



**EFSA CEF Panel (EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids), 2014. Scientific Opinion on Flavouring Group Evaluation 304, Revision 1 (FGE.304Rev1): Four carboxamides from Chemical Groups 30**

**EFSA publication; Beltoft, Vibe Meister; Binderup, Mona-Lise; Frandsen, Henrik Lauritz; Lund, Pia; Nørby, Karin Kristiane**

*Link to article, DOI:*  
[10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3769](https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3769)

*Publication date:*  
2014

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*  
EFSA publication (2014). EFSA CEF Panel (EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids), 2014. Scientific Opinion on Flavouring Group Evaluation 304, Revision 1 (FGE.304Rev1): Four carboxamides from Chemical Groups 30. Parma, Italy: European Food Safety Authority. The EFSA Journal, No. 3769, Vol.. 12(7), DOI: 10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3769

---

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## SCIENTIFIC OPINION

### Scientific Opinion on Flavouring Group Evaluation 304, Revision 1 (FGE.304Rev1): Four carboxamides from Chemical Groups 30<sup>1</sup>

#### EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids (CEF)<sup>2,3</sup>

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy

#### ABSTRACT

The Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids of the European Food Safety Authority was requested to evaluate four flavouring substances in the Flavouring Group Evaluation 304, Revision 1 (FGE.304Rev1) using the Procedure in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000. This revision is made due to a re-evaluation of one flavouring substance *N*-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-*p*-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118], as a 90-day dietary rat study has become available. One of the original five flavouring substances [FL-no: 16.124], for which additional data were requested, is no longer supported by the Industry for use as flavouring substance in Europe and will therefore not be considered any further in FGE.304Rev1. Therefore, FGE.304Rev1 will deal with four flavouring substances. None of the four substances were considered to have genotoxic potential. The substances were evaluated through a stepwise approach (the Procedure) that integrates information on structure-activity relationships, intake from current uses, toxicological threshold of concern, and available data on metabolism and toxicity. The Panel concluded that the four substances [FL-no: 16.117, 16.118, 16.123 and 16.125] do not give rise to safety concern at their levels of dietary intake, estimated on the basis of the MSDI approach. Besides the safety assessment of these flavouring substances, the specifications for the materials of commerce have also been considered. Specifications including complete purity criteria and identity for the materials of commerce have been provided for all four candidate substances.

© European Food Safety Authority, 2014

#### KEY WORDS

FGE.304, carboxamides, flavourings, food safety

<sup>1</sup> On request from the European Commission, Question No EFSA-Q-2013-00860, adopted on 3 July 2014.

<sup>2</sup> Panel members: Claudia Bolognesi, Laurence Castle, Jean-Pierre Cravedi, Karl-Heinz Engel, Paul Fowler, Roland Franz, Konrad Grob, Rainer Gürtler, Trine Husøy, Wim Mennes, Maria Rosaria Milana, André Penninks, Franz Roland, Vittorio Silano, Andrew Smith, Maria de Fátima Tavares Poças, Christina Tlustos, Fidel Toldrá, Detlef Wölfle and Holger Zorn. Correspondence: [fip@efsa.europa.eu](mailto:fip@efsa.europa.eu)

<sup>3</sup> Acknowledgement: The Panel wishes to thank the members of the Working Group on Flavourings: Ulla Beckman Sundh, Leon Brimer, Angelo Carere, Karl-Heinz Engel, Henrik Frandsen, Rainer Gürtler, Frances Hill, Trine Husøy, Wim Mennes, Gerard Mulder and Harriet Wallin for the preparatory work on this scientific opinion and the hearing experts: Vibe Beltoft, Pia Lund and Karin Nørby and EFSA staff: Annamaria Rossi and Kim Rygaard Nielsen for the support provided to this scientific opinion.

Suggested citation: EFSA CEF Panel (EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids), 2014. Scientific Opinion on Flavouring Group Evaluation 304, Revision 1 (FGE.304Rev1): Four carboxamides from Chemical Groups 30. EFSA Journal 2014;12(7):3769, 35 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3769

Available online: [www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal](http://www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal)

## SUMMARY

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) asked the Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids (the Panel) to provide scientific advice to the Commission on the implications for human health of chemically defined flavouring substances used in or on foodstuffs in the Member States. In particular, the Panel was asked to evaluate four flavouring substances in the Flavouring Group Evaluation 304, Revision 1 (FGE.304Rev1), using the Procedure as referred to in the Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000. These four carboxamides [FL-no: 16.117, 16.118, 16.123 and 16.125] belong to chemical group 30, Annex I of the Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000.

The present Flavouring Group Evaluation deals with four carboxamides. Since the publication of the previous version, FGE.304, one of the original five candidate substances [FL-no: 16.124], for which additional data were required, is no longer supported by Industry for use as flavouring substance in Europa and will therefore not be considered any further. This revision of FGE.304, FGE.304Rev1, therefore only deals with four candidate substances *N-p*-benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117], *N*-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-*p*-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118], (1*R*,2*S*,5*R*)-*N*-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.123] and (2*S*,5*R*)-*N*-[4-(2-amino-2-oxoethyl)phenyl]-5-methyl-2-(propan-2-yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.125].

Further, the present revision of FGE.304, FGE.304Rev1, includes the assessment of new toxicity data on *N*-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-*p*-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118] for which additional data were required.

The four flavouring substances possess chiral centres. All substances have been presented with specification of the stereoisomeric composition.

All candidate substances were assigned to structural class III, according to the decision tree approach presented by Cramer et al., 1978.

None of the candidate substances have been reported to occur naturally.

In its evaluation, the Panel as a default used the “Maximised Survey-derived Daily Intake” (MSDI) approach to estimate the per capita intakes of the flavouring substances in Europe. However, when the Panel examined the information provided by the European Flavouring Industry on the use levels in various foods, it appeared obvious that the MSDI approach in a number of cases would grossly underestimate the intake by regular consumers of products flavoured at the use level reported by the Industry, especially in those cases where the annual production values were reported to be small. In consequence, the Panel had reservations about the data on use and use levels provided and the intake estimates obtained by the MSDI approach.

In the absence of more precise information that would enable the Panel to make a more realistic estimate of the intakes of the flavouring substances, the Panel has decided also to perform an estimate of the daily intakes per person using a “modified Theoretical Added Maximum Daily Intake” (mTAMDI) approach based on the normal use levels reported by Industry. In those cases where the mTAMDI approach indicated that the intake of a flavouring substance might exceed its corresponding threshold of concern, the Panel decided not to carry out a formal safety assessment using the Procedure. In these cases the Panel requires more precise data on use and use levels.

Genotoxicity data are available for three substances. The Panel concluded that the data available do not give rise to safety concern with respect to genotoxicity for any of the candidate substances.

On the basis of the available data, the hydrolysis of the candidate substances cannot be excluded. However, owing to the lack of further data, the candidate substances cannot be anticipated to be metabolised to innocuous products.

According to the default MSDI approach, the three flavouring substances [FL-no: 16.118, 16.123 and 16.125] in this group have intakes in Europe from 6.1 to 61  $\mu\text{g}/\text{capita}/\text{day}$ , which are below the threshold of concern value for structural class III of 90  $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$ . For the remaining substance [FL-no: 16.117] the intake of 120  $\mu\text{g}/\text{capita}/\text{day}$  is above the threshold of concern. However, an adequate NOAEL of 100 mg/kg body weight/day exists from a 90-day study with this candidate substance [FL-no: 16.117], which provides a margin of safety of  $5 \times 10^4$ . This substance is structurally related to the two substances [FL-no: 16.123 and 16.125] for which a margin of safety of  $3.3 \times 10^5$ , based on the combined estimated daily *per capita* intake, can be calculated. For the remaining candidate substance [FL-no: 16.118] a 90-day study has become available and a NOAEL to provide adequate margin of safety of 5000 is derived. Therefore, the four substances [FL-no: 16.117, 16.118, 16.123 and 16.125] are not anticipated to pose a safety concern when used as flavouring substances at the estimated levels of intake, based on the MSDI approach.

In order to determine whether the conclusion for the four flavouring substances can be applied to the materials of commerce, it is necessary to consider the available specifications. Adequate specifications including complete purity criteria and identity for the materials of commerce have been provided for all the flavouring substances evaluated through the Procedure. Thus, the final evaluation of the materials of commerce can be performed for all four substances.

In conclusion, for all substances [FL-no: 16.117, 16.118, 16.123 and 16.125], the Panel concluded that they would present no safety concern at the estimated levels of intake based on the MSDI approach.

However, when the estimated intakes were based on the mTAMDI approach, they ranged from 150 to 7800  $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$  for the four candidate substances from structural class III, which are above the threshold of concern for structural class III of 90  $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$ . Therefore more reliable exposure data are required for these substances [FL-no: 16.117, 16.118, 16.123 and 16.125]. On the basis of such additional data, these flavouring substances should be reconsidered using the Procedure. Subsequently, additional data might become necessary.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Abstract .....	1
Summary .....	2
Background as Provided by the European Commission .....	5
Terms of Reference as Provided by the European Commission .....	5
Assessment .....	6
1. History of the Evaluation of the Substances in FGE.304Rev1 .....	6
2. Presentation of the Substances in FGE.304Rev1 .....	6
2.1. Description .....	6
2.2. Stereoisomers .....	9
2.3. Natural Occurrence in Food .....	9
3. Specifications .....	9
4. Intake Data .....	9
4.1. Estimated Daily <i>per Capita</i> Intake (MSDI Approach) .....	10
4.2. Intake Estimated on the Basis of the Modified TAMDI (mTAMDI) .....	10
5. Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Elimination .....	11
6. Application of the Procedure for the Safety Evaluation of Flavouring Substances .....	12
7. Comparison of the Intake Estimations Based on the MSDI Approach and the mTAMDI Approach .....	13
8. Considerations of Combined Intakes from Use as Flavouring Substances .....	14
9. Toxicity .....	14
9.1. Acute Toxicity .....	14
9.2. Subacute, Subchronic, Chronic and Carcinogenicity Studies .....	14
9.3. Developmental / Reproductive Toxicity Studies .....	17
9.4. Genotoxicity Studies .....	17
Conclusions .....	18
References .....	23
Appendix A: Procedure for the Safety Evaluation .....	26
Appendix B: Use Levels / mTAMDI .....	28
Appendix C: Metabolism .....	33
Abbreviations .....	35
Table 1: Specification Summary for the Substances in FGE.304Rev1 .....	7
Table 2: Use of Candidate Substances in Various Food Categories .....	11
Table 3: Estimated Intakes Based on the MSDI Approach and the mTAMDI approach .....	14
Table 4: Summary of Safety Evaluation Applying the Procedure .....	20
Table 5: Acute Toxicity .....	21
Table 6: Subacute / Subchronic / Chronic / Carcinogenicity Studies .....	21
Table 7: Genotoxicity ( <i>in vitro</i> ) .....	22
Table 8: Genotoxicity ( <i>in vivo</i> ) .....	22

## BACKGROUND AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

The use of flavourings is regulated under Regulation (EC) No 1334/2008 of the European Parliament and Council of 16 December 2008<sup>4</sup> on flavourings and certain food ingredients with flavouring properties for use in and on foods. On the basis of Article 9(a) of this Regulation, an evaluation and approval are required for flavouring substances.

