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We investigate polarization squeezing in squeezed coherent states with varying coherent amplitudes. In contrast to the traditional characterization based on the full Stokes parameters, we experimentally determine the Stokes vector of each excitation subspace separately. Only for states with a fixed photon number do the methods coincide; when the photon number is indefinite, we parse the state in Fock layers, finding that substantially higher squeezing can be observed in some of the single layers. By capitalizing on the properties of the Husimi Q function, we map this notion onto the Poincaré space, providing a full account of the measured squeezing.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Heisenberg’s uncertainty principle [1] epitomizes the basic tenets of quantum theory and it comes out as a strict trade-off: fluctuations of a given observable can always be reduced below some threshold at the expense of an increase in the fluctuations of another observable. A time-honored example of this trade-off is provided by quadrature squeezed states of light [2], which can be generated, for example, with lower uncertainty in their amplitude and higher uncertainty in their phase.

The notion of squeezing, while universal for harmonic oscillatorlike systems, is otherwise far from unique. For spinlike systems there are several approaches [3–5]: all of them compare fluctuations of suitably chosen observables with a threshold given by some reference state. Spin squeezed states have attracted a lot of attention in recent years as they might constitute an important resource in quantum information [6,7].

As the Stokes operators [8], specifying the polarization properties of quantum fields, match the standard features of an angular momentum, the parallel between spin and polarization squeezing [9] cannot come as a surprise. Actually, for states with fixed photon number both notions coincide and have been experimentally demonstrated [10]. In the opposite regime of an indefinite number of photons (often involving bright states [11]), polarization squeezing has been reported in numerous systems, including parametric amplifiers [12,13], optical fibers [14,15], and atomic vapors [16,17]. The uncertainty in photon number now forces us to scrutinize multiple excitation subspaces.

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no studies on the transition between these two regimes. The goal of this work is to explore both within a single experiment. Using two optical parametric amplifiers, complemented with a phase-space displacement, we squeeze various fixed photon-number subspaces. Polarization squeezing is analyzed as a function of the coherent amplitude, finding out that this operation tends to degrade squeezing. In addition, this
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global phases: the only accessible polarization information from any density matrix $\hat{\rho}$ (which describes the state) is in its block-diagonal form $\rho_{\text{pol}} = \oplus S_\beta |\psi\rangle \langle \psi |$, where $\rho^{(S)}$ is the reduced density matrix in the subspace with spin $S$. Accordingly, we drop henceforth the subscript pol. This $\rho_{\text{pol}}$ has been dubbed the polarization sector [20] or the polarization density matrix [21].

An example of the density matrix of one of our experimentally acquired states is shown in Fig. 1, where the submatrices associated with different Fock layers are displayed.

The shot-noise limit in the layer of spin $S$ (i.e., $N = 2S$ photons) is settled in terms of $\text{SU}(2)$ (or spin) coherent states [22]. They are defined as

$$|S, \mathbf{n}\rangle = D(\mathbf{n})|S, S\rangle,$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ is a unit vector [with spherical angles ($\theta, \phi$)] on the Poincaré sphere of radius $\sqrt{S(S+1)}$ and $D(\mathbf{n}) = \exp(\mathbf{n} \cdot \hat{S})$ plays the role of a displacement on that sphere. For these states the variances of the Stokes operators $\langle \Delta^2 S_k \rangle = \langle \hat{S}_k^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{S}_k \rangle^2$ depend on $\mathbf{n}$, and there exists a preferred direction: the mean spin direction. The corresponding variances in the direction $\mathbf{n}_{\perp}$, perpendicular to the mean spin are isotropic and $\Delta^2 S_{\perp} = S/2$, which is taken as the shot noise. In consequence, polarization squeezing for an arbitrary state occurs whenever the condition $\inf_{\mathbf{n}} \Delta^2 S_{\perp} < S/2$ holds true.

A way to get around the dependence on the directions is to use the real symmetric $3 \times 3$ covariance matrix for the Stokes variables [23], defined as

$$\Gamma_{kl} = \frac{1}{2} (\langle \hat{S}_k \hat{S}_l \rangle - \langle \hat{S}_k \rangle \langle \hat{S}_l \rangle),$$

where $\{,\}$ is the anticommutator. In terms of this matrix $\Gamma$, we have $\Delta^2 S_{\perp} = \mathbf{n}^t \Gamma \mathbf{n}$ (superscript $t$ denotes transposition) and, since $\Gamma$ is positive definite, the minimum of $\Delta^2 S_{\perp}$ exists and it is unique. If we incorporate the constraint $\mathbf{n}^t \cdot \mathbf{n} = 1$ as a Lagrange multiplier $\gamma$, this minimum is given by $\Gamma \mathbf{n} = \gamma \mathbf{n}$: the admissible values of $\gamma$ are thus the eigenvalues of $\Gamma$ and the directions minimizing $\Delta^2 S_{\perp}$ are the corresponding eigenvectors. Therefore, we can define the degree of polarization squeezing as

$$\xi^2 = \inf_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{\Delta^2 S_{\perp}}{S/2} = \frac{4\gamma_{\text{min}}}{N},$$

where $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum eigenvalue of $\Gamma$.

