99 was too insignificant to be exploited efficiently.
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Scheme 14 Coupling between thioglycoside acceptor 104 and different thioglycoside donors.

Table 6 Coupling conditions for reaction between 103 and 104, all performed at -78 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Conditions (equivalents)</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NIS (1), TESOTf (1), 5 hours</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NIS (1), TESOTf (1), 3.5 hours</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NIS (1), TESOTf (0.1), acceptor added dropwise over 2 hours, after which the reaction was quenched</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initial experiments with the double TBDPS protected donor 129 showed promising results (Scheme 14), which correlates with Liang et al.’s findings for the D-enantiomer, where compound D-129 is more reactive than the corresponding 3,5-di-O-Bn protected counterpart. Thioglycoside 129 was synthesized from tetraisopropylsiloxane-1,3-diyl (TIPDS) protected 111, which was desilylated before the 3- and the 5-positions were protected with TBDPS (Scheme 15). It was also investigated whether compound 129 could be synthesized from benzoate
but neither TMSOTf, boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (BF$_3$∙Et$_2$O), nor SnCl$_4$ as acid were successful (Scheme 15).

Scheme 15 Synthesis of thioglycoside donor 129.

Glycosylation between thioglycoside acceptor 104 and TIPDS protected thioglycoside 111 resulted in disaccharide 131 in 35% yield (Scheme 14). This again correlates with observations from Liang et al.,$^{178}$ who found compound D-111 to be less reactive than compound D-129, but more reactive than the thioglycoside protected with the more strained 3,5-di-O-tert-butylsilylene ring.

To eliminate the possibility of self-coupling of the thioglycoside acceptor, different glycosylation conditions were examined using three different 5-O-TBDPS protected donors. A bromide- (132), a trichloroacetimidate- (133), and an N-phenyl-trifluoroacetimidate (105) donor were all prepared from thioglycoside donor 103. The bromide 132 was prepared directly from the thioglycoside with bromine in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and without work-up or characterization of the bromide, it was reacted with acceptor 104 under the Koenigs-Knorr conditions. The synthesis of trichloroacetimidate 133 was similar to the one for the N-phenyl-trifluoroacetimidate 105 which was described in section: 3.2 Synthesis of monosaccharide building blocks. First the thioglycoside was hydrolyzed, then the trichloroacetimidate group was introduced using 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) as the base. The trichloroacetimidate donor 133 was not characterized, but used directly in the glycosylation, like the bromide donor 132 to prevent degradation.

Initial results with the three donors suggested that the N-phenyl-trifluoroacetimidate donor 105 was superior, yielding disaccharide donor 99 in more than 90% yield (see Scheme 16) as opposed to 73% yield for the trichloroacetimidate 133 and 35% yield (over two steps) for the bromide 132.

Table 7 offers an overview of the conditions tried for each donor and an overall yield of the glycosylation reactions from the thioglycoside precursor 103. Silver triflate could also have been used to promote the
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glycosylation reaction, since it in some cases have been found to give better yields than TMSOTf.\(^{179}\)

Scheme 16 Reagents and yields for different donors to give disaccharide donor 99.

Table 7 Reaction conditions for the reactions in Scheme 16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Conditions (equiv.)</th>
<th>Yield (%)(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>AgOTf, CH(_2)Cl(_2), -30 °C, 15 min</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>AgOTf, CH(_2)Cl(_2), -78 °C, 45 min</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>AgOTf, CH(_2)Cl(_2), sym-collidine, -78 °C, 15 min</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TMSOTf (0.4), CH(_2)Cl(_2), -30 °C, 1 hour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>TMSOTf (0.4), CH(_2)Cl(_2), -55 °C, 45 min</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>TMSOTf (0.05), CH(_2)Cl(_2), -78 °C, 20 min</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>K(_2)CO(_3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Glycosylation with a fully benzyolated trichloroacetimidate donor 57, (Scheme 17) was described in the introduction section 1.4.2 (Scheme 5, p. 22). Inspired by these results a glycosylation between trichloroacetimidate donor 133 and 1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside 109 was examined (Scheme 17). The donor did not show selectivity for the 5-position of the acceptor, and many different products were observed by TLC. No further examinations of these conditions were pursued.

Scheme 17 Experiments with regioselective glycosylation between trichloroacetimidate 133 and thioglycoside triol 109 encouraged by results from Du et al. on glycosylation between trichloroacetimidate 57 and triol 58 or 134 to achieve disaccharide 59 or 135.

With a good yielding synthetic strategy for the disaccharide donor in hand, the monosaccharide building blocks were scaled up.

Thioglycosylation of 102 on a bigger scale resulted in the formation of a by-product which was identified as disaccharide donor 99. Although the acidic reaction conditions introduced the thiophenyl group, they also slowly removed the TBDPS protecting group, furnishing thioglycoside acceptor 104. This encouraged an examination of the donor properties of compound 102 carrying a benzoyl group at the anomeric position. Indeed glycosylation between monosaccharide 102 as a donor and thioglycoside acceptor 104 was possible, yielding disaccharide donor 99 in 85% on a 20 g scale (Scheme 18) in the presence of TMSOTf. Since this strategy involved fewer steps it was more desirable than the one using the N-phenyl-trifluoroacetimidate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7</th>
<th>105</th>
<th>TMSOTf (0.05), CH$_2$Cl$_2$, -78 °C, 55 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetimidate prepared using Cs$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Scheme 18 Synthesis of disaccharide donor 99.

From the large scale synthesis of 99 several by-products (Figure 19) were isolated along with 1.8 g regenerated donor, which corresponded to 1.08 equiv. donor having been used in the reaction. The by-products were identified by NMR as trisaccharide thioglycoside 85 and disaccharide 137 (Figure 19). The presence of these two confirmed that the TBDPS group was slowly removed under the acidic reaction conditions furnishing acceptor 138, which was not isolated from the reaction. The amount of disaccharide 137 isolated is minute, telling us that the disaccharide is a good donor reacting with acceptor 104 to afford trisaccharide donor 85.

Figure 19 By-products in the large scale synthesis of the disaccharide donor (99).

3.4 Sequential glycosylations and deprotections to give octa- and deca saccharides

With all the necessary mono- and disaccharide building blocks in hand we next turned our attention to the oligosaccharide synthesis. First the reducing end trisaccharide 139 was synthesized from disaccharide donor 99 and acceptor 89 in 74% yield (Scheme 19). The TBDPS group was removed using TBAF in THF furnishing trisaccharide acceptor 140 in 90% yield.
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Scheme 19 Synthesis of reducing end trisaccharide acceptor 140.

Glycosylation between trisaccharide acceptor 140 and differentially protected monosaccharides 87, 100 or 101 resulted in tetrasaccharides 141, 143 and 144 (Scheme 20) in 80-90% yield. The TBDPS group had to be selectively deprotected to enable the next glycosylation reaction. Different conditions were tested on monosaccharide 87 shown in Table 8. As TBAF in THF also reacted with the chloroacetyl group as previously described (Table 8, Entry 1) other conditions were needed. In the presence of two tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether (TBS) groups, camphor-10-sulfonic acid (CSA) has been shown to selectively remove the less sterically hindered one.\textsuperscript{180} CSA was, however, not able to cleave the TBDPS group from monosaccharide 87 (Table 8, Entry 2), and adding TBAF to the reaction (Table 8, Entry 3), only gave a similar result to Entry 1. HF in water, however, was able to solely cleave the TBDPS group obtaining monosaccharide 146 in 26 - 39% yield (Table 8, Entry 4-6). A long reaction time of 20 h proved essential to the outcome of the reaction (Table 8, Entry 6). HF in pyridine was finally used to cleave off the TBDPS group from the tetrasaccharides to afford the acceptors 142, 88, and 145 in 80%, 90%, and 64% respectively (Scheme 20).
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Scheme 20 Glycosylation- and deprotection conditions for tetrasaccharides 142, 88, and 145.
Table 8 Examining conditions for removal of TBDPS from a molecule containing a chloroacetyl group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Conditions (equiv.)</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TBAF, THF</td>
<td>0%, both TBDPS and ClAc hydrolyzed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CSA (0.2), 2 h</td>
<td>0%, no conversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TBAF, CSA, MeOH, 3 days</td>
<td>0%, full conversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HF – water (125), MeCN, 43 mM, 4 h</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HF – water (140), MeCN, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 5 mM, 4 h</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HF – water (140), MeCN, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 5 mM, 20 h</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glycosylation between tetrasaccharide 142, 88, and 145 and disaccharide donor 99 respectively gave the three core hexasaccharide backbone structures 147, 149 and 151 (Scheme 21) in 75% and 84% yield for compound 147 and 151. To reduce the number of purifications hexasaccharide 149 was not isolated and characterized, but directly deprotected to achieve compound 150 in 71% yield over two steps.
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Scheme 21 Synthesis of the core hexasaccharide acceptors 148, 150, and 152.

To enable branching of the hexasaccharide, the chloroacetyl group needed to be selectively deprotected and test reactions were performed on monosaccharide 87 (Table 9). A variety of the most popular conditions were investigated. The common conditions using thiourea unfortunately only resulted in 25% yield (Table 9, entry 1). Lefeber et al.’s conditions (DABCO in ethanol) showed promising results (Table 9, entry 2) on the
monosaccharide, however the yield dropped when the hexasaccharide was subjected to a variation of the same reaction conditions, in which THF was needed as a co-solvent in order to dissolve the starting material. Instead a dilute solution of NaOMe in THF was tested on monosaccharide 87 (Table 9, entry 3). The chloroacetyl group was removed, but the yield was not better than with DABCO. It was also envisioned that it would be hard to control the unwanted removal of the numerous benzoyl groups on the hexasaccharide. Instead the attention was turned to sodium borohydride as a dechloroacetylating reagent (Table 9, entry 4). The conditions showed promising results, even though the solvent had to be changed from EtOH to a 1:1 mixture of THF-EtOH. Finally triethylamine in MeOH/THF was tested and these conditions resulted in 82% of the monosaccharide alcohol and 76% of the hexasaccharide alcohol. The inspiration to try this came from obtaining unsatisfying glycosylation results for products holding a chloroacetyl group, when the reactions were quenched with triethylamine. In one case the reaction between trisaccharide acceptor 140 and chloroacetyl containing monosaccharide 87 for instance also resulted in tetrasaccharide 154 (Scheme 22), which lacked the chloroacetyl group. After this realization, the glycosylation reaction was poured into a mixture of sat. aq. NaHCO$_3$ and 10% Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ upon completion of the reaction instead of adding Et$_3$N.

Table 9 Conditions for removal of the chloroacetyl group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Monosaccharide 87</th>
<th>Hexasaccharide 147</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>THF, thiourea, Bu$_4$NI, NaHCO$_3$</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DABCO, EtOH/THF 2:1</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.02 M NaOMe, THF</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NaBH$_4$, EtOH/THF 1:1</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Et$_3$N, MeOH/THF 1:1</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Scheme 22 Side reaction occurring in some glycosylation reaction with products holding a chloroacetyl group.

With satisfying conditions for chloroacetyl removal established, the final glycosylation to obtain the two octasaccharides could be performed. The final glycosylation reactions turned out to be cumbersome, as the free 2''' and 3''' hydroxyl groups were more sterically hindered than the primary hydroxyl groups on the other acceptors. However, raising the temperature from around -60 °C to -35 °C and increasing the reaction time made it possible to obtain octasaccharide 94 in 60% yield. Only one attempt of synthesizing regioisomeric hexasaccharide 95 was performed, and that resulted in an unacceptable low yield of 12% (Scheme 23). Compound 95 was only characterized by NMR. Knowing the Rf value of compound 95 and meanwhile having collected more knowledge about glycosylation of 94 hopefully will help improve the yield. The glycosylation between diol 152 and disaccharide 99 to afford decasaccharide 96 was not examined yet, due to time limitations.
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To gain more knowledge about the sterically hindered 3-position, glycosylation between disaccharide donor 99 and tetrasaccharide 154 with a free hydroxyl group in the 3-position of the fourth monosaccharide to synthesize hexasaccharide 155 was considered (Scheme 24). However, it would not be possible to obtain target octasaccharide 94 from the branched hexasaccharide 155, since both reducing ends of the molecules would be capped with a TBDPS group. If it would be possible to remove one TBDPS group selectively, it would most likely be the one at the branching point. This would, however, only make it possible to extend either the branching or both the backbone and the branching at the same time.

Scheme 23 Glycosylation reactions to obtain fully protected target molecules 94, 95 and 96.
Another possibility would have been to introduce a different disaccharide donor without a TBDPS group on the 5‴-position, but that was not attempted. Instead the TBDPS group was cleaved from tetrasaccharide 154 using TBAF in THF, as there at this point was no need to worry about the chloroacetyl group. This afforded diol 156 in 90% yield (Scheme 24). Then a double glycosylation was performed with disaccharide donor 99 to obtain octasaccharide 94 in 84% yield. As for the glycosylation between the hexasaccharide backbone 148 and disaccharide donor 99, the reaction temperature needed to be raised to -35 °C to obtain full conversion.

Scheme 24 Possibilities of examining the acceptor properties of the sterically hindered 2‴-position.

To show the advantage of the synthetic strategy, the synthesis of another two oligosaccharides were planned: Nonasaccharide 158 and heptasaccharide 157 (Scheme 25). The reaction between hexasaccharide 148 and monosaccharide donor 102 used to synthesize disaccharide donor 99 was undertaken, without obtaining any heptasaccharide. The more acidic conditions probably destroyed the hexasaccharide before the oligosaccharide was synthesized. The equivalent thioglycoside donor (103) is expected to give better results, but the glycosylation was not undertaken.
Scheme 25 Possible synthesis of two oligosaccharides with different branchings in the 3‴-position.

### 3.5 Global deprotection

To reach the target molecules 90 and 91, it was necessary to deprotect the fully protected octasaccharides 94 and 95. It was envisioned to remove the protecting groups in the following order: 5-O-TBDPS groups, the anomeric benzyl group and last the benzoyl groups. That way the compounds would be kept as apolar as possible, in order to facilitate handling, as oligosaccharides only holding very few protecting groups are insoluble in most organic solvents. Experience in the group also suggested that purification by chromatography on silica (also considering reverse phase chromatography) was remarkably more challenging with very polar compounds.
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By testing conditions on monosaccharide 89 and trisaccharide 140 (Table 10), the anomeric benzyl group turned out to be difficult to remove. Palladium on carbon in H\textsubscript{2} atmosphere in different solvents and under different temperatures either led to no conversion or decomposition of the starting material at higher temperatures. Methanol was used as solvent with THF as co-solvent to dissolve the starting material in entry 1 with 89 as starting material. Following, it was found more advantageous to use ethanol as solvent with as little EtOAc as possible to dissolve the oligosaccharide (Table 10, Entry 2-6, 8-10). One experiment was also conducted in which water/THF was used as solvent system (Table 10, Entry 7). It was attempted to add formic acid in combination with the H\textsubscript{2} atmosphere (Table 10, Entry 2-5, 8-10), with no significant improvement. The reactions were performed at temperatures ranging from 20 °C to 90 °C. At temperatures below 60 °C no reaction was observed and at 60 °C a small development was seen, however, the reaction did not come to completion even after seven days. Raising the temperature to 90 °C slowly decomposed the starting material. Instead, palladium hydroxide on carbon in ethanol and ethyl acetate under acidic conditions was tried and this exclusively removed the benzyl group at 39 °C from trisaccharide 140 over 7 days (Table 10, Entry 11).

Table 10 Overview of attempted conditions for removal of the anomeric benzyl group from hexasaccharides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Starting material</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Pd/C, H\textsubscript{2}, MeOH, THF, 20 °C</td>
<td>No reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Pd/C, H\textsubscript{2}, EtOH, EtOAc, CHOOH, 20 °C</td>
<td>No reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Pd/C, H\textsubscript{2}, EtOH, EtOAc, 20 °C</td>
<td>No reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Pd/C, H\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}O, THF</td>
<td>No reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Pd/C, H\textsubscript{2}, EtOH, EtOAc,</td>
<td>No reaction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results and discussion

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>CHOOH, 20 °C - 60 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Very little happened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Starting material decomposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>Pd(OH)$_2$-C, H$_2$, EtOH, EtOAc, CHOOH Full conversion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Without the complication from the chloroacetyl group, the TBDPS group was removed from hexasaccharide 148 using TBAF in THF (Scheme 26). The reaction was followed on TCL and diol 159 was confirmed by LCMS. Afterwards the benzyl group was removed (Table 10, Entry 12). The product was confirmed by LC-MS.

Scheme 26 Selective removal of the TBDPS groups from hexasaccharide 148.

Oligosaccharides with a free anomeric hydroxyl group develop a brown spot on TLC when heated with a heat gun. This makes it easier to follow the reaction, as the bigger oligosaccharides are not expected to change $R_f$ value upon deprotection of just one benzyl group. For this reason it is also crucial to obtain full conversion, as it would be difficult to separate them on column chromatography.

Finally the benzoyl groups were to be removed using the Zemplén conditions to reach the target molecules 90 and 91, however, these reaction have not yet been performed.
3.6 Conclusion
A route to three hexasaccharide backbone structures was completed. Two of the hexasaccharides were branched with a diarabinofuranoside to accomplish the first reported synthesis of two fully protected octasaccharides.
4 Oligosaccharide linker system and transglycosylation assay

The work outlined in the following chapter was conducted at the University of Copenhagen in the group of Professor William G. T. Willats during a five month external research stay. The work was primarily divided into two parts, which are described below: Implementation of a linker system for oligosaccharides and development of a transglycosylation assay.

4.1 Linker system for oligosaccharides

4.1.1 Background

Oligosaccharide microarrays are powerful tools for high throughput analysis, as many different molecular interactions can be evaluated simultaneously using only small amounts of analytes. An essential part of the technique is being able to immobilize the carbohydrate molecules to an array surface. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) is a protein that can conjugate to oligosaccharides through reductive amination. BSA can then bind to a surface, e.g. nitrocellulose, via non-covalent interactions. BSA is often chosen as a carrier molecule because BSA-based neoglycoproteins are multifunctional resources that can be used, not just for microarray production, but also as immunogens, and as components of other assays for which immobilization is required. The two main difficulties related to BSA conjugation are 1) the bulky nature of BSA, which can lead to difficulty for enzymes acting on the bound oligosaccharides and 2) the oligosaccharides are often conjugated to BSA through reductive amination with sodium cyanoborohydride, which results in a ring-opened monosaccharide between the oligosaccharide and the protein (Figure 20). These modifications are likely to interfere with the activity of reducing end-acting probes or enzymes. Another disadvantage connected to ring-opening of the reducing end monosaccharide is loss of information, since e.g. a pentasaccharide afterwards is only recognized as a tetrasaccharide. As discussed in the rest of the thesis, oligosaccharide synthesis can be difficult and time-consuming, therefore destroying one monosaccharide is rather wasteful.

![Figure 20](image)

Figure 20 Adapted and modified from Pedersen et al.8
4.1.2 Development of the linker system

Two small compounds were investigated as alternative linkers to BSA: a commercially available small compound, 2-aminoethoxyamine dihydrochloride (160, Figure 21), and a similar synthetic linker, (2-aminoethoxy)methylamine ditrifluoroacetic acid (161, Figure 21). Compound 161 was synthesized from N-methylhydroxylamine (162, Scheme 27), which was protected with a Boc group before it was reacted with N-Boc-protected 2-aminoethyl bromide (164) to afford compound 165. The Boc groups were removed using trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) to obtain linker 161. The dihydrochloride of 161 is now also commercially available.

![Chemical structures of the two small linkers used.](image)

Scheme 27 also illustrates the conjugation between a carbohydrate, in this case represented by glucose (166), and the two different linkers 160 and 161. The conjugation between linker 160 and a carbohydrate has been found mainly to produce the open-ring oxime form of the reducing end monosaccharide, as shown for compound 167. Linker 161 on the other hand causes a high degree of the ring-closed form of the reducing end monosaccharide like shown for compound 168. The conjugation reactions both go through an oxy-iminium intermediate (169) which, for R = H, can stay on the open-ring form by deprotonation, however, when R ≠ H, a ring closing-reaction takes place to obtain a neutral product. The last case offers a possibility of preservation of information for the entire conjugated oligosaccharide.
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Scheme 27 Synthesis of the commercial linker 161 and illustration of how the two linkers 160 and 161 react with a carbohydrate.

Six different oligosaccharides (arabinohexaose (Ara6), arabinooctaose (Ara8), mannotetraose (Man4), mannohexaose (Man6), xylotetraose (Xyl4), and xylohexaose (Xyl6)), were each conjugated to the two different linkers in aqueous acetate buffer at 37 °C for two days. Control experiments, in which the oligosaccharides were conjugated to BSA, were also performed. Slide H has a three-dimensional thin film coating, which is chemically functionalized with long polyethylene glycol chains terminated with N-hydroxysuccinimide esters, which very easily react with amines (Figure 22). Some of each of the Xyl6 and Ara8 linked sugars were also purified by elution through a carbograph column and spotted on the array.

Figure 22 Illustration of the Nexterion® slide H coating.189
After printing, the glass slides were incubated with blocking buffer, which is used to block the part of the slide not being exposed to samples, to prevent nonspecific binding of the antibodies to the array. Each array was printed 16 times side by side on the same glass slide, which made it possible to test identical arrays against many different monoclonal antibodies simultaneously.

Monoclonal antibodies (mAb) are immensely valuable molecular probes for carbohydrate research. They are monospecific antibodies made by identical immune cells and they serve to detect or purify a given substance by specifically binding to it. Primary antibodies bind directly to antigens or proteins whereas secondary antibodies bind to another (primary) antibody. The secondary antibody can carry a fluorescent tag for detection. mAbs with specificity for plant cell-wall components have been developed by several research groups. The ones used in this set of experiments are listed in Table 11 with an overview of their specificity and origin. The secondary mAb should correspond to the origin of the primary mAb.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LM6</td>
<td>(1→5)-α-L-arabinan</td>
<td>Rat$^{190}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM10</td>
<td>(1→4)-β-D-xylan</td>
<td>Rat$^{191}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM11</td>
<td>(1→4)-β-D-xylan/araabinoxylan</td>
<td>Rat$^{191}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM13</td>
<td>AGP</td>
<td>Rat$^{192}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM15</td>
<td>Xyloglucan (XXXG motif)</td>
<td>Rat$^{193}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM21</td>
<td>(1→4)-β-D-mannan/galactomann/glucomann</td>
<td>Rat$^{194}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM22</td>
<td>(1→4)-β-D-mannan/galactomann/glucomann</td>
<td>Rat$^{194}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM23</td>
<td>(1→4)-β-D-xylan</td>
<td>Rat$^{8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM24</td>
<td>Xyloglucan</td>
<td>Rat$^{8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS-400-2</td>
<td>(1→3)-β-D-glucan</td>
<td>Mouse$^{195}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS-400-4</td>
<td>(1→4)-β-D-mannan</td>
<td>Mouse$^{196}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 23 offers an overview of which arrays were treated with which antibodies. The primary antibodies are listed to the right of the slide and the two columns are probed with two different batches of the same secondary antibody indicated on the top of the slide. LM10 and LM11 were the antibodies which
gave the best response, and therefore a cut of this section of the scanned slide is shown to the right of the illustrated glass slide for LM10 and LM11 as indicated on Figure 23. The scanned slide clearly showed the BSA-conjugated sugars (the bigger white spots). The purified new linker-conjugated sugars also showed, however, the signals were not as extensive (the smaller white spots underneath the bigger white spots). The non-purified linker-sugars were not detected.