The Union list of flavourings and source materials was established by Commission Implementing Regulation (EC) No 872/2012<sup>5</sup>. The list contains flavouring substances for which the scientific evaluation should be completed in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000<sup>6</sup>.

EFSA has evaluated five flavouring substances in the Flavouring Group Evaluation 304 (FGE.304). The Opinion was adopted on 27 September 2012. EFSA concluded that for two substances [FL-no: 16.118 and 16.124] no appropriate NOAEL was available and additional data were required.

The requested information on *N*-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-*p*-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118] has now been submitted by the applicant. As regards substance [FL-no: 16.124], the Commission was informed that this substance is no longer supported by the applicant and its entry has been deleted from the Union List<sup>7</sup>.

The Commission asks EFSA to evaluate this new information and depending on the outcome proceed to the full evaluation of the flavouring substance.

## TERMS OF REFERENCE AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

The European Commission requests the European Food Safety Authority to carry out a safety assessment on the following substance *N*-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-*p*-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118] in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000.

---

<sup>4</sup> Regulation (EC) No 1334/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on flavourings and certain food ingredients with flavouring properties for use in and on foods and amending Council Regulation (EEC) No 1601/91, Regulations (EC) No 2232/96 and (EC) No 110/2008 and Directive 2000/13/EC. OJ L 354, 31.12.2008, p. 34-50.

<sup>5</sup> Commission implementing Regulation (EU) No 872/2012 of 1 October 2012 adopting the list of flavouring substances provided for by Regulation (EC) No 2232/96 of the European Parliament and of the Council, introducing it in Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1334/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000 and Commission Decision 1999/217/EC. OJ L 267, 2.10.2012, p. 1-161.

<sup>6</sup> Commission Regulation No 1565/2000 of 18 July 2000 laying down the measures necessary for the adoption of an evaluation programme in application of Regulation (EC) No 2232/96. OJ L 180, 19.7.2000, p. 8-16.

<sup>7</sup> Commission Regulation No 246/2014 of 13 March 2014 amending Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1334/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards removal from the Union list of certain flavouring substances. OJ L 74, 14.3.2014, p. 58-60.

## ASSESSMENT

### 1. History of the Evaluation of the Substances in FGE.304Rev1

In FGE.304, the Panel evaluated a group of five carboxamides. The Panel concluded that for two candidate substances [FL-no: 16.118 and 16.124], no appropriate NOAEL was available and additional data were required.

Since the publication of FGE.304, the Industry has informed (DG SANCO, 2013) that (1*R*,2*S*,5*R*)-*N*-cyclopropyl-5-methyl-2-isopropyl cyclohexanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.124] is no longer supported for use as flavouring substance in Europe. Accordingly the substance will not be considered any further in the present FGE.

FGE	Opinion adopted	Link	No. of substances
FGE.304	27 September 2012	<a href="http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/2903.htm">http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/2903.htm</a>	5
FGE.304Rev1	3 July 2014		4

The present revision of FGE.304, FGE.304Rev1, includes a re-evaluation of *N*-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-*p*-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118], as additional data, a 90-day dietary rat study has become available (Kirkpatrick, 2013). A search in the open literature did not provide any further relevant data on toxicity or metabolism for the substance [FL-no: 16.118].

### 2. Presentation of the Substances in FGE.304Rev1

#### 2.1. Description

The present Flavouring Group Evaluation (FGE), using the Procedure as referred to in the Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000<sup>8</sup> (The Procedure – shown in schematic form in Appendix A), deals with four substances, *N*-*p*-benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117], *N*-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-*p*-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118], (1*R*,2*S*,5*R*)-*N*-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.123] and (2*S*,5*R*)-*N*-[4-(2-amino-2-oxoethyl)phenyl]-5-methyl-2-(propan-2-yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.125]. These flavouring substances (candidate substances) belong to chemical group 30, Annex I of Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000. Three of the candidate substances [FL-no: 16.117, 16.123 and 16.125] are structurally related, the remaining [FL-no: 16.118] is not.

The four flavouring substances under consideration, as well as their chemical Register names, FLAVIS- (FL-), Chemical Abstract Service- (CAS-), Council of Europe- (CoE-) and Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association- (FEMA-) numbers, structure and specifications, are listed in Table 1.





The outcome of the safety evaluation is summarised in Table 4.

The Panel is aware that there are three amides in the Register, (*N*-ethyl-2-isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexane carboxamide [FL-no: 16.013] (JECFA evaluated and considered in FGE.86), *N*1-(2-methoxy-4-methylbenzyl)-*N*2-(2-(pyridin-2-yl)ethyl)oxalamide [FL-no: 16.101] and *N*-[(ethoxycarbonyl)methyl]-*p*-menthane-3-carboxamide [FL-no: 16.111] (both JECFA evaluated and considered in FGE.94), showing partial structural similarity with the candidate substances in this FGE. However, these are not considered sufficiently structural similar and accordingly are not used as supporting substances for the candidate substances in the present FGE.


<sup>8</sup> Commission Regulation No 1565/2000 of 18 July 2000 laying down the measures necessary for the adoption of an evaluation programme in application of Regulation (EC) No 2232/96. Official Journal of the European Communities 19.7.2000, L 180, 8-16.

## SUMMARY OF SPECIFICATION DATA

Table 1: Specification Summary for the Substances in FGE.304Rev1

FL-no	EU Register name	Structural formula	FEMA no CoE no CAS no	Phys.form Mol.formula Mol.weight	Solubility <sup>(a)</sup> Solubility in ethanol <sup>(b)</sup>	Boiling point, °C <sup>(c)</sup> Melting point, °C ID test Assay minimum	Refrac. Index <sup>(d)</sup> Spec.gravity <sup>(e)</sup>	Specification comments
16.117	<i>N-p</i> -Benzeneacetonitrile- <i>menthanecarboxamide</i>		4496 852379-28-3	Solid C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>26</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O 298.43	Insoluble Insoluble	147-151.3 IR NMR MS 99 %	n.a. n.a.	In accordance with CASrn, Register name to be changed to (1 <i>R</i> ,3 <i>R</i> ,4 <i>S</i> )- <i>N-p</i> -Benzeneacetonitrile- <i>menthanecarboxamide</i> . Min assay 99 % (sum of isomers: min 94 % (1 <i>R</i> , 3 <i>R</i> , 4 <i>S</i> )- <i>N-p</i> -Benzeneacetonitrile- <i>menthanecarboxamide</i> and 0 - 5 % (1 <i>R</i> , 3 <i>S</i> , 4 <i>S</i> )- <i>N-p</i> -Benzeneacetonitrile- <i>menthanecarboxamide</i> . (Flavour Industry, 2012)
16.118	<i>N</i> -(2-(Pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3- <i>p</i> - <i>menthanecarboxamide</i>		4549 847565-09-7	Solid C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>28</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O 288.43	Soluble Soluble	83 IR NMR MS 99 %	n.a. n.a.	In accordance with CASrn, Register name to be changed to (1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>S</i> ,5 <i>R</i> )- <i>N</i> -(2-(Pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3- <i>p</i> - <i>menthanecarboxamide</i> .
16.123	(1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>S</i> ,5 <i>R</i> )- <i>N</i> -(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide		4681 68489-09-8	Solid C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>27</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> 289.42	Insoluble Soluble	177.7 IR NMR MS 95 %	n.a. n.a.	
16.124	(1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>S</i> ,5 <i>R</i> )- <i>N</i> -Cyclopropyl-5-methyl-2-isopropylcyclohexanecarboxamide		4693 73435-61-7	Solid C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>25</sub> NO 223.19	Soluble Soluble	125 IR NMR MS 95 %	n.a. 0.23	No longer supported by Industry (DG SANCO, 2013).

**Table 1:** Specification Summary for the Substances in FGE.304Rev1

FL-no	EU Register name	Structural formula	FEMA no CoE no CAS no	Phys.form Mol.formula Mol.weight	Solubility <sup>(a)</sup> Solubility in ethanol <sup>(b)</sup>	Boiling point, °C <sup>(c)</sup> Melting point, °C ID test Assay minimum	Refrac. Index <sup>(d)</sup> Spec.gravity <sup>(e)</sup>	Specification comments
16.125	(2 <i>S</i> ,5 <i>R</i> )- <i>N</i> -[4-(2-Amino-2-oxoethyl)phenyl]-5-methyl-2-(propan-2-yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide		4684 1119711-29-3	Solid C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>28</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 316.2	Sparingly soluble Soluble	186-188 IR NMR MS 95 %	n.a. 0.4	

(a): Solubility in water, if not otherwise stated.

(b): Solubility in 95 % ethanol, if not otherwise stated.

(c): At 1013.25 hPa, if not otherwise stated.

(d): At 20°C, if not otherwise stated.

(e): At 25°C, if not otherwise stated.

n.a. not applicable.

## 2.2. Stereoisomers

It is recognised that geometrical and optical isomers of substances may have different properties. Their flavour may be different, they may have different chemical properties resulting in possible variability in their absorption, distribution, metabolism, elimination and toxicity. Thus, information must be provided on the configuration of the flavouring substance, i.e. whether it is one of the geometrical/optical isomers, or a defined mixture of stereoisomers. The available specifications of purity will be considered in order to determine whether the safety evaluation carried out for candidate substances for which stereoisomers may exist can be applied to the material of commerce. Flavouring substances with different configurations should have individual chemical names and codes (CAS number, FLAVIS number etc.).

The four candidate substances possess chiral centres. The substances have been presented with specification of the stereoisomeric composition. See Table 1.

