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The activation and thermal stability of ultra-shallow B\textsuperscript{+} implants in crystalline (c-Ge) and preamorphized Ge (PA-Ge) following rapid thermal annealing was investigated using micro Hall effect and ion beam analysis techniques. The residual implanted dose of ultra-shallow B\textsuperscript{+} implants in Ge was characterized using elastic recoil detection and was determined to correlate well with simulations with a dose loss of 23.2\%, 21.4\%, and 17.6\% due to ion backscattering for 2, 4, and 6 keV implants in Ge, respectively. The electrical activation of ultra-shallow B\textsuperscript{+} implants at 2, 4, and 6 keV to fluences ranging from 5.0 \times 10^{13} to 5.0 \times 10^{15} cm\textsuperscript{-2} was studied using micro Hall effect measurements after annealing at 400–600°C for 60 s. For both c-Ge and PA-Ge, a large fraction of the implanted dose is rendered inactive due to the formation of a presumable B-Ge cluster. The B lattice location in samples annealed at 400°C for 60 s was characterized by channeling analysis with a 650 keV H\textsuperscript{+} beam by utilizing the \textsuperscript{11}B(p, a)2x nuclear reaction and confirmed the large fraction of off-lattice B for both c-Ge and PA-Ge. Within the investigated annealing range, no significant change in activation was observed. An increase in the fraction of activated dopant was observed with increasing energy which suggests that the surface proximity and the local point defect environment has a strong impact on B activation in Ge. The results suggest the presence of an inactive B-Ge cluster for ultra-shallow implants in both c-Ge and PA-Ge that remains stable upon annealing for temperatures up to 600°C. © 2012 American Institute of Physics. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4770474]

I. INTRODUCTION

As the length scales associated with complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) devices continue to shrink, it has become evident that the physical limitations of silicon have been reached and the adoption of a replacement material will be increasingly important for future device generations. Silicon firmly established itself as the preferred material for CMOS devices for several decades due to the exceptional qualities of its thermally grown oxide and the properties of the Si/SiO\textsubscript{2} interface.\textsuperscript{1} However, with the industry transferring from the poly-Si/SiO\textsubscript{2} to metal gate/high-κ dielectrics for the gate stack to compensate for physical scaling limitations, the ability to efficiently integrate alternative active semiconducting materials in CMOS devices is becoming closer to reality.

Due to its enhanced electron and hole mobility and reduced contact resistance compared to Si, Ge is an attractive replacement material and has gained a substantial focus over the last decade.\textsuperscript{2,4} In recent years, several studies have investigated the electrical behavior of ion implanted B in both crystalline (c-Ge) and preamorphized (PA-Ge) Ge.\textsuperscript{5–11} Similar to Si, it has been shown that preamorphization increases dopant activation during the solid phase epitaxial growth (SPEG) process.\textsuperscript{6} In addition, a modest 360°C anneal results in a high level of boron activation\textsuperscript{7} which remains stable for anneals up to 550°C for 1 h.\textsuperscript{9} However, the majority of the experiments published in the literature have used high energy B\textsuperscript{+} implants that are not directly relevant for ultra-shallow junctions.

In order to realize the junctions necessary to continue the physical scaling of devices, it is necessary to fully understand dopant diffusion and electrical activation of ultra-shallow implants. B is known to diffuse very slowly in Ge which makes it an ideal candidate for future pMOS devices.\textsuperscript{12–14} However, there is a large knowledge gap regarding the electrical activation for these technologically relevant implants. A few reports have studied the activation behavior of ultra-shallow B\textsuperscript{+} implants in Ge and have observed a high level of dopant incorporation and thermal stability over a range of annealing conditions, similar to deeper implants.\textsuperscript{15–19} However, these studies relied on sheet resistance (R\textsubscript{s}) measurements in conjunction with chemical profiles and mobility...
models to determine activation values. The Hall effect enables a direct measurement of active carriers and mobility and has been utilized to characterize ultra-shallow implants in Ge.\textsuperscript{20,21} Interestingly, it has been shown that the activation of 2 keV B\textsuperscript{+} implants in Ge has an anomalous activation behavior which is characterized by an incomplete activation independent of implanted fluence for both c-Ge and PA-Ge.\textsuperscript{20} The behavior is believed to be due to a B-Ge cluster formation which renders a large fraction of the implanted fluence inactive. Although far less pronounced, the presence of B-Ge clusters has been reported previously, but has only been observed for implants into c-Ge.\textsuperscript{9,15,16,22} For Si, the formation and evolution of boron-interstitial clusters are well-characterized and understood,\textsuperscript{23–26} but to date, a comprehensive study has not been completed for B\textsuperscript{+} implants in Ge.

