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Silicone elastomer was cast in glass vials. Experiments to determine partition ratios (µg L⁻¹) were exposed to water, continuously (µg L⁻¹) for 48-h immobilisation experiments with Daphnia magna.

This study addresses the acute toxicity of pyrethroid insecticides towards the aquatic invertebrate Daphnia magna and asks:

1. Is pyrethroid toxicity generally underestimated in the literature due to poorly controlled exposure?
2. At which chemical activity do pyrethroids exert their toxicity, and how similar are the median effect chemical activity (EC₅₀) for different pyrethroids?
3. How much more toxic are pyrethroids relative to baseline toxicity?

Conclusions

Based on current data, the following was concluded:

1. In general, the median effect concentrations (EC₅₀) were in agreement with lowest literature values (Figure 2), and these studies thereby validate each other. To the contrary, higher literature values seem to underestimate pyrethroid toxicity.

2. The three pyrethroids had median effective chemical activities (EC₅₀) in the chemical activity range 0.00001 to 0.001 (Figure 3), corresponding to median immobilisation at 0.01 to 1% of the pyrethroid’s subcooled liquid solubility. The EC₅₀ values were within 2 orders of magnitude.

3. The three pyrethroids were 1-3 orders of magnitude more toxic relative to baseline toxicity (Figure 3). In this way, excess toxicity was expressed by EC₅₀ values well below the chemical activity range 0.01 to 0.1 for the initiation of baseline toxicity.
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Introduction and objectives

It is challenging to control and express exposure of hydrophobic organic compounds in aquatic toxicity experiments, due to the sorption of these compounds to vessel surfaces and organic material. In the current study, passive dosing was used to tightly control exposure throughout toxicity experiments [1], while chemical activity was used to express exposure and form basis for comparison of toxicity data [2].

This study addresses the acute toxicity of pyrethroid insecticides towards the aquatic invertebrate Daphnia magna and asks:

1. Is pyrethroid toxicity generally underestimated in the literature due to poorly controlled exposure?
2. At which chemical activity do pyrethroids exert their toxicity, and how similar are the median effect chemical activity (EC₅₀) for different pyrethroids?
3. How much more toxic are pyrethroids relative to baseline toxicity?

Experimental

Passive dosing with silicone was used to set and maintain freely dissolved concentrations of α-cypermethrin, esfenvalerate and bifenthrin in 48-h immobilisation experiments with Daphnia magna.

- Silicone elastomer was cast in glass vials.
- Silicone was loaded with test compounds (Csilicone).
- Test organisms were exposed in water, continuously equilibrated with loaded silicone (Cfree).
- Experiments to determine partition ratios (Ksilicone-water) for the specific silicone are ongoing.

Results

Figure 2. Immobilisation (%) of Daphnia magna after 48 h exposure to the three pyrethroids as a function of freely dissolved concentration (Cfree, µg L⁻¹). The median effect concentrations (EC₅₀) are given, with ranges of literature EC₅₀ values indicated by dark grey bars. (1) The EC₅₀ values correspond to 100 pmol L⁻¹ (95% CI: 149-219 pmol L⁻¹) for α-cypermethrin; 333 pmol L⁻¹ (95% CI: 298-374 pmol L⁻¹) for esfenvalerate and 357 pmol L⁻¹ (95% CI: 300-426 pmol L⁻¹) for bifenthrin. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (n=6).

Figure 3. Regression of subcooled liquid solubility (Cₛ, mmol L⁻¹), solid line, (13) and lines representing the chemical activity 0.1, 0.01, 0.001 and 0.0001 (x, unit less, broken lines). The 95% confidence intervals (CI) for chemical activity range from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻³ for the initiation of baseline toxicity. EC₅₀ values of naphthalene (1), phenanthrene (2), α-cypermethrin (3), esfenvalerate (4) and bifenthrin (5) are plotted against their Kᵣ values. The median effect chemical activity (EC₅₀) is +0.000032, +0.00032 and +0.00079 for α-cypermethrin, esfenvalerate and bifenthrin, respectively.
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