## 2.3. Natural Occurrence in Food

None of the candidate substances have been reported to occur naturally (TNO, 2014).

## 3. Specifications

Purity criteria for the four substances have been provided by the Flavouring Industry (Flavour Industry, 2008a; Flavour Industry, 2008b; Flavour Industry, 2009; Flavour Industry, 2010) (Table 1).

Judged against the requirements in Annex II of Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000<sup>9</sup>, the information is adequate for the candidate substances.

## 4. Intake Data

Annual production volumes of the flavouring substances as surveyed by the Industry can be used to calculate the “Maximised Survey-derived Daily Intake” (MSDI) by assuming that the production figure only represents 60 % of the use in food due to underreporting and that 10 % of the total EU population are consumers (SCF, 1999).

However, the Panel noted that due to year-to-year variability in production volumes, to uncertainties in the underreporting correction factor and to uncertainties in the percentage of consumers, the reliability of intake estimates on the basis of the MSDI approach is difficult to assess.

The Panel also noted that in contrast to the generally low *per capita* intake figures estimated on the basis of this MSDI approach, in some cases the regular consumption of products flavoured at use levels reported by the Flavour Industry in the submissions would result in much higher intakes. In such cases, the human exposure thresholds below which exposures are not considered to present a safety concern might be exceeded.

Considering that the MSDI model may underestimate the intake of flavouring substances by certain groups of consumers, the SCF recommended also taking into account the results of other intake assessments (SCF, 1999).

One of the alternatives is the “Theoretical Added Maximum Daily Intake” (TAMDI) approach, which is calculated on the basis of standard portions and upper use levels (SCF, 1995) for flavourable beverages and foods in general, with exceptional levels for particular foods. This method is regarded as a conservative estimate of the actual intake by most consumers because it is based on the

<sup>9</sup> Commission Regulation No 1565/2000 of 18 July 2000 laying down the measures necessary for the adoption of an evaluation programme in application of Regulation (EC) No 2232/96. Official Journal of the European Communities 19.7.2000, L 180, 8-16.

assumption that the consumer regularly eats and drinks several food products containing the same flavouring substance at the upper use level.

One option to modify the TAMDI approach is to base the calculation on normal rather than upper use levels of the flavouring substances. This modified approach is less conservative (e.g., it may underestimate the intake of consumers being loyal to products flavoured at the maximum use levels reported) (EC, 2000). However, it is considered as a suitable tool to screen and prioritise the flavouring substances according to the need for refined intake data (EFSA, 2004).

#### 4.1. Estimated Daily *per Capita* Intake (MSDI Approach)

The intake estimation is based on the Maximised Survey-derived Daily Intake (MSDI) approach, which involves the acquisition of data on the amounts used in food as flavourings (SCF, 1999). These data are derived from surveys on annual production volumes in Europe. These surveys were conducted in 1995 by the International Organization of the Flavour Industry (IOFI), in which flavour manufacturers reported the total amount of each flavouring substance incorporated into food sold in the EU during the previous year (IOFI, 1995). The intake approach does not consider the possible natural occurrence in food.

Average *per capita* intake (MSDI) is estimated on the assumption that the amount added to food is consumed by 10 % of the population<sup>10</sup> (Eurostat, 1998). This is derived for candidate substances from estimates of annual volume of production provided by Industry and incorporates a correction factor of 0.6 to allow for incomplete reporting (60 %) in the Industry surveys (SCF, 1999).

The total annual volume of production of the four candidate substances from use as flavouring substances in Europe is approximately 1700 kg (Flavour Industry, 2008a; Flavour Industry, 2008b; Flavour Industry, 2009; Flavour Industry, 2010).

On the basis of the annual volumes of production reported for the four candidate substances, the daily *per capita* intakes for each of these flavourings have been estimated. The estimated daily *per capita* intakes of the substances from use as a flavouring substance will be: 120 µg/day for *N-p*-benzeneacetonitrile-menthancarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117], 61 µg/day for *N*-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-*p*-menthancarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118] and below 12 µg/day for the two remaining substances (Table 3).

#### 4.2. Intake Estimated on the Basis of the Modified TAMDI (mTAMDI)

The method for calculation of modified Theoretical Added Maximum Daily Intake (mTAMDI) values is based on the approach used by SCF up to 1995 (SCF, 1995).

The assumption is that a person may consume a certain amount of flavourable foods and beverages per day.

For the four candidate substances, information on food categories and normal and maximum use levels<sup>11</sup> were submitted by the Flavour Industry (Flavour Industry, 2008a; Flavour Industry, 2008b; Flavour Industry, 2009; Flavour Industry, 2010). The four candidate substances are used in flavoured food products divided into the food categories, outlined in Annex III of the Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000 (EC, 2000), as shown in Table 2. For the present calculation of mTAMDI, the reported normal use levels were used. In the case where different use levels were reported for different food categories the highest reported normal use level was used.

<sup>10</sup> EU figure 375 millions. This figure relates to EU population at the time for which production data are available, and is consistent (comparable) with evaluations conducted prior to the enlargement of the EU. No production data are available for the enlarged EU.

<sup>11</sup> "Normal use" is defined as the average of reported usages and "maximum use" is defined as the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of reported usages (EFFA, 2002).

**Table 2:** Use of Candidate Substances in Various Food Categories

Food category *	Description	Flavourings used
01.0	Dairy products, excluding products of category 2	Only [FL-no: 16.123]
02.0	Fats and oils, and fat emulsions (type water-in-oil)	Only [FL-no: 16.123]
03.0	Edible ices, including sherbet and sorbet	Only [FL-no: 16.123]
04.1	Processed fruits	Only [FL-no: 16.123 and 16.125]
04.2	Processed vegetables (incl. mushrooms & fungi, roots & tubers, pulses and legumes), and nuts & seeds	Only [FL-no: 16.125]
05.0	Confectionery	All
06.0	Cereals and cereal products, incl. flours & starches from roots & tubers, pulses & legumes, excluding bakery	Only [FL-no: 16.123]
07.0	Bakery wares	Only [FL-no: 16.123]
08.0	Meat and meat products, including poultry and game	None
09.0	Fish and fish products, including molluscs, crustaceans and echinoderms	None
10.0	Eggs and egg products	Only [FL-no: 16.123]
11.0	Sweeteners, including honey	Only [FL-no: 16.123]
12.0	Salts, spices, soups, sauces, salads, protein products etc.	Only [FL-no: 16.123]
13.0	Foodstuffs intended for particular nutritional uses.	None
14.1	Non-alcoholic ("soft") beverages, excl. dairy products	All
14.2	Alcoholic beverages, incl. alcohol-free and low-alcoholic counterparts	Only [FL-no: 16.123]
15.0	Ready-to-eat savouries	None
16.0	Composite foods (e.g. casseroles, meat pies, mincemeat) - foods that could not be placed in categories 1 – 15	None

\* All candidate substances are also used in chewing gum

According to the Flavour Industry the normal use levels for the four candidate substances are in the range of 0.1 - 150 mg/kg food, and the maximum use levels are in the range of 1 - 300 mg/kg (Flavour Industry, 2008a; Flavour Industry, 2008b; Flavour Industry, 2009; Flavour Industry, 2010).

All four candidate substances are also used in chewing gum, which is not covered by any of the above food categories. Normal/maximum use levels for chewing gum are 200/800 mg/kg for [FL-no: 16.117], 100/300 mg/kg for [FL-no: 16.118], 30/300 mg/kg for [FL-no: 16.123] and 400/800 mg/kg for [FL-no: 16.125].

For the substances [FL-no: 16.117 and 16.118], the Industry has informed that only 10 % of the amount added is released from the chewing gum (Sostmann, 2006). For [FL-no: 16.125] there is a release of 10.5 % (Flavour Industry, 2009). For the remaining substance [FL-no: 16.123] there is no information on % release. Taking these % releases and an intake estimate of 2 g chewing gum/day into consideration, the mTAMDI of the candidate substances is calculated based on the 16 food categories and the use of chewing gum. These figures are presented in Tables B.2.3 and 3.

The mTAMDI values for the four candidate substances from structural class III range from 150 to 7800 µg/person/day.

For detailed information on use levels and intake estimations based on the mTAMDI approach, see Section 7 and Appendix B.

## 5. Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Elimination

The hydrolysis of [<sup>14</sup>C]-*N-p*-benzenenitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117] was studied in rat and human hepatic microsomes (Sipes and Kong, 2012). As a positive control the hydrolysis of isoeugenol acetate, a known substrate of carboxyl esterase, was used. The results show that

metabolically active male rat or human microsomes did not hydrolyse *N-p*-benzenenitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117].

The possible release of cyanide from the candidate substance *N-p*-benzenenitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117] during metabolism was studied in rat and human hepatocytes. Incubations of up to 250  $\mu\text{M}$  of the candidate substance with human or rat hepatocytes for up to four hours only resulted in release of low amounts, if any, of cyanide. Proper positive control incubations with benzyl nitrile and sodium cyanide were included in the study (Wolff and Skibbe, 2007).

Specific information regarding absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion is not available for the remaining three candidate substances. The candidate aromatic amides are anticipated to being absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract like other aromatic amides. Aromatic amides are expected to be metabolised to polar metabolites which are eliminated in the urine or bile (James, 1974; Schwen, 1982).

The hydrolysis of a substance with partial structure similarity to [FL-no: 16.117] from FGE.94Rev1, *N*-[(ethoxycarbonyl)methyl]-*p*-menthane-3-carboxamide [FL-no: 16.111], was studied in artificial pancreatic juice and rat liver homogenate (Poet et al., 2005). Based on the disappearance of the employed substrate, [FL-no: 16.111] was hydrolysed in artificial pancreatic juice with a half-life of  $43 \pm 14.7$  min. and a first order rate constant (*K*) of  $1.06 \pm 0.426$  hour<sup>-1</sup>. In 20 fold-diluted liver homogenate the disappearance of [FL-no: 16.111] was considerably faster (half-life:  $0.802 \pm 0.191$  min.). However, the potential hydrolysis products, *p*-menthane-3-carboxylic acid, glycine ethylester and glycine, were only detected at trace levels. This indicates that the disappearance of [FL-no: 16.111] under the employed *in vitro*-conditions is due to the hydrolysis of the ethyl ester bond rather than the hydrolysis of the amide bond.

