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Abstract—Row–column-addressed CMUT arrays suffer from low receive sensitivity of the bottom elements due to a capacitive coupling to the substrate. The capacitive coupling increases the parasitic capacitance. A simple approach to reduce the parasitic capacitance is presented, which is based on depleting the semiconductor substrate. To reduce the parasitic capacitance by 80% the bulk doping concentration should be at most $10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$. Experimental results show that the parasitic capacitance can be reduced by 87% by applying a substrate potential of 6 V relative to the bottom electrodes. The depletion of the semiconductor substrate can be sustained for at least 10 minutes making it applicable for row–column-addressed CMUT arrays for ultrasonic imaging. Theoretically the reduced parasitic capacitance indicates that the receive sensitivity of the bottom elements can be increased by a factor of 2.1.

I. INTRODUCTION

Row–column-addressed (RCA) 2-D arrays for ultrasonic imaging has recently attracted some attention, as they offer volumetric imaging with a greatly reduced channel count compared to fully addressed matrix arrays. The idea is to select the elements in the 2-D array either by the row or column index. Each row or column thereby acts as one large element. This effectively turns the array into two 1-D arrays, which are oriented perpendicular to each other. A corner of a capacitive micromachined ultrasonic transducer (CMUT) RCA array is shown in Fig. 1, with four row/top (orange) and four column/bottom (blue) elements and part of the top elements are removed to reveal the underlying CMUT cells.

Several groups have presented RCA CMUT arrays based on different fabrication processes [1]–[4]. They are all fabricated on a silicon substrate with an insulator separating the bottom electrodes from the substrate. The drawback is that the bottom electrodes will couple capacitively to the substrate, which appears to be grounded. This coupling introduces an increased parasitic capacitance of the bottom elements, which lowers the receive sensitivity [1], [3], [4]. A decrease of sensitivity lowers the signal-to-noise ratio of the received signal, and especially flow estimations are effected, as they rely on detecting echoes from the blood where the signal strength lies 30 to 40 dB below the signal from the surrounding tissue [5].

The objective of this paper is to experimentally demonstrate that by depleting the silicon substrate, the parasitic capacitance can be reduced significantly, and it can be sustained for a longer period of time making it applicable for RCA arrays for ultrasonic imaging.

Experimental results show that the parasitic capacitance can be reduced by 87% by applying a substrate potential of 6 V relative to the bottom electrodes. The depletion of the semiconductor substrate can be sustained for at least 10 minutes making it applicable for RCA arrays for ultrasonic imaging. Theoretically the reduced parasitic capacitance indicates that the receive sensitivity of the bottom elements can be increased by a factor of 2.1.

II. CAPACITIVE SUBSTRATE COUPLING

The measured element capacitances of a 62+62 RCA CMUT probe (described in [4]) is shown in Fig. 2. Elements numbered 1-62 are colored blue and correspond to the bottom electrodes, and elements numbered 63-124 are colored orange and correspond to the top electrodes. The element capacitance of the bottom electrodes are roughly three times higher than the capacitance of the top electrodes, as a result of the capacitive coupling to the substrate. Fig. 3 illustrates two cross sections of a CMUT RCA array, (a) shows a cut perpendicular to the top electrodes and (b) shows a cut rotated 90°, perpendicular to the bottom electrodes. When a top electrode is probed (Fig. 3(a)) all bottom electrodes are grounded, hence only $C_{CMUT}$ is measured. When a bottom electrode is probed (Fig. 3(b)) the substrate will appear grounded, since the signal may follow a path through the substrate and couple to ground via the neighboring bottom electrodes. Although the substrate has a non-negligible impedance, $Z_s$, the parallel coupling of
The parasitic capacitance, when depleting the substrate, can be modeled as two capacitors in series, the oxide capacitance, $C_{\text{ox}}$, and the depletion capacitance, $C_d$. The parasitic capacitance, $C_{\text{para}}$, normalized to the oxide capacitance is given by

$$\frac{C_{\text{para}}}{C_{\text{ox}}} = \frac{C_d}{C_d + C_{\text{ox}}} = \frac{t_{\text{ox}}\epsilon_{\text{si}}}{x_d\epsilon_{\text{ox}} + t_{\text{ox}}\epsilon_{\text{si}}},$$

where $t_{\text{ox}}$ is the oxide thickness, $x_d$ is the depletion width, and $\epsilon_{\text{ox}}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{si}}$ is the permittivity of the silicon oxide and silicon, respectively.

The maximum depletion width, $x_{dt}$, of an n-type substrate is calculated as [8]

$$x_{dt} = \sqrt{\frac{4V_t\epsilon_{\text{si}} \ln (N_d/n_i)}{qN_d}},$$

where $V_t$ is the thermal voltage, $q$ is the elementary electric charge, $n_i$ is the intrinsic carrier concentration, and $N_d$ is the bulk doping concentration. The maximum depletion width will depend on the bulk doping concentration and likewise the parasitic capacitance. Fig. 4 shows the normalized parasitic capacitance when the substrate is depleted, (2), for a device having a silicon oxide (insulator) thickness of 1 $\mu$m. To reduce the parasitic capacitance with 80% the bulk doping concentration should be $\sim 10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$. Such low bulk doping concentration can be obtained by manufacturing the wafers using the Float-zone technique.
Fig. 4: The parasitic capacitance, $C_{\text{para}}$, when the substrate is fully depleted normalized to the oxide capacitance, $C_{\text{ox}}$, as a function of bulk doping concentration, $N_d$. The values are calculated by combining (2) and (3) where $t_{\text{ox}} = 1 \, \mu m$.