Figure 23 Illustration of a glass slide indicating which array has been probed with which primary (vertical) and secondary (horizontal) antibodies, and a cut of the scanned slide in which the BSA conjugated xylans and the purified linker-xylan conjugates show.

Xyl3 and Xyl6, conjugated with linker 160 without purification, were spotted on a new glass slide using the contact microgrid printer in concentrations of 1 mM and 0.25 mM. The same two sugars, conjugated with the same linker, were purified and spotted on the glass slide in concentrations of 4 mM, 1 mM, 0.25 mM, and 0.0625 mM, in combinations with the purified samples which were reduced by sodium cyanoborohydride before it was spotted in a concentration of 2 mM. Finally both sugars conjugated to BSA through reductive amination were spotted in concentrations of 1 mg/mL, 0.25 mg/mL, and 0.0625 mg/mL, and both non-conjugated sugars were printed as control experiments. The grid in the upper left corner of Figure 24 illustrates which sample was spotted in which position of the scanned arrays (which are all identical). The legend below Figure 24 explains the numbers in the grid.

All the arrays in Figure 24 were stained with LM11, as this was the antibody producing the best results in the previous experiment. All the samples in the upper array produced visible spots, except for the two sugars printed without a linker (sample 21 and 22) and the two unpurified samples in the lowest concentration (0.25 mM) (sample 1 and 3).
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The printer used to print the arrays was found essential, as much smaller concentrations of conjugated sugar were visible when printing on the contact microgrid printer (62 μM) versus the piezoelectric non-contact arrayjet printer (22 mM).

The resulting six arrays in Figure 24 were exposed to different enzymes before probing with the antibodies. The spots originating from sugar conjugated to linker 160 (sample 1-9 and 13-17) were no longer as prominent or not even present after treatment with enzymes. This proves that the enzymes were able to react with the sugars conjugated to the new linker but not with the sugars conjugated to BSA. The exo-acting hydrolase eXyl2 did not perform as well as the endo-acting hydrolases. The immobilization of the oligosaccharides onto the surface probably caused steric hinderence between site of action and the enzyme.

![Figure 24 Arrays printed on the microgrid printer. The spredsheet, of which the legend is given below (A: linker 160, B: BSA), show the position of each sample on the arrays. The upper array was not treated with any enzyme, while the lower six arrays were treated with six different enzymes before incubated with the mAb, LM11.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme treated arrays</th>
<th>C&lt;sub&gt;enzyme&lt;/sub&gt; = 2 U/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eXyl1</td>
<td>endo-(1→4)-β-xylanase, M4 (Aspergillus niger)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eXyl2</td>
<td>endo-(1→4)-β-D-xylanosidase (Bacillus Pumilus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eXyl3</td>
<td>endo-(1→4)-β-xylanase (Cellibrio japonicus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eXyl4</td>
<td>endo-(1→4)-β-xylanase M1 (Trichoderma viride)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eXyl5</td>
<td>endo-(1→4)-β-xylanase (Cellibrio mixtus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eXyl6</td>
<td>endo-(1→4)-β-xylanase (Neocallimastix patriciaum)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1  Xyl6A, unpurified, C = 0.25 mM  
2  Xyl6A, unpurified, C = 1 mM
3  Xyl3A, unpurified, C = 0.25 mM
4  Xyl3A, unpurified, C = 1 mM
5  Xyl6A, purified, C = 4 mM
6  Xyl6A, purified, C = 1 mM
7  Xyl6A, purified, C = 0.25 mM
8  Xyl6A, purified, C = 0.0625 mM
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<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Xyl6A, purified, aminated, C = 2 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Xyl6B, 1 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Xyl6B, 0.25 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Xyl6B, 0.0625 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Xyl3A, purified, C = 4 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Xyl3A, purified, C = 1 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Xyl3A, purified, C = 0.25 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Xyl3A, purified, C = 0.0625 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Xyl3A, purified, aminated, C = 2 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Xyl3B, 1 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Xyl3B, 0.25 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Xyl3B, 0.0625 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Xyl3 not conjugated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Xyl6, not conjugated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1.3 Conclusion

The two small linkers 160 and 161 were found applicable as linkers for oligosaccharides. They showed promising results for enzyme activity, as reactions were feasible between different enzymes and the linked oligosaccharides, opposed to the hindered reactions between the same enzymes and BSA conjugated sugars.

Further studies in the group found that it was possible to print concentrations down to 200 μM on the array jet printer by adding 20% peg400 to the samples. Other studies suggested that both linkers had similar efficiency in relation to enzyme activity. It was, however, decided primarily to continue the work with linker 161 because of its ability to maintain the closed form of the reducing end sugar.

4.2 Transglycosylation assay

There is an increasing interest to identify the different enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of oligosaccharides. In this project a new method to detect xyloglucan endotransglycosylase (XET) activity using a fluorescently labeled xyloglucan (XGO*) was developed. The XET was delivered from Novozymes A/S.

Transglycosylases are a class of glycosyl hydrolase (GH) enzymes that catalyze a glycosylation reaction, e.g. between an oligosaccharide and a polysaccharide (Figure 25). This is the case for XET, which catalyzes the formation of xyloglycans. Only very few transglycosylases are known, of which XET is the one most extensively studied.
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Figure 25 Mechanism for the transglycosylase activity.

It was believed that the known XET with GH activity could form the basis of a high-throughput array for identification of transglycosylase activity in plant tissue using a fluorescently labeled oligosaccharide. Since readily available nitrocellulose based arrays only retain larger oligosaccharides DP ~15-20, a small oligosaccharide with a fluorescent tag should not stick to nitrocellulose. After transglycosylation with a polysaccharide it would, however, be possible to detect binding of the fluorescent polysaccharide on nitrocellulose.

Beatrice Bonora from Professor Clausen’s group synthesized a xyloglucan heptasaccharide (XGO) fluorescently labeled with 5-aminofluorescein to obtain compound 170 (Figure 26), hereafter referred to as XGO*. The binding affinity of this fluorescent oligomer was tested towards nitrocellulose and as anticipated, the XGO* was washed away with PBS buffer.

Figure 26 The fluorescently labeled xyloglucan XGO.

XGO* was incubated with XG from tamarind kernel powder and a variety of other polysaccharides, listed in Figure 27, all in the presence of the XET enzyme. Control experiments without XET were also performed.

After incubation, the samples were manually spotted onto nitrocellulose sheets, in the pattern illustrated in Figure 27 A, and blocked with BSA in PBS buffer.
Finally the sheet was washed with PBS solution, dried on filter paper, and scanned.

As the image of the nitrocellulose slide (Figure 27 B) illustrates, XET only fused XGO* with XG and no other polysaccharides showed fluorescence after the incubation. Weak spots from the remaining samples are also observed in Figure 27 B, but that is mainly due to the scanning settings. The power settings adjust how much power the laser will use to excite the sample. A photo multiplier tube (PMT) converts the amount of light striking the detector into a numeric value.

Reactions directly on the array were pursued, in which XG was spotted on nitrocellulose in different concentrations. The sheets were then incubated with a solution of XET and XGO in PBS buffer at 30 °C for 24 and 48 hours in darkness. A control experiment with XET was also performed. No difference was observed between the experiment and the controls, therefore this strategy was abandoned.

An Arrayjet printer was used to spot a different nitrocellulose sheet with different combinations of XG, XGO, XGO*, XET, arabinopolysaccharide (Arapo), arabinopentaose (Araoligo) and a fluoroscently labeled arabinohexasaccharide (Araoligo*) synthesized by Beatrice Bonora. Figure 28 presents the results, in which A holds an overview of the incubation conditions for each polysaccharide (XG and Arapo) examined, and B presents the results as a heat map, in which the color intensities of each spot on the scanned array is related to a numeric value, where 100 represents the brightest spot. The scanned array and the location of each sample on the array is found in appendix B.

Figure 27 Reaction between XGO and different polysaccharides with and without XET spotted on a nitrocellulose sheet.
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Figure 28 Transglycosylase experiment printed on an Arrayjet printer. A) Overview of the incubation conditions used for each sample, with color codes referring to the heat map. B) Heat map of the scanned array. Upper and lower refer to highest and lowest value in a triplicate set.

From Figure 28 we observe no signal, when only XG and buffer was printed (XG+1), but full signal was found for XG incubated with XGO* and XET (XG+2). XET was essential in the reaction, as no signal was present when XG was incubated without XET (XG+3). In XG+4, the polysaccharide was incubated with XET, XGO and XGO*, which clearly showed that XGO was a competitive inhibitor, whereas no inhibition was observed when Araoligo was added instead of XGO (XG-5). Incubating XG with XET and Araoligo* also did not lead to any signal (XG+6), meaning that XET does not catalyze the transglycosylation between the two under the given conditions. Likewise, no transglycosylation activity was seen between Arapo and XGO* in the presence of XET (Arapo+1-6). This suggests that the fluorescent XGO only identifies XG chains.

The XGO* and 2XGO* samples were two different batches of fluorescently labeled xyloglucan incubated in PBS buffer as control experiments. Araoligo* incubated with PBS buffer were also spotted as a control experiment. All the non-linked sugars gave negative signals because of their inability to stick to the nitrocellulose surface. Sample XG+7 showed XG after incubation with 2XGO* and XET, the results suggested that the fluorescent xyloglucan from the first batch for some reason was the most potent.
4.2.1 Perspective
Encouraged by the obtained results, it would be interesting to examine the possibility of identifying new transglycosylases with this assay. A fluorescently labeled oligosaccharide and a polysaccharide of the same sugar should be incubated with different plant tissue and the mixtures should be spotted onto a nitrocellulose sheet and examined under fluorescent light. In case of a signal, a transglycosylase, catalyzing the reaction between the two sugars, would be present in the plant tissue.

A carbohydrate microarray-based method to screen transglycosylase activity has been reported before by Kosík et al. In their study they successfully printed the polysaccharide substrates (putative donors) onto nitrocellulose coated slides and the printed arrays were afterwards incubated with fluorescently labelled oligosaccharide (putative acceptor) and enzymatic samples. They used the MicroGrid printer. However the present study is novel in the sense of having the enzyme incubation with both substrates (acceptor and donor) in solution and printing the digestion mixtures afterwards. This method is believed to be superior, giving a lot fewer false negatives, as the performance of enzymes on immobilized substrate currently is known not to perform well.

4.3 Experimental
4.3.1 Linkers
Oligosaccharides and enzymes were purchased from Megazyme. Linker 160 was purchased from ABCR. The procedures described by Pedersen et al. were applied with some modifications:

**BSA Conjugation Reaction**
The conjugation of oligosaccharides to BSA was done by colleagues in the Willats’ group by the procedure described by Roy et al.

**Small Linker Conjugations Reactions**
10 mg/mL solutions of each oligosaccharide in aqueous acetate buffer (0.1 M, pH: 4.9) were prepared. Linker 160 and linker 161 solutions were prepared in the same buffer at 11.2 mg/mL and 100 mg/mL, respectively.

50 μL oligosaccharide solution was mixed with 20 equivalents of linker, and the reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 48 hours and followed on TLC using sulfuric acid and ninhydrin as stains. No work-up procedure was performed for the unpurified samples.
Purification of Linker-Sugar Conjugates

An “Extract Clean™ Carbograph” column was activated with an activating solution of 80% CH₃CN, 20% H₂O, and 0.1% TFA, followed by equilibration with milliQ water. The sample was loaded onto the column, followed by two wash cycles with water, which eluted the linker in the first wash. The sugar-linker compound eluted last with 50% CH₃CN in water. The CH₃CN was evaporated by a stream of air, and the samples were concentrated using a freeze dryer.

Reduction

18 μL of the 40 mM linker-sugar conjugate solutions (= 720 μmol) were moved to new vials with screw caps and freeze dried overnight. Next morning 50 equiv. NaBH₃CN (~2 mg) in 50 μL solutions (70% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and 30% glacial AcOH) was added to sugar-conjugate at 65 °C with shaking. After 3 hours, the samples were concentrated and the DMSO solution was added to 200 μL water and freeze dried over 48 hours.

Microarray Printing

Carbohydrate microarrays were printed using two types of microarray robots, a pin-based MicroGrid II (Digilab/Genomic Solutions, Huntingdon, UK) and a piezoelectric Sprint (Arrayjet, Roslin, UK).

The printed slides were stored in the -18 °C until used.

Incubation with antibodies

The slide was thawed, blocked with blocking buffer (50 mM ethanolamine, 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH = 9.2) for 20 minutes, washed twice with PBS buffer, put in a dividing box so each array on the plate could be treated differently. Primary antibodies (1 mAbs, working solutions from the fridge) were diluted 1:10 in PBS buffer and 200 μL of the solution was added to each array.

After 1 hour at room temperature, covered with parafilm, the primary antibody was removed and the slide was washed three times with PBS buffer. Then the arrays were treated with secondary antibody (diluted 1:250, 200 μL added to each array). The slide was kept dark and incubated for one hour before the secondary antibody was removed and the slide washed with PBS buffer three times. The slide was centrifuged to remove excess water. When the slide was incubated with enzyme (1 hour at 40 °C at 100 rpm), this was done before treating it with antibodies.

Scanning and Analysis
Slides were scanned using a slide scanner (GenePix 4100, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). The output was analyzed using microarray analysis software (ImaGene 6.0, BioDiscovery, El Segundo, CA).

### 4.3.2 Transglycosylases

4.2 mg XG from tamarind kernel powder was dissolved in 1.05 mL buffer (4g/L). 2.3 mg XGO* was dissolved in 1.15 mL buffer (2 g/L). A 0.78 mg/mL solution of XET was delivered from Novozymes.

50 μL XG, 50 μL XGO and 128 μL XET were incubated at 1400 rpm at 30 °C covered by aluminum foil. After end reaction time the samples were heated to 75 °C for 10-15 min to denaturize the enzyme. The solution was centrifuged at 12000g for 20 min at 4 °C to remove denatured XET. Following 1 μL of the heated solution was spotted on a nitrocellulose sheet.

*Arrayjet printed Array:*
Expanded version of the conditions in Figure 28A.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 μL buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 μL 1mg/mL XGO* + 15 μL XET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>XGO* + 15 μL buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 μL 2mg/mL XGO* + 15 μL XET + 5 μL 2mg/mL XGO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 μL 2mg/mL XGO* + 15 μL XET + 5 μL 2mg/mL Araoligo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10 μL 1mg/mL Araoligo* + 15 μL XET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>only for XG:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10 μL 1mg/mL 2nd-XGoligo* + 15 μL XET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10 μL 1mg/mL 2nd-XGoligo* + 15 μL buffer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Conclusion

The aim of the project was to develop an efficient and reliable strategy for synthesizing defined fragments of arabinofuranosides found in plant cell wall pectic polysaccharides. A general method for preparation of differentially protected hexasaccharide backbones with branching potentials is the major outcome of the project. The strategy utilizes a convergent block strategy where a disaccharide donor was used as building block to reduce the required number of glycosylation reactions. Furthermore, late stage selective deprotection made it possible to synthesize branched arabinans, which have not previously been described.

A broad range of synthetic routes towards a (1→5)-linked α-diarabinoside donor was studied (chapter 3.3). Due to the high reactivity of arabinofuranosides, the most efficient route to a disaccharide donor employed a perbenzoylated monosaccharide serving as glycosyl donor. Three monosaccharide donors with a chloroacetyl group as alternative protecting group were also prepared. The following coupling of these donors in different combinations resulted in three different hexasaccharide backbone structures. The chloroacetyl group employed in different positions of the fourth monosaccharide of the hexasaccharides was removed selectively, converting the hexasaccharides into the corresponding glycosyl acceptors. Two of the hexasaccharides were further branched through reaction with a diarabinofuranoside donor to furnish two branched octasaccharides.

The major challenge faced throughout the syntheses was the selective removal of one protecting group from the different mono- and oligosaccharide intermediates. Final hydrogenolysis of the anomeric benzyl group also proved to be a challenge.

In conclusion, this constitutes the first reported synthesis of (1→5)-linked α-arabinans branched at the 2- or the 3-position within the chain. In order to harness the full potential of the target molecules and apply them in the study of for example protein-carbohydrate interactions, deprotection remains, which we believe should be achievable using Zemplén conditions.

Furthermore, a linker system utilizing small molecules to link oligosaccharides to an array surface has been investigated. The small linkers were found to be superior to the use of neoglycoconjugates of bovine serum albumin, when studying enzyme activity. This was demonstrated by head-to-head comparison,
where five glycosyl hydrolases were able to react with the oligosaccharides immobilized on the array surface using the small linker, but not with the same polysaccharides conjugated to BSA.

Finally, an assay to screen for novel glycosyl transferase/hydrolase activities was developed. The studies showed that it was possible to detect transglycosylation activity on a microarray by spotting solutions of polyxyloglucan incubated with a fluorescently labeled heptaxyloglucan and glycosyltransferase, XET. These results suggest the potential of identifying new transglycosylases with this assay.
6 Experimental

6.1 General Comments
Starting materials, reagents, and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification. All solvents are HPLC grade. All reactions under argon or nitrogen atmosphere were performed in oven- or flame-dried glassware and with dry solvents. Anhydrous THF, CH₂Cl₂, and DMF were obtained from Innovative Technology PS-MD-7 Pure-solv solvent purification system. Anhydrous pyridine was obtained from refluxing pyridine with CaH₂ approximate two hours before distilling.

Reactions were monitored by TLC, performed on Merck Aluminium Sheets pre-coated with silica, C-60 F₂₅₄ plates. Compounds were visualized with UV light (254 nm) and/or by heating after treatment with CAN stain (Ce(SO₄)₂ (1.6 g) and (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄ (4 g) in 10% sulphuric acid (200 mL)). Eluent systems are specified for each Rf-value, and ratios are given as volume ratios. Evaporation of solvents was performed with a VWR International Laborota 400 under reduced pressure (in vacuo) at temperatures ranging between 20-55 °C. Trace solvent was removed under reduced pressure by means of an oil pump. Flash chromatography was performed using Matrex 60 Å silica gel (35-70 μm) as the stationary phase. The eluent system is specified in the protocol for each synthesis. Eluent ratios are given as volume ratios. Automated flash chromatography was performed using a Teledyne Isco CombiFlash Rf200 and Redisep Rf Gold Silica columns. All columns were treated with a gradient of heptane-EtOAc from 0% to 100% EtOAc. The intervals indicated for the eluent system under each entry implies where the compound eluted. Dry column vacuum chromatography was performed using Matrex 60 Å silica gel (15-40 μm) as the stationary phase.

All new compounds were characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, infrared (IR) spectroscopy, high-resolution mass spectroscopy (HRMS) (electron spray ionization (ESI)), melting point, and optical rotation. NMR-spectra were recorded on a Bruker Ascend 400 MHz, Varian Mercury 300 MHz, or Bruker AC 500 MHz spectrometer at 298 K. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm), coupling constants (J) in Hz, and the field is indicated in each case. The solvent was CDCl₃ and its resonance was used as internal standard (¹H 7.26 ppm, ¹³C 77.16 ppm). ¹³C NMR spectra were ¹H decoupled and reported without discrimination of intensities in the aromatic region. All NMR spectra are assigned, however the protons on free hydroxyl groups are
generally not assigned. H-1 refers to the proton attached to C-1 of the monosaccharide in the reducing end of the glycoside. H-1’ refers to the proton attached to the first carbon of the next monosaccharide, named C-1’, and so on. In the case of branching, the proton attached to the C-1 closest to the core hexasaccharide is recorded as H-1\textsuperscript{branch}, and H-1 in the next monosaccharide in the branching is noted H-1\textsuperscript{branch}', see Figure 29 for an example, in which the H-1 and H-3s are marked in red. For some of the larger oligosaccharide structures it was not possible to distinguish between the specific positions of certain protons in the chain. In these cases the protons in question are marked with a question mark instead of a number of primes, e.g. H-4?. Multiplicities of NMR signals are reported as: singlet (s), broad singlet (br), doublet (d), doublet of doublets (dd), doublet of doublet of doublets (ddd), doublet of triplets (dt), triplet (t), triplet of doublets (td), quartet (q), multiplet (m), and AB spin systems (AB spin). The AB spin systems in this thesis are reported with the two calculated chemical shifts of the two doublets separated by a comma followed by characterization of the peaks: 4.07, 4.03 (AB spin, \( J_{AB} = 15.2 \) Hz, 2H, CH\(_2\)). The chemical peaks are calculated from the following formula

\[
\delta_A = \frac{v_{center} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(\nu_1 - \nu_4)(\nu_2 - \nu_3)}}{MHz}, \quad \delta_B = \frac{v_{center} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(\nu_1 - \nu_4)(\nu_2 - \nu_3)}}{MHz}
\]

Figure 29 Illustration of how the protons are named in the NMR assignment of the synthesized compounds.

IR analysis was done on a Bruker Alpha-P FT-IR instrument where solid compound is applied directly to the instrument. Optical rotation was measured on a Perkin-Elmer 341 Polarimeter (cuvette 1.0 mL, 100 mm), using CHCl\(_3\) as solvent using a sodium-source lamp (589 nm, 23 °C). Melting points (mp) were measured on a Stuart melting point SMP30 and reported in °C uncorrected. HRMS spectra were performed on an Agilent 1100 system equipped with a photodiode array detector and coupled to an LCT orthogonal time-of-flight
mass spectrometer (Waters-Micromass, Manchester, UK) with Z-spray electrospray ionization source and a LockSpray probe and controlled using MassLynx 4.0 software.

6.2 Procedures

(85) Phenyl (2,3-di-O-benzoyl-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{TBDPSO} & \quad \text{O} \quad \text{O} \\
\text{OBz} & \quad \text{OBz} \\
\text{OBz} & \quad \text{OBz}
\end{align*}
\]

Compound 85 was obtained as a fluffy white solid as a byproduct in the synthesis of compound 99.

\( R_f \) 0.60 (10:1 toluene-EtOAc); [\( \alpha \)]\(D\)\textsubscript{20} - 35.7 (c 1.0 CHCl\(_3\)); mp. 74.0 - 80.6 °C. 

\(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.09 (dd, \( J = 8.3, 7.1 \) Hz, 2H), 8.04 - 7.96 (m, 5H), 7.79 - 7.90 (m, 4H), 7.74 - 7.68 (m, 4H), 7.84 - 7.72 (m, 30H), 5.82 (br, 1H, H-1), 5.77 (d, \( J = 4.3 \) Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.74 (t, \( J = 1.5 \) Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.68 - 5.63 (m, 3H, H-2', H-3', H-3''), 5.58 (d, \( J = 0.9 \) Hz, 1H, H-2''), 4.91 - 4.40 (m, 2H, H-1', H-1''), 4.72 (q, \( J = 4.3 \) Hz, 1H, H-4'), 4.64 (q, \( J = 4.0 \) Hz, 1H, H-4”), 4.52 (q, \( J = 4.6 \) Hz, 1H, H-4’’), 4.27 (dd, \( J = 11.3, 4.3 \) Hz, 1H, H-5a), 4.19 (dd, \( J = 11.3, 4.0 \) Hz, 1H, H-5’a), 4.02 - 3.91 (m, 4H, H-5b, H-5’b, H-5’a+b), 1.03 (s, 9H, C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)) ppm. 