Data on the candidate substance [FL-no: 16.117] and another carboxamide [FL-no: 16.111] do not demonstrate hydrolysis of the amide bond under the *in vitro* conditions applied. Owing to the lack of further data, the candidate substances cannot be anticipated to be metabolised to innocuous products.

For more detailed information, see Appendix C.

## **6. Application of the Procedure for the Safety Evaluation of Flavouring Substances**

The application of the Procedure is based on intakes estimated on the basis of the MSDI approach. Where the mTAMDI approach indicates that the intake of a flavouring substance might exceed its corresponding threshold of concern, a formal safety assessment is not carried out using the Procedure. In these cases the Panel requires more precise data on use and use levels. For comparison of the intake estimations based on the MSDI approach and the mTAMDI approach, see Section 7.

For the safety evaluation of the four candidate substances from chemical group 30 the Procedure as outlined in Appendix A was applied, based on the MSDI approach. The stepwise evaluations of the substances are summarised in Table 4.

### Step 1

All four candidate substances are classified into structural class III according to the decision tree approach presented by Cramer et al. (Cramer et al., 1978).

### Step 2

Step 2 requires consideration of the metabolism of the candidate substances. The four candidate substances [FL-no: 16.117, 16.118, 16.123 and 16.125], cannot be anticipated to be metabolised to innocuous products and thus the evaluation proceeds via the B-side of the Procedure scheme.

### Step B3

The four candidate substances are allocated to structural class III. Three of the candidate substances [FL-no: 16.118, 16.123 and 16.125] have estimated European daily *per capita* intakes (MSDI) ranging from 6.1 to 61 µg (Table 4). These intakes are below the threshold of concern of 90 µg/person/day for structural class III. Accordingly, they proceed to step B4 of the Procedure.

One candidate substance *N-p*-benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117] has an estimated European daily *per capita* intake (MSDI) of 120 µg (Table 4), which is above the threshold of concern of 90 µg/person/day for structural class III. Therefore, data must be available on the substance or closely related substances to perform a safety evaluation. On the basis of a 90-day study in rats exposed to *N-p*-benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117] in the diet, a No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) of 100 mg/kg body weight (bw)/day was identified. The MSDI value of 120 µg/capita/day is equivalent to 2 µg/kg bw/day, at a body weight of 60 kg. Thus, the margin of safety is 50000.

Based on results of the safety evaluation through the Procedure, *N-p*-benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117] is not anticipated to pose a safety concern when used as flavouring substances at the estimated levels of intake, based on the MSDI approach.

### Step B4

A NOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw/day was reported for *N-p*-benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117]. This substance is structurally related to the two substances [FL-no: 16.123 and 16.125]. The combined estimated daily *per capita* intake of 18 µg for the two candidate substances corresponds to 0.3 µg/kg bw/day, at a body weight of 60 kg. Thus, a margin of safety of  $3.3 \times 10^5$  can be calculated. Therefore, the two substances [FL-no: 16.123 and 16.125] are not anticipated to pose a safety concern when used as flavouring substances at the estimated levels of intake, based on the MSDI approach.

For the remaining candidate substance *N*-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-*p*-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118] an NOAEL of 5 mg/kg bw/day was derived from a 90-day study in rats. Based on the MSDI intake level of 61 µg/capita/day this results in a margin of safety of 5000.

Therefore, based on results of the safety evaluation following the Procedure, the Panel concludes that the substances [FL-no: 16.117, 16.118, 16.123 and 16.125] do not pose a safety concern when used as flavouring substances at the estimated level of intake, based on the MSDI approach.

## **7. Comparison of the Intake Estimations Based on the MSDI Approach and the mTAMDI Approach**

The mTAMDI intakes for the four candidate substances in structural class III range from 150 to 7800 µg/person/day, which all are above the threshold of concern of 90 µg/person/day.

Accordingly, further information is required for all candidate substances. This would include more reliable intake data and then, if required, additional toxicological data.

For comparison of the intake estimates based on the MSDI approach and the mTAMDI approach, see Table 3.

**Table 3:** Estimated Intakes Based on the MSDI Approach and the mTAMDI approach

FL-no	EU Register name	MSDI ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{capita}/\text{day}$ )	mTAMDI ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$ )	Structural class	Threshold of concern ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$ )
16.117	<i>N-p</i> -Benzeneacetonitrile- menthanecarboxamide	120	4400	Class III	90
16.118	<i>N</i> -(2-(Pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3- <i>p</i> - menthanecarboxamide	61	2500	Class III	90
16.123	(1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>S</i> ,5 <i>R</i> )- <i>N</i> -(4- Methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2-(1- methylethyl)cyclohexanecarbox amide	12	150	Class III	90
16.125	(2 <i>S</i> ,5 <i>R</i> )- <i>N</i> -[4-(2-Amino-2- oxoethyl)phenyl]-5-methyl-2- (propan-2- yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide	6.1	7800	Class III	90

## 8. Considerations of Combined Intakes from Use as Flavouring Substances

Because of structural similarities of candidate and supporting substances, it can be anticipated that many of the flavourings are metabolised through the same metabolic pathways and that the metabolites may affect the same target organs. Further, in case of combined exposure to structurally related flavourings, the pathways could be overloaded. Therefore, combined intake should be considered. As flavourings not included in this FGE may also be metabolised through the same pathways, the combined intake estimates presented here are only preliminary. Currently, the combined intake estimates are only based on MSDI exposure estimates, although it is recognised that this may lead to underestimation of exposure. After completion of all FGEs, this issue should be readdressed.

The total estimated combined daily *per capita* intake of structurally related flavourings is estimated by summing the MSDI for individual substances.

On the basis of the reported annual production volumes in Europe (Flavour Industry, 2008a; Flavour Industry, 2008b; Flavour Industry, 2009; Flavour Industry, 2010), the combined estimated daily *per capita* intake as flavourings of the three structurally similar candidate substances [FL-no: 16.117, 16.123 and 16.125] assigned to class III is 138  $\mu\text{g}$ , which exceeds the threshold of concern for a substance belonging to structural class III of 90  $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$ .

The combined estimated intake of 138  $\mu\text{g}/\text{capita}/\text{day}$  corresponds to 2.3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  bw/day, which is more than 40000 fold lower than the NOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw/day for *N-p*-benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117] (See Section 9.2).

## 9. Toxicity

### 9.1. Acute Toxicity

Data are available for three candidate substances [FL-no: 16.117, 16.118 and 16.123]. Oral LD<sub>50</sub> values are in the range of 300 to more than 2000 mg/kg bw in rats.

Acute toxicity data are summarised in Table 5.

### 9.2. Subacute, Subchronic, Chronic and Carcinogenicity Studies

A 90-day oral dosing study in rats is available for the candidate substance [FL-no: 16.117] and a 90-day as well as two 28-day oral dosing studies in rats are available for the candidate substance [FL-no: 16.118].

*90-day oral toxicity study with N-p-benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117]*

Groups of male and female rats (N = 10 or 15, control and highest dose of which five were in recovery groups for additional 28 days) were administered *N-p-benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide* [FL-no: 16.117] in the diet at concentration corresponding to doses of 0, 100, 300 and 1000 mg/kg bw/day for 90 days. The study was conducted in accordance with OECD Guidelines 408 (Eapen, 2007). Significant effects ascribed to the exposure were a slight increase in methemoglobin in females dosed 1000 mg/kg bw/day, increased cholesterol and potassium in males dosed 300 and 1000 mg/kg bw/day, which were normalised after the recovery period. Females dosed with 100, 300 and 1000 mg/kg bw/day had reduced alanin transaminase (ALAT), females dosed with 100 and 1000 mg/kg bw had reduced aspartate transaminase (ASAT). However, decrease in ASAT and ALAT is of no toxicological relevance. Females dosed with 300 and 1000 mg/kg bw/day had reduced triglyceride. These effects were normalised after the recovery period. No dose related effects were detected on urine analysis or on macroscopic examination. Significant increased liver weight relative to body weight was observed in both males and females dosed 300 and 1000 mg/kg bw/day. There were no histological substance-related changes in any tissue, including the thyroids in the rats examined after the primary and recovery necropsy (see studies with [FL no: 16.118] described below (Eapen, 2007). A NOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw/day could be derived.

*28-day oral toxicity studies with N-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-p-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118]*

Groups of male and female Sprague-Dawley rats (N = 5) were administered *N-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-p-menthanecarboxamide* [FL-no: 16.118] in the diet at concentration corresponding to doses of 0, 100, 300 and 1000 mg/kg bw/day for 28 days (Chase, 2008). Treatment related changes were detected in the liver and thyroid at all dose levels and due to the presence of fatty vacuolation in the liver, a NOAEL could not be established.

In another study, groups of male and female Sprague-Dawley rats (N = 8) were administered *N-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-p-menthanecarboxamide* [FL-no: 16.118] in the diet at concentrations corresponding to doses of 0, 10, 50 and 300 mg/kg bw/day for 28 days (Eapen, 2008).

Significant effects ascribed to the exposure were higher albumin and globin, lower triglyceride in males in the 300 mg/kg bw/day group, higher cholesterol in males and females in the 300 mg/kg bw/day group, higher T<sub>3</sub> in males and females in 300 mg/kg group and in females in the 50 mg/kg bw/day group, and increased absolute and relative liver weight in both males and females was found in the 300 mg/kg bw/day group. Follicular cell hypertrophy of the thyroid gland were observed in four females (300 mg/kg bw/day), seven males (300 mg/kg bw/day), two males (50 mg/kg bw/day), one male (10 mg/kg bw/day) and 1 male (0 mg/kg bw/day); the significance of these findings was not reported (Eapen, 2008). A NOAEL of 10 mg/kg bw/day could be derived, but owing to the short duration of this study, this NOAEL cannot be used for safety assessment of this and structurally related substances.

*90-day oral toxicity study with N-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-p-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118]*

This study (Kirkpatrick, 2013) was performed by the same institute as the 28-day study of Eapen (Eapen, 2008). Groups of male and female Sprague Dawley rats (N = 10 at the lower and middle dose, or N = 15 at control and highest dose, of which five were in recovery groups for an additional 28 days period) were administered *N-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-p-menthanecarboxamide* [FL-no: 16.118] in the diet at concentration corresponding to doses of 0, 5, 20 and 50 mg/kg bw/day for 90 days. The rats were caged individually. The purity of [FL-no: 16.118] was 99,7 %; the diet was prepared fresh once every week and the candidate substance was shown to be stable during that period. This GLP study was conducted in accordance with OECD Guidelines 408.