We stress, though, that this definition is not unique and a number of proposals can be found in the literature, each one being specially tailored for specific purposes [5].

When the state spans several Fock layers, we follow Ref. [24] and bring to bear an averaged Stokes vector $\langle \hat{S} \rangle = \sum_{S=0}^{\infty} P_S \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^{(S)} \hat{S})$, where $P_S$ is the photon-number distribution. As a result, the squeezing of the state can be much lower than the corresponding one in the individual layers.

### III. EXPERIMENT

To confirm these issues we use the setup sketched in Fig. 2. It comprises two optical parametric amplifiers (OPA1 and OPA2) operating below threshold and pumped with a 532 nm continuous-wave laser beam to produce two quadrature squeezed states. The parametric down-conversion processes are based on type I quasi-phase-matched periodically poled KTP crystals and generate squeezed states in one polarization mode. The OPAs were seeded with dim laser beams at 1064 nm to facilitate the locking (Pound-Drever-Hall technique [25]) of the cavities and several phases of the experiment. One of the seed beams is modulated via an electro-optical modulator (EOM) at the sideband frequency of 4.9 MHz relative to the carrier frequency and with variable modulation depth, allowing one to control the amplitude of the thereby generated coherent states. The resulting modes are combined on a polarization beam splitter (PBS) to form the state

$$|\Psi \rangle = \hat{S}(r_H)(\hat{D}(\alpha_H)|0_H\rangle \otimes \hat{S}(r_V)|0_V\rangle)$$

Here, $\hat{D}(\alpha) = \exp(\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^* \hat{a})$ is the displacement and $\hat{S}(r) = \exp((r \hat{a}^\dagger)^2 - r \hat{a}^2)/2$ is the squeezing operator. The indexes $H$ and $V$ denote the mode to which the operator is applied. Since $r_H \approx r_V \approx 0.41$, we drop the corresponding subscripts. In addition, $\alpha$ will subsequently designate the amplitude of the horizontal component of the state after OPA1, which has been constrained to be a real number. Experimentally, we achieve about 3.6 dB of quadrature squeezing in both modes and about 4.4 dB of excess noise along the antisqueezing direction.

To characterize the polarization state, the beam is directed to the verification stage. A quarter-wave plate (QWP) and a half-wave plate (HWP) allow one to verify the interference between the orthogonal polarization modes and to control

FIG. 2. Experimental setup. Two optical parametric amplifiers (OPA1 and OPA2) independently squeeze coherent seed beams in orthogonal polarization modes $H$ and $V$. The seed beam entering OPA1 is modulated at the sideband frequency of 4.9 MHz. The modes are spatially combined on a polarization beam splitter (PBS) and interference between the modes can be adjusted with the combination of a quarter-wave plate (QWP) and a half-wave plate (HWP). The polarization states are separated into orthogonal components followed by homodyne tomography.
of the total state as a function of the coherent amplitude \( \alpha \).

FIG. 3. Experimental results for different values of the coherent amplitude \( \alpha \). (a) Polarization squeezing as a function of the excitation subspace. (b) Photon-number distributions. (c) Polarization squeezing of the total state as a function of the coherent amplitude \( \alpha \). (d) Distribution of \( W_K \) as a function of the multipole order \( K \).

This coincides with the direct measurement of the bright squeezed vacuum states in Ref. [27].

The polarization squeezing of the entire state is also presented in Fig. 3(c) as a function of \( \alpha \). The experimental results are compared to a numerical simulation based on the measured single-mode squeezing and excess noise. For small amplitudes, mainly the inner layers dominate the squeezing. In the opposite limit of large amplitudes, the Stokes measurement reduces to a quadrature measurement, and thus the degree of polarization squeezing will no longer be determined by the photon-number correlations but by quadrature correlations. Deviations from the theoretical curve are due to small fluctuations in the squeezing and excess noise parameters between individual measurement runs.

It is worth stressing that parsing the state into Fock layers turns out to be crucial to analyze the experimental results. If one computes the covariance matrix of (4) deemed as a two-mode state, one gets

\[
\Gamma = \frac{1}{4} \text{diag}(|\alpha|^2e^{i\phi} + \sinh^2(2\gamma)|, |\alpha|^2, |\alpha|^2 e^{i\phi}),
\]

and \( \langle \hat{N} \rangle = |\alpha|^2 e^{i\phi} + 2 \sinh^2 \gamma. \) The mean spin direction is \( \langle \hat{S} \rangle = (0, 0, |\alpha|^2 e^{i\phi}), \) so the direction \( \hat{n}_\perp \) is just the plane 1-2.