\(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 165.8, 165.6 (2C), 165.4, 165.3, 165.3, 135.8, 135.8, 134.0, 133.6, 133.5, 133.4, 133.3, 133.2, 132.0, 130.1, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.9, 129.8, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 129.0. 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.4, 127.8, 127.7, 106.1 (2C, C-1’, C-1’’), 91.4 (C-1), 83.3 (C-4’’), 82.3 (4C, C-2, C-2’’, C-4, C-4’), 81.7 (C-2’’, 77.4 (3C, C-3, C-3’, C-3’’), 65.9 (C-5’), 65.8 (C-5), 63.5 (C-5’’), 26.9 (C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 19.4 (C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)) ppm. IR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3069, 2930, 2857, 1719, 1451, 1248, 1094, 704. ESIMS: \( m/z \) calcd for [C\(_{79}\)H\(_{72}\)NaO\(_{18}\)Si\(_3\)]\(^{+}\): 1391.4101 [M+Na]\(^{+}\), found: 1391.4099.
Experimental

(86) 2,3-Di-O-benzoyl-5-O-tert-butylidiphenylsilyl-L-arabinofuranose

A solution of compound 103 (5 g, 7.26 mmol) in acetone (45 mL) was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. Water (5 mL) and NBS (5.5 g, 30.9 mmol) were added and the reaction was stirred at 21 °C. The reaction came to completion after 1 hour. Afterwards solid NaHCO₃ (2 g) was added and the mixture was stirred for 20 minutes, before it was concentrated to remove most of the acetone. The resulting mixture was diluted with EtOAc (10 mL). The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was washed with EtOAc (10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with water (2 x 10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated to give 6 g of a clear oil that was purified by column chromatography (1:4 heptane-EtOAc) to afford compound 86 (in a 1:0.8 mixture of the β:α mixture) as a colorless oil (3.6 g, 81%).

The analytical data is in accordance with reported data for compound D-86 Rf 0.26 (4:1 hexane-EtOAc).³⁸

Rf 0.47 (2:1 heptane-EtOAc).

α: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12 - 8.02 (m, 2H), 8.01 - 7.94 (m, 1H), 7.85 - 7.78 (m, 1H), 7.75 - 7.68 (m, 2H), 7.64 - 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.51 - 7.29 (m, 8H), 5.64 (dd, J = 4.7, 1.7 Hz, 0.8H, H-3), 5.61 (s, 0.8H, H-1), 5.48 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 0.8H, H-2), 4.57 (q, J = 4.7 Hz, 0.8H, H-4), 3.99 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1.6H, H-5a+b), 1.04 (s, 7H, C-(C₃H₇)₃), 1.04 (s, 7H, C-(C₃H₇)₃), 1.04 (s, 7H, C-(C₃H₇)₃), 1.04 (s, 7H, C-(C₃H₇)₃) ppm.

β: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12 - 8.02 (m, 4H), 8.01 - 7.94 (m, 1H), 7.75 - 7.68 (m, 3H), 7.64 - 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.51 - 7.29 (m, 10H), 6.03 (dd, J = 5.7, 4.0 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.71 (br, 1H, H-1), 5.56 (dd, J = 5.7, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.28 - 4.24 (m, 1H, H-4), 4.08 (dd, J = 11.2, 2.9 Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.91 (dd, J = 11.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H, H-5b), 1.12 (s, 9H, C-(C₃H₇)₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.0, 165.9, 135.9, 133.7, 133.6, 133.4, 132.2, 131.9, 130.5, 130.2, 130.1, 129.9, 129.5, 129.4, 129.3, 128.7, 128.6, 128.2, 101.3 (C-1), 83.6 (C-4), 83.0 (C-2), 77.8 (C-3), 63.8 (C-5), 27.0 (C-(CH₃)₃), 19.5 (C-(CH₃)₃) ppm.


**Experimental**

**IR (neat, cm⁻¹):** 3459, 3071, 2957, 2930, 2857, 1722, 1451, 1262, 1106, 1067, 1026, 996, 700, 503. **ESIMS:** *m/z* calcd for [C₃₅H₃₆NaO₇Si]⁺: 619.2122 [M+Na]⁺, found: 619.2128.

(87) Phenyl 2-O-benzoyl-5-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-3-O-chloroacetyl-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside

*Phenyl 2-O-benzoyl-5-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-3-O-chloroacetyl-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside*

Thioglycoside 153 (2.90 g, 4.95 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (60 mL). NaHCO₃ (0.62 g, 7.43 mmol) and chloroacetic anhydride (1.32 g, 7.92 mmol) were added. TLC indicated that the reaction was complete after 4.5 hours. The reaction mixture was washed with a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (100 mL) and water (3 x 100 mL), then it was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated before column chromatographed in 1:0 → 2:1 (heptane-EtOAc) to get 3.04 g colorless oil. The title product was obtained in 92% yield.

*R*<sub>f</sub> 0.19 (10:1 heptane-EtOAc), [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> - 68.8 (c 0.90 CHCl₃). **¹H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.95 - 7.86 (m, 2H), 7.65 - 7.57 (m, 4H), 7.54 - 7.43 (m, 3H), 7.39 - 7.20 (m, 11H), 5.64 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.51 (dd, *J* = 5.4, 2.5 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.44 (t, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.41 - 4.36 (m, 1H, H-4), 4.07, 4.03 (AB spin, *J*<sub>AB</sub> = 15.2 Hz, 2H, CH₂Cl), 3.89 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 2H, H-5a+b), 0.98 (s, 9H, C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. **¹³C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.6, 165.5, 135.8, 134.9, 133.7, 133.6, 133.2, 133.1, 133.0, 132.3, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.2, 129.0, 128.6, 127.9, 90.6 (C-1), 82.4 (C-4), 82.3 (C-2), 78.7 (C-3), 63.2 (C-5), 40.8 (CH₂Cl), 26.9 (C-(CH₃)₃), 19.4 (C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. **IR** (neat, cm⁻¹): 3071, 2956, 2930, 2857, 1726, 1427, 1264, 1106, 1026, 822, 740, 700, 606, 503. **ESIMS:** *m/z* calcd for [C₃₆H₃₇ClNaO₆SSi]⁺: 683.1661 [M+Na]⁺, found: 683.1661.
(88) Benzyl (3-O-benzoyl-2-O-chloroacetyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside

Compound 143 (200 mg, 0.119 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) and MeCN (6 mL) in a plastic flask. HF (0.155 mL, 70% in pyridine) and one drop of water were added and the reaction was stirred at 22 °C for 24 hours. Me₃SiOMe (0.900 mL, 6.3 mmol) was added to quench the reaction and the mixture was stirred for 20 minutes before it was diluted with EtOAc (3 mL), washed with a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 25 mL) and water (25 mL), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The organic solution was concentrated to afford 600 mg of a fluffy white solid that was dispersed in 2.5 g silica and purified on a 12 g column by automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 40% → 45% EtOAc). The title compound was obtained as a white solid in 70% yield (120 mg).

Rᵣ 0.21 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]𝐃²⁰ -28.4 (c 0.99 CHCl₃); mp. 83.8 - 85.4 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.04 - 7.97 (m, 8H), 7.93 - 7.83 (m, 6H), 7.59 - 7.47 (m, 4H), 7.46 - 7.35 (m, 12H), 7.35 - 7.21 (m, 11H), 5.64 (s, 2H, H-3, H-3'), 5.63 (s, 1H, H-2'), 5.61 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5.59 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5.57 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H, H-3''), 5.44 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H, H-3''), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1, H-1''), 5.30 - 5.26 (m, 2H, H-1''', H-3'''), 4.83 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.62 - 4.54 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph, H-4, H-4''), 4.47 (td, J = 4.6, 1.9 Hz, 1H, H-4'''), 4.40 (dt, J = 5.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-4'''), 4.22 - 4.04 (m, 5H, 3 × H-5a, CH₂Cl), 3.96 - 3.89 (m, 4H, 3 × H-5b, H-5''a), 3.87 - 3.81 (m, 1H, H-5''''b) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.2, 166.1, 165.8 (3C), 165.5, 165.3, 165.2, 137.5, 133.5, 133.4, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.9, 129.2, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.4, 127.9, 105.9, 105.9 (C-1’, C-1’’), 105.5 (C-1’’’), 105.0 (C-1), 83.2 (C-4’’’), 82.9 (C-2’’’), 82.3, 82.2 (C-4, C-4’), 82.0 (C-4’’), 81.9 (C-2’), 81.8 (C-2’’), 81.7 (C-2’’’), 77.4 (3C, C-3, C-3’, C-3’’’), 77.2 (C-3’’’), 68.7 (CH₂Ph), 66.2 (C-5’’), 66.1 (C-5’’’), 66.0 (C-5’’’), 62.0 (C-5’’’’), 40.7 (CH₂Cl) ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2929,
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1716, 1601, 1451, 1248, 1106, 1024, 706. **ESIMS:** \( m/z \) calcd for \( [C_{78}H_{69}ClNaO_{25}]^+ \): 1463.3709 \([M+Na]^+\), found: 1463.3706.

**(89) Benzyl 2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside**

![Chemical Structure](image)

Compound 107 (9.96 g, 14.5 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (100 mL) and cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. Acetic acid (1.62 mL, 28.4 mmol) and TBAF (1 M in THF) (19.2 mL, 19.2 mmol) were added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was stirred for 24 h at 4 °C. After completion of the reaction the mixture was diluted with \( CH_2Cl_2 \) (5 mL) and washed with a solution of sat. aq. \( NH_4Cl \) (2 x 200 mL) and water (200 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO\(_4\)) and concentrated to dryness. The resulting colorless oil was purified on silica gel (4:1 heptane-\( EtOAc \)) to afford compound 89 as a colorless oil (5.54 g, 84%).

\( R_f \) 0.19 (2:1 heptane-\( EtOAc \)), \([\alpha]_{D}^{20} = 2.9 \) (c 1.0, \( CHCl_3 \)). \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.10 - 8.01 (m, 4H), 7.59 (t, \( J = 7.4 \) Hz, 2H), 7.50 - 7.38 (m, 6H), 7.38 - 7.27 (m, 3H), 5.62 (s, 1H, H-2), 5.64 (d, \( J = 4.2 \) Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.35 (s, 1H, H-1), 4.86 (d, \( J = 11.9 \) Hz, 1H, \( CH_2Ph \)), 4.64 (d, \( J = 11.9 \) Hz, 1H, \( CH_2Ph \)), 4.36 (q, \( J = 4.2 \) Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.03 (dd, \( J = 12.0, 4.2 \) Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.99 (dd, \( J = 12.0, 4.2 \) Hz, 1H, H-5b) ppm. \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 166.3, 165.4, 137.4, 133.7, 130.1, 130.0, 129.3, 129.2, 128.7, 128.6, 128.6, 127.9, 127.9, 104.9 (C-1), 84.1 (C-4), 81.9 (C-2), 78.0 (C-3), 68.9 (\( CH_3Ph \)), 62.6 (C-5) ppm. **ESIMS:** \( m/z \) calcd for \( [C_{26}H_{24}NaO_{7}]^+ \): 471.1414 \([M+Na]^+\), found: 471.1416.
A mixture of hexasaccharide 148 (84 mg, 37 μmol) and disaccharide donor 99 (51 mg, 49 μmol) were coevaporated with toluene and dried under vacuum for 16 hours. The starting materials were dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) under N₂ and stirred with 200 mg molecular sieves (4 Å) and NIS (11 mg, 49 μmol) at 22 °C for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled in a – 45 °C bath and TfOH (0.8 μL, 10 μmol) was added. The reaction came to completion within 2.5 hours, under which the temperature was raised to – 35 °C. The molecular sieves were filtered off and the reaction mixture was poured into a mixture of 10% aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (1 mL) and a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (1 mL). The organic layer was isolated and washed with water (2 x 2 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated to give 374 mg of a yellow oil that was dispersed on 2.5 g silica and subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 30% → 35% EtOAc) on a 4 g column. The title product was obtained as 71 mg fluffy white solid (60% yield).

Rᵣ 0.42 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]D²⁰ -19.7 (c 0.96 CHCl₃); mp. 97.8 - 99.1 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.06 - 7.97 (m, 8H), 7.97 - 7.80 (m, 22H), 7.70 - 7.62 (m, 8H), 7.56 - 7.44 (m, 6H), 7.44 - 7.14 (m, 55H), 5.64 - 5.57 (m, 10H, 7 × H-3, 3 × H-2), 5.53 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H, H-2′), 5.52 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H, H-2″′), 5.51 - 5.49 (m, 2H, H-2′′′, H-1′), 5.48 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H, H-2′), 5.44 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H, H-2′), 5.40 (s, 1H, H-1′), 5.39 (s, 1H, H-1′), 5.37 (s, 1H, H-1′′′), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.30 (s, 1H, H-1′), 5.29 (s, 1H, H-1′), 5.21 (s, 5.20 (s, 1H, H-1′′′)).
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1H, H-1), 4.83 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.63 - 4.57 (m, 2H, CH₂Ph, H-4'), 4.56 - 4.52 (m, 1H, H-4'), 4.51 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H, H-3'''), 4.50 - 4.40 (m, 5H, H-4'), 4.40 - 4.36 (m, 1H, H-4'), 4.19 (dd, J = 11.2, 4.6 Hz, 2H, 2 × H-5a', 4.16 - 4.08 (m, 3H, 3 × H-5a), 4.01 (dd, J = 11.5, 4.0 Hz, 1H, H-5a'), 3.98 - 3.85 (m, 8H, H-5'''',a+b, H-Sbranch,a+b, 4 × H-5b), 3.82 (dd, J = 11.4, 2.3 Hz, 2H, 2 × H-5b'), 3.74 (dd, J = 11.2, 2.3 Hz, 1H, H-5b'), 0.99 (s, 9H, C-(C₃H₇)₃), 0.97 (s, 9H, C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.9, 165.7 (2C), 165.7, 165.6, 165.6, 165.5, 165.5, 165.4, 165.4, 165.3, 165.3, 165.2, 165.1, 164.9, 137.6, 135.8, 133.6, 133.5, 133.4, 133.3, 133.3, 133.1, 130.1, 129.9, 129.9, 129.8, 129.4, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 127.9, 127.8, 106.1 (3C, 3 × C-1), 105.9 (3C, 3 × C-1), 105.4 (C-1), 83.3 (C-4'''), 83.1 (3C, 3 × C-4), 82.8 (C-2'''), 82.3 (2C, 2 × C-4, 2 × C-2), 82.2 (2C, 2 × C-4), 81.9 (2C, 2 × C-2), 81.8 (C-2'), 81.7 (C-2'), 81.4 (C-3'''), 77.4 (3C, 3 × C-3), 77.3 (4C, 3 × C-3), 68.7 (CH₂Ph), 66.1 (3C, 3 × C-5), 65.8 (3C, 3 × C-5), 63.4 (2C, C-5'''', C-Sbranch), 26.9 (C-(CH₃)₃), 19.4 (C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2929.78, 1718.75, 1451.51, 1247.73, 1095.31, 1024.72, 962.06, 704.49. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C₁₈₄H₁₆₈NaO₄₈Si₂]⁺: 3224.0136 [M+Na]⁺, found: 3224.0041.

(95) Benzyl (2,3-di-O-benzoyl-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-[2,3-di-O-benzoyl-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl]-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl-(1→2)]-[3-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl]-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside

84
A mixture of hexasaccharide 150 (100 mg, 44 μmol) and disaccharide donor 99 (59 mg, 57 μmol) were coevaporated with toluene and dried under vacuum for 16 hours. The starting materials were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) under N₂ and stirred with 200 mg molecular sieves (4 Å) and NIS (13 mg, 57 μmol) at 22 °C for 20 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled in a – 55 °C bath and TfOH (1 μL, 11 μmol) was added. The reaction seamed to came to completion within 4.5 hours, under which the temperature was raised to – 35 °C. The molecular sieves were filtered off and the reaction mixture was poured into a mixture of 10% aq. Na₂S₂O₅ (1 mL) and a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (1 mL). The organic layer was isolated and washed with water (2 x 2 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated to an yellow oil that was dispersed on 2.5 g silica and subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 30% → 35% EtOAc) on a 4 g column. The title product was obtained as 17 mg fluffy white solid (12% yield).

Rf 0.42 (1:1 toluene-EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.93 - 7.84 (m, 12H), 7.79 - 7.65 (m, 11H), 7.57 - 7.07 (m, 63H), 5.80 - 5.75 (m, 2H, H-1 branched), 5.68 - 5.58 (m, 9H, 6 × H-3, 3 × H-2), 5.58 - 5.52 (m, 4H, 4 × H-2), 5.43 (s, 1H, H-1'''''), 5.39 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H, H-3'''''), 5.37 (s, 2H, H-1''''', H-1'), 5.34 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.32 (s, 2H, H-1, H-1'), 5.27 (s, 1H, H-1'), 4.83 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.72 - 4.67 (m, 1H, H-4'''''), 4.63 - 4.53 (m, 4H, CH₂Ph, H-2''''', 2 × H-4), 4.53 - 4.44 (m, 5H, 5 × H-4), 4.26 - 4.10 (m, 5H, H-5 branched, a, 4 × H-5a), 4.06 (dd, J = 10.9, 5.6 Hz, 1H, H-5a'''''), 4.01 - 3.81 (m, 9H, H-5''''''a+b, H-5 branched, a+b, 5 × H-5b), 3.78 (dd, J = 10.9, 2.2 Hz, 1H, H-5 branched, b), 1.00 (s, 18H, 2 × TBDPS) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.8 (2C), 165.7 (2C), 165.7 (2C), 165.6, 165.6, 165.5, 165.4, 165.3, 165.3, 165.2, 165.1, 164.9, 137.5, 135.8, 135.8, 133.4, 133.3, 133.2, 130.1, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.5, 129.4, 129.2, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 127.8, 106.3, 106.1, 106.1, 105.9, 105.9, 105.9 (6 × C-1'), 105.0 (C-1), 104.5 (C-1 branched), 83.3, 83.3 (C-2''''', C-4 branched), 82.4, 82.3 (2C), 82.3 (2C), 82.2 (3C), 81.9 (2C), 81.7, 81.6 (3C), (7 × C-2, 7 × C-4), 77.9 (C-3'''''), 77.4 (5C), 77.3 (6 × C-4), 77.0 (C-3 branched), 68.7 (CH₂Ph), 66.1 (2C), 65.9 (3C), 65.8 (2C) (6 × C-5), 63.5, 63.4 (C-5'''''''), C-5 branched, 26.9 (2 × C-(CH₃)₃), 19.4 (2 × C-(CH₃)₃) ppm.
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(99) Phenyl (2,3-di-O-benzoyl-5-O-(tert-butylidiphenylsilyl)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside

A mixture of compound 104 (10 g, 22.2 mmol) and compound 102 (18.7 g, 26.6 mmol) were dried azeotropically with toluene and subjected to high vacuum for 16 hours. The flask was filled with Ar and the monosaccharides were dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (300 mL). 22 g activated molecular sieves (4 Å) were added and the mixture was cooled in a −60 °C bath. TMSOTf (5.02 mL, 30.7 mmol) was added dropwise over 30 minutes and the reaction was slowly heated to −50 °C. The reaction came to completion after 6 hours according to TLC (2:1 heptane-EtOAc), then it was quenched with Et₃N (33 mL). The molecular sieves were filtered off and washed with CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (200 mL) and water (200 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. After subjecting the mixture to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 10% → 25% EtOAc) 19.4 g fluffy white solid was obtained as compound 99 (85%).

Rf 0.25 (6:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]D²₀ -34.4 (c 2.0 CHCl₃); mp. 59.6 - 60.3 °C.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.13 - 8.07 (m, 2H), 7.96 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 7.93 - 7.89 (m, 2H), 7.73 - 7.66 (m, 4H), 7.61 - 7.52 (m, 4H), 7.49 - 7.42 (m, 4H), 7.40 - 7.20 (m, 15H), 5.79 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.74 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.71 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.62 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H, H-3'), 5.55 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5.36 (s, 1H, H-1'), 4.73 (q, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.50 (q, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H, H-4'), 4.23 (dd, J = 11.1, 4.2 Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.98 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 2H, H-5'a+b), 3.96 (dd, J = 11.1, 4.2 Hz, 1H, H-5b), 1.01 (s, 9H, C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.7 (2C), 165.5, 165.5, 135.9, 135.9, 134.1, 133.8, 133.7, 133.5, 133.5, 133.4, 133.3, 132.1, 130.2, 130.2, 130.0, 129.9, 129.4, 129.4, 129.3, 129.0, 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 127.9, 127.8, 106.2 (C-1'), 91.5 (C-1), 83.5 (C-4'), 82.4 (C-4), 82.4 (C-2'), 82.3 (C-2), 77.5 (C-3), 77.4 (C-3'), 65.9 (C-5), 63.5 (C-5'), 26.9 (C-(CH₃)₃), 19.4 (C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3070, 2931, 2858, 1721, 1452, 1261, 1105, 1026, 755, 706. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C₆₀H₅₆NaO₁₂SSi]⁺: 1051.3154 [M+Na]⁺, found: 1051.3136.
Experimental

(100) Phenyl 3-\textit{O}-benzoyl-5-\textit{O}-\textit{tert}-butyldiphenylsilyl-2-\textit{O}-chloroacetyl-1-thio-\textit{\alpha}-\textit{L}-arabinofuranoside

Compound 126 (630 mg, 1.13 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (18 mL) and cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. DMAP (138 mg, 1.13 mmol) and Et$_3$N (630 mL, 4.5 mmol) were added before benzoyl chloride (0.197 mL, 1.7 mmol) was added dropwise over 40 minutes. When the addition of benzoyl chloride came to an end the reaction was also done. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (5 mL) and successively washed with a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO$_3$ (40 mL) and water (30 mL). The organic phase was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$), filtered and concentrated to give 1 g of a yellow oil that was concentrated on silica and subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 5% → 10% EtOAc). The title compound was obtained as a colorless oil (551 mg) in 74% yield.

$R_f$ 0.79 (10:1 toluene-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ - 79.9 (c 1.0 CHCl$_3$). $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.07 (d, $J$ = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, $J$ = 7.9 Hz, 4H), 7.61 (t, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.58 - 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.48 (t, $J$ = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.44 - 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.38 - 7.29 (m, 7H), 7.38 - 7.29 (m, 7H), 5.64 (d, $J$ = 1.9 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.59 (dd, $J$ = 4.6, 1.9 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.49 (t, $J$ = 1.9 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.55 (q, $J$ = 4.6 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.06 - 3.95 (m, 4H, H-5a+b, CH$_2$Cl), 1.06 (s, 9H, C-(CH$_3$)$_3$) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 166.4, 165.7, 135.8, 133.7, 133.6, 133.3, 133.1, 132.5, 130.1, 129.9, 129.9, 129.2, 129.2, 128.7, 128.4, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 90.7 (C-1), 83.4 (C-2), 83.2 (C-4), 77.4 (C-3), 63.4 (C-5), 40.6 (CH$_2$Cl), 26.9 (C-(CH$_3$)$_3$), 19.5 (C-(CH$_3$)$_3$) ppm. ESIMS: $m/z$ calcd for [C$_{36}$H$_{37}$ClNaO$_6$SSi]$^+$: 683.1661 [M+Na]$^+$, found: 681.1660.

