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ITER Schedule

- Costs: 15 billion euro
- Start of construction 2010
- First plasma: 2020 using H as test fuel
- 2027: Q=10, 50MW in 500MW out
- Deuterium+Tritium ~ 14.1 MeV (neutrons) 3.5 MeV (alfa)
- Decommissioning 2040
- DEMO ~ 2035-2040
# Overall Tokamak parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Fusion Power &amp; Plasma</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total fusion power</td>
<td>500 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma major radius (R)</td>
<td>6.2 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma minor radius (a)</td>
<td>2.0 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma current ($I_p$)</td>
<td>15 MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(eventual 17MA Capability)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toroidal field at 6.2 m radius ($B_T$)</td>
<td>5.3 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approximate Plasma Volume</td>
<td>816m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approximate Plasma Surface</td>
<td>680m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Components</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of TF coils</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of CS modules</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of PF coils</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Vessel Coils – ELM suppression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Vertical Stabilization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum vessel segmentation (fabrication)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divertor segmentation (Cassettes)</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shielding Blanket Modules</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ports (Lower, Equatorial, Upper)</td>
<td>44 (9 + 17 + 18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryostat</td>
<td>1 assembly (4 sections)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal shields</td>
<td>4 sub-assemblies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VVPSS</td>
<td>1 assembly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The phases of ITER

- Start Tokamak Complex excavation: 2010
- Start Tokamak Construction: 2013
- Arrival of the first manufactured component: 2014
- Complete Tokamak Assembly, Begin Commissioning: 2019
- First Plasma: 2020
- Start Deuterium-Tritium Operations: 2027
Progress on the ITER platform

[Image of the ITER platform and its components: ITER HQ, Assembly Hall, Tokamak Pit, Subcontractors Area, Water basins, PF Coils Building, Cryostat Workshop, 400 kV switchyard]
Tokamak Pit seismic system with 493 columns

The Tokamak Pit

Resting on the 493 columns of the Tokamak Pit seismic system, a second basemat (1.5-m. thick) will support the 360,000-ton Tokamak Complex buildings.
Tokamak Pit
Background

- Recently F4E awarded DTU and IST to partner in the design of a Collective Thomson scattering (CTS) diagnostic for ITER, F4E-FPA-393
- The CTS diagnostic utilizes probing radiation of ~60 GHz emitted into the plasma and, using a mirror, collects the scattered radiation by an array of receivers
- Having a direct and unshielded view to the plasma, the first mirror will be subject to significant radiation and among the first tasks in the CTS design, is to determine whether the mirror will need active cooling
- In order to address this question, a simplified MCNP model of the relevant equatorial port plug #12 was developed based on the full C-lite ITER MCNP model
- The first steps toward benchmarking the simplified model to the full C-lite model have almost been completed
- Based on this, we have done the first calculations of heat-loads across the mirror
Reasons for joining ITER project

- Experience in calculation of neutron and gamma fluxes and neutron activation in fission reactors by means of the Monte Carlo code MCNP

- Local Association Euratom/Risø DTU Plasma group, need for in-house neutronics calculation capabilities for designing the Collective Thomson Scattering (CTS) diagnostics system to be installed in one of the drawers in equatorial port plug #12
A simplified ITER 40 degree geometry input model for MCNP-5 has been developed.

Detailed geometric description of the CTS diagnostics system

Well suited for parametric studies

The input model has been benchmarked against the ITER A-lite model.
Examples of geometry covered and results obtained

XZ and XY cross sections of the Torus 40 degrees model.
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Benchmark

Total heat deposition in the Blanket
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Benchmark

Neutron flux in the Vacuum Vessel

Energy (MeV) vs. Flux (neutron/(MeV*sec*cm^2))

TORUS FEAT

A-lite
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Mirror in slit

First Mirror
Blanket module key
Beams (extreme cases)
Blanket #3 Blanket cut-out
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Nuclear heating of TF winding pack