In this work, a systematic study of the effect of isochronal annealing on the electrical activation and subsequent clustering behavior of ultra-shallow B\textsuperscript{+} implants in Ge is presented. Ion beam analysis techniques and transmission electron microscopy are used to further explain the electrical behavior observed upon annealing.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Experiments were performed on Czochralski-grown n-type Ge (001) wafers with resistivity larger than 50 Ω cm. Samples were B\textsuperscript{+} implanted at 2, 4, and 6 keV with fluences ranging from $5 \times 10^{13}$ to $5 \times 10^{15}$ cm\textsuperscript{-2} at 0° tilt and twist. An identical set of PA-Ge samples was produced by first implanting a Ge\textsuperscript{+} fluence of $2 \times 10^{14}$ cm\textsuperscript{-2} at 120 keV prior to B\textsuperscript{+} implantation to produce an amorphized surface layer at a depth of 100 nm as verified by high-resolution cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (HR-XTEM). The beam current was fixed at 1.1 mA for all B\textsuperscript{+} implants and the platen was held at 25°C. Samples were processed in a Heatpulse 4100 rapid thermal annealer (RTA) in an N\textsubscript{2} ambient at 400–600°C for 60 s to activate the implanted B. HR-XTEM was completed using a JEOL 2010F to image the microstructure of specimens before and after annealing. TEM samples were prepared using a FEI DB235 focused ion beam.

It has been speculated that a large fraction of the implanted B\textsuperscript{+} fluence is lost to ion backscattering.\textsuperscript{20} To characterize the as-implanted chemical dose of ultra-shallow B\textsuperscript{+} implants in Ge, a set of variable energy samples were implanted at 2, 4, and 6 keV and characterized using elastic recoil detection (ERD). Samples were characterized as-implanted to avoid any issues introduced with further processing. Any losses due to backscattering would be independent of implanted dose; therefore, a dose of $5 \times 10^{15}$ cm\textsuperscript{-2} was used to increase measurement counts and decrease experimentation time for all implant energies investigated. ERD characterization was performed using the $^{11}$B\textsuperscript{(28}Si, $^{11}$B) reaction with a 28 MeV Si\textsuperscript{3+} beam with the Ge target tilted at 75° from incidence and a recoil angle of 30°. A 12 μm mylar foil was used to shield forward scattered Si ions and to allow the recoiled B atoms to enter the detector. The areal density of recoiled B atoms to enter the detector. The areal density of recoiled B atoms to enter the detector.

Micro Hall effect measurements were used for their ability to accurately measure the electrical properties of ultra-shallow junctions.\textsuperscript{28–30} Micro Hall effect characterization was completed using a CAPRES microRSP M-150 M4PP fitted with Au-coated probes, a probe spacing of 20 μm, and a permanent magnet with a magnetic flux density of 0.475 T. Hall sheet number ($n_H$) and mobility values ($\mu_H$) were adjusted to obtain the carrier sheet number ($n_i$) and drift mobility ($\mu_d$) by using a scattering factor ($r_H$) of 1.21 as determined empirically.\textsuperscript{7} The carrier density and drift mobility are related to the Hall values by $n_i = n_H \times r_H$ and $\mu_d = \mu_H/r_H$, respectively.

B in Ge cannot be detected by standard Rutherford backscattering spectrometry since the lower atomic number of B with respect of Ge. Nuclear reaction analysis (NRA) is an ion beam technique to detect B atoms in Ge by measuring the yield of $x$ particles from the reaction $^{11}$B($p,x$)$^{10}$Be, which has a broad resonance near the proton energy of 650 keV.\textsuperscript{31–35} NRA and channelling measurements along the $\{100\}$, $\{110\}$, and $\{111\}$ axes were performed using the $^{11}$B(p,$x$)$^{10}$Be reaction (proton energy of 650 keV) on the B implanted samples. The $x$ particles detector was placed at 160° with respect to the incident beam direction and it was covered with a 10-μm-thick aluminised mylar film to prevent backscattered protons to reach the detector. A second detector, at 165°, was used to detect protons backscattered from Ge atoms and to perform the alignment procedure. The normalized channelling yield $\chi$ ($\chi_{Ge}$ and $\chi_{B}$, for host Ge and B atoms, respectively) is defined as the ratio of the aligned yield to the yield of randomly directed beam. $\chi_{B}$ was obtained from the energy integrated $x$ particles yield normalized to the random yield and is proportional to the fraction of B displaced out of lattice, $\chi_{Ge}$ was measured just below the surface peak of the backscattered proton spectrum. The uncertainty of $\chi$ is statistically determined by the number of counts in the aligned spectrum. The minimum yield $\chi_{min}$ is the yield obtained for perfect alignment of the incident beam with crystal direction normalized to the yield for random incidence. If the non-substitutional impurity atoms occupy random lattice positions, the impurity $\chi$ has similar values along the several crystal axes, in this case, the apparent substitutional fraction $f_s$ is defined as