(101) Phenyl 5-\textit{O}-\textit{tert}-butyldiphenylsilyl-2,3-di-\textit{O}-chloroacetyl-1-thio-\textit{\alpha}-\textit{L}-arabinofuranoside

Compound 101 was obtained as a byproduct in the synthesis of compound 126 as a colorless oil.
Experimental

\( R_f \) 0.55 (2:1 heptane-EtOAc); [\( \alpha \]^20\text{D} - 97.3 (c 1.1 CHCl\(_3\)). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 7.70 - 7.63 (m, 4H), 7.51 (dd, \( J = 6.4, 3.1 \) Hz, 2H), 7.45 - 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.40 - 7.34 (m, 4H), 7.31 (dd, \( J = 5.0, 1.8 \) Hz, 3H), 5.58 (d, \( J = 2.8 \) Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.45 (dd, \( J = 5.5, 2.8 \) Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.34 (t, \( J = 2.8 \) Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.40 - 4.36 (m, 1H, H-1), 4.11, 4.07 (AB spin, \( J_{AB} = 15.3 \) Hz, 2H, CH\(_2\)Cl), 4.01 (s, 2H, CH\(_2\)Cl), 3.92 (d, \( J = 3.7 \) Hz, 2H, H-5a+b), 1.06 (s, 9H, C-\( \left(CH_3\right)_3\)) ppm. \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 166.8, 166.5, 135.8, 133.1, 133.0, 132.5, 130.0, 129.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.9, 90.1 (C-1), 83.0 (C-3), 82.3 (C-4), 78.4 (C-2), 63.0 (C-5), 40.7 (CH\(_2\)Cl), 40.5 (CH\(_2\)Cl), 26.9 (C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 19.4 (C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)) ppm. IR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3071, 2956, 2931, 2857, 1766, 1427, 1282, 1151, 1111, 1024, 740, 701, 606, 503. ESIMS: \( m/z \) calcld for [C\(_31\)H\(_{34}\)Cl\(_2\)NaO\(_6\)SSi]+: 655.1115 [M+Na]+, found: 655.1114.

(102) 1,2,3-Tri-O-benzoyl-5-O-tert-butylidiphenylsilyl-L-arabinofuranose

L-Arabinose (50.0 g, 333 mmol) was suspended in anhydrous pyridine (400 mL). 4-(Dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) (4.07 g, 33.3 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture. A solution of TBDPSCI (87 mL, 333 mmol) in anhydrous pyridine (125 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. TLC (10:1 CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)-MeOH) indicated that the reaction came to completion after 20 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath and benzoyl chloride (124 mL, 1.06 mol) was added. The reaction was stirred for two hours at 23 °C. Afterwards the mixture was diluted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (500 mL) and washed with water (3 x 200 mL), 1 M HCl (3 x 200 mL), and a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO\(_3\) (20 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO\(_4\)) and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was dissolved in EtOH (500 mL), from which compound \( \alpha \)-102 (65 g, 28%) precipitated over 16 hours. The mother liquid was concentrated to a colorless oil that was purified on silica gel (20:1→5:1 heptane-EtOAc) to give compound 102 as a mixture of the \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)-anomers as a fluffy solid (88 g, 38%). Total yield over two steps: 66%.

Data for compound \( \alpha \)-102: \( R_f \) 0.23 (6:1 heptane-EtOAc); [\( \alpha \]^20\text{D} - 5.1 (c 2.1 CHCl\(_3\)); mp. 136.3 - 136.5 °C. \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.09-7.99 (m 4H), 7.94 - 7.83 (m, 2H), 7.71 - 7.15 (m, 19H), 6.58 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.76 (d, \( J = 4.5 \) Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.74 (s, 1H, H-2), 4.63 (q, \( J = 4.5 \) Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.06 (dd,
$J = 11.1, 4.5$ Hz, 1H, H-5a), 4.00 (dd, $J = 11.1, 4.5$ Hz, 1H, H-5b), 1.04 (s, 9H, C-(CH$_3$)$_3$) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 165.6, 165.3, 165.0, 135.9, 135.8, 133.8, 133.2, 130.2, 130.0, 129.7, 129.5, 129.0, 128.7, 128.7, 128.0, 100.2 (C-1), 86.0 (C-4), 81.3 (C-2), 77.3 (C-3), 63.6 (C-5), 27.0 (C-(CH$_3$)$_3$), 19.5 (C-(CH$_3$)$_3$) ppm. IR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3070, 2931, 2858, 1725, 1452, 1258, 1107, 1067, 1024, 705. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C$_{42}$H$_{40}$NaO$_6$Si]$^+$: 723.2385 [M+Na]$^+$, found: 723.2380.

(103) Phenyl 2,3-di-O-benzoyl-5-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-1-thio-$\alpha$-L-arabinofuranoside

Compound 102 (50 g, 71.3 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (250 mL) and cooled in a – 78 °C bath. PhSH (10.2 mL, 100 mmol) was added to the stirred mixture along with TMSOTf (5.17 mL, 28.6 mmol). The reaction was allowed to slowly heat to – 20 °C over 16 hours. The mixture was diluted with 20 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and washed with a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO$_3$ (500 mL) and water (500 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated to dryness. The resulting oil was purified on silica gel (40:1→2:1 heptane-EtOAc) to afford compound 103 as a colorless oil (35.9 g, 73%). The analytical data is in accordance with reported data for compound D-103 ($R_f$ 0.45 (40:1, petroleum–EtOAc); $[\alpha]_{BD}^{20}$ + 45.1 (c 2.0, CHCl$_3$)).

$R_f$ 0.23 (10:1 heptane-EtOAc), $[\alpha]_{BD}^{20}$ - 33.9 (c 2.0 CHCl$_3$). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.15 - 8.05 (m, 2H), 8.00 - 7.93 (m, 2H), 7.68 (dd, $J = 7.7, 1.6$ Hz, 4H), 7.65 - 7.26 (m, 17H), 5.76 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.71 (dd, $J = 4.6, 2.0$ Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.65 (t, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.62 (q, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.02 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 2H, H-5a+b), 1.05 (s, 9H, C-(CH$_3$)$_3$) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 165.6, 165.5, 135.8, 135.8, 133.6, 133.3, 132.2, 133.2, 130.1, 130.1, 129.9, 129.8, 129.2 129.1, 128.6, 128.6, 127.8, 127.7, 91.2 (C-1), 83.4 (C-4), 82.6 (C-2), 77.7 (C-3), 63.6 (C-5), 26.9 (C-(CH$_3$)$_3$), 19.4 (C-(CH$_3$)$_3$) ppm. IR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3070, 2958, 2930, 2857, 1724, 1262, 1107, 705. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C$_{41}$H$_{40}$NaO$_6$Si]$^+$: 711.2207 [M+Na]$^+$, found: 711.2202.
**Experimental**

(104) Phenyl 2,3-di-\(\text{O-benzoyl-1-thio-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranoside}\)

A solution of compound 103 (300 mg, 0.435 mmol) in anhydrous THF (3 mL) was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. Acetic acid (29.9 μL, 0.523 mmol) and TBAF (1 M in THF) (0.435 mL, 0.435 mmol) were added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was stirred for 24 h at 4 °C. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was diluted with 0.5 mL CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and washed with a solution of sat. aq. NH\(_4\)Cl. The organic phase was dried (MgSO\(_4\)) and concentrated to dryness. The resulting yellow oil was purified on silica gel (4:1 heptane-EtOAc) to give compound 104 as a fluffy white solid (300 mg, 75%). The NMR data is in accordance with reported data for compound D-104 ([\(\alpha\])\(_{D}\) 20 + 101.1 (c 1.0, CHCl\(_3\))].

**ESIMS:** m/z calcd for [C\(_{25}\)H\(_{22}\)NaO\(_6\)S]\(^+\): 473.1029 [M+Na]\(^+\), found: 473.1028.

**R**f 0.22 (2:1 heptane-EtOAc); [\(\alpha\)]\(_{D}\) 20 -63.5 (c 1.0, CHCl\(_3\)); mp. 101.8 - 102.9 °C.

**1H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.13 (d, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 2H), 8.05 (d, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 2H), 7.66 - 7.23 (m, 11H), 5.80 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.74 (br, 1H, H-2), 5.56 (dd, \(J = 4.2, 0.8\) Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.59 (q, \(J = 4.2\) Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.07 - 3.95 (m, 2H, H-5a+b) ppm.

**13C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 166.2, 165.5, 134.0, 133.9, 132.4, 130.3, 130.1, 129.3, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 128.1, 91.7 (C-1), 84.0 (C-4), 82.4 (C-2), 78.4 (C-3), 62.2 (C-5) ppm.

**IR** (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3513, 3062, 2929, 1719, 1451, 1341, 1249, 1094, 1067, 1025, 707.

(105) 2,3-Di-\(\text{O-benzoyl-5-\(\text{O-tert-butyldiphenyldiisilyl-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranosyl N-phenyl trifluoroacetimidate}\)}

Compound 86 (600 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added to a solution of Cs\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (655 mg, 2.0 mmol) and trifluoroacetimidyl chloride (0.323 mL, 2.0 mmol) in acetone (9 mL). The reaction came to completion within 1.5 hours, then it was filtered through Celite and concentrated to give 1.03 g of a yellow oil. The mixture was
subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 0% → 25% EtOAc) and the title compound was obtained as a colorless oil (724 mg, 93%).

$R_f$ 0.8 (2:1 heptane-EtOAc), $[\alpha]^{20}_D + 15.4$ (c 0.96 CHCl$_3$). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.18 - 6.77 (m, 25H), 6.60 - 6.40 (m, 1H), 5.75 - 5.65 (m, 2H), 4.60 (d, $J = 5.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.01 (d, $J = 5.0$ Hz, 2H), 1.04 (s, 9H) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 135.8, 135.8, 133.8, 133.7, 133.7, 131.4, 130.1, 130.1, 129.9, 129.6, 128.9, 128.6, 127.9, 120.6, 86.3, 76.7, 71.2, 63.5, 26.9, 19.4 ppm.

ESIMS: $m/z$ calcd for [C$_{43}$H$_{40}$F$_3$NaO$_7$Si]$^+$: 790.2141, found: 790.2423.

(106) 1,2-Di-O-benzoyl-3,5-di-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-α-L-arabino-furanose

Compound 106 was obtained as a clear oil as a byproduct in the synthesis of compound 102.

$R_f$ 0.33 (10:1 heptane-EtOAc); $[\alpha]^{20}_D + 16.0$ (c 1.7 CHCl$_3$). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.15 - 8.10 (m, 2H), 7.92 - 7.23 (m, 28H), 5.58 (s, 1H, H-2), 5.57 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.51 (d, $J = 4.3$ Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.60 (q, $J = 4.3$ Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.00 - 3.96 (m, 2H, H-5a+b), 1.13 (s, 9H, C-(CH$_3$)$_3$), 1.02 (s, 9H, C-(CH$_3$)$_3$) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 165.8, 165.3, 135.9, 135.9, 135.8, 133.5, 133.3, 133.2, 133.1, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.8, 129.6, 128.5, 128.4, 127.8, 127.8, 127.8, 101.3 (C-1), 84.3 (C-4), 83.1 (C-2), 77.9 (C-3), 64.3 (C-5), 26.9 (2 × C-(CH$_3$)$_3$), 19.6 (C-(CH$_3$)$_3$), 19.4 (C-(CH$_3$)$_3$) ppm. IR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3071, 2958, 2931, 2857, 1722, 1427, 1327, 1260, 1105, 1057, 1027, 699, 504. ESIMS: $m/z$ calcd for [C$_{51}$H$_{54}$NaO$_7$S$_2$]$^+$: 857.3300 [M+Na]$^+$, found: 857.3294.

(107) Benzyl 2,3-di-O-benzoyl-5-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-α-L-arabino-furanoside
To a solution of compound 102 (10.73 g, 15.35 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (80 mL) was added activated and partly powdered molecular sieves (7.5 g) and BnOH (9.75 mL, 94.2 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 45 minutes before boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (5.57 mL, 47 mmol) was added over 45 minutes. TLC showed completion after 3 hours. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath before it was quenched with Et₃N (11 mL). The mixture was concentrated and purified by column chromatography (10:1 heptane-EtOAc) to afford compound 107 as a colorless oil (9.38 g, 89%).

\[ R_f \] 0.49 (2:1 heptane-EtOAc); \([\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -2.7 \text{ (c 1.0, CHCl}_3\]) \n
\[ ^{1}H\text{ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl}_3 \] \[ \delta \] 8.07 - 8.02 (m, 2H), 8.00 - 7.94 (m, 2H), 7.76 - 7.69 (m, 4H), 7.62 - 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.47 - 7.27 (m, 15H), 5.62 (dd, \( J = 1.0\) Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.54 (d, \( J = 1.0\) Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1), 4.86 (d, \( J = 11.9\) Hz, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.63 (d, \( J = 11.9\) Hz, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.43 (q, \( J = 4.8\) Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.03 (d, \( J = 4.8\) Hz, 2H, H-5a+b), 1.06 (s, 9H, C-(C₃H₃)₃) ppm.

\[ ^{13}C\text{ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl}_3 \] \[ \delta \] 165.7, 165.5, 159.2, 137.6, 136.6, 135.8, 134.9, 133.5, 133.4, 130.1, 129.8, 129.6, 129.3, 128.5, 128.0, 127.8, 105.0 (C-1), 83.4 (C-4), 82.4 (C-2), 77.6 (C-3), 68.7 (CH₂Ph), 63.8 (C-5), 26.9 (C-(C₃H₃)₃), 19.4 (C-(C₃H₃)₃) ppm. ESIMS: \( m/z \) calcd for \([C_{42}H_{42}NaO_7Si]^{+}\): 709.2592 [M+Na]⁺, found: 709.2585.

(108) Methyl 2,3,5-tri-O-benzoyl-\( \alpha \)-L-arabinofuranoside\(^{205}\)

The title compound 108 was synthesized by the procedure described for the synthesis of the D-enantiomer and obtained in 38% yield as white crystals.\(^{206}\) The analytic data is in accordance with literature values (\( R_f \) 0.75 (3:1 petroleum ether-EtOAc); mp. 106 °C).\(^{205}\)

\[ R_f \] 0.18 (5:1 heptane-EtOAc); \([\alpha]_{D}^{20} + 20.5 \text{ (c 2.0 CHCl}_3\]); mp. 99.7 - 100.7 °C. 

\[ ^{1}H\text{ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl}_3 \] \[ \delta \] 8.12 - 7.97 (m, 6H), 7.66 - 7.22 (m, 9H), 5.59 (dd, \( J = 5.2, 1.3\) Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.52 (d, \( J = 1.3\) Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.19 (s, 1H, H-1), 4.85 (dd, \( J = 11.9, 3.4\) Hz, 1H, H-5a), 4.69 (dd, \( J = 11.9, 4.8\) Hz, 1H, H-5b), 4.60 - 4.56 (m, 1H, H-4), 3.50 (s, 3H, CH₃) ppm. \[ ^{13}C\text{ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl}_3 \] \[ \delta \] 166.4, 166.1, 165.7, 133.8, 133.7, 133.3, 130.2, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.3, 129.3, 128.7, 128.7, 128.5, 107.1 (C-1), 82.4 (C-2), 81.1 (C-4), 72.8 (C-3), 68.7 (CH₂Ph), 63.8 (C-5), 26.9 (C-(C₃H₃)₃), 19.4 (C-(C₃H₃)₃) ppm.
Experimental

78.2 (C-3), 63.9 (C-5), 55.3 (CH₃) ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2936, 1712, 1600, 1452, 1255, 1109, 707.

(109) Phenyl 1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside¹⁷⁰

By using the synthetic procedure described for the D-enantiomer,²⁰³ the title compound 109 was obtained in 89% yield as a colorless oil. Analytical data for the compound dissolved in methanol is reported in literature (Rf 0.5 (9:1 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH); [α]ᵢ²⁰ – 145.2 (c 0.25 MeOH)).¹⁷⁰

Rf 0.24 (20:1 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH); [α]ᵢ²⁰ - 186 (c 2.0 CHCl₃).¹³H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50 - 7.44 (m, 2H), 7.32 - 7.23 (m, 3H), 5.42 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.18 - 4.10 (m, 3H, H-2, H-3, H-4), 3.84 (dd, J = 12.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.72 (dd, J = 12.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-5b) ppm.¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 133.7, 131.9, 129.1, 127.7, 91.9 (C-1), 83.4 (C-2), 81.9 (C-4), 76.9 (C-3), 61.1 (C-5) ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3347, 3060, 2924, 1439, 1023, 740.

(110) Phenyl 3,5-O-(1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diyl)-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside¹⁵⁰

Compound 109 (9.8 g, 40.5 mmol) was dissolved in pyridine (100 mL) and cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. TIPDSCl₂ (15.6 g, 49.5 mmol) and DMAP (200 mg, 1.64 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was slowly heated to 22 °C under which the color changed from clear brown to milky brown. TLC indicated that the reaction came to completion after 2 hours. The mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) and washed with water (2 x 100 mL) and solution of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (100 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated to give a yellow oil (24 g) that was purified on a flash column (20:1 heptane-EtOAc) to get 16.1 g colorless oil (82% yield). Only HRMS data is reported in the literature.¹⁵⁰
Experimental

$R_f$ 0.32 (6:1 heptane-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ - 80.9 (c 1.3 CHCl$_3$). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.53 - 7.51 (m, 1H), 7.51 - 7.48 (m, 1H), 7.32 - 7.23 (m, 4H), 5.33 (d, $J = 5.5$ Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.27 - 4.19 (m, 2H, H-2, H-4), 4.00 (d, $J = 3.3$ Hz, 2H, H-5a+b), 3.98 - 3.93 (m, 1H, H-3), 2.27 (d, $J = 4.5$ Hz, 1H, OH), 1.12 - 1.02 (m, 28H, i-Pr) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 134.9, 131.3, 129.1, 127.4, 91.0 (C-1), 82.1 (C-4), 80.7 (C-2), 76.2 (C-3), 61.3 (C-5), 17.6, 17.5 (2C), 17.5, 17.3, 17.2 (2C), 17.1, 13.7, 13.3, 12.9, 12.7 ppm.

IR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3459, 2944, 2894, 2867, 1464, 1152, 1082, 1033, 883, 858, 689.

(111) Phenyl 2-0-benzoyl-3,5-O-(1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diyl)-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside

[Image of the molecule]

Compound 110 (6.5 g, 13.4 mmol) was dissolved in pyridine (50 mL). Benzoyl chloride (3.43 mL, 29.5 mmol) was added dropwise and the reaction came to an end after one hour. Afterwards it was quenched by the addition of water (100 mL). The phases were separated and the organic phase was washed with brine (100 mL), dried (MgSO$_4$), and concentrated. After column chromatography (20:1 heptane-EtOAc) 7.0 g of the title product was obtained as a colorless oil (89% yield). The NMR data is in accordance with reported data for compound D-111 ($R_f$ 0.40 (100:1 petroleum ether-EtOAc), $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ + 48.4 (c 2.00, CHCl$_3$)).\textsuperscript{178}

$R_f$ 0.11 (20:1 heptane-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ - 24.3 (c 0.91 CHCl$_3$). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.07 - 8.02 (m, 2H), 7.64 - 7.39 (m, 5H), 7.33 - 7.17 (m, 3H), 5.60 (dd, $J = 5.3$, 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.55 (d, $J = 3.7$ Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.58 (dd, $J = 7.8$, 5.3 Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.23 (dt, $J = 7.8$, 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.10 (dd, $J = 12.7$, 3.7, 1H, H-5a), 4.03 (dd, $J = 12.7$, 3.7, 1H, H-5b), 1.21 - 0.79 (m, 28H, i-Pr) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 165.6, 134.7, 133.5, 131.5, 129.9, 129.5, 129.0, 128.6, 127.4, 89.5 (C-1), 83.3 (C-2), 81.0 (C-4), 75.5 (C-3), 61.4 (C-5), 176.1, 17.1 (2C), 17.0, 13.6, 13.3, 13.0, 12.6 ppm. IR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 2944, 2867, 1732, 1464, 1387, 1265, 1108, 1082, 1054, 1038, 992, 883, 858, 688. ESIMS: $m/z$ calcd for [C$_{30}$H$_{44}$NaO$_6$SSi$_2$]$^+$: 611.2289 [M+Na]$^+$, found: 611.2282.
(112) Phenyl 2-O-benzoyl-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside

A solution of compound 111 (2.9 g, 4.92 mmol) and AcOH (0.564 mL, 2 equiv.) in anhydrous THF (29 mL) under Ar was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. TBAF (1 M in THF) (4.92 mL, 4.92 mmol) was added and the reaction was allowed to heat to 20 °C. The reaction was done after two hours and the reaction mixture was successively washed with a solution of sat. aq. (NH₄)₂SO₄ (50 mL) and brine (50 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated before column chromatographed (4:1 heptane-EtOAc) to yield 72% of the title product as a colorless oil. The analytical data is in accordance with data reported for the D-enantiomer ($\alpha_D^{20}$ 0.35 (1:1 petroleum-EtOAc); [α]D° + 173.5 (c 1.42 CHCl₃)).

$R_f$ 0.15 (2:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]D° - 174.3 (c 0.92 CHCl₃). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.06 - 8.00 (m, 2H), 7.65 - 7.52 (m, 3H), 7.51 - 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.39 - 7.29 (m, 3H), 5.76 (d, $J = 3.3$ Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.15 (t, $J = 3.3$ Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.40 - 4.34 (m, 1H, H-3), 4.34 - 4.27 (m, 1H, H-4), 3.98 (dd, $J = 12.3$, 3.0 Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.82 (dd, $J = 12.3$, 3.8 Hz, 1H, H-5b) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.5 134.0, 133.7, 132.2, 130.1, 129.2, 128.9, 128.8, 128.0, 127.7, 89.5 (C-1), 87.7 (C-2), 82.8 (C-3), 76.4 (C-4), 61.4 (C-5) ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3401, 3061, 2927, 2873, 1707, 1266, 1110, 1068, 1024, 986, 742, 709, 688. ESIMS: $m/z$ calcd for [C₁₈H₁₈NaO₅S]⁺: 369.0767 [M+Na]⁺, found: 369.0769.