![Graph showing nuclear heating of TF winding pack](image)

Design limit = \(1.4 \times 10^{-4}\) W/g
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Fast neutron flux in TF coil insulator (epoxy)

Fast neutron flux (E>0.1 MeV) in TF coil insulator

Fast neutron flux [10^{10} n/\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{s}^{-1}]

Slit height [mm]
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Design limit

Reactor Physics in the Nordic Countries, Göteborg Sweden May 11-12, 2015
Examples of geometry covered and results obtained

Position of the mirrors M1 and M2 of the LF CTS system
(XZ views)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Heat deposition in the mirrors of the LF CTS system.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirror 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirror 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mirror design of the CTS system

Figure 26: Modelling of the mirror shapes and the centre beam of the LFS CTS receiver
CTS launcher and receiver beams

Figure 27: Equatorial port #12 on ITER with LFS CTS launcher and receiver beams, rear view
CTS equatorial port plug
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Total heat load on mirror for different material composition
MCNP feature allowing to stop a simulation at a given surface, and restart it later.

Potential to speed up series of simulations:
- If the overall model is complicated (like C-lite)
- If the difference between configurations are small.

CTS mirror not in C-lite yet → test SSW/SSR on simple 40 degree model (with C-lite source discussed up till now).

- Capability to couple to ROOT analysis framework - proven useful in the design of the target-moderator-reflector system at the European Spallation Source.
Dose Rate Criteria (inside bio-shield)

- Where planned maintenance is required the dose-rate must be less than 100µSv/hr.
- For unplanned maintenance it must be ALARA and never more than 2mSv/hr.
- Average occupational radiation exposure must be less than 500 man.mSv/yr
- Systems must be designed with ALARA in mind
Shutdown dose-rate

Activation of especially Cobalt (50%) and Tantalum (20%) in stainless steel structure cause significant nuclear heating which is problematic in terms of maintenance

ITER limits: 100 $\mu$Sv/h 10 days after shutdown in areas were maintenance is expected

For the CTS this means the interspace area
Neutron attenuation through the equatorial port plug

*Figure 1: Orders of magnitude of neutron attenuation from the plasma to the Port Cell*
Methods for Shutdown Dose Rate Calculation

There are several methods to calculate dose rate at ITER:

- MCNP+FISPACT, MCNP6 (ACT card), "Advanced D1S method"
- In addition to these more precise methods, experience (NAR) show that for ITER a simple scaling of fast neutron (>1MeV) flux is able to predict dose-rate with a precision satisfactory for our purposes
Shutdown dose-rate calculation

- Neutron flux at entrance wall to the port interspace: 5.94807E-13 n/cm²/(source_neutron) >1MeV
- Conversion factor (normalization in CLITE): 1.9718E19 =>
- Flux: 5.94807E-13 x 1.9718E19 n/cm²/s = 6.35E8 n/cm²/s
- Flux to shutdown-dose-rate conversions:
  1.33E-5 (micro Sv/h) / (n/cm²/s)
- Shutdown dose-rate:
  6.35E8 n/cm²/s * 1.33E-5 (micro Sv/h) / (n/cm²/s)
  = 156 micro Sv/h
Horizontal view of Equitorial Port Plug from mcnp/C-lite
Conclusion

• Even without cut outs in FDW to install any version of the CTS, the limit is exceeded (consistent with observations at other diagnostics)

• => a global solution is needed, shielding must be added. For the CTS, we can study relative changes in shut-down dose-rates using the above scaling approach
Prospective

- Further benchmarking our 40 degree model against C-lite MCNP reference model
- Further neutronics analyses of the CTS system to determine whether active cooling of the CTS mirror is needed
- Calculation of the CTS system contribution to the shutdown dose rate of EPP #12
Thanks for your attention
Scale of equatorial port plug diagnostics first wall

**Scale of EPP EDFW**

(6) EDFW’s per EPP Port
Figure 3.15 - Equatorial port plug 1 and the new drawers concept.