By a direct extension of (3) we have

\[
\xi^2 = \frac{4y_{\min}}{\langle \hat{N} \rangle} = \frac{|\alpha|^2}{|\alpha|^2 e^{i\phi} + 2 \sinh^2 \gamma}.
\]

Whereas this gives the correct limit discussed before for \( \alpha \to \infty \), it fails to reproduce the observed squeezing for \( \alpha \to 0 \). In this conventional approach, the squeezing emerges as the variance of the radius of the sphere, and not because of the quantum correlations, as might be expected. Only when parsing, such correlations are explicitly revealed.

**IV. POLARIZATION SQUEEZING IN PHASE SPACE**

We also lay out a phase-space picture of our previous discussion. A very handy way to convey the full information of the density matrix \( \rho^{(3)} \) associated to our states in (4) is through the Husimi \( Q \) function, defined as \( Q^{(S)}(\bf{n}) = \langle S, \bf{n} | \rho^{(3)} | S, \bf{n} \rangle. \) In this way, \( Q^{(S)}(\bf{n}) \) appears as the projection onto \( SU(2) \) coherent states, which have the most definite polarization allowed by quantum theory. When the state involves multiple layers we have [28]

\[
Q(\bf{n}) = \sum_S \frac{2S + 1}{4\pi} - Q^{(S)}(\bf{n}).
\]

This is an appealing feature of this function: because of the lack of the off-diagonal contributions with \( S \neq S' \), the \( Q \) function takes the form of an average over the layers with definite total number of excitations. Actually, the sum over \( S \) in (7) removes the total intensity of the field in such a way that \( Q(\bf{n}) \) contains only the relevant polarization information.

The expansion coefficients of \( Q^{(S)}(\bf{n}) \) in spherical harmonics, which are a basis for the functions on the sphere \( S^2 \), read

\[
\hat{Q}^{(S)}_{Kq} = \sqrt{\frac{2S + 1}{4\pi}} C_{SS,K0}^{S2,SS,K0} \int d^2 \bf{n} Y_{Kq}(\bf{n}) Q^{(S)}(\bf{n}),
\]

where \( K = 0, \ldots, 2S \) and \( C_{SS,K0}^{S2,SS,K0} \) is a Clebsch-Gordan coefficient introduced for a proper normalization. The \( \hat{Q}^{(S)}_{Kq} \) are the...
standard state multipoles [29], proportional to the $K$th power of the Stokes variables. They can also be related to measures of state localization on the sphere [28].

The quantity $\mathcal{W}_K(\mathcal{S}) = \sum_{q=-K}^K |\mathcal{Q}_K(q)|^2$ is the square of the state overlapping with the $K$th multipole pattern in the $S$th subspace. When there is a distribution of photon numbers, we sum over all of them to obtain $\mathcal{W}_K$ [30]. In Fig. 3(d) we represent $\mathcal{W}_K$ as a function of the multipole order for four values of the amplitude $\alpha$. From a practical viewpoint only the dipole ($K = 1$) and the quadrupole ($K = 2$) are noticeable. For $\alpha = 0$ the dipole is almost negligible while the quadrupole is the leading contribution. The dipole becomes larger as $\alpha$ increases, whereas the opposite happens for the quadrupole: a clear indication that the state gets more and more localized.

In Fig. 4 we plot the Husimi function of the first six layers of a squeezed coherent state as in Eq. (4), with $\alpha = 1.13$. The birth of polarization squeezing is nicely observed: for the one-photon layer, the polarization spreads over the sphere and we expect no squeezing, whereas in the two-photon layer the uncertainty becomes squeezed and belts around the sphere. As the photon number is further increased, the squeezing becomes more evident and the uncertainty area becomes more localized, tracing out a squeezed ellipse on the sphere.

In Fig. 5 the Husimi function of the entire state parsed in its Fock layers is illustrated for three displacements. When $\alpha = 0$, the innermost sphere with $S = 1/2$ is highly occupied, while the outer ones are almost empty. A strong directional bias appears when $\alpha$ increases. We also plot the total $\mathcal{Q}$ computed as in Eq. (7), wherein the squeezing becomes conspicuous. In the bottom panel we include the views of the parsed Husimi function along the three coordinate axes for the state with $\alpha = 2.31$. The typical cigarlike projections, familiar from previous measurements [27], can be recognized.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have presented a complete characterization of polarization squeezing of squeezed coherent states. Parsing the Poincaré space into Fock layers has played a pivotal role. By varying the coherent amplitude, we have witnessed the transition from states living in one single layer to those spreading over many of them. Far from being an academic curiosity, this has allowed us to clarify previous discrepancies with the experiment. Using the Husimi $\mathcal{Q}$ function for the problem at hand we have been able to envision that transition in a very intuitive manner.
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