(113) Phenyl 2-O-chloroacetyl-3,5-O-(1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diyi)-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside

Alcohol 110 (5.0 g, 10.3 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous pyridine (100 mL). Chloroacetic anhydride (2.4 g, 14.0 mmol) was added and the reaction was done after 15 minutes. Most of the solvent was evaporated and the remaining mixture was successively washed with a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 150 mL) and water (150 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄),
Experimental

concentrated, and purified by flash chromatography (20:1 heptane-EtOAc). The title product was obtained in 78% yield as a colorless oil.

$$R_f \ 0.22 \ (20:1 \ \text{heptane-EtOAc}), \ [\alpha]_D^{20} \ - \ 49.6 \ (c \ 0.77 \ \text{CHCl}_3).$$

$^1H \ NMR \ (300 \ MHz, \ \text{CDCl}_3) \ \delta \ 7.58 - 7.46 \ (m, \ 2H), \ 7.34 - 7.19 \ (m, \ 3H), \ 5.41 \ (d, \ J = 1.3 \ Hz, \ 1H, \ H-2), \ 5.40 \ (s, \ 1H, \ H-1), \ 4.45 - 4.38 \ (m, \ 1H, \ H-3), \ 4.17 - 4.10 \ (m, \ 1H, \ H-4), \ 4.04 \ (dd, \ J = 1.3 \ Hz, \ 2H, \ \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}), \ 4.04 \ (dd, \ J = 12.7, \ 3.1 \ Hz, \ 1H, \ H-5a), \ 3.97 \ (dd, \ J = 12.7, \ 4.4, \ 1H, \ H-5b), \ 1.16 - 0.91 \ (m, \ 28H, \ i-\text{Pr}) \ ppm.$

$^{13}C \ NMR \ (75 \ MHz, \ \text{CDCl}_3) \ \delta \ 166.4, \ 134.2, \ 131.8, \ 129.0, \ 127.6, \ 88.8 \ (C-1), \ 84.3 \ (C-2), \ 81.1 \ (C-4), \ 75.2 \ (C-3), \ 61.3 \ (C-5), \ 40.7 \ (\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}), \ 17.6, \ 17.4, \ 17.3, \ 17.2, \ 17.1, \ 17.0, \ 13.6, \ 13.3, \ 13.3, \ 12.9, \ 12.8, \ 12.6 \ ppm.$

IR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 2944, 2867, 1773, 1754, 1464, 1387, 1249, 1147, 1108, 1081, 1035, 991, 883, 852, 689.

ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C$_{25}$H$_{41}$ClNaO$_6$SSi$_2$]$^+$: 583.1743 [M+Na]$^+$, found: 583.1738.

(114) Phenyl 2-O-chloroacetyl-1-thio-$\alpha$-L-arabinofuranoside

Compound 113 (400 mg, 0.71 mmol) was dried under vacuum and dissolved in anhydrous THF (4 mL). The reaction was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. Acetic Acid (0.163 mL, 2.85 mmol) and TBAF (1M in THF) (1.43 mL, 1.43 mmol) were added. The reaction was stirred for 40 minutes at which point TCL showed complete conversion of the starting material. The reaction mixture was diluted with 1 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$, washed with a solution of sat. aq. (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ (5 mL), brine (5 mL), and water (5 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO$_4$), filtered, and concentrated to a yellow oil that was subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 50% → 60% EtOAc) to afford 100 mg title product as white crystals (44% yield).

$$R_f \ 0.10 \ (2:1 \ \text{heptane-EtOAc}); \ [\alpha]_D^{20} \ - \ 197.2 \ (c \ 0.78 \ \text{CHCl}_3); \ mp. \ 89.9 - 93.0 \ °C.$$  

$^1H \ NMR \ (300 MHz, \ \text{CDCl}_3) \ \delta \ 7.54 - 7.49 \ (m, \ 2H), \ 7.37 - 7.29 \ (m, \ 3H), \ 5.58 \ (d, \ J = 3.1 \ Hz, \ 1H, \ H-1), \ 5.05 \ (t, \ J = 3.1 \ Hz, \ 1H, \ H-2), \ 4.32 - 4.26 \ (m, \ 1H, \ H-4), \ 4.23 \ (ddd, \ J = 7.2, \ 3.1, \ 0.6 \ Hz, \ 1H, \ H-3), \ 4.13 \ (s, \ 2H, \ \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}), \ 3.93 \ (dd, \ J = 12.4, \ 2.9 \ Hz, \ 1H, \ H-5a), \ 3.78 \ (dd, \ J = 12.4, \ 3.4 \ Hz, \ 1H, \ H-5b) \ ppm.$

$^{13}C \ NMR \ (75 MHz, \ \text{CDCl}_3) \ \delta \ 168.1, \ 133.2, \ 132.4, \ 129.3, \ 128.2, \ 89.3 \ (C-1), \ 87.9 \ (C-2), \ 83.0 \ (C-4), \ 76.0 \ (C-3), \ 61.1 \ (C-5), \ 40.7 \ (\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}) \ ppm.$

IR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3443, 3268, 2959, 2933, 2890, 2868, 1755, 1391, 1320, 1181, 1091,
1037, 1001, 978, 870, 775, 753, 693. **ESIMS**: \( m/z \) calcd for \([\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{15}\text{ClNaO}_5\text{S}]^+\): 341.0221 [M+Na]^+, found: 341.0222.

(115) Phenyl 2-O-acetoxyacetyl-1-thio-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranoside

![Structural formula of (115)](image)

Compound 115 was obtained as a colorless oil as a byproduct in the synthesis of compound 114.

\( R_t \) 0.05 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); \([\alpha]_D^{20}\) - 184.9 (c 1.0 CHCl₃). \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta \) 7.55 - 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.38 - 7.27 (m, 3H), 5.56 (d, \( J = 3 \) Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.03 (t, \( J = 3.0 \) Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.65 (s, 2H, CH₂), 4.34 - 4.24 (m, 1H, H-4), 4.23 - 4.18 (m, 1H, H-3), 3.93 (dd, \( J = 12.4, 2.9 \) Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.78 (dd, \( J = 12.4, 3.6 \) Hz, 1H, H-5b), 2.17 (s, 3H, CH₃) ppm. \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta \) 170.6, 168.6, 133.3, 132.4, 129.2, 128.1, 89.3 (C-1), 87.4 (C-2), 82.9 (C-4), 76.0 (C-3), 61.2 (C-5), 60.7 (CH₂), 20.5 (CH₃). IR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3433, 2935, 1743, 1389, 1372, 1277, 1186, 1078, 1024, 740, 691. **ESIMS**: \( m/z \) calcd for \([\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{18}\text{NaO}_7\text{S}]^+\): 365.0665 [M+Na]^+, found: 365.0658.

(116) Phenyl 2-O-chloroacetyl-3-O-(1-fluoro-1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyl-disiloxane-1,3-diyl)-1-thio-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranoside

![Structural formula of (116)](image)

A solution of compound 113 (100 mg, 0.178 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂/MeCN (3.9 mL:11.7 mL) was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath before HF (40% in water, 0.700 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 1 hour. Afterwards it was quenched with MeOSiMe₃ (2.6 mL) and stirred for another 40 minutes. NaHCO₃ (22 mg) was added and the mixture was concentrated on vacuum. After flash chromatography (10:1 heptane-EtOAc), 75 mg of the title compound was obtained as a colorless oil (75%).
Experimental

$R_f$ 0.41 (2:1 heptane-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ - 83.8 (c 0.93 CHCl$_3$). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.52 (dt, $J = 4.3$, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.37 - 7.23 (m, 3H), 5.50 (br, 1H, H-1), 5.24 (t, $J = 1.9$ Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.52 (dd, $J = 4.9$, 1.9 Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.36 (dt, $J = 4.9$, 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.06 (s, 2H, CH$_2$Cl), 3.92 (dd, $J = 12.3$, 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.77 (dd, $J = 12.1$, 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-5b), 1.14 – 0.91 (m, 28H, i-Pr) ppm.

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 166.35, 134.5, 132.2, 129.1, 127.8, 91.3 (C-1), 86.2 (C-2), 85.3 (C-3), 75.4 (C-4), 61.4 (C-5), 40.6 (CH$_2$Cl), 17.1, 17.1, 16.8, 16.8, 13.0, 12.7, 12.5. IR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 2947, 2869, 1768, 1752, 1464, 1161, 1132, 1085, 1055, 883, 837, 690. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C$_{25}$H$_{42}$ClFNaO$_6$SSi$_2$]+: 603.1805 [M+Na]$^+$, found: 603.1807.

(117) Phenyl 5-0-benzoyl-2-O-chloroacetyl-3-O-(1-fluoro-1,1,3,3-tetraiso-propylsiloxane-1,3-diyl)-1-thio-\alpha-L-arabinofuranoside

Compound 116 (140 mg, 0.241 mmol) was dissolved in pyridine (1.4 mL) and cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath before benzoyl chloride (61.4 μL, 0.530 mmol) was added. TLC indicated that the reaction had run to completion after four hours, so it was quenched with water (1 mL) and stirred for 20 minutes. The mixture was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 x 5 mL). The combined organic phases were successively washed with a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO$_3$ (20 mL), 1 M HCl (20 mL), and water (20 mL), dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated to a colorless oil. After flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 1:0 $\rightarrow$ 3:1) the title compound was isolated in 83% yield as a colorless oil.

$R_f$ 0.68 (2:1 heptane-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ - 78.9 (c 0.95 CHCl$_3$). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.11 - 8.00 (m, 2H), 7.63 - 7.49 (m, 3H), 7.44 (t, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.33 - 7.22 (m, 3H), 5.54 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.26 (d, $J = 1.3$ Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.71 - 4.59 (m, 2H, H-3, H-5a), 4.59 - 4.53 (m, 1H, H-4), 4.53 - 4.42 (m, 1H, H-5b), 3.96 (s, 2H, CH$_2$Cl), 1.12 - 0.97 (m, 28H, i-Pr) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 166.5, 166.4, 134.6, 133.5, 132.3, 130.0, 129.2, 128.6, 127.8, 91.6 (C-1), 86.3 (C-2), 83.5 (C-3), 76.4 (C-4), 63.4 (C-5), 40.6 (CH$_2$Cl), 17.2, 17.2, 17.2, 16.8, 16.8, 16.8, 16.7, 16.7, 13.1, 13.1, 12.8, 12.6 ppm. IR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 2947, 2895, 2869, 1770, 1723, 1464, 1269, 1123, 1085, 1057.
1025, 883, 837, 689. **ESIMS**: \( m/z \) calcd for [C\(_{32}\)H\(_{46}\)ClFNaO\(_7\)SSi\(_2\)]\(^+\): 707.2067 [M+Na]\(^+\), found: 707.2070.

**\(^{(125)}\)** Phenyl 5-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-1-thio-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranoside

A solution of compound 109 (7.8 g, 32.2 mmol) in pyridine (90 mL) was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. TBDPSCI (13.5 mL, 51.9 mmol) was added dropwise over 20 minutes. The reaction temperature was raised from the ice bath and allowed to heat to room temperature. TLC showed completion after 2 hours, so the reaction mixture was diluted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (100 mL) and washed with a solution of sat. aq. NH\(_4\)Cl (100 mL), 0.5 M HCl (100 mL), a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO\(_3\) (100 mL), and water (100 mL). The organic phase was dried (Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\)), filtered, and concentrated to give 24 g of a yellow oil that was purified on a dry column (heptane-EtOAc) to get 15.7 g colorless oil (90% yield). The analytical data is in accordance with reported data for compound D-125 (\(R_f\) 0.3 (2:1 petroleum-EtOAc); \([\alpha]_D^{20}\) + 132.7 (c 1.25 CHCl\(_3\)).\(^{203}\)

\(R_f\) 0.44 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); \([\alpha]_D^{20}\) - 141.4 (c 0.79 CHCl\(_3\)). **\(^1\)H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.12 - 7.99 (m, 1H), 7.76 - 7.61 (m, 4H), 7.61 - 7.11 (m, 10H), 5.61 (d, \(J = 1.7\) Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.31 (br, 1H, H-3), 4.26 (q, \(J = 2.6\) Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.22 (br, 1H, H-2), 3.87 (dd, \(J = 11.3, 2.6\) Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.80 (dd, \(J = 11.3, 2.6\) Hz, 1H, H-5b), 1.12 (s, 9H, C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)) ppm. **\(^{13}\)C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 135.9, 135.8, 132.1, 130.4, 130.3, 129.3, 128.2, 128.1, 93.1 (C-1), 86.4 (C-4), 81.4 (C-2), 79.0 (C-3), 64.3 (C-5), 27.0 (C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 19.3 (C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)) ppm. **IR** (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3386, 3071, 2929, 2857, 1427, 1111, 1061, 1025, 739, 700, 504. **ESIMS**: \( m/z \) calcd for [C\(_{27}\)H\(_{52}\)NaO\(_4\)SSi\(_2\)]\(^+\): 503.1683 [M+Na]\(^+\), found: 503.1685.
(126) Phenyl 5-<i>O</i>-<i>tert</i>-butyldiphenylsilyl-2-<i>O</i>-chloroacetyl-1-thio-<i>α</i>-L-arabinofuranoside

A solution of diol 125 (9 g, 18.7 mmol) and DMAP (230 mg, 1.9 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (175 mL) was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. Chloroacetic anhydride (3.84 g, 22.5 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL) and added to the reaction mixture at 5 mmol/h. After the addition, the reaction mixture was successively washed with a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (200 mL) and water (2 x 200 mL). The organic phase was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated to give 10.8 g of a yellow oil that was purified on a dry column in toluene-EtOAc to get the title compound as a colorless oil (3.9 g, 7.0 mmol) in 37% yield. Additionally two monosaccharides were isolated: one where the chloroacetyl protects the three position (127) (1.6 g, 2.8 mmol) in 15% and the double chloroacetyl protected (101) (3.4 g, 5.4 mmol) in 29%. Further 1.65 g (3.4 mmol, 18% of the starting material (125)) was isolated.

Data for compound 126: <i>R</i><sub>f</sub> 0.47 (10:1 toluene-EtOAc), [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> - 113.4 (c 0.93 CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.70 - 7.64 (m, 4H), 7.54 - 7.50 (m, 2H), 7.47 - 7.34 (m, 6H), 7.33 - 7.28 (m, 3H), 5.58 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.04 (t, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.32 - 4.25 (m, 2H, H-3, H-4), 4.08, 4.05 (AB spin, J<sub>AB</sub> = 15.2 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl), 3.92 (dd, J = 11.3, 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.88 (dd, J = 11.3, 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-5b), 1.06 (s, 9H, C-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 168.1, 10135.8, 135.7, 133.5, 133.6, 133.2, 132.3, 131.6, 129.9, 129.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 89.0 (C-1), 87.9 (C-2), 83.4 (C-4), 76.8 (C-3), 63.1 (C-5), 40.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl), 26.9 (C-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 19.4 (C-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2929, 2856, 1749, 1473, 1427, 1309, 1110, 739, 700, 607, 503. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>33</sub>ClNaO<sub>5</sub>SSi]<sup>+</sup>: 579.1399, found: 579.1401.
(127) Phenyl 5-<i>O</i>-<i>tert</i>-butyldiphenylsilyl-3-<i>O</i>-chloroacetyl-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside

Compound 127 was furnished as a byproduct in the synthesis of compound 126 as a colorless oil.

<i>R</i><sub>f</sub> 0.53 (10:1 toluene-EtOAc); <sup>1</sup> <i>H</i> NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.70 - 7.65 (m, 4H), 7.53 - 7.49 (m, 2H), 7.35 - 7.26 (m, 3H), 5.63 (d, <i>J</i> = 1.4 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.18 (dd, <i>J</i> = 3.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.38 - 4.32 (m, 2H, H-2, H-4), 4.13 (d, <i>J</i> = 2.2 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl), 3.92 (t, <i>J</i> = 2.5 Hz, 2H, H-5a+b), 1.07 (s, 9H, C-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 167.5, 135.8, 131.6, 130.3, 129.2, 128.2, 128.0, 127.5, 94.1 (C-1), 83.5 (C-4), 82.0 (C-3), 79.9 (C-2), 63.9 (C-5), 40.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl), 26.9 (C-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 19.3 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>) ppm. ESIMS: <i>m/z</i> calcld for [C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>33</sub>ClNaO<sub>5</sub>SSi]+: 579.1399 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, found: 579.1394.

(128) Phenyl 2,3-di-<i>O</i>-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl-(1→5)-2,3-di-<i>O</i>-benzoyl-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside

Compound 104 (50 mg, 0.111 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mL). Activated molecular sieves (100 mg) were added. The reaction mixture was cooled in a − 78 °C bath. NIS (17 mg, 0.75 mmol) and AgOTf (14 mg, 0.55 mmol) were added. The reaction was quenched with Et<sub>3</sub>N after 4.5 hours, afterwards it was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) and filtered through Celite, and concentrated to give 34 mg of a clear oil. The crude product was purified on silica gel (3:1 heptane-EtOAc) to afford 8 mg of the title product as a colorless oil (18% yield). The NMR data was in accordance with reported data for compound D-128<sup>207</sup>.

<i>R</i><sub>f</sub> 0.17 (2:1 heptane-EtOAc); <sup>1</sup> <i>H</i> NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.12 - 7.98 (m, 7H), 7.94 - 7.89 (m, 2H), 7.63 - 7.35 (m, 15H), 7.30 - 7.27 (m, 1H), 5.82 - 5.80 (m, 1H), 5.75 - 5.70 (m, 2H), 5.64 (d, <i>J</i> = 1.4 Hz, 1H),
Experimental

5.45 - 5.42 (m, 1H), 5.40 (s, 1H), 4.74 - 4.68 (m, 1H), 4.51 - 4.45 (m, 1H), 4.24 (dd, $J = 11.2$, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (dd, $J = 11.2$, 3.0 Hz, 3H) ppm. Compound 128 is not characterized further, since only a very small amount was prepared, and a different target disaccharide was found later.

(130) Phenyl (2-O-benzoyl-3,5-di-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside

Diol 112 (100 mg, 0.289 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (1 mL) under careful heating. Imidazole (67 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added and the reaction was cooled in a –78 °C bath. TBDPSCI (0.150 mL, 0.577 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to slowly heat to 22 °C. After 19 hours the reaction came to completion and was successively washed with a solution of sat. aq. NH₄Cl (25 mL), 0.5 M HCl (25 mL), a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (25 mL), and water (25 mL). It was dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated before it was purified on silica gel (20:1 petroleumether-EtOAc) to get Phenyl 2-O-benzoyl-3,5-di-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside (129) as 130 mg colorless oil (55% yield). Analytical data is in accordance with data for compound D-129 ($R_f$ 0.50 (20:1 petroleumether-EtOAc), $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ + 67.1 (c 1.40, CHCl₃)).

$R_f$ 0.40 (10:1 heptane-EtOAc); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.65 - 7.52 (m, 10H), 7.41 - 7.14 (m, 18H), 5.51 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.41 (t, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.59 - 4.45 (m, 2H), 3.72 (dd, $J = 11.4$, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (dd, $J = 11.4$, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 1.08 (s, 9H), 0.94 (s, 9H, C-(C₃H₇)₃) ppm.

The two glycosides 104 (46.5 mg, 0.103 mmol) and 129 (100 mg, 0.121 mmol) were dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) under argon. 100 mg activated molecular sieves (4 Å) were added and the mixture was cooled in a -78 °C bath. TESOTf (27 μL, 0.121 mmol) dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (200 μL) and NIS (27 mg, 0.121 mmol) were added and the reaction was stirred for 1 hour, before it was quenched with Et₃N (0.1 mL). The molecular sieves were filtered off and washed with 1 mL CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic phases were washed with 10% aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (2 mL) and water (3 x 2 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and
concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (20:1 heptane-EtOAc) to get 60 mg colorless oil.

R_f 0.11 (10:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]_D^0 - 61.4 (c 1.0 CHCl₃). **¹H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12 - 8.06 (m, 4H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.61 - 7.52 (m, 12H), 7.52 - 7.09 (m, 24H), 5.80 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.74 - 5.71 (m, 2H, H-2, H-3), 5.32 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, H-2‘), 5.09 (s, 1H, H-1‘), 4.80 (q, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.51 (dd, J = 6.1, 2.1 Hz, 1H, H-3‘), 4.38 - 4.30 (m, 1H, H-4‘), 4.13 (dd, J = 11.3, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-5’a), 3.97 (dd, J = 11.3, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-5’b), 3.68 (dd, J = 11.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H, H-5’a), 3.56 (dd, J = 11.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H, H-5’b), 0.96 (s, 9H, C-(CH₃)₃), 0.93 (s, 9H, C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. **¹³C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.5, 165.5, 165.3 (2C), 136.0, 135.9, 135.8, 135.7, 133.7, 133.6, 133.5, 133.3, 132.9, 132.1, 130.2, 129.9, 129.9, 129.6, 129.4, 129.3, 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 128.2, 127.8, 127.7, 127.7, 106.5 (C-1’), 91.3 (C-1), 85.2 (C-2’), 84.9 (C-4’), 82.2 (C-2), 82.0 (C-4), 77.9 (C-3), 77.0 (C-3’), 66.5 (C-5), 62.8 (C-5’), 26.9 (C-(CH₃)₃), 26.9 (C-(CH₃)₃), 19.4 (C-(CH₃)₃), 19.2 (C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. **IR** (neat, cm⁻¹): 3070, 2930, 2857, 1722, 1427, 1261, 1104, 1068, 1025, 700, 503. **ESIMS**: m/z calcd for [C₆₉H₇₀NaO₁₁SSi₂]⁺: 1185.4070, found: 1185.4082.

(131) Phenyl (2-O-benzoyl-3,5-O-(1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diyl)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside

The two thioglycosides 104 (42 mg, 93 μmol) and 111 (50 mg, 85 μmol) were dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) under argon. 100 mg activated molecular sieves (4 Å) were added and the mixture was cooled in a – 78 °C bath. TESOTf (19 μL, 85 μmol) and NIS (19 mg, 85 μmol) were added, and the reaction was stirred for 3.5 hours before it was quenched with Et₃N (10 μL). The molecular sieves were filtered off and washed with 1 mL CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic phases were washed with 10% aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (2 mL) and water (2 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (heptane) to get 28 mg colorless oil.
Experimental

$R_f$ 0.36 (6:1 heptane-EtOAc); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.16 - 8.08 (m, 2H), 8.07 - 7.99 (m, 4H), 7.64 - 7.39 (m, 11H), 7.33 - 7.22 (m, 3H), 5.73 - 5.67 (m, 1H), 5.57 - 5.50 (m, 2H), 5.32 (d, $J$ = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.62 - 4.44 (m, 2H), 4.27 - 4.17 (m, 1H), 4.11 - 3.88 (m, 5H), 1.20 - 0.80 (m, 28H, i-Pr).

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 165.7, 165.7, 165.6, 134.8, 133.7, 133.6, 133.4, 132.3, 131.6, 130.3, 130.2, 130.0, 129.9, 129.5, 129.2, 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 127.5, 127.5, 101.5, 89.5, 84.7, 83.4, 81.7, 81.1, 76.5, 75.5, 61.9, 61.4, 17.7, 17.6, 17.2, 17.2, 17.1, 17.1, 13.7, 13.4, 13.2, 13.1, 13.1, 12.8, 12.7. IR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 2867, 1738, 1464, 1217, 1132, 1078, 1043, 886, 770.

(137) 2,3-Di-O-benzoyl-5-O-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-β-L-arabinofuranosyl-(1→5)-1,2,3-tri-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranose

By-product obtained as a clear oil in the large-scale synthesis of disaccharide donor 99.