The objectives of this study were to evaluate the potential toxic effects of [FL-no: 16.118] when administered via the diet to rats for 90 consecutive days and to assess recovery from such effects. This study included evaluation of potential neurotoxicity by functional observational battery (FOB) and motor activity (MA) assessment: a range of observations (“Home cage”, handling, open field, sensory, neuromuscular and physiological observations and measuring ambulatory activity) including some challenge-tests.

All animals were observed twice daily for mortality and moribundity. Clinical examinations were performed daily, and detailed physical examinations were performed weekly. Individual body weights and individual food consumption were recorded weekly. FOB and MA data were recorded for all animals during study week 12. Ophthalmic examinations were performed at the beginning of the study and on day 86 (all animals). Clinical pathology parameters (hematology, coagulation, serum chemistry, thyroid parameters and urinalysis) were analysed for all animals assigned to the primary (study week 13) and recovery (study week 17) necropsies. Complete necropsies were conducted on all animals, and selected organs were weighed. Selected tissues were examined microscopically from all animals found dead, euthanized *in extremis*, and in the control and 50 mg/kg bw/day groups at the primary necropsy. Gross lesions and the thyroid glands (males and females) and the liver (females only) were also examined microscopically from animals in the 5 and 20 mg/kg bw/day groups at the primary necropsy. In addition, the liver (females only) and the thyroid glands (males and females) were examined microscopically from all surviving animals in the control and 50 mg/kg bw/day groups at the recovery necropsy.

A single treated (50 mg/kg bw/day) female was found dead on study day 63; however, the cause of death in this animal could not be determined and was considered not test article-related by the authors.

There were no clinical, ophthalmic, or macroscopic observations or effects on body weights, food consumption, FOB parameters, MA patterns, serum chemistry parameters, or urinalysis parameters.

Test article-related lower mean hemoglobin, mean corpuscular volume (MCV) and mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH) values were noted in the 50 mg/kg bw/day group males at the primary necropsy. The effect was minimal and considered not adverse. Values at the recovery necropsy indicated reversibility.

Test article-related higher liver weights were noted for the 50 mg/kg bw/day group males at the primary necropsy; however, no histological changes were found. The effect was reversible as observed in the recovery group. In females mild centrilobular hepatocellular hypertrophy was noted in the liver of some 20 (n = 3) and 50 (n = 2) mg/kg/day group (n = 10 in each group) females at the primary and one in the 50 mg/kg bw/day recovery Group (n = 5) necropsies; it was considered a non-adverse adaptive response by the authors of the study. Indeed, blood clinical chemistry did not show any signs of liver toxicity.

A dose-related increase in thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) values of 50 % at the highest dose was noted in both males and females at the primary necropsy; values at the recovery necropsy indicated reversibility. The levels of the thyroid hormones T3, T4 and rT3<sup>12</sup> were also measured. For rT3 there was no effect in any treatment group. However, T4 (thyroxine) showed a dose-related increase (by max. 40 %) in females, but no change at all in males; again in the recovery group the effect had disappeared, indicating reversibility. For T3 only the highest dose showed a 25 % increase in both sexes similarly, which seemed reversible.

By histopathology a dose-related decreased amount of colloid (scored as *minimal to moderate*) as well as follicular cell hypertrophy (scored as *minimal to moderate*) were noted in the thyroid gland of the 5, 20 and 50 mg/kg bw/day males and 5 and 50 mg/kg bw/day females. At the 5 mg/kg bw/day only *minimal* effects were observed in four and one rats of the male and female group, respectively. Given

---

<sup>12</sup> rT3 is a biologically inactive form of T3

the ability of *mild to moderate* thyroid follicular hypertrophy to progress to neoplasia in rats, *mild and moderate* changes could be considered to be adverse. The authors, however, considered these thyroid gland effects secondary to hepatic microsomal enzyme induction, but did not provide data to support this. Indeed, induction of the UDP glucuronyltransferase (UGT) that conjugates T4 in the liver would give rise to a compensatory increase in TSH in the rat. In humans, T4 is metabolised by sulphation, and therefore the observed effect in the rat may be considered irrelevant for humans, as extensively discussed by (Capen, 2008). However, data on UGT induction have not been provided by the authors.

*Mild to moderate* thyroid follicular hypertrophy, as observed in the 20 and 50 mg/kg bw/day groups, was considered adverse. This finding might be considered to be of negligible toxicological relevance to humans (Capen, 2008); however, the authors did not prove the proposed mechanism by measurement of UGT activity in the liver of treated animals. The Panel considered 5 mg/kg bw/day as the No-Observed-Adverse-Effect Level (NOAEL) when [FL-no: 16.118] was administered in the diet to rats over a period of 90 days, given the minimal thyroid changes in this dose group.

Repeated dose toxicity data are summarised in Table 6.

### 9.3. Developmental / Reproductive Toxicity Studies

No data are available on developmental or reproductive toxicity for the candidate substance or for supporting substances.

### 9.4. Genotoxicity Studies

With three candidate substances, *N-p*-benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117], *N*-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-*p*-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118] and (1*R*,2*S*,5*R*)-*N*-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.123], valid bacterial mutagenicity studies have been performed in absence and in presence of metabolic activation up to sufficiently high concentrations. These studies did not provide indications for genotoxic activity (Sokolowski, 2004; May, 2007; Bowles, 2008).

With the candidate substance *N-p*-benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117] also a chromosomal aberration test in human lymphocytes has been carried out, which provided no indication of clastogenicity (Bowen, 2007), but this test was of limited validity as the negative result in presence of metabolic activation was not confirmed in a second test. However, in an additional study with this substance, again a negative result in presence of metabolic activation was obtained (Woods, 2008), so that overall the conclusion that [FL-no: 16.117] did not show clastogenic potential *in vitro* could be drawn. An *in vivo* bone marrow micronucleus assay in the mouse did not indicate a clastogenic potential for [FL-no: 16.117] either, but that result was of limited relevance due to absence of target organ toxicity (Pritchard, 2011).

With substance [FL-no: 16.118] at concentrations ranging from 100 to 300 µg/ml, a negative result was obtained in a human lymphocyte test for chromosomal aberrations after 3 hours of exposure in presence of metabolic activation. A repeat assay to confirm this negative result was not carried out. A negative result was also obtained with this substance at concentrations ranging from 260 to 300 µg/ml after 3 hours of exposure in absence of metabolic activation, but in the repeat assay to confirm this negative result with the substance at concentrations ranging from 25 - 160 µg/ml, an equivocal result (4.5 % cells with chromatid breaks at the highest level tested (160 µg/ml) vs. 1 % in the non-exposed cells) was obtained. This increased incidence was outside the historical control range, but it was not statistically significant in comparison with the concurrent control (Mason, 2007). Additional scoring of hundred extra metaphases from the Mason (2007) study was performed by Pritchard (Pritchard, 2011), to provide more robust data from this study. The result from additional scoring showed no increase in the percentage cells with aberrations excluding gaps. Furthermore, the aberration frequencies fell within the historical control range.

### Conclusion on genotoxicity:

The data available do not give rise to safety concern with respect to genotoxicity for the candidate substances.

Genotoxicity data are summarised in Tables 7 and 8.

## CONCLUSIONS

The present Flavouring Group Evaluation deals with four carboxamides. Since the publication of the previous version, FGE.304, one of the original five candidate substances [FL-no: 16.124], for which additional data were required, is no longer supported by Industry for use as flavouring substance in Europe and will therefore not be considered any further. This revision of FGE.304, FGE.304Rev1, therefore only deals with four candidate substances *N-p*-benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117], *N*-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-*p*-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118], (1*R*,2*S*,5*R*)-*N*-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.123] and (2*S*,5*R*)-*N*-[4-(2-amino-2-oxoethyl)phenyl]-5-methyl-2-(propan-2-yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.125].

Further, the present revision of FGE.304, FGE.304Rev1, includes the assessment of new toxicity data on *N*-(2-(pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-*p*-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.118] for which additional data were required. The four flavouring substances possess chiral centres. All substances have been presented with specification of the stereoisomeric composition.

All candidate substances were assigned to structural class III, according to the decision tree approach presented by Cramer et al., 1978.

None of the candidate substances have been reported to occur naturally.

Genotoxicity data are available for three of the substances. The Panel concluded that the data available do not give rise to safety concern with respect to genotoxicity for any of the candidate substances.

On the basis of the available data, the hydrolysis of the candidate substances cannot be excluded. However, owing to the lack of further data, the candidate substances cannot be anticipated to be metabolised to innocuous products.

According to the default MSDI approach, the three flavouring substances [FL-no: 16.118, 16.123 and 16.125] in this group have intakes in Europe from 6.1 to 61  $\mu\text{g}/\text{capita}/\text{day}$ , which are below the threshold of concern value for structural class III of 90  $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$ . For one substance [FL-no: 16.117] the intake of 120  $\mu\text{g}/\text{capita}/\text{day}$  is above the threshold of concern. However, an adequate NOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw/day exists from a 90-day study with this candidate substance [FL-no: 16.117], which provides a margin of safety of 50000. This substance is structurally related to the two substances [FL-no: 16.123 and 16.125] for which a margin of safety of  $3.3 \times 10^5$ , based on the combined estimated daily *per capita* intake, can be calculated. For the remaining candidate substance [FL-no: 16.118] a 90-day study has become available and a NOAEL to provide adequate margin of safety of 5000 is derived. Therefore, the four substances [FL-no: 16.117, 16.118, 16.123 and 16.125] are not anticipated to pose a safety concern when used as flavouring substances at the estimated levels of intake, based on the MSDI approach.






In order to determine whether the conclusion for the four candidate substances can be applied to the materials of commerce, it is necessary to consider the available specifications. Adequate specifications including complete purity criteria and identity tests for the materials of commerce have been provided for all the flavouring substances. Thus, the final evaluation of the materials of commerce can be performed for all four substances.

In conclusion, for all flavouring substance [FL-no: 16.117, 16.118, 16.123 and 16.125], the Panel considered that they would present no safety concern at the estimated levels of intake estimated on the basis of the MSDI approach.

However, when the estimated intakes were based on the mTAMDI approach, they ranged from 210 to 7900  $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$  for the four candidate substances from structural class III, which are above the threshold of concern for structural class III of 90  $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$ . Therefore more reliable exposure data are required for these substances [FL-no: 16.117, 16.118, 16.123 and 16.125]. On the basis of such additional data, these flavouring substances should be reconsidered using the Procedure. Subsequently, additional data might become necessary.