III. DESIGN AND FABRICATION

In this study two wafers were fabricated to mimic the bottom electrodes of a 62+62 RCA CMUT array described in [4] with the exact same dimensions. Two SOI wafers were utilized both with a 2 $\mu m$ low resistivity (0.01–0.001 $\Omega cm$) p-type device layer and a 1 $\mu m$ thick buried oxide. The substrate of the first wafer is manufactured using the Czochralski process, is n-type having a bulk doping concentration of $N_d \approx 10^{15}$ $cm^{-3}$ and is referred to as CZ. The substrate of the second wafer is manufactured using the Float-zone process and is also n-type having a bulk doping concentration of $N_d \approx 10^{12}$ $cm^{-3}$ and is referred to as FZ.

A 200 nm aluminum layer is deposited on top of the device layer and an etch mask was defined on top of the aluminum using UV lithography to create the bottom electrodes. The aluminum was etched in a heated wet etch based on phosphoric acid and with the same mask the silicon was etched using a deep reactive ion etch (DRIE).

A gallium-indium eutectic was applied on the backside of the wafers to form an ohmic contact to the substrate to be able to control the substrate potential.

IV. MEASUREMENT SETUP

The capacitance between the bottom electrode and the substrate was measured using an Agilent B1500A semiconductor Device Parameter Analyzer. The complex impedance is measured at 100 kHz and the capacitance is extracted using a series resistance model (Fig. 5(b)). This is chosen rather than the parallel resistance model (Fig. 5(a)) as the leakage current through the silicon oxide is assumed to be negligible due to its thickness. All measurements are carried out in darkness, to minimize the generation of charge carriers. A final CMUT array would be mounted in a probe and covered with a polymer for electrical insulation, hence no light can reach the CMUT and generate charge carriers.

Two different measurements were performed. 1: The capacitance was extracted as a function of substrate voltage and the voltage was swept from $-25$ V (accumulation) to $25$ V (depletion). 2: The capacitance was measured over time for varying substrate voltages to investigate the effect of depleting the substrate on a long term scale. The substrate potential was held in accumulation ($-25$ V) for 100 seconds before starting the measurement with a new substrate voltage. This was done to have a well defined starting condition.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The parasitic capacitance, $C_{\text{para}}$, normalized to the oxide capacitance, $C_{\text{ox}}$, measured for the two wafer types are shown in Fig. 6. As predicted by (2) the CZ substrate, with a bulk doping concentration of $10^{15}$ $cm^{-3}$, will only reduce the parasitic capacitance by less than 20%. By using the FZ substrate, with a bulk doping concentration of $10^{12}$ $cm^{-3}$, the parasitic capacitance is reduced to almost 10% of the oxide capacitance when depleting the substrate. This verifies that a low bulk doping concentration is required to reduce the coupling to the substrate substantially. The voltage is swept over a couple of seconds, whereas an ultrasound examination takes several minutes or even longer. To investigate the influence of time, the capacitance was measured over time for the FZ wafer. Fig. 7 shows a contour plot of the parasitic capacitance, $C_{\text{para}}$, normalized to the oxide capacitance, $C_{\text{ox}}$, over time for varying substrate voltages. At the time $t = 0 \, \text{min}$ the measured capacitance is similar to the CV curve shown in Fig. 6. As time goes, the measured capacitance is seen to increase, and this is most pronounced for higher substrate voltages. This is contrary to the expected, where a constant capacitance is expected when depleting the substrate. At 25 V after ten minutes the capacitance is increased to 46% of the oxide capacitance.

Further investigation is needed to clarify the mechanism resulting in the increase capacitance over time. A high bias voltage is therefore not advisable, instead a minimum of the capacitance at a substrate voltage of 6 V is observed. By applying 6 V to the substrate relative to the bottom electrodes, the parasitic capacitance can be reduced to below 13% of the oxide capacitance for at least 10 minutes. For a specific
device, as the one described in [4] where $C_m = 12 \, \text{pF}$, the electro-mechanical coupling factor will theoretically increase by a factor of 2.1 using (1).

VI. Conclusion

This paper demonstrated the experimental results of a simple method for reducing the capacitive substrate coupling, causing a low receive sensitivity of RCA arrays. The method is based on depletion of the semiconductor substrate by applying a potential to the substrate relative to the bottom electrodes. This method can be directly implemented into existing fabrication processes where the only requirements are that the bulk doping concentration of the substrate is low ($< 10^{12} \, \text{cm}^{-3}$) and a contact is made for controlling the substrate potential. Experimental results show that the parasitic capacitance can be reduced by 87% by applying a substrate potential of 6 V relative to the bottom electrodes. The depletion of the semiconductor substrate can be sustained for at least 10 minutes making it applicable for RCA CMUT arrays for ultrasonic imaging. Theoretically the reduced parasitic capacitance indicates that the receive sensitivity of the bottom elements can be increased by a factor of 2.1.
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