$R_f$ 0.11 (2:1 heptane-EtOAc); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.00 (d, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 5H), 7.90 (dd, $J$ = 14.3, 7.4 Hz, 6H), 7.82 (d, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.63 - 7.56 (m, 5H), 7.52 - 7.17 (m, 30H), 6.59 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.69 (s, 1H, H-2), 5.65 (d, $J$ = 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.52 (d, $J$ = 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-3'), 5.43 (s, 1H, H-2'), 5.27 (s, 1H, H-1'), 4.63 (q, $J$ = 3.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.39 (q, $J$ = 4.5 Hz, 1H, H-4'), 4.14 (dd, $J$ = 11.1, 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.91 - 3.80 (m, 3H, H-5b, H-5'), 0.92 (s, 9H, TBDPS) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 165.6, 165.6, 165.4, 165.2, 164.8, 135.8, 135.8, 133.8, 133.8, 133.7, 133.7, 133.5, 133.4, 133.3, 133.3, 130.3, 130.1, 130.1, 130.0, 130.0, 129.8, 129.8, 129.6, 129.4, 129.3, 129.3, 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 127.8, 106.3 (C-1'), 100.0 (C-1), 84.9 (C-4), 83.5 (C-4'), 82.2 (C-2'), 80.7 (C-2), 77.4 (C-3'), 77.3 (C-3), 66.3 (C-5), 63.5 (C-5'), 26.9 (TBPDS), 19.4 (TBDPS) ppm.
(139) Benzyl (2,3-di-O-benzoyl-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside

Disaccharide donor 99 (5.9 g, 5.83 mmol) and acceptor 89 (2.4 g, 5.45 mmol) were dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (250 mL) and stirred with 7.5 mg activated molecular sieves (4 Å) and NIS (1.3 g, 5.83 mmol) for 1 hour at 24 °C before the mixture was cooled in a –78 °C bath. TfOH (96.4 μL, 1.1 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred for 5 hours slowly raising the temperature to -35 °C, before TLC (1:1 heptane-EtOAc) indicated that the reaction was done. Et₃N (1 mL) was added and stirred for 15 minutes before 10% aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (100 mL) was added. After another 20 minutes the molecular sieves were filtered off and the organic phase was washed with water (2 x 200 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtrated, and concentrated. Purification by automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 20% → 40% EtOAc) resulted in the title product as 5.4 g colorless oil (74% yield).

Rᵣ 0.64 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]ᵣ²₀ = -11.7 (c 1.0 CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.07 - 7.85 (m, 12H), 7.74 - 7.64 (m, 4H), 7.60 - 7.19 (m, 29H), 5.67 - 5.60 (m, 4H, H-3, H-3', H-3'', H-2''), 5.59 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5.56 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.39 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.38 (s, 1H, H-1''), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1), 4.83 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.67 - 4.62 (m, 1H, H-1''), 4.59 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.53 - 4.44 (m, 2H, H-4'', H-4), 4.20 (dd, J = 11.6, 5.6 Hz, 2H, H-5a, H-5'a), 3.99 - 3.89 (m, 4H, H-5b, H-5''b, H-5''a+b), 1.03 (s, 9H, C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.7, 165.7, 165.6, 165.3, 165.5, 165.3, 135.8, 133.4, 133.4, 133.1, 133.1, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.9, 129.7, 129.4, 129.2, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 127.9, 127.8, 106.1 (C-1'), 105.9 (C-1''), 104.9 (C-1), 82.3 (C-4'), 82.3 (C-2, C-2'', C-4, C-4''), 81.8 (C-2'), 77.5 (3C, C-3, C-3', C-3''), 68.7 (CH₂Ph), 66.1 (C-5), 66.0 (C-5'), 63.5 (C-5''), 26.9 (C-(CH₃)₃), 19.4 (C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3065, 2931, 2858, 1718, 1602, 1452, 1248, 1096, 1069, 1025, 704. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C₈₀H₇₄NaO₁₉Si]⁺: 1389.4486 [M+Na]⁺, found: 1389.4470.
(140) Benzyl (2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside

Trisaccharide 139 (3.5 g, 2.56 mmol) was dried under vacuum and dissolved in anhydrous THF (35 mL). Acetic Acid (249 mL, 4.35 mmol) and TBAF (1M in THF) (2.94 mL, 2.94 mmol) were added. The reaction was stirred at 25 °C for 20 hours at which point TCL showed complete conversion of the starting material. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and washed with a solution of sat. aq. NH₄Cl (50 mL), brine (50 mL), and water (50 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated to give 3.8 g of a yellow oil that was dispersed on 10 g silica and subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 40% → 45% EtOAc) to afford 2.6 g title product as a fluffy white solid (90% yield).

Rᵣ 0.15 (2:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]D²⁰ - 8.9 (c 1.0 CHCl₃); mp. 77.9 - 81.5 °C.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.11 - 7.97 (m, 8H), 7.89 (dd, J = 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 4H), 7.61 - 7.48 (m, 4H), 7.47 - 7.36 (m, 12H), 7.35 – 7.19 (m, 7H), 5.67 - 5.60 (m, 4H, H-2', H-2'', H-3, H-3'), 5.59 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.44 - 5.39 (m, 3H, H-1', H-1'', H-3''), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1), 4.83 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.66 - 4.56 (m, 2H, CH₂Ph, H-4''), 4.50 - 4.43 (m, 2H, H-4, H-4''), 4.24 - 4.16 (m, 2H, H-5a, H-5’a), 4.05 - 3.88 (m, 4H, H-5b, H-5’b, H-5’’a+b) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.2, 165.8, 165.8, 165.5, 165.3, 165.3, 137.5, 133.6, 133.5, 133.4, 133.4, 130.1, 130.0, 130.0, 129.9, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 129.2, 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 127.9, 127.9, 106.0, 105.9 (C1’, C1’’), 105.0 (C1), 83.8, 82.3 (C4, C4’), 82.2 (C4’’), 81.9, 81.8 (C2’, C2’’), 81.7 (C2), 77.8 (C3’”, 77.4 (2C, C3, C3’), 68.7 (CH₂Ph), 66.3, 66.2 (C5, C5’), 62.5 (C5’’') ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2930, 1716, 1602, 1452, 1248, 1106, 1069, 10246, 961, 706. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C₆₄H₅₆NaO₁₉]⁺: 1151.3308 [M+Na]⁺, found: 1151.3329.
(141) Benzyl (2-O-benzoyl-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-3-O-chloroacetyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside

A solution of acceptor 140 (2.2 g, 1.98 mmol) and donor 87 (1.6 g, 2.42 mmol) were concentrated from toluene and dried under vacuum for 16 hours. The starting materials were dissolved in anhydrous CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (64 mL) under N$_2$ and stirred with 7.6 g molecular sieves (4 Å) at 22 °C for 30 minutes before cooled in a – 65 °C bath. TfOH (43 µL, 0.48 mmol) and NIS (544 mg, 2.4 mmol) were added. The reaction came to completion within 30 minutes, so it was quenched with Et$_3$N (2.2 mL) and heated to 22 °C. 10% aq. Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ (50 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 hour. The molecular sieves were filtered off, and the two phases were separated. The organic solution was washed with water (2 x 50 mL), dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$), filtered, and concentrated to give 4.7 g of a yellow oil that was dispersed on 15 g silica and subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 20% → 30% EtOAc) on a 40 g column. The title product was obtained as 2.81 g fluffy white solid (86% yield). Note: Avoid quenching with Et$_3$N, as it removes the chloroacetic acid group. Instead, use the workup procedure for compound 147.

$R_f$ 0.53 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 18.9$ (c 1.0 CHCl$_3$); mp. 75.4 - 76.6 °C.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.15 - 8.07 (m, 2H), 8.05 - 7.96 (m, 6H), 7.95 - 7.84 (m, 6H), 7.71 - 7.60 (m, 4H), 7.60 - 7.15 (m, 32H), 5.69 - 5.55 (m, 6H, H$_2$-2, H$_2$-2', H$_2$-2'', H$_2$-3, H$_2$-3', H$_2$-3''), 5.44 (s, 1H, H-1'''), 5.41 - 5.36 (m, 3H, H-1, H-1', H-1'''), 5.33 (s, 1H, H-1''), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1''), 4.83 (d, $J = 11.9$ Hz, 1H, CH$_2$Ph), 4.66 - 4.52 (m, 3H, CH$_2$Ph, H-4', H-4''), 4.50 - 4.43 (m, 1H, H-4), 4.27 - 4.14 (m, 3H, H-4''', H-5'a, H-5''a), 4.08 (dd, $J = 11.4$, 3.8 Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.98 - 3.80 (m, 6H, 1 × CH$_2$Cl, H-5b, H-5'b, H-5''b, H-5''''a+b), 3.71 (d, $J = 15.4$ Hz, 1H, 1 × CH$_2$Cl), 0.97 (s, 9H, C-(CH$_3$)$_3$) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 166.8, 165.8, 165.8, 165.7, 165.5, 165.4, 165.3 (2C), 138.0, 137.5, 135.8, 135.7, 133.8, 133.6, 133.5, 133.4, 133.3, 133.2, 130.1, 130.1, 130.0, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.5, 129.2, 128.9,
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128.7, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 127.9, 125.4, 106.0 (C-1’’’), 105.9 (C-1), 105.5 (C-1’’), 105.0 (C-1’), 83.4 (C-4’’’), 82.3 (C-4), 82.2 (C-4’’), 82.1 (C-2’’’), 82.0 (C-4’), 81.9, 81.8, 81.7 (C-2-C-2’’’), 78.5 (C-3’’’), 77.4 (C-3’), 77.3 (C-3), 76.9 (C-3’’’), 68.7 (CH2Ph), 66.1, 66.0 (C-5, C-5’), 65.2 (C-5’’), 63.2 (C-5’’’), 40.8 (CH2Cl), 26.9 (C-(CH3)3), 19.5 (C-(CH3)3) ppm. IR (neat, cm−1): 2927, 2855, 23389, 1719, 1602, 1452, 1250, 1105, 1069, 1025, 963, 705. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C94H87ClNaO25Si]+: 1701.4886 [M+Na]+, found: 1701.4877.

(142) Benzyl (2-O-benzoyl-3-O-chloroacetyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside

To a solution of tetrasaccharide 141 (2.72 g, 1.62 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (27 mL) and MeCN (80 mL) in a plastic flask was added HF (1 mL, 70% in pyridine) and one drop of water. The reaction was stirred at 22 °C for 24 hours before TLC showed complete conversion of the starting material. Me3SiOMe (12 mL, 84 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 minutes before it was diluted with EtOAc (50 mL), washed with a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO3 (3 x 50 mL) and dried (Na2SO4). The organic solution was concentrated to give 10 g of a fluffy white solid of which some was dissolvable in CH2Cl2. White crystals were filtered off, and the solution was concentrated to afford 3.6 g of a fluffy white solid that was dispersed in 15 g silica and purified on a 40 g column by automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 40% → 45% EtOAc). The title compound was obtained as a fluffy white solid in 78% yield (1.83 g).

Rf 0.31 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]D20 23.5 (c 1.0 CHCl3); mp. 78.6 - 78.9 °C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.14 - 8.08 (m, 2H), 8.04 - 7.96 (m, 8H), 7.94 - 7.87 (m, 4H), 7.66 - 7.17 (m, 26H), 5.68 - 5.62 (m, 4H, H-2’, H-3, H-3’, H-3’’), 5.60 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H, H-2’’), 5.59 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H, H-2’’’), 5.44 (s,
1H, H-1’’), 5.41 (d, J = 0.7 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.40 (s, 1H, H-1’’), 5.34 (s, 1H, H-1’’’), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1’), 5.16 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H, H-3’’’), 4.83 (d, J = 11.9 sHz, 1H, CH$_2$Ph), 4.66 - 4.54 (m, 3H, H-4, H-4’’, CH$_2$Ph), 4.50 - 4.45 (m, 1H, H-4’), 4.28 - 4.23 (m, 1H, C$_2$H$_2$Ph), 4.20 (dd, J = 11.2, 4.5 Hz, 2H, H-5’a, H-5’’a), 4.04 (dd, J = 11.1, 4.5 Hz, 2H, H-5’a, H-5’’a), 3.99 - 3.81 (m, 6H, 1 × CH$_2$Cl, H-5b, H-5’’b, H-5’a+b, H-5’’a+b), 3.76 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H, CH$_2$Cl) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 167.5, 165.9, 165.9 (2C), 165.6, 165.4, 165.3 (2C), 137.6, 133.9, 133.9, 133.7, 133.6, 133.5, 133.5, 130.1, 130.1, 130.0, 130.0, 129.5, 129.4, 129.4, 129.1, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 127.9, 106.1 (C-1’), 106.0 (C-1’’), 105.5 (C-1’’’), 105.0 (C-1), 84.0 (C-4’’’), 82.4 (C-4’’), 82.6 (C-4’), 82.3 (C-2’’’), 82.2 (C-2’’), 81.9 (C-2’), 81.8 (C-4), 81.4 (C-2), 79.1 (C-3’’’), 77.5, 77.4, 77.4 (C-3, C-3’, C-3’’’), 68.8 (CH$_2$Ph), 66.2, 66.2 (C-5’, C-5’’), 65.3 (C-5), 62.3 (C-5’’’), 40.7 (CH$_2$Cl) ppm. IR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 1717, 1452, 1249, 1106, 707. ESIMS: m/z calcld for [C$_{78}$H$_{69}$ClNaO$_{25}$]$^{+}$: 1463.3709 [M+Na]$^{+}$, found: 1463.3710.

(143) Benzyl (3-O-benzoyl-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-O-chloroacetyl-a-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-a-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-a-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-a-L-arabinofuranoside

A mixture of trisaccharide acceptor 140 (867 mg, 0.768 mmol) and monosaccharide 100 (540 mg, 0.817 mmol) were dried azeotropically with toluene and subjected to high vacuum for 16 hours. The starting materials were dissolved in anhydrous CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (22 mL) under N$_2$ and stirred with 1.5 g molecular sieves (4 Å) at 22 °C for 30 minutes. NIS (183 mg, 0.817 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was cooled in a – 65 °C bath before TfOH (14.5 μL, 0.163 mmol) was added. The reaction came to an end within 30 minutes, then it was quenched with Et$_3$N (450 mL) and heated to 22 °C. 10% aq. Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ (20 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred for 1 hour. The molecular sieves were filtered off and the two phases were separated. The
Organic solution was washed with water (2 x 20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated to give 1.3 g of a yellow oil that was dispersed on 6 g silica and subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 30% → 40% EtOAc) on a 25 g column. The title product was obtained as 1.04 g fluffy white solid (81% yield). Note: Avoid quenching with Et₃N, as it removes the chloroacetic acid group. Instead use the workup procedure for compound 147.

Rf 0.48 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]D²⁰ -27.6 (c 1.0 CHCl₃); mp 76.4 - 78.8°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.04 - 7.98 (m, 5H), 7.95 - 7.83 (m, 7H), 7.70 - 7.64 (m, 4H), 7.58 - 7.56 (m, 3H, H-2', H-3, H-3'), 5.61 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5.60 - 5.57 (m, 2H, H-2', H-3''), 5.49 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H, H-3'''), 5.39 (s, 2H, H-2'', H-1'/H-1''), 5.38 (s, 1H, H-1'/H-1''), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.26 (s, 1H, H-1''), 5.24 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H, C₅H₃Ph), 4.62 - 4.54 (m, 3H, C₅H₃Ph, H-4, H-4''), 4.49 - 4.45 (m, 1H, H-4'), 4.44 - 4.40 (m, 1H, H-4'''), 4.22 - 4.15 (m, 2H, H-5'a, H-5''), 4.15 - 4.09 (m, 1H, H-5''a), 3.98, 3.96 (AB spin, JAB = 15.1 Hz, 2H, CH₂Cl), 3.95 - 3.84 (m, 5H, H-5b, H-5'b, H-5''b, H-5'''a, H-5'''b), 1.03 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃) ppm.

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.2, 165.8, 165.8, 165.7, 165.7, 165.5, 165.3, 165.2, 137.5, 135.8, 135.8, 133.5, 133.5, 133.4, 133.3, 133.3, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.4, 129.2, 129.2, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 106.0, 105.9 (C1', C1''), 105.5 (C1'''), 105.0 (C1), 83.2 (C2'''), 83.0 (C4'''), 82.3, 82.2 (C4, C4'), 82.2 (C4'''), 81.9, 81.7, 81.6 (C2, C2', C2'''), 77.5, 77.4 (C3, C3'), 77.2 (C3''), 68.7 (CH₂Ph), 66.1, 66.0, 65.8 (C5, C5', C5'''), 63.1 (C5''''), 40.7 (CH₂Cl), 26.9 (C(CH₃)₃), 19.5 (C(CH₃)₃) ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2931, 1718, 1452, 1249, 1105, 1069, 1025, 962, 705. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C₈₉H₈₇ClNaO₂₅Si]⁺: 1701.4886 [M+Na]⁺, found: 1701.4891.

(144) Benzyl (5-O-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-2,3-di-O-chloroacetyl)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside

110
Trisaccharide acceptor 140 (320 mg, 0.284 mmol) and monosaccharide 101 (200 mg, 0.316 mmol) were coevaporated with toluene and dried under vacuum for 16 hours. The starting materials were dissolved in anhydrous CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (12 mL) under N$_2$ and stirred with 0.75 g molecular sieves (4 Å) at 22 °C for 30 minutes. NIS (71 mg, 0.316 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was cooled in a – 78 °C bath before TfOH (5.6 μL, 63 μmol) was added. The reaction came to completion within 50 minutes, then it was quenched with Et$_3$N (180 mL), and heated to 22 °C. 10% aq. Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ (10 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. The molecular sieves were filtered off and the two phases were separated. The organic solution was washed with water (2 x 50 mL), dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$), filtered, and concentrated to give 520 mg of a yellow oil that was dispersed on 4 g silica and subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 25% → 35% EtOAc) on a 12 g column. The title product was obtained as 430 mg fluffy white solid (91% yield). Note: Avoid quenching with Et$_3$N, as it removes the chloroacetic acid group. Instead use the workup procedure for compound 147.

$R_f$ 0.15 (2:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]$^D_{20}$ - 30.1 (c 0.94 CHCl$_3$); mp. 74.1 - 76.8 °C.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.08 (d, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 8.04 - 7.97 (m, 6H), 7.92 - 7.84 (m, 4H), 7.68 - 7.63 (m, 4H), 7.63 - 7.43 (m, 7H), 7.43 - 7.26 (m, 19H), 7.25 - 7.20 (m, 3H), 5.67 - 5.62 (m, 3H, H-$2'$, H-3', H-3''), 5.59 (s, 2H, H-2'), 5.57 (d, $J$ = 4.1 Hz, 1H, H-3''), 5.43 (s, 1H, H-1'/H-1''), 5.40 (s, 1H, H-1'/H-1''), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.29 (d, $J$ = 4.9 Hz, 1H, H-3'''), 5.22 (s, 2H, H-1''', H-2'''), 4.83 (d, $J$ = 12.0 Hz, 1H, 1 × CH$_2$Ph), 4.64 - 4.57 (m, 2H, H4, 1 × CH$_2$Ph), 4.53 (q, $J$ = 4.1 Hz, 1H, H-4''), 4.50 - 4.45 (m, 1H, H-4'), 4.22 - 4.16 (m, 3H, H-4'''', H-5a, H-5'a), 4.04 (dd, $J$ = 11.2, 4.1 Hz, 1H, H-5''a), 3.94 (dt, $J$ = 11.0, 2.8 Hz, 2H, H-5b, H-5''b), 3.90 - 3.87 (m, 4H, H-5''a+b, CH$_2$Cl), 3.87 - 3.78 (m, 2H, H-5''b, 1 × CH$_2$Cl), 3.69 (d, $J$ = 15.4 Hz, 1H, 1 × CH$_2$Cl), 1.03 (s, 9H, C-(CH$_3$)$_3$) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 166.9, 166.3, 165.8, 165.8, 165.7, 165.5, 165.3, 165.3, 137.6, 135.8, 133.6, 133.4, 133.2, 130.1, 129.9, 129.2, 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 106.0, 105.9 (C-1', C-1''), 105.0 (C-1), 104.9 (C-1'''), 83.3 (C-4''''), 83.0 (C-2''''), 82.3, 82.2 (C-4, C-4''), 82.0 (C-4''), 81.9 (2C, C-2', C-2''), 81.7 (C-2), 78.1 (C-3'''), 77.4 (2C, C-3, C-3''), 68.7 (CH$_2$Ph), 66.2 (2C, C-5, C-5'), 65.1 (C-5''), 62.8 (C-5'''), 40.6 (CH$_2$Cl), 40.5 (CH$_2$Cl), 26.9 (C-(CH$_3$)$_3$), 19.5 (C-(CH$_3$)$_3$) ppm. IR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 2932, 1718, 1602, 1452, 1249, 1105, 1069, 1025, 963, 705. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C$_{89}$H$_{84}$Cl$_2$NaO$_{25}$Si]$^+$: 1673.4340 [M+Na]$^+$, found: 1673.4340.
Benzyl \((2,3\text{-di-}O\text{-chloroacetyl}\text{-}\alpha\text{-L-arabinofuranosyl})\text{-}(1\rightarrow5)\text{-}(2,3\text{-di-}O\text{-benzoyl}\text{-}\alpha\text{-L-arabinofuranosyl})\text{-}(1\rightarrow5)\text{-}(2,3\text{-di-}O\text{-benzoyl}\text{-}\alpha\text{-L-arabinofuranosyl})\text{-}(1\rightarrow5)\text{-}2,3\text{-di-}O\text{-benzoyl}\text{-}\alpha\text{-L-arabinofuranoside}

Tetrasaccharide 144 (404 mg, 0.244 mmol) was dissolved in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (4 mL) and MeCN (12 mL) in a plastic flask. 0.300 mL HF (70% in pyridine) and one drop of water were added and the reaction was stirred at 22 °C for 7 days, before TLC showed complete conversion of the starting material. Me\(_3\)SiOMe (1.8 mL, 12.6 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 minutes before it was diluted with EtOAc (3 mL), washed with a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO\(_3\) (2 x 25 mL) and water (25 mL) and dried (Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\)). The organic solution was concentrated to give 800 mg of a white oil that was dispersed in 2.5 g silica and subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 40% → 50% EtOAc) on a 12 g column. The title compound was obtained as a white oil in 64% yield (223 mg).

**R** \(_f\) 0.25 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); [\(\alpha\)]\(_D\)^{20} = 25.5 (c .91 CHCl\(_3\)); mp. 68.6 - 69.6 °C.