## SUMMARY OF SAFETY EVALUATION

Table 4: Summary of Safety Evaluation Applying the Procedure

FL-no	EU Register name	Structural formula	MSDI <sup>(a)</sup> ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{capita}/\text{day}$ )	Class <sup>(b)</sup> Evaluation procedure path <sup>(c)</sup>	Outcome on the named compound [ <sup>(d)</sup> or <sup>(e)</sup> ]	Outcome on the material of commerce [ <sup>(f)</sup> , <sup>(g)</sup> or <sup>(h)</sup> ]	Evaluation remarks
16.117	<i>N-p</i> -Benzeneacetonitrile- <i>menthanecarboxamide</i>		120	Class III B3: Intake above threshold	d	f	
16.118	<i>N</i> -(2-(Pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)- <i>3-p</i> -menthanecarboxamide		61	Class III B3: Intake below threshold, B4: Adequate NOAEL exists	d	f	
16.123	(1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>S</i> ,5 <i>R</i> )- <i>N</i> -(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide		12	Class III B3: Intake below threshold, B4: Adequate NOAEL exists	d	f	
16.124	(1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>S</i> ,5 <i>R</i> )- <i>N</i> -Cyclopropyl-5-methyl-2-isopropylcyclohexanecarboxamide		6.1	Class III B3: Intake below threshold, B4: No adequate NOAEL	Additional data required		No longer supported by Industry (DG SANCO, 2013).
16.125	(2 <i>S</i> ,5 <i>R</i> )- <i>N</i> -[4-(2-Amino-2-oxoethyl)phenyl]-5-methyl-2-(propan-2-yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide		6.1	Class III B3: Intake below threshold, B4: Adequate NOAEL exists	d	f	

(a): EU MSDI: Amount added to food as flavour in (kg / year)  $\times 10^9$  / (0.1  $\times$  population in Europe (= 375  $\times 10^6$ )  $\times 0.6 \times 365$ ) =  $\mu\text{g}/\text{capita}/\text{day}$ .

(b): Thresholds of concern: Class I = 1800  $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$ , Class II = 540  $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$ , Class III = 90  $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$ .

- (c): Procedure path A substances can be predicted to be metabolised to innocuous products. Procedure path B substances cannot.
- (d): No safety concern based on intake calculated by the MSDI approach of the named compound.
- (e): Data must be available on the substance or closely related substances to perform a safety evaluation.
- (f): No safety concern at the estimated level of intake of the material of commerce meeting the specification requirement (based on intake calculated by the MSDI approach).
- (g): Tentatively regarded as presenting no safety concern (based on intake calculated by the MSDI approach) pending further information on the purity of the material of commerce and/or information on stereoisomerism.
- (h): No conclusion can be drawn due to lack of information on the purity of the material of commerce.

## TOXICITY DATA

**Table 5:** Acute Toxicity

Chemical Name [FL-no]	Species	Sex	Route	LD <sub>50</sub> (mg/kg bw)	Reference	Comments
<i>N</i> - <i>p</i> -benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [16.117]	Rat	M,F	Oral	>2000	(Mallory, 2004)	
<i>N</i> -(2-(Pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3- <i>p</i> -menthanecarboxamide [16.118]	Rat	F	Oral	Between 300 and 2000	(Groom, 2007)	
(1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>S</i> ,5 <i>R</i> )- <i>N</i> -(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide [16.123]	Rat	F	Oral	>2000	(Bradshaw, 2008)	

**Table 6:** Subacute / Subchronic / Chronic / Carcinogenicity Studies

Chemical Name [FL-no]	Species; Sex No./Group	Route	Dose levels	Duration	NOAEL (mg/kg bw/day)	Reference	Comments
<i>N</i> - <i>p</i> -benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [16.117]	Rat; M,F 10	Diet	100, 300 and 1000 mg/kg bw/day	90 days	100	(Eapen, 2007)	OECD Guideline study (408).
<i>N</i> -(2-(Pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3- <i>p</i> -menthanecarboxamide [16.118]	Rat; M,F 5	Diet	100, 300 and 1000 mg/kg bw/day	28 days		(Chase, 2008)	
	Rat; M,F 8	Diet	10, 50 and 300 mg/kg bw/day	28 days	10	(Eapen, 2008)	
	Rat; M,F 10	Diet	5, 20 and 50 mg/kg bw/day	90 days	5	(Kirkpatrick, 2013)	OECD Guideline study (408).

**GENOTOXICITY DATA**
**Table 7:** Genotoxicity (*in vitro*)

Chemical Name [FL-no]	Test System	Test Object	Concentration	Result	Reference	Comments
<i>N-p</i> -benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [16.117]	Reverse mutation	<i>S. typhimurium</i> , TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and <i>E. coli</i> WP2 <i>uvrA</i>	3 - 5000 µg/plate	Negative <sup>(a)</sup>	(Sokolowski, 2004)	Valid study.
	Chromosomal aberration	Human lymphocytes	373 - 2984 µg/ml	Negative	(Bowen, 2007)	Valid together with the study by Woods, 2008.
	Chromosomal aberration	Human lymphocytes	367 - 2938 µg/ml	Negative <sup>(b)</sup>	(Woods, 2008)	Confirmatory test for Bowen, 2007.
<i>N</i> -(2-(Pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3- <i>p</i> -menthanecarboxamide [16.118]	Reverse mutation	<i>S. typhimurium</i> , TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and <i>E. coli</i> WP2 <i>uvrA</i>	5 - 5000 µg/plate	Negative <sup>(a)</sup>	(May, 2007)	Valid study.
	Chromosomal aberration	Human lymphocytes	25 - 300 µg/ml	Equivocal <sup>(c)</sup> Negative <sup>(c)</sup>	(Mason, 2007) (Pritchard, 2011)	Valid study.
	Chromosomal aberration	Human lymphocytes	100 - 300 µg/ml	Negative <sup>(b)</sup>	(Mason, 2007)	Limited relevance (no repeat study).
(1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>S</i> ,5 <i>R</i> )- <i>N</i> -(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide [16.123]	Reverse mutation	<i>S. typhimurium</i> , TA98, TA100, TA102, TA1535 and TA1537	50 - 5000 µg/plate	Negative <sup>(a)</sup>	(Bowles, 2008)	Valid study.

(a): With and without metabolic activation.

(b): With metabolic activation.

(c): Without metabolic activation.

**Table 8:** Genotoxicity (*in vivo*)

Chemical Name [FL-no]	Test System	Test Object	Route	Dose	Result	Reference	Comments
<i>N-p</i> -benzeneacetonitrile-menthanecarboxamide [16.117]	Micronucleus induction	Mice	Gavage	500 - 2000 mg/kg bw/day	Negative	(Pritchard, 2007)	Of limited relevance due to absence of target tissue toxicity

## REFERENCES

- Bowen R, 2007. *In vitro* mammalian chromosome aberration test in human lymphocytes. Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd., Study No. GEN 0005/063563. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Bowles AJ, 2008. Reverse mutation assay (ames-test) in *Salmonella typhimurium*. SafePharm Laboratories Ltd., Shardlow, United Kingdom. Project No. 2082/0146. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Bradshaw J, 2008. Acute oral toxicity in the rat - fixed dose method ((1*R*,2*S*,5*R*)-*N*-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide). Fodat 34782. SafePharm Laboratories. SPL Project no. 2082/0145. August 7, 2008. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Capen CC, 2008. Hepatic microsomal enzyme induction. In: Klaassen CD (Ed.). Casarett and Doull's Toxicology. The Basic Science of Poisons. 7<sup>th</sup> Ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, pp. 833-838.
- Chase K, 2008. GR-72-1814: Toxicity study by oral (dietary) administration to CD rats for 4 weeks followed by a 2 week recovery period. Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd. Study No. GEN/0017. 8 May 2008. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Cramer GM, Ford RA and Hall RL, 1978. Estimation of toxic hazard - a decision tree approach. Food and Cosmetics Toxicology 16(3), 255-276.
- DG SANCO (Directorate General for Health and Consumer Affairs), 2013. Information from DG SANCO 30/10 2013, concerning a list of 19 non-supported substances. FLAVIS.2.27.
- Eapen AK, 2007. A 90-Day Oral (Dietary) Toxicity Study of GR-72-0180 in Rats. WIL Research Laboratories, LLC, Study No. WIL-529014. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Eapen AK, 2008. A 28-Day Oral (Dietary) Toxicity Study of GR-72-1814 in Rats. WIL Research Laboratories, LLC, Study No. WIL-529015. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- EC (European Commission), 2000. Commission Regulation No 1565/2000 of 18 July 2000 laying down the measures necessary for the adoption of an evaluation programme in application of Regulation (EC) No 2232/96. Official Journal of the European Communities 19.7.2000, L 180, 8-16.
- EFFA (European Flavour and Fragrance Association), 2002. Letter from EFFA to Dr. Joern Gry, Danish Veterinary and Food Administration. Dated 31 October 2002. Re.: Second group of questions. FLAVIS/8.26.
- EFFA (European Flavour and Fragrance Association), 2004. Intake - Collection and collation of usage data for flavouring substances. Letter from Dan Dils, EFFA to Torben Hallas-Møller, EFSA. May 31, 2004.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2004. Minutes of the 7th Plenary Meeting of the Scientific Panel on Food Additives, Flavourings, Processing Aids and Materials in Contact with Food, Held in Brussels on 12-13 July 2004. Brussels, 28 September 2004.
- Eurostat, 1998. Total population. Cited in Eurostat, 2004. The EU population, Total population