**\(^1\)H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.12 - 8.06 (m, 2H), 8.04 - 7.98 (m, 6H), 7.93 - 7.87 (m, 4H), 7.63 - 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.53 - 7.44 (m, 5H), 7.44 - 7.35 (m, 8H), 7.35 - 7.23 (m, 8H), 5.67 - 5.62 (m, 3H, H-2, H-3, H-3''), 5.61 - 5.58 (m, 3H, H-2', H-2'', H-3'''), 5.43 (s, 1H, H-1''), 5.40 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.33 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.24 (d, \(J = 0.9\) Hz, 1H, H-2'''), 5.23 (s, 1H, H-1'''), 5.05 (dd, \(J = 4.8, 0.9\) Hz, 1H, H-3'''), 4.83 (d, \(J = 11.9\) Hz, 1H, CH\(_2\)Ph), 4.64 - 4.57 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)Ph, H-4), 4.53 (dt, \(J = 5.1, 3.2\) Hz, 1H, H-4''), 4.47 (dt, \(J = 4.7, 3.0\) Hz, 1H, H-4'), 4.23 - 4.20 (m, 2H, H-4''', H-5a/H-5'a), 4.18 (dd, \(J = 4.3, 1.8\) Hz, 1H, H-5a/H-5'a), 4.07 - 4.02 (m, 3H, CH\(_2\)Cl, H-5''a), 3.98 - 3.92 (m, 2H, H-5b, H-5'b), 3.92 - 3.77 (m, 4H, 1 × CH\(_2\)Cl, H-5''a+b, H-5''b), 3.73 (d, \(J = 15.4\) Hz, 1H, 1 × CH\(_2\)Cl) ppm. **\(^{13}\)C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 167.3, 166.1, 165.9 (2C), 165.9, 165.5, 165.3 (2C), 137.5, 133.9, 133.6, 133.5, 133.4, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 129.1, 128.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 127.9, 105.9 (2C, C-1', C-1''), 105.0 (C-1), 104.9 (C-1'''), 83.5 (C-4'''), 82.4 (C-2'''), 82.3, 82.2 (C-4, C-4'), 82.1, 81.9 (C-2', C2''), 81.8 (C-2), 81.7 (C-4'''), 78.4 (C-3'''), 77.4 (2C, C-3, C-3'), 76.8 (C-3''), 68.8 (CH\(_2\)Ph),
Experimental

66.2, 66.1 (C-5, C-5'), 65.3 (C-5''), 65.2 (C-5''), 61.8 (C-5'''), 40.6 (CH₂Cl), 40.5 (CH₂Cl) ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2927, 1717, 1452, 1248, 1106, 707. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C₇₃H₆₆Cl₂NaO₂₅]: 1435.3162 [M+Na]⁺, found: 1435.3164.

(146) Phenyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-chloroacetyl-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside

A solution of compound 87 (100 mg, 151 μmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (7.5 mL) and MeCN (22 mL) in a plastic flask was cooled to 0 °C. HF (755 μL, 50% in water) was added, and the reaction was stirred at 22 °C for 24 hours before TLC showed complete conversion of the starting material. Me₃SiOMe (7.5 mL, 54 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour. Afterwards the reaction mixture was successively washed with a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2x 35 mL) and dried (Na₂SO₄). The aqueous solution was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x 75 mL), and the combined organic phases were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated to give 600 mg of a milky liquid. The solution was dispersed on 1 g Celite and purified on a dry column. The title compound was obtained as a clear oil in 72% yield (50 mg).

Rf 0.44 (10:1 heptane-EtOAc),¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.02 (dd, J = 5.2, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 7.64 - 7.56 (m, 1H), 7.56 - 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.45 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.37 - 7.27 (m, 3H), 5.74 - 5.71 (m, 1H, H-1), 5.56 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.40 (dd, J = 5.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.50 - 4.45 (m, 1H, H-4), 4.19 (s, 2H, CH₂Cl), 4.04 - 3.89 (m, 2H, H-5a+b) ppm.¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.0, 165.4, 133.9, 132.4, 130.0, 129.3, 128.7, 128.1, 91.2 (C-1), 82.9 (C-4), 82.2 (C-2), 78.8 (C-3), 61.6 (C-5), 40.7 (CH₂Cl) ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 1718, 1019, 753, 683.
Experimental

(147) Benzyl (2,3-di-O-benzoyl-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2-O-benzoyl-3-O-chloroacetyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside

Tetrasaccharide acceptor 142 (150 mg, 0.104 mmol) and disaccharide donor 99 (160 mg, 0.155 mmol) were mixed, concentrated from toluene, and dried under vacuum for 16 hours. The starting materials and NIS (40 mg, 0.180 mmol) were dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (7.5 mL) under N₂ and stirred with 1 g molecular sieves (4 Å) at 22 °C for 30 minutes before cooled in a -70 °C bath. TfOH (3.2 μL, 36 μmol) was added and the reaction came to completion within 50 minutes under which the temperature was raised to –60 °C. The molecular sieves were filtered off and the reaction mixture was poured into a mixture of 10% aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (1 mL) and sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (1 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (2 x 2 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated to give 280 mg of a yellow oil that was dispersed on 2.5 g silica and subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 30% → 40% EtOAc) on a 12 g column. The title product was obtained as 200 mg fluffy white solid (80% yield).

Rₜ 0.54 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]D²⁰ - 22.5 (c 1.0 CHCl₃); mp. 91 - 93 °C. §H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.14 - 8.10 (m, 2H), 8.04 - 7.79 (m, 20H), 7.71 - 7.65 (m, 4H), 7.65 - 7.19 (m, 20H), 5.68 - 5.62 (m, 4H, H-3, H-3’, H-3’’, H-2’), 5.62 - 5.57 (m, 4H, H-3’’, H-3’’’, H-2’’, H-2’), 5.55 (s, 1H, H-2’’’), 5.54 (s, 1H, H-2’’’’), 5.45 (s, 1H, H-2’’’’’), 5.44 (s, 1H, H-1’’), 5.40 (s, 1H, H-1’), 5.37 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-3’’), 5.36 (s, 1H, H-1’), 5.34 - 5.30 (m, 3H, H-1, H-1’’, H-1’’’), 4.83 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.65 - 4.62 (m, 2H, CH₂Ph), 4.57 - 4.43 (m, 4H, 4 × H-4), 4.35 - 4.31 (m, 1H, H-4’’’’), 4.20 (dd, J = 11.2, 4.5, 2H, 2 × H-5a), 4.18 - 4.03 (m, 3H, 3 × H-5a), 3.98 - 3.76 (m, 8H,
1 × CH₂Cl, H-5’’’’a, 6 × H-5b), 3.68 (d, J = 15.3 Hz, 1H, 1 × CH₂Cl), 1.00 (s, 9H, C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. **¹³C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.0, 165.8, 165.7, 165.6, 165.4, 165.3 (2C), 165.2 (2C), 137.6, 135.8, 133.6, 133.5, 133.4, 130.1, 130.0, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.4, 129.2, 128.8, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 106.1 (C-1’), 105.9 (3 × C-1), 105.3 (C-1’), 105.0 (C-1), 83.3 (C-4’’’’’’’’), 82.3 (3C), 82.2, 82.2 (2C), 82.1, 81.9, 81.8 (2C) (5 × C-4, 5 × C-2), 81.1 (C-2’’’’’’’’), 78.5 (C-3’’’’), 77.4 (5C, 5 × C-3), 68.7 (C₄H₇Ph), 66.1 (C-5’’’’), 66.0 (C-5’’’’), 6.5 (C-5’’’’), 65.9 (C-5’’’’), 65.5 (C-5’’’’), 64.9 (C-5’’’’), 63.5 (C-5’’’’’’’’), 40.5 (CH₂Cl), 32.0, 26.9 (C-(CH₃)₃), 19.4 (C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. **IR** (neat, cm⁻¹): 2930, 1718, 1601, 1451, 1248, 1096, 1069, 1025, 962, 705. **ESIMS**: m/z calcld for [C₁₃₂H₁₁₉ClNaO₃₇Si]+: 2381.6780 [M+Na]+, found: 2381.6577.

(148) Benzyl (2,3-di-O-benzoyl-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside

Hexasaccharide 147 (300 mg, 0.127 mmol) was dissolved in 1:1 mixture of MeOH and THF (4 mL). Et₃N (17.7 μL, 0.127 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture and stirred for 24 hours at 20 °C. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) and washed with brine (5 mL) and water (5 mL). The organic phase was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated to give 290 mg of a fluffy white solid that was concentrated on silica and subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 35% → 40% EtOAc) on a 12 g silica column. The title product was received as 222 mg fluffy white solid (77% yield).
Experimental

$R_t$ 0.50 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); $[\alpha]^\circ_{D} -24.6 \, ^\circ\text{C} \ (c \ 1.0 \ \text{CHCl}_3)$; mp. 
92.1 - 95.1 \, ^\circ\text{C}.  $^{1}H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.08 - 7.85 (m, 21H), 
7.72 - 7.65 (m, 4H), 7.58 - 7.46 (m, 6H), 7.46 - 7.20 (m, 39H), 5.65 - 5.58 (m, 
7H, H-3'''''), 3 × H-3, 3 × H-2), 5.54 (s, 2H, 2 × H-2), 5.52 (d, $J = 5.1 \, \text{Hz}$, 1H, 
H-3'), 5.42 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.40 (s, 1H, H-1''), 5.36 (s, 1H, H-1'''), 5.34 (s, 1H, 
H-1'), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1''), 5.16 (d, $J = 2.3 \, \text{Hz}$, 1H, H-2'''), 
4.83 (d, $J = 12.0 \, \text{Hz}$, 1H, CH$_2$Ph), 4.64 - 4.57 (m, 2H, CH$_2$Ph, H-4'), 4.54 (q, 
$J = 4.0 \, \text{Hz}$, 1H, H-4'), 4.51 - 4.45 (m, 3H, H-4'''''), 2 × H-4), 4.39, 4.37 (AB 
spin, $J_{AB} = 4.3 \, \text{Hz}$, 1H, H-4''''), 4.25 (dd, $J = 5.6$, 2.3 Hz, 1H, H-3'''''), 
4.23 - 4.08 (m, 4H, 4 × H-5a), 4.03 - 3.91 (m, 5H, H-5''''''a+b, H-5''''', 2 × H-5b), 3.88 (dd, 
$J = 11.1$, 2.7 Hz, 2H, 2 × H-5b), 3.80 (dd, $J = 11.3$, 3.8 Hz, 1H, H-5'''''), 1.00 (s, 9H, C-(CH$_3$)$_3$) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 166.7 (3C), 165.8, 165.7, 165.6, 165.5, 165.4, 165.3, 165.3, 137.5, 
135.8, 135.8, 133.6, 133.3, 130.1, 130.1, 130.0, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.4, 
129.3, 129.2, 129.2, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 106.1 (C-1'), 106.1 (C-1''), 106.0 (C-1'''), 105.9 (C-1'''''), 105.4 (C-1'''''''), 105.0 (C-1), 85.8 (C-2'''''), 83.3 (C-4'''''), 83.0 (C-4'''''''), 82.3 (4C, C-2'', 
3 × C-4), 81.9 (C-4'''''), 81.9 (C-2'''''), 81.9 (C-2'''''''), 81.7 (C-2'''''''''), 81.6 (C-2''''''''''), 
77.4 (5C, 5 × C-2'), 76.7 (C-3'''''''''), 68.7 (CH$_3$Ph), 66.2 (2C, 2 × C-5), 66.0 (3C, 
C-5''''''''', 2 × C-5), 63.5 (C-5'''''''''''), 26.9 (C-(CH$_3$)$_3$), 19.4 (C-(CH$_3$)$_3$) ppm. IR 
(neat, cm$^{-1}$): 2930, 1720, 1602, 1263, 1107, 1026, 963, 707. ESIMS: m/z calcd 
for [C$_{130}$H$_{118}$NaO$_{36}$Si]: 2305.7064 [M+Na]$^+$, found: 2305.6898.

(150) Benzyl (2,3-di-O-benzoyl-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(3-O-
benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-
benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside)
A solution of tetrasaccharide 88 (1.44 g, 0.696 mmol) and disaccharide 99 (930 mg, 0.904 mmol) were coevaporated with toluene and dried under vacuum for 16 hours. The starting materials were dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (37 mL) under N₂ and stirred with 3 g molecular sieves (4 Å) and NIS (203 mg, 0.904 mmol) at 22 °C for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled in a − 55 °C bath and TfOH (16 μL, 0.181 mmol) was added. The reaction came to completion within 2.5 hours, under which the temperature was raised to - 35 °C. The molecular sieves were filtered off and the reaction mixture was poured into a mixture of 10% aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (20 mL) and a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (20 mL), shacked, and separated. The organic solution was washed with water (2 x 40 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated to give 1.8 g of a yellow oil that was dissolved in 1:1 mixture of MeOH and THF (23 mL). Et₃N (125 μL, 0.900 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture that was stirred for 24 hours at 20 °C. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (20 mL) and washed with brine (2 x 60 mL) and water (60 mL). The organic phase was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated to afford 1.9 g of a fluffy white solid that was concentrated on silica and subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 35% → 40% EtOAc) on a 26 g silica column. The title product was received as 1.22 g fluffy white solid (71% yield over two steps).

Rᵣ 0.47 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]ᵣ²⁰ - 25.9 (c 1.0 CHCl₃); mp. 95.9 - 97.4 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 8.04 - 7.83 (m, 19H), 7.74 - 7.67 (m, 4H), 7.59 - 7.43 (m, 8H), 7.43 - 7.20 (m, 36H), 5.71 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-3''''/H-3'''''), 5.66 - 5.60 (m, 4H, H-2''''''', H-3'''''''), 2 × H-3), 5.60 - 5.54 (m, 4H, H-3'), 3 × H-2), 5.51 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H, H-2''/H-2'''''), 5.39 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.38 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.36 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.35 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.26 (s, 1H, H-2''''), 5.22 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 1H, H-2'''''), 4.83 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.63 - 4.57 (m, 2H, CH₂Ph, H-4'), 4.56 - 4.49 (m, 3H, H-4''''''', 2H-4'), 4.49 - 4.45 (m, 2H, H-2'''''), 4.42 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, H-2'''''), 4.23 - 4.06 (m, 5H, 5 × H-5a), 4.02 - 3.95 (m, 2H, H-5'''''''), 3.95 - 3.83 (m, 5H, 5 × H-5b), 3.44 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, OH), 1.01 (s, 9H, C-(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.6, 165.9, 165.8, 165.8, 165.7, 165.6, 165.6, 165.5, 165.3, 165.3, 165.2, 137.5, 135.8, 135.8, 133.5, 133.3, 133.2, 130.3, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.8, 129.4, 129.2, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 108.5 (C-1''''), 106.3 (C-1'''''), 106.1 (C-1'''''), 105.9 (C-1'''''), 105.9 (C-1'''''), 105.0 (C-1'''''), 83.4 (C-4'''''), 82.5 (4C, C-4'''''), 82.3 (2C, C-4'''''), 82.1 (C-2''''''/C-2'''''''), 81.9 (2C, C-2'''''''), 81.7 (C-2'''''''), 80.4 (C-3'''''''), 79.8 (C-2'''''''), 77.4 (3C, 3 × C-3), 77.3 (C-3'''), 77.0 (C-3''''''/C-3''''''''), 68.7 (CH₂Ph), 66.2 (C-5'''''), 66.1 (2C, C-5), 66.1 (C-5'''''), 65.9 (C-5'''''), 65.8 (C-5'''''),
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63.6 (C-5’’’’’), 26.9 (C-(CH)_3), 19.4 (C-(CH)_3) ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2931, 1718, 1451, 1248, 1096, 705. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C_{130}H_{158}NaO_{36}Si]^+: 2305.7064 [M+Na]^+, found: 2305.6699.

(151) Benzyl (2,3-di-O-benzoyl-5-O-(tert-butylidiphenylsilyl)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-chloroacetyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L- arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside

A mixture of tetrasaccharide 145 (210 mg, 0.148 mmol) and disaccharide 99 (200 mg, 0.193 mmol) were coevaporated with toluene and dried under vacuum for 16 hours. The starting materials were dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (7 mL) under N₂ and stirred with 800 mg molecular sieves (4 Å) and NIS (43 mg, 0.193 mmol) at 22 °C for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled in a -78 °C bath and TfOH (4.3 μL, 48 μmol) was added. The reaction came to completion within 90 minutes, under which the temperature was raised to -60 °C. The molecular sieves were filtered off and the reaction mixture was poured into a mixture of 10% aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (3 mL) and a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (3 mL), shaken and separated. The organic solution was washed with water (2 x 50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated to give 460 mg of a yellow oil that was dispersed on 4 g silica and subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 30% → 40% EtOAc) on a 12 g column. The title product was obtained as 257 mg fluffy white solid (74% yield).

Rᵣ 0.54 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]_{D}^{20} = -21.8 (c .98 CHCl₃); mp. 94.3 - 97.2 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 - 8.06 (m, 2H), 8.04 - 7.85 (m, 16H), 7.72 - 7.66 (m, 4H), 7.63 - 7.20 (m, 44H), 5.66 - 5.57 (m, 8H, 5 × H-3, 3 × H-2), 5.56 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5.54 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5.42 (s,
Experimental

1H, H-1\(^3\)), 5.40 (s, 1H, H-1\(^3\)), 5.36 (s, 1H, H-1\(^3\)), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1\(^3\)), 5.29 (s, 1H, H-1\(^3\)), 5.24 (d, \(J = 5.0\) Hz, 1H, H-3\(^\prime\prime\prime\)), 5.20 (d, \(J = 1.2\) Hz, 1H, H-2\(^\prime\prime\prime\)), 5.19 (s, 1H, H-1\(^\prime\prime\prime\)), 4.83 (d, \(J = 12.0\) Hz, 1H, CH\(_2\)Ph), 4.63 - 4.57 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)Ph, H-4\(^\prime\)), 4.53 - 4.45 (m, 4H, \(4 \times H-4\)), 4.27 (dt, \(J = 5.0, 2.6\) Hz, 1H, H-4\(^\prime\prime\prime\)), 4.22 - 4.14 (m, 3H, 3 \(\times\) H-5\(^a\)), 4.05 - 3.93 (m, 7H, 2 \(\times\) H-5\(^b\)), 3.93 - 3.89 (m, 2H, 2 \(\times\) H-5\(^b\)), 3.89 - 3.80 (m, 3H, 1 \(\times\) CH\(_2\)Cl, 2 \(\times\) H-5\(^b\)), 3.77 (dd, \(J = 11.1, 2.8\) Hz, 1H, H-5\(^b\)), 3.68 (d, \(J = 15.3\) Hz, 1H, 1 \(\times\) CH\(_2\)Cl), 1.01 (s, 9H, C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)) ppm. \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 166.5, 165.8, 165.8 (3C), 165.6, 165.5, 165.6, 165.3 (2C), 165.3, 137.5, 135.8, 133.4, 133.2, 130.1, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.9, 129.8, 129.4, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 106.3 (C-1\(^3\)), 106.1 (C-1\(^3\)), 105.9 (2C, C-1\(^3\)), 105.0 (C-1), 104.9 (C-1\(^\prime\prime\prime\)), 83.3 (C-4\(^\prime\)), 82.3 (C-2\(^\prime\prime\prime\)), 82.2 (C-4\(^\prime\)), 82.2 (C-2\(^\prime\prime\prime\)), 82.1 (C-4\(^\prime\prime\prime\)), 82.1 (2C, 2 \(\times\) C-4), 81.9 (2C, 2 \(\times\) C-2), 81.8 (C-2\(^\prime\)), 81.4 (H-2\(^\prime\)), 78.1 (C-3\(^\prime\prime\prime\)), 77.4 (4C, 4 \(\times\) C-3), 76.8 (C-3\(^\prime\)), 68.7 (CH\(_2\)Ph), 66.0 (4C, 4C-5), 65.1 (C-5\(^\prime\prime\prime\)), 63.5 (C-5\(^\prime\prime\prime\)), 40.6 (CH\(_2\)Cl), 40.5 (CH\(_2\)Cl), 26.9 (C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 19.4 (C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)) ppm. ESIMS: \(m/z\) calcd for \([\text{C}_{127}\text{H}_{110}\text{Cl}_2\text{NaO}_{37}\text{Si}]^+\): 2353.6234 \([\text{M+Na}^+]\), found: 2353.5833.

(152) Benzyl (2,3-di-O-benzoyl-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-\(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranoside

Hexasaccharide 151 (180 mg, 77 \(\mu\)mol) was dissolved in 1:1 mixture of MeOH and THF (2.4 mL). Et\(_3\)N (23.7 \(\mu\)L, 0.169 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture that was stirred for 24 hours at 20 °C. The reaction mixture was diluted
Experimental

with CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) and washed with brine (5 mL) and water (5 mL). The organic phase was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated to afford 168 mg of a white crystals that was concentrated on silica and subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 35% → 45% EtOAc) on a 4 g silica column. The title product was received as 118 mg fluffy white solid (70% yield).

Rᵣ 0.26 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); [𝛼]ᵣ₀⁰ - 18.6 (c 1.0 CHCl₃), mp. 92.3 - 95.6 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.13 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 8.07 - 7.60 (m, 6H, H-2''/H-2''''', H-2'''', H-3'''', 3 × H-3), 5.59 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5.55 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H, H-2'''''), 5.47 (d, J = 0.7 Hz, 1H, H-2'''), 5.43 - 5.39 (m, 3H, H-3''/H-3''''', 2 × H-1), 5.36 (s, 1H, H-1'''''), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.28 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.16 (s, 1H, H-1'''), 4.83 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.63 - 4.57 (m, 2H, CH₂Ph, H-4), 4.52 (q, J = 3.7 Hz, 2H, H-4''''', H-4''''/H-4'''''), 4.49 - 4.42 (m, 2H, 2 × H-4'), 4.27 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-4'''''), 4.23 - 4.15 (m, 3H, 3 × H-5a), 4.08 - 4.04 (br, 2H, H-2''', H-3'''), 4.04 - 3.89 (m, 7H, H-5''''a, H-5''''''a/H-5''''''''a+b, 3 × H-5b), 3.86 (dd, J = 11.0, 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-5''''/H-5''''''b), 3.74 (dd, J = 10.9, 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-5''''''b), 1.02 (s, 9H, C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.9 (2C), 165.8, 165.8, 165.6, 165.5, 165.5, 165.4, 165.3, 165.3, 137.5, 135.8, 133.7, 133.7, 133.6, 133.6, 133.5, 133.4, 133.4, 133.3, 133.3, 130.3, 130.1, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.4, 129.4, 129.2, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 108.1 (C-1'''''), 106.2 (C-1'), 106.1 (C-1'''''), 106.0 (C-1'), 105.9 (C-1'), 105.0 (C-1), 86.0 (C-4'''''), 83.4 (C-4'''''''), 82.9 (C-4'''), 82.3 (C-4''), 82.3 (C-2'''''''), 82.2 (C-4''), 81.9 (C-2'), 81.9 (2C, C-2''''''', C-2''''/C-2'''''), 81.8 (2C, 2 × C-2), 81.7 (C-4'''''/C-4'''''''), 79.5 (C-2'''''), 78.2 (C-3'''''), 77.4 (4C, 4 × C-3), 77.0 (C-3'), 68.7 (CH₂Ph), 66.9 (C-5'''''), 66.2 (3C, 3 × C-5), 65.5 (C-5''''/C-5'''''''), 63.6 (C-5'''''''), 26.9 (C-(CH₃)₃), 19.4 (C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2930, 1719, 1452, 1249, 1096, 1025, 963, 706. ESIMS: m/z calcd for [C₁₂₃H₁₁₄O₃₅Si]: 2201.6802 [M+Na]⁺, found: 2201.6466.
(153) Phenyl 2-\textit{O}-benzoyl-5-\textit{O}-\textit{tert}-butyldiphenylsilyl-1-thio-\textit{\textalpha}-\textit{L}-arabino-furanoside

Diol 112 (2.58 g, 7.43 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (25 mL) under careful heating. Imidazole (1.79 g, 26.3 mmol) was added and the reaction was cooled in a – 78 °C bath. TBDPSCI (2.22 mL, 8.54 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to heat to 4 °C over 16 hours. The reaction mixture was successively washed with a solution of sat. aq. NH₄Cl (25 mL), 0.5 M HCl (25 mL), a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (25 mL), and water (25 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated before it was subjected to automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 0% → 20% EtOAc) to get 2.91 g colorless oil as the title compound (67% yield).