- Flavour Industry, 2008a. Unpublished information submitted by Flavour Industry to FLAVIS Secretariat. A-304 [FL-no: 16.117].
- Flavour Industry, 2008b. Unpublished information submitted by Flavour Industry to FLAVIS Secretariat. A-304 [FL-no: 16.118].
- Flavour Industry, 2009. Unpublished information submitted by Flavour Industry to DG SANCO and forwarded to EFSA. A-304 [FL-no: 16.124 and 16.125].
- Flavour Industry, 2010. Unpublished information submitted by Flavour Industry to EFSA and forwarded to FLAVIS Secretariat. A-304 [FL-no: 16.123].
- Flavour Industry, 2012. Unpublished information submitted by Flavour Industry to the FLAVIS Secretariat. Specification. A-304 [FL-no: 16.117, 16.118].
- Groom CJ, 2007. GR-72-1814: Acute oral toxicity to the rat (Acute toxic class method). Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd., Study No. GEN/0009. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- IOFI (International Organization of the Flavor Industry), 1995. European inquiry on volume of use.
- James R, 1974. Appendix 4, animal studies-summary of results. *N*-Ethyl-2-isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexane. Unpublished report to the Flavor Manufacturers Association. Submitted to WHO by the Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association of the United States, Washington DC, USA.
- JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives), 1995. Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. Forty-fourth Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. 14-23 February 1995. WHO Technical Report Series, no. 859. Geneva.
- JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives), 1996. Toxicological evaluation of certain food additives. Forty-fourth Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: 35. IPCS, WHO, Geneva.
- JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives), 1997. Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. Forty-sixth report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. Geneva, 6-15 February 1996. WHO Technical Report Series, no. 868. Geneva.
- JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives), 1999. Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. Forty-ninth report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. Rome, 17-26 June 1997. WHO Technical Report Series, no. 884. Geneva.
- Kirkpatrick JB, 2013. A 90-day oral (dietary) toxicity study of GR-72-1814 in rats with a 28-day recovery period. WIL Research. Study no. WIL-529021. 03 July 2013. Unpublished report submitted by Flavour Industry to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Mallory VT, 2004. An Acute Oral Toxicity Study in Rats with GR-72-0180. Calvert Laboratories, Inc., Study No. 0406RG29.002. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Mason C, 2007. GR-72-1814. *In vitro* mammalian chromosome aberration test in human lymphocytes. Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd., Study No. GEN/0015. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- May K, 2007. Bacterial reverse mutation test. Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd., Study No. GEN/0014. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.

- Poet TS, Wu H, Soelberg J and Hinderliter P, 2005. The hydrolysis of WS-5 in artificial pancreatic juice and rat liver homogenate. Unpublished report prepared by Battelle, Pacific Northwest Division, Richland, WA, USA, for the Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association, Washington, DC, USA. Submitted to WHO by the International Organization of the Flavor Industry, Brussels, Belgium (Battelle Project No. 49599).
- Pritchard L, 2007. Mouse Micronucleus Test. Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd., Study No. GEN/0021. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Pritchard L, 2011. *In vitro* mammalian chromosome aberration test in human lymphocytes. GR-72-1814 (*N*-(2-(pyridin-2-yl)ethyl)-3-*p*-menthanecarboxamide). Huntingdon Life Sciences Limited. Study no. GEN 0015/063564. February 4, 2011. Unpublished report submitted by Flavour Industry to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- SCF (Scientific Committee for Food), 1995. Scientific Committee for Food. First annual report on chemically defined flavouring substances. May 1995, 2nd draft prepared by the SCF Working Group on Flavouring Substances (Submitted by the SCF Secretariat, 17 May 1995). CS/FLAV/FL/140-Rev2. Annex 6 to Document III/5611/95, European Commission, Directorate-General III, Industry.
- SCF (Scientific Committee for Food), 1999. Opinion on a programme for the evaluation of flavouring substances (expressed on 2 December 1999). Scientific Committee on Food. SCF/CS/FLAV/TASK/11 Final 6/12/1999. Annex I to the minutes of the 119<sup>th</sup> Plenary meeting. European Commission, Health & Consumer Protection Directorate-General.
- Schwen R, 1982. Excretion and tissue distribution of <sup>14</sup>C after an oral dose of capsaicin analog, *N*-(vanillyl)-[1(<sup>14</sup>C)]-nonanamide. Federation Proceedings 41, 1558.
- Sipes G and Kong W, 2012. Report on the lack of hydrolysis of *n*-benzointrile-*p*-menthan-3-carboxamide by rat or human microsomes. University of Arizona College of Medicine, Department of Pharmacology. 24 February 2012. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Sokolowski A, 2004. *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli* Reverse Mutation Assay with GR-72-0180. RCC, Study No. 846402. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Sostmann K, 2006. Realise of new cooling compounds from different chewing gums. Unpublished data submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- TNO (Nederlandse Organisatie voor Toegepast Natuurwetenschappelijk Onderzoek), 2014. VCF Volatile Compounds in Food. Nijssen LM, van Ingen-Visscher CA and Donders JJH (Eds.). Database version 15.1. Zeist, The Netherlands. TNO Triskelion, 1963-2014.
- Wolff AR and Skibbe J, 2007. *In vitro* Metabolism of Two New Chemical Entities (NCEs) by Hepatocytes: GR-72-0178 and GR-72-0180. XenoTech, LLC; Study No. XT054027. August 15, 2007. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat
- Woods I, 2008. GR-72-0180. *In vitro* Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test in Human Lymphocytes. Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd. Study No. GEN/0061. 22 July 2008. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.

## APPENDIX A: PROCEDURE FOR THE SAFETY EVALUATION

The approach for a safety evaluation of chemically defined flavouring substances as referred to in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000 (EC, 2000), named the "Procedure", is shown in schematic form in Figure A.1. The Procedure is based on the Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food expressed on 2 December 1999 (SCF, 1999), which is derived from the evaluation Procedure developed by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives at its 44<sup>th</sup>, 46<sup>th</sup> and 49<sup>th</sup> meetings (JECFA, 1995; JECFA, 1996; JECFA, 1997; JECFA, 1999).

The Procedure is a stepwise approach that integrates information on intake from current uses, structure-activity relationships, metabolism and, when needed, toxicity. One of the key elements in the Procedure is the subdivision of flavourings into three structural classes (I, II, III) for which thresholds of concern (human exposure thresholds) have been specified. Exposures below these thresholds are not considered to present a safety concern.

Class I contains flavourings that have simple chemical structures and efficient modes of metabolism, which would suggest a low order of oral toxicity. Class II contains flavourings that have structural features that are less innocuous, but are not suggestive of toxicity. Class III comprises flavourings that have structural features that permit no strong initial presumption of safety, or may even suggest significant toxicity (Cramer et al., 1978). The thresholds of concern for these structural classes of 1800, 540 or 90 µg/person/day, respectively, are derived from a large database containing data on subchronic and chronic animal studies (JECFA, 1996).

In Step 1 of the Procedure, the flavourings are assigned to one of the structural classes. The further steps address the following questions:

- can the flavourings be predicted to be metabolised to innocuous products<sup>13</sup> (Step 2)?
- do their exposures exceed the threshold of concern for the structural class (Step A3 and B3)?
- are the flavourings or their metabolites endogenous<sup>14</sup> (Step A4)?
- does a NOAEL exist on the flavourings or on structurally related substances (Step A5 and B4)?

In addition to the data provided for the flavouring substances to be evaluated (candidate substances), toxicological background information available for compounds structurally related to the candidate substances is considered (supporting substances), in order to assure that these data are consistent with the results obtained after application of the Procedure.


The Procedure is not to be applied to flavourings with existing unresolved problems of toxicity. Therefore, the right is reserved to use alternative approaches if data on specific flavourings warranted such actions.

---

<sup>13</sup> "Innocuous metabolic products": Products that are known or readily predicted to be harmless to humans at the estimated intakes of the flavouring agent" (JECFA, 1997).

<sup>14</sup> "Endogenous substances": Intermediary metabolites normally present in human tissues and fluids, whether free or conjugated; hormones and other substances with biochemical or physiological regulatory functions are not included (JECFA, 1997).

**Procedure for Safety Evaluation of Chemically Defined Flavouring Substances**



**Figure A.1** Procedure for Safety Evaluation of Chemically Defined Flavouring Substances

## APPENDIX B: USE LEVELS / MTAMDI

### B.1 Normal and Maximum Use Levels

For each of the 18 Food categories (Table B.1.1) in which the candidate substances are used, Flavour Industry reports a “normal use level” and a “maximum use level” (EC, 2000). According to the Industry the “normal use” is defined as the average of reported usages and “maximum use” is defined as the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of reported usages (EFFA, 2002). The normal and maximum use levels in different food categories have been extrapolated from figures derived from 12 model flavouring substances (EFFA, 2004).

**Table B.1.1 Food categories according to Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000 (EC, 2000).**

Food category	Description
01.0	Dairy products, excluding products of category 02.0
02.0	Fats and oils, and fat emulsions (type water-in-oil)
03.0	Edible ices, including sherbet and sorbet
04.1	Processed fruit
04.2	Processed vegetables (incl. mushrooms & fungi, roots & tubers, pulses and legumes), and nuts & seeds
05.0	Confectionery
06.0	Cereals and cereal products, incl. flours & starches from roots & tubers, pulses & legumes, excluding bakery
07.0	Bakery wares
08.0	Meat and meat products, including poultry and game
09.0	Fish and fish products, including molluscs, crustaceans and echinoderms
10.0	Eggs and egg products
11.0	Sweeteners, including honey
12.0	Salts, spices, soups, sauces, salads, protein products, etc.
13.0	Foodstuffs intended for particular nutritional uses
14.1	Non-alcoholic ("soft") beverages, excl. dairy products
14.2	Alcoholic beverages, incl. alcohol-free and low-alcoholic counterparts
15.0	Ready-to-eat savouries
16.0	Composite foods (e.g. casseroles, meat pies, mincemeat) - foods that could not be placed in categories 01.0 - 15.0

The “normal and maximum use levels” are provided by Industry for the four candidate substances in the present flavouring group (Table B.1.2).

### B.2 mTAMDI Calculations

The method for calculation of modified Theoretical Added Maximum Daily Intake (mTAMDI) values is based on the approach used by SCF up to 1995 (SCF, 1995). The assumption is that a person may consume the amount of flavourable foods and beverages listed in Table B.2.1. These consumption estimates are then multiplied by the reported use levels in the different food categories and summed up.

**Table B.2.1 Estimated amount of flavourable foods, beverages, and exceptions assumed to be consumed per person per day (SCF, 1995).**

Class of product category	Intake estimate (g/day)
Beverages (non-alcoholic)	324.0
Foods	133.4
Exception a: Candy, confectionery	27.0
Exception b: Condiments, seasonings	20.0
Exception c: Alcoholic beverages	20.0
Exception d: Soups, savouries	20.0

**Table B.2.1 Estimated amount of flavourable foods, beverages, and exceptions assumed to be consumed per person per day (SCF, 1995).**

<b>Class of product category</b>	<b>Intake estimate (g/day)</b>
Exception e: Others, e.g. chewing gum	e.g. 2.0 (chewing gum)

**Table B.1.2 Normal and Maximum use levels (mg/kg) for the candidate substances in FGE.304Rev1 (Flavour Industry, 2008a; Flavour Industry, 2008b; Flavour Industry, 2009; Flavour Industry, 2010)**

FL-no	Food Categories																	
	Normal use levels (mg/kg)																	
	Maximum use levels (mg/kg)																	
	01.0	02.0	03.0	04.1	04.2	05.0	06.0	07.0	08.0	09.0	10.0	11.0	12.0	13.0	14.1	14.2	15.0	16.0
16.117	-	-	-	-	-	150	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	250	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	0	-	-
16.118	-	-	-	-	-	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	150	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	0	-	-
16.123	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0	1	0,5	0,5	-	-	0,5	1	1	-	0,1	0,2	-	-
	5	10	10	5	0	20	5	5	-	-	10	20	20	-	1	1	-	-
16.124	-	-	-	10	10	220	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	0	-	-
	-	-	-	30	30	800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	0	-	-
16.125	-	-	-	20	20	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	0	-	-
	-	-	-	100	100	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	0	-	-

\*All four candidate substances are also used in chewing gum, which is not covered by any of the above food categories. Normal/maximum use levels for chewing gum is 200/800 mg/kg for [FL-no: 16.117], 100/300 mg/kg for [FL-no: 16.118], 30/300 mg/kg for [FL-no: 16.123] and 400/800 mg/kg for [FL-no: 16.125]. For the two substances [FL-no: 16.117 and 16.118] the Industry has informed that only 10 % of the amount added is released from the chewing gum (Sostmann, 2006). For [FL-no: 16.125] there is a release of 10.5 % (Flavour Industry, 2009). For the remaining substance [FL-no: 16.123] there is no information on % release and 100% is used in the calculation. Taking these % releases and an intake estimate of 2 g chewing gum/day into consideration, the mTAMDI of the candidate substances based on the 16 food categories and the use of chewing gum is calculated. These figures are presented in Tables B.2.3 and 3.

The mTAMDI calculations are based on the normal use levels reported by Industry. The seven food categories used in the SCF TAMDI approach (SCF, 1995) correspond to the 18 food categories as outlined in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000 (EC, 2000) and reported by the Flavour Industry in the following way (see Table B.2.2):

- Beverages (SCF, 1995) correspond to food category 14.1
- Foods (SCF, 1995) correspond to the food categories 1, 2, 3, 4.1, 4.2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, and/or 16
- Exception a (SCF, 1995) corresponds to food category 5 and 11
- Exception b (SCF, 1995) corresponds to food category 15
- Exception c (SCF, 1995) corresponds to food category 14.2
- Exception d (SCF, 1995) corresponds to food category 12
- Exception e (SCF, 1995) corresponds to others, e.g. chewing gum.

**Table B.2.2 Distribution of the 18 food categories listed in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000 (EC, 2000) into the seven SCF food categories used for TAMDI calculation (SCF, 1995).**

Food categories according to Commission Regulation 1565/2000		Distribution of the seven SCF food categories		
Key	Food category	Food	Beverages	Exceptions
01.0	Dairy products, excluding products of category 02.0	Food		
02.0	Fats and oils, and fat emulsions (type water-in-oil)	Food		
03.0	Edible ices, including sherbet and sorbet	Food		
04.1	Processed fruit	Food		
04.2	Processed vegetables (incl. mushrooms & fungi, roots & tubers, pulses and legumes), and nuts & seeds	Food		
05.0	Confectionery			Exception a
06.0	Cereals and cereal products, incl. flours & starches from roots & tubers, pulses & legumes, excluding bakery	Food		
07.0	Bakery wares	Food		
08.0	Meat and meat products, including poultry and game	Food		
09.0	Fish and fish products, including molluscs, crustaceans and echinoderms	Food		
10.0	Eggs and egg products	Food		
11.0	Sweeteners, including honey			Exception a
12.0	Salts, spices, soups, sauces, salads, protein products, etc.			Exception d
13.0	Foodstuffs intended for particular nutritional uses	Food		
14.1	Non-alcoholic ("soft") beverages, excl. dairy products		Beverages	
14.2	Alcoholic beverages, incl. alcohol-free and low-alcoholic counterparts			Exception c
15.0	Ready-to-eat savouries			Exception b
16.0	Composite foods (e.g. casseroles, meat pies, mincemeat) - foods that could not be placed in categories 01.0 - 15.0	Food		

The mTAMDI values (see Table B.2.3) are presented for each of the five flavouring substances in the present Flavouring Group Evaluation, for which Industry has provided use and use levels (Flavour Industry, 2008a; Flavour Industry, 2008b; Flavour Industry, 2009; Flavour Industry, 2010). The mTAMDI values are only given for the highest reported normal use levels.


**TableB.2.3 Estimated intakes based on the mTAMDI approach.**

FL-no	EU Register name	mTAMDI (µg/person/day)	Structural class	Threshold of concern (µg/person/day)
16.117	N-p-Benzeneacetonitrile-menthancarboxamide	4400	Class III	90
16.118	N-(2-(Pyridine-2-yl)ethyl)-3-p-menthancarboxamide	2500	Class III	90
16.123	(1R,2S,5R)-N-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexancarboxamide	150	Class III	90
16.124	(1R,2S,5R)-N-Cyclopropyl-5-methyl-2-isopropyl cyclohexancarboxamide	11000	Class III	90
16.125	(2S,5R)-N-[4-(2-Amino-2-oxoethyl)phenyl]-5-methyl-2-(propan-2-yl)cyclohexancarboxamide	7800	Class III	90

The calculation of mTAMDI for the candidate substances takes into account the information Industry has provided on release from the chewing gum matrix (Flavour Industry, 2009; Flavour Industry, 2010; Sostmann, 2006).

## APPENDIX C: METABOLISM

The hydrolysis of [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]-*N-p*-benzenenitrile-menthanecarboxamide (BMC) [FL-no: 16.117] was studied in rat and human hepatic microsomes (Sipes and Kong, 2012). As a positive control the hydrolysis of isoeugenol acetate, a known substrate of carboxyl esterase, was used. The radiochemical purity of [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]-BMC [FL-no: 16.117] were > 99 %.



**Figure C.1.** The structure of [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]-*N-p*-benzenenitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117], with location of the [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] label (\*).

The hydrolytic assay was carried out in a total volume of 0.4 ml of 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer with pH 7.4 containing [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]-BMC (100  $\mu\text{M}$  or 20  $\mu\text{M}$ ) or isoeugenol acetate (500  $\mu\text{M}$ ) and pooled hepatic microsomes from male F-344 rats or male humans. At each time point (5, 10, 30 and 60 min.) an aliquot of the reaction mixture was removed from the incubation and mixed with ice cold ethanol to terminate the reaction. The [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]-BMC and its metabolites were analysed with a reversed phase HPLC-radiometric analysis. Control incubations were conducted with heat denatured microsomes. No hydrolysis was detected at any time point when either active or heat-inactivated hepatic microsomes were used. Both hepatic microsomes from rat and humans hydrolysed isoeugenol acetate to isoeugenol.

The results show that metabolically active male rat or human microsomes did not hydrolyse *N-p*-benzenenitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117].

The hydrolysis of a substance with partial structure similarity to [FL-no: 16.117] from FGE.94Rev1, *N*-[(ethoxycarbonyl)methyl]-*p*-menthane-3-carboxamide [FL-no: 16.111], was studied in artificial pancreatic juice and rat liver homogenate (Poet et al., 2005). Based on the disappearance of the employed substrate, [FL-no: 16.111] was hydrolysed in artificial pancreatic juice with a half-life of  $43 \pm 14.7$  min. and a first order rate constant ( $K$ ) of  $1.06 \pm 0.426$  hour $^{-1}$ . In 20 fold-diluted liver homogenate the disappearance of [FL-no: 16.111] was considerably faster (half-life:  $0.802 \pm 0.191$  min.). However, the potential hydrolysis products *p*-menthane-3-carboxylic acid, glycine ethylester and glycine were only detected at trace levels. This indicates that the disappearance of [FL-no: 16.111] under the employed *in vitro*-conditions is due to the hydrolysis of the ethyl ester bond rather than the hydrolysis of the amide bond.

The possible release of cyanide from the candidate substance *N-p*-benzenenitrile-menthanecarboxamide [FL-no: 16.117] during metabolism was studied in rat and human hepatocytes. Incubations of up to 250  $\mu\text{M}$  of the candidate substance with human or rat hepatocytes for up to 4 hours only resulted in release of low amounts, if any, of cyanide. Proper positive control incubations with benzyl nitrile and sodium cyanide were included in the study (Wolff and Skibbe, 2007).

Specific information regarding absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion is not available for the remaining candidate substances.

The candidate aromatic amides are anticipated to being absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract like other aromatic amides. Aromatic amides are expected to be metabolised to polar metabolites which are eliminated in the urine or bile (James, 1974; Schwen, 1982).

Data on the candidate substance [FL-no: 16.117] and the carboxamide [FL-no: 16.111] demonstrate that there is no hydrolysis of the amide bond under the *in vitro* conditions. Owing to the lack of further data the candidate substances cannot be anticipated to be metabolised to innocuous products

## ABBREVIATIONS

ALAT	Alanin Transaminase
ASAT	Aspartate Transaminase
BW	Body Weight
CAS	Chemical Abstract Service
CEF	Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids
CAS	Chemical Abstract Service
CoE	Council of Europe
EC	European Commission
EFSA	The European Food Safety Authority
EU	European Union
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
FEMA	Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association
FGE	Flavouring Group Evaluation
FLAVIS (FL)	Flavour Information System (database)
FOB	Functional Observational Battery
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
ID	Identity
IOFI	International Organization of the Flavour Industry
JECFA	The Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives
LD <sub>50</sub>	Lethal Dose, 50 %; Median lethal dose
MA	Motor Activity
MCH	Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin
MCV	Mean Corpuscular Volume
MSDI	Maximised Survey-derived Daily Intake
mTAMDI	Modified Theoretical Added Maximum Daily Intake
No	Number
NOAEL	No Observed Adverse Effect Level
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
SCF	Scientific Committee on Food
TAMDI	Theoretical Added Maximum Daily Intake
TSH	Thyroid-stimulating hormone
UDP	Uridine Diphosphate
UGT	UDP Glucuronyltransferase
WHO	World Health Organisation