\( R_f \) 0.13 (10:1 heptane-EtOAc); \([\alpha]_D^{20}\) - 106.5 (c 0.98 CHCl₃). \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 8.08 - 7.99 (m, 2H), 7.75 - 7.54 (m, 7H), 7.51 - 7.28 (m, 11H), 5.77 (d, \(J = 3.8\) Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.16 (t, \(J = 3.8\) Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.41 - 4.34 (m, 2H, H-3, H-4), 3.98 (dd, \(J = 11.3, 3.1\) Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.91 (dd, \(J = 11.3, 4.0\) Hz, 1H, H-5b), 3.35 - 3.28 (m, 1H, OH), 1.03 (s, 9H, C-(CH₃)₃) ppm. \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 167.6, 135.9, 135.82, 134.1, 133.97, 133.4, 132.2, 130.2, 130.0, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 89.3 (C-1), 87.4 (C-2), 83.5 (C-3), 77.2 (C-4), 63.4 (C-5), 27.0 (C-(CH₃)₃), 19.5(C-(CH₃)₃), ppm. IR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3491, 3070, 2930, 2857, 1708, 1427, 1267, 1109, 1026, 740, 701, 607, 503. ESIMS: \(m/z\) calcd for [C\(_{34}\)H\(_{36}\)NaO\(_5\)SSi]\(^+\): 607.1945 [M+Na]\(^+\), found: 607.1957.
(154) Benzyl (2-O-benzoyl-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside

The title compound (159) was a fluffy white solid, obtained as a byproduct in the synthesis of compound 141.

\( R_f \) 0.45 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); \[\alpha\] \(^{10}\)D - 25.4 (c 0.95 CHCl\(_3\)); \textbf{mp.} 77.7 - 78.4 °C.

\( ^{1}H \text{ NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \)

- 8.09 - 8.04 (m, 2H), 8.04 - 7.97 (m, 6H), 7.94 - 7.86 (m, 6H), 7.68 - 7.61 (m, 4H), 7.59 - 7.46 (m, 5H), 7.45 - 7.35 (m, 13H), 7.35 - 7.28 (m, 7H), 7.28 - 7.20 (m, 7H), 5.65 - 5.64 (m, 2H, H-2/H-2', H-3/H-3'), 5.63 (s, 1H, H-3/H-3'), 5.61 (d, \( J = 1.2 \) Hz, 1H, H-2''), 5.59 (d, \( J = 1.2 \) Hz, 1H, H-2/H-2'), 5.51 (dd, \( J = 5.0, 1.2 \) Hz, 1H, H-3''), 5.43 (s, 1H, H-1'/H-1'''), 5.40 (s, 1H, H-1'/H-1'''), 5.34 (s, 1H, H-1'''), 5.32 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.16 (d, \( J = 1.7 \) Hz, 1H, H-2''), 4.83 (d, \( J = 11.9 \) Hz, 1H, CH\(_2\)Ph), 4.64 - 4.54 (m, 3H, H-4, CH\(_2\)Ph, H-4''), 4.48 (td, \( J = 4.7, 3.0 \) Hz, 1H, H-4'), 4.28 (q, \( J = 4.7 \) Hz, 1H, H-4''), 4.25 - 4.17 (m, 3H, H-3'', H-5'a, H-5''a), 4.11 (dd, \( J = 11.1, 4.9 \) Hz, 1H, H-5''a), 3.97 - 3.88 (m, 3H, H-5b, H-5'b, H-5''b), 3.85 (d, \( J = 4.7 \) Hz, 2H, H-5''a+b), 0.99 (s, 9H, C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)) ppm.

\( ^{13}C \text{ NMR} \) (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \)

- 166.5, 166.1, 165.8, 165.8, 165.5, 165.4, 165.3, 137.6, 135.8, 135.7, 133.6, 133.6, 133.5, 133.5, 133.3, 130.1, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 129.2, 129.2, 128.6, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.8, 106.1 (C-1), 105.9 (C-1'''), 105.4, 105.0 (C-1', C-1''), 85.5 (C-2''), 85.2 (C-4'''), 82.3, 82.3 (C-4, C-4''), 81.9, 81.9 (C-2'', C-4'''), 81.8, 81.7 (C-2, C-2'), 77.4 (3C, C-3, C-3', C-3''), 76.9 (C-3'''), 68.7 (CH\(_2\)Ph), 66.2, 66.2 (C-5, C-5'), 65.9 (C-5'''), 63.5 (C-5''''), 26.9 (C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 19.4 (C-(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)) ppm. \textbf{IR} (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 293, 171, 1452, 1249, 1096, 1069, 1025, 704. \textbf{ESIMS}: \( m/z \) calcd for [C\(_{92}H_{86}NaO_{24}Si]^+ : 1625.5170 [M+Na]^+; found: 1625.5165.
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(156) Benzyl (2-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl)-(1→5)-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside

Compound 154 (1.0 g, 0.624 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (24 mL) and cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. Acetic acid (71 μL, 1.25 mmol) and TBAF (1 M in THF) (0.748 mL, 0.748 mmol) were added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was heated to 22 °C and stirred for 24 h. The mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and washed with a solution of sat. aq. NH₄Cl (20 mL) and water (20 mL). The organic phase was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated to give 970 mg of a fluffy white solid that was dispersed on 6 g silica and purified on a 12 g column by automated flash chromatography (heptane-EtOAc, 40% → 45% EtOAc). The title compound was obtained as a fluffy white solid (770 mg, 90%).

Rᵣ 0.18 (1:1 heptane-EtOAc); [α]₂⁰ -21.3 (c 0.9 CHCl₃); mp. 77.9 - 79.8 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 - 7.96 (m, 10H), 7.91 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.62 - 7.47 (m, 5H), 7.47 - 7.35 (m, 13H), 7.35 - 7.21 (m, 8H), 5.65 (s, 1H, H₂/H₂'/H₂''), 5.65 (s, 1H, H-3/H-3'), 5.64 (s, 1H, H-3/H-3''), 5.61 (s, 1H, H-2'), 5.60 (s, 1H, H-2), 5.55 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-3'''), 5.44 (s, 1H, H-1'/H-1''), 5.41 (s, 1H, H-1'/H-1''), 5.37 (s, 1H, H-1'''), 5.33 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.13 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H-2'''), 4.84 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.65 - 4.53 (m, 3H, H-4, H-4'', CH₂Ph), 4.50 - 4.46 (m, 1H, H-4'), 4.31 - 4.27 (m, 1H, H-4''''), 4.21 (dd, J = 11.2, 4.4 Hz, 2H, H-5a, H-5'a), 4.17 - 4.09 (m, 2H, H-5''a, H-3'''), 3.99 - 3.89 (m, 4H, H-5b, H-5''b, H-5'''a), 3.75 (dd, J = 12.1, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-5''''b) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.7, 166.0, 165.8, 165.8, 165.5, 165.4, 165.3, 165.2, 137.5, 133.8, 133.7, 133.6, 133.5, 133.4, 133.4, 130.0, 129.9, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 129.1, 128.7, 128.7, 128.5, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 106.0, 105.9 (C-1', C-1''), 105.3 (C-1'''), 105.0 (C-1), 86.3 (C-2'''), 84.5 (C-4''''), 82.3, 82.2 (C-4, C-4'), 82.0 (C-4'''), 81.9, 81.8, 81.7 (3 × C-2), 77.4 (2C, C-3, C-3''), 77.5 (C-3'''), 76.8 (C-3''''), 68.7 (CH₂Ph), 66.2, 66.1 (C-5, C-5'), 65.9 (C-5'''), 62.3 (C-5''''') ppm. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 1721, 1268, 1110, 710. ESIMS: m/z caled for [C₇₆H₆₈NaO₂₄]⁺: 1387.3993 [M+Na]⁺, found: 1387.3995.
(171) Phenyl 2,3,5-tri-O-benzoyl-1-thio-α-L-arabinofuranoside

By using the synthetic procedure described for the D-enantiomer, the title compound 171 was obtained in 77% yield as a colorless oil. The analytical data is in accordance with the data for the title compound ($R_f$ 0.62 (3:2 hexane-EtOAc); $[\alpha]^{29}_D$ – 52.8 (c 1.0 CHCl$_3$)).

$R_f$ 0.22 (CH$_2$Cl$_2$), $[\alpha]^{20}_D$ – 49 (c 2.0 CHCl$_3$). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.19 - 8.09 (m, 2H), 8.08 - 7.96 (m, 4H), 7.68 - 7.27 (m, 14H), 5.84 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.73 (d, $J = 1.3$ Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.66 (dd, $J = 4.7$, 1.3 Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.91 - 4.82 (m, 1H, H-4), 4.82 - 4.70 (m, 2H, H-5a+b) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 166.4, 165.8, 165.6, 133.9, 133.9, 133.7, 133.7, 133.3, 132.5, 130.3, 130.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.3, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 128.8, 128.6, 128.1, 91.7 (C-1), 82.8 (C-2), 81.4 (C-4), 78.4 (C-3), 63.7 (C-5) ppm. IR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3323, 1719, 1262, 1093, 707.
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Appendix A

Pseudorotational wheel showing the ten envelope and ten twist conformations of furanosides.²⁰⁸
Appendix B

Extended version of Figure 28

A) Overview of the incubation conditions used for each sample, with color codes referring to the heat map B. B) Heat map of the scanned array. Upper and lower refer to highest and lowest value in a triplicate set. C) The scanned array. D) The location of each sample on the array.
Appendix C

Chemical synthesis of oligo (1→5)-α-L-arabinofuranosides

M. Daugaard* and M. H. Clausen*

Linear (1→5)-α-L-arabinosides are commercially available up to the octamer. Branched structures are, however, not accessible. This work describes a strategy for rapid assembly of branched L-arabinosides. We demonstrate the utility by synthesizing two branched (1→9)-α-L-oligol-arabinofuranosides. The modular approach offers multiple branching possibilities by employing slight modifications.

Introduction

Pectins constitute an important part of the plant cell wall.1 Investigating the structure of pectin can contribute with more knowledge of properties such as cell wall biosynthesis and protein–carbohydrate interactions. Chemical and/or enzymatic degradation of the cell wall followed by extensive purification can afford some oligopectochirrides, however in general this only result in minor quantities. Chemical synthesis, on the other hand, is capable of producing structurally diverse and specific oligopectochirrides of excellent purity and in larger quantities.

Pectins are built up of different regions, one being rhamnogalacturonan I (RG-I), which holds a backbone of alternating galacturonic acid and rhamnose monosaccharides branched on the rhamnose residues with side chains of linear and branched galactans, arabinans, and arabinoarabans (see Figure 1). The arabinan side chains in the RG-I region are (1→5)-linked α-L-arabinosides branched in the 2- and/or the 3-position.2,3 So far not many synthesized oligo-α-L-arabinofuranosides are reported.4,5 Extensive work has, however, been carried out with oligomers of α-L-arabinofuranosides that make up part of the cell wall of Mycobacterium tuberculosis.6-11

The synthetic strategy in this work involves four building blocks; a disaccharide donor 1, two differently protected monosaccharides 2 and 3, and a reducing end monosaccharide 4 (Figure 2). Employing a disaccharide donor offers a blockwise approach that decreases the number of glycosylations. The orthogonal chloroacetyl protecting group on 2 and 3 leaves a position for introducing branching. The two differently

Figure 1 is schematic representation of rhamnogalacturonan I (RG-I), a part of pectin.

140
protected monosaccharides 2 and 3 can be employed different places in the arabino2 backbone to obtain core oligosaccharides that can be branched in the 2- or the 3-position with different side chains.

Initially we have used disaccharide donor 1 as side chain in a core hexasaccharide to synthesize two substituted octasaccharides (5 and 6) (Figure 2).

Results and discussion

Synthesis of monosaccharide building blocks

Reducing end monosaccharide 4, N-phenyl-trifluoracetimide donor 10, and thiglycoside acceptor 9 were all synthesized from TBDS protected 7 which was obtained in only two steps from -arabinose (Scheme 1), inspired by Zhang et al.'s work on 3-arabinose.24 The first step took advantage of TBPS's preference for the 5-position and then the monosaccharide was perbenzoylated. Importantly in order to avoid isolyzing the 3-position, TBPSCl had to be added slowly to the reaction mixture.

To obtain 4 it was first planned to selectively debenzyate the anomeric position of 7 to introduce the benzyl group. Experiments with BF3.Et2O, dimethyamine in water, hydroxime-acetate in dimethylformamide (DMF), and ethane-1,2-diamine, however, did not give any good results. Following investigations showed that it was possible to benzylate 7 directly using BF3.Et2O to achieve the benzy1 arabinose in 88% yield. The TBPS group was removed using triethylamine fluoride (TBAF), affording 4 in 90% yield.

Thiglycoside 8 was obtained from 7 in a similar fashion; thiglycosylation was best mediated by TMSOTf since BF3.Et2O resulted in poor conversion and many different by-products (Scheme 1).

N-phenyl-trifluoracetimide donor 10 was obtained from thiglycoside 8 by hydrolyzing the anomeric position with N-bromosuccinimide (NBS)/water before introducing the acetimide group. Thiglycoside 8 was treated with TBAF that removed the TBPS group and afforded acceptor 9 in 86%. It was envisioned that the two differently protected monosaccharides 2 and 3 could be synthesized from the same protected monosaccharide 11 (Scheme 2). First the 2-position was protected with a chloroacetyl or a benzoyl protecting group, then the TBPS group was removed and the primary position was selectively protected with a TBPS group before introducing either a benzoyl or chloroacetyl protecting group on the 3-free 3-position. This strategy gave satisfactory yields for monosaccharide 3 (Scheme 2).

However, removing the TBPS group from 12 with a chloroacetyl group in the 2-position, only resulted in 45% yield of diol 13. Dial 18, where acidic acid has reacted with the chloroacetyl group, was found as a major by-product, see Scheme 3. Gu et al. actually reports that TBAF is able to remove the chloroacetyl group completely.18 It was tested if HF – water could remove the TBPS group, but this instead resulted in opening of the TBPS group to afford 15. A similar opening of the TIPS ring was seen by Ziegler et al.15,37

Scheme 1 Synthesis of monosaccharide building blocks.

Scheme 2 Synthesis of monosaccharide 3 and the proposed route to monosaccharide 2.
The structure of 16 was verified by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) after reacting the free alcohol with benzoyl chloride, which clearly protected the 5-position to obtain 17 (Scheme 3).

Instead monosaccharide 2 was synthesized from the TBDDS protected diol 19 (Scheme 4). It was envisaged that reacting 10 with chloroacetic anhydride could result in some selectivity for the 2-position either due to steric or electronic factors, or a combination thereof. The reaction showed a slight selectivity for protecting the 2-position, however, the 3-position was also protected either solely or in combination.

Scheme 3. By-products from deprotection of the TBDDS group of 12.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of monosaccharide 2 in two steps from 10.

with the 2-position resulting in 21 and 22. Since it was possible to separate the two regiosomers 20 and 21 using column chromatography, and since 20 is only one step from monosaccharide 2, this route was followed despite the low yield. Reacting 20 with benzoyl chloride using 4-dimethylaminopyridine and triethylamine as bases resulted in monosaccharide 2 in 74% yield (Scheme 4). It was found necessary to use milder conditions than standard benzoylation conditions using benzoyl chloride in pyridine, which degraded the starting material.

By protecting the 2-position of by-product 21 with a benzoyl group a second route to 2 was found, and the by-product found advantage this way. Bis chloroacetyl protected 21 was used to synthesize a third hexosaccharide backbone, which could be branched in both the 2- and the 3-position.

Synthesis of disaccharide donor 1

To reduce the following number of glycosylations a disaccharide thioglycoside was the first target molecule. Initially it was examined if it was possible to synthesize disaccharide 23 from only one monosaccharide building block 9 (Scheme 5). The glycosylation promoted by a combination of NFSi and either silver trifluoromethanesulfonate (AgOTf) or triethylsilyle trifluoromethanesulfonate (TESOTf) yielded the combined donor and acceptor 23 in maximum 20% yield. The next approach explored the possibility of using thioglycoside 9 as an acceptor in combination with different thioglycoside donors (Scheme 6). The tert-butylidiphenylsilyl (TBDDS) protected thioglycoside donor 8 led to the desired disaccharide 23 in 35% yield under optimized conditions. The proportion of TESOTf was varied from 0.1 to 1 equivalents. The major by-product was the trisaccharide thioglycosides 27, which either resulted from self-coupling of 9 before reacting with the TBDDS protected thioglycoside 8 or the desired product 23 reacting once again with acceptor 9. Initial experiments with the double TBDDS protected donor 24 showed promising results, which correlates with Liang et al.'s findings for the 3-enantiomer, where > 24 is more reactive than the corresponding 3,5-di-O-benzyl protected counterpart.24 24 was synthesized from 14, which was desilylated before the new free 3- and 5-positions were protected with TBDDS.

Glycosylation with tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diy (TIPDS) protected thioglycoside 14 resulted in disaccharide 23 in 35% yield. This again correlates with observations from Liang.

Scheme 5. Glycosylation of 9 with itself to synthesize disaccharide 23.
et al.\textsuperscript{,}\textsuperscript{18} who found \( \beta:4' \) to be less reactive than \( \alpha:2' \), but more reactive than the thiglycoside protected with the more strained 3,5-di-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ring.

To eliminate the possibility of self-coupling of thiglycoside acceptor 9 different glycosylation conditions were examined using three different 5-O-TBDS protectors donors. Initial results with the bromide (28), the trichloroacetimidate (29), and the N-phenyl-trifluoracetimidate (10) donors suggested that the N-phenyl-trifluoracetimidate donor 10 was superior yielding disaccharide donor 1 in more than 90% (see Scheme 7).

With a good yielding synthetic strategy for the disaccharide donor in hand the monosaccharide building blocks were up scaled.

Thiglycosylation of 7 on a larger scale resulted in the formation of a by-product, which was identified as disaccharide donor 1. Although the acidic reaction conditions introduced the thiophenyl group, they also slowly removed the TBDS protecting group, furnishing thiglycoside acceptor 9.

This encouraged us to examine the donor properties of 7 carrying a benzyl group at the anomeric position. Indeed glycosylation between 7 as a donor and 9 as an acceptor was possible yielding disaccharide donor 1 in 85% on a 20 g scale (Scheme 8). Since this strategy involved fewer steps it was desired over the one using the N-phenyl-trifluoracetimidate donor 10.

Sequential glycosylations and deprotections to give octasaccharides 5 and 6

With all the necessary mono- and disaccharide building blocks in hand we next turned our attention to the oligosaccharide synthesis. First the reducing end trisaccharide 30 was synthesized from disaccharide donor 1 and benzyl saccharide acceptor 4 in 74% yield (Scheme 9). The TBDS group was removed using TBAF in tetrahydrofuran (THF) furnishing trisaccharide acceptor 31 in 90% yield. Glycosylation between 31 and monosaccharide 3 or 2 resulted in tetrasaccharides 32 or 33, both in 80% yield. The TBDS group needed to be removed to enable the next glycosylation reaction. As TBAF in THF also reacts with the chloroacetyl group like previously described another fluoride source was needed. HF – pyridine proved to be a suitable choice and resulted in 33 and 38 in 80% and 90%, respectively. Glycosylation with disaccharide donor 1 gave the two core hexasaccharide backbone structures 33 and 40.

To enable branching of the hexasaccharide the chloroacetyl group needed to be selectively deprotected and test reaction were performed on monosaccharide 3. A variety of the most common conditions were investigated. Thiourea unfortunately only resulted in 25% yield (Table 1, entry 1).\textsuperscript{19} Lefebur et al.'s conditions (1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO)) in
ethanol\(^{14}\) showed promising results (Table 1, entry 2) on the monosaccharide. However, the yield dropped when the hexasaccharide was subjected to a variation of the same reaction conditions, in which THF was needed as a co-solvent in order to dissolve the starting material. Instead a dilute solution of NaOMe in THF was tested on monosaccharide 3 (Table 1, entry 3). The chloroacetyl group was removed, but the yield was not better than with DABCO. It was also envisioned that it would be hard to control the unwanted removal of the numerous benzyl groups on the hexasaccharide. Next the attention was turned to sodium borohydride as a dechloroacetylating reagent (Table 1, entry 4).\(^{14}\) The conditions showed promising results, even though the solvent was changed from ethanol to a 1:1 mixture of THF-ethanol to get reliable conditions for the hexasaccharide. Finally triethylamine in MeOH/THF was examined and these conditions resulted in 82% of the monosaccharide alcohol and 70% of the hexasaccharide alcohol. The inspiration to try the latter conditions came from obtaining unsatisfying glycosylation results, when the reactions were quenched with triethylamine. After this realization the glycosylation reaction was poured into a mixture of 20% Na$_2$S$_2$O$_7$ and a solution of sat. aq. NaHCO$_3$, upon completion of reaction. The protecting groups were removed in the following order: 5-O-TBDDS groups, the anionic benzyl group and last the benzyl groups, to keep the intermediate compounds as apolar as possible, in order to facilitate handling and purification by chromatography on silica. Without the complication from the chloroacetyl group, the TBDDS groups were removed using TBAF in THF. The anionic benzyl group turned out to be a bit more difficult to remove. Palladium on carbon in H$_2$ atmosphere in different solvents and under different temperatures either led to no conversion or decomposition of the starting material at higher temperatures. Instead palladium hydroxide on carbon in ethanol and ethyl acetate was tried and gave the trial as the only product. Finally the benzyl groups were removed using the Zemplén conditions and the target molecules 5 and 6 were synthesized in 6% and 4% over three steps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Mono-saccharide 3</th>
<th>Hexa-saccharide 34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>THF, thiourea, Bu$_2$N, NaHCO$_3$</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DABCO, EtOH/THF 2:1</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.02 M NaOMe, THF</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NaBH$_4$, EtOH/THF 1:1</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Et$_3$N, MeOH/THF 1:1</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The protecting groups were removed in the following order: 5-O-TBDDS groups, the anionic benzyl group and last the benzyl groups, to keep the intermediate compounds as apolar as possible, in order to facilitate handling and purification by chromatography on silica. Without the complication from the chloroacetyl group, the TBDDS groups were removed using TBAF in THF. The anionic benzyl group turned out to be a bit more difficult to remove. Palladium on carbon in H$_2$ atmosphere in different solvents and under different temperatures either led to no conversion or decomposition of the starting material at higher temperatures. Instead palladium hydroxide on carbon in ethanol and ethyl acetate was tried and gave the trial as the only product. Finally the benzyl groups were removed using the Zemplén conditions and the target molecules 5 and 6 were synthesized in 6% and 4% over three steps.

Table 1 Conditions to remove the chloroacetyl group from monosaccharide 3 and 34.
Scheme 9: Steps to synthesize the two unprotected octaethylbides 3 and 6.
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Conclusions

In summary, we report here a concise synthetic strategy for two (1→3)-linked α-octarabinofuranosides related to the plant polysaccharide pectin. Two different core hepxasaccharide backbones were branched on the former monosaccharide on either the 2- or the 3-position with a diarabinofuranoside. Furthermore, the synthetic route allows one to branch the hexasaccharide backbone with a variety of different building blocks.
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