$$f_s(B) = \frac{1 - \chi_{B}}{1 - \chi_{Ge}},$$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To confirm the residual implanted dose of ultra-shallow B\textsuperscript{+} implants in Ge, samples as-implanted to a dose of $5 \times 10^{15}$ cm\textsuperscript{-2} were characterized using ERD. The residual implanted dose for samples implanted at 2, 4, and 6 keV was found to be $3.84 \times 10^{15}$ cm\textsuperscript{-2}, $3.88 \times 10^{15}$ cm\textsuperscript{-2}, and $4.12 \times 10^{15}$ cm\textsuperscript{-2}, respectively. The deviation from the implanted dose is significant as the loss is in excess of 20% of the implanted dose for the lowest implant energy. As speculated in the previous reports, it is believed that ion backscatter is a large source of dose loss and at first inspection could
seemingly reduce the activation of the $B^+$ implant.\textsuperscript{20} Due to the low atomic mass relative to Ge and the $1/E^2$ dependence of backscattering, boron is highly susceptible to ion backscattering during low-energy implantation which reduces the chemical dose before any other processing is completed. Taking into account that samples were characterized as-as implanted, it is assumed that the deviation from implanted dose is due completely to backscattering losses during implantation. Boron is known to diffuse very slowly in Ge\textsuperscript{12–14} and no further significant dose loss is expected due to surface desorption following annealing at 400–600°C for 60 s.\textsuperscript{36}

Fig. 1 shows the percentage of implanted $B^+$ lost to backscattering as a function of implant energy as measured with ERD plotted in conjunction with SRIM simulations.\textsuperscript{37} The simulations compare favorably with the dose loss values experimentally determined through ERD and confirm that a large fraction of the implanted dose is lost to ion backscattering. Given that backscattering is an energy-dependent phenomenon, it is assumed that this behavior is identical for lower doses. The experiment confirms that SRIM simulations are sufficient for estimating the retained implanted dose for ultra-shallow $B^+$ implants in Ge.

Fig. 2 shows the sheet resistance ($R_S$) and sheet number ($n_s$) for samples implanted at 2, 4, and 6 keV to $B^+$ fluences ranging from $5.0 \times 10^{13}$ to $5.0 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ after annealing for 400°C for 60 s. In Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), it is evident that $R_S$ decreases with increasing fluence and energy for both c-Ge (diamonds) and PA-Ge (circles). Following a 400°C 60 s anneal, the minimum $R_S$ achieved was 45.9 and 105.9 $\Omega$/sq for PA-Ge and c-Ge, respectively. The lower $R_S$ values for PA-Ge with respect to c-Ge have been documented previously\textsuperscript{6,15,20} and are due to increased B incorporation upon SPEG. The decrease in $R_S$ with increasing implant energy can be explained by the increase in the number of active carriers as evidenced in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d).

In Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), sheet number (as adjusted using $r_H = 1.21$) is plotted as a function of implant fluence. It is evident that the decrease in $R_S$ with increasing fluence is due to an increase in the overall number of active dopants. For $5.0 \times 10^{15}$ $B^+$-cm$^{-2}$ implants at 6 keV, the $n_s$ obtained was 7.4 $\times 10^{14}$ and 2.2 $\times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ for c-Ge and PA-Ge, respectively. The corresponding activation value, defined as the ratio of sheet number divided by the residual implanted fluence, was 18% and 52% for c-Ge and PA-Ge, respectively. These low activation values are not entirely surprising given the peak B concentration, which was simulated by SRIM to be approximately $1.4 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-3}$ and thus is well above solubility values reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{7,15,20}

However, a large difference between the implanted and active fluence also exists for low fluence samples which suggests that a single electrical solubility level does not exist. In conjunction with the anomalous activation behavior of ultra-shallow $B^+$ implants in Ge that we have reported previously,\textsuperscript{20} a large discrepancy between the implanted and active fluence exists for both c-Ge and PA-Ge. The incomplete activation at relatively low fluences is believed to be due to the formation of a $B$-Ge cluster. For the lowest $B^+$ fluence of $5.0 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ implanted at 2 keV, the peak B concentration as simulated by SRIM\textsuperscript{37} is expected to be only $3.0 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ which is lower than the reported solubility of B in both c-Ge and PA-Ge.\textsuperscript{20} Despite the low concentration, only a small fraction of dopant is rendered active following a 400°C anneal for 60 s. The short annealing time is not the source of the poor activation as our previous work has shown that 400°C for 1 h yielded similar activation values as those documented in this work.\textsuperscript{20}

It should be stressed that the decrease from complete activation for the low fluence samples is not due to any electrical solubility argument.

The clustering behavior observed in c-Ge and PA-Ge is well-behaved across the investigated energy range and is intriguing due to its fluence-independent nature. The sheet number values obtained increase as a function of energy which suggests that clustering may increase when the boron profile is located near the surface. Recent reports have documented that the Ge surface acts as a vacancy sink while reflecting interstitials into the bulk.\textsuperscript{38,39} Assuming that this behavior holds true, the near-surface volume should become enriched with interstitials following implantation. This point defect environment would promote the formation of an inactive B-Ge cluster while simultaneously removing vacant lattice sites necessary for B activation. Increasing the implant energy would serve to further reduce the effects of the surface proximity on vacancy annihilation thereby increasing activation which is observed in this work.

It would be expected that B-Ge cluster formation would be dependent on the implanted fluence or overall B concentration with respect to a solubility limit. If the B concentrations were to exceed this limit, clustering and inactive dopants would be expected; below this limit, substitutional and active dopants would be the case. It should be noted that the behavior reported in this work is much different from what has been reported previously regarding B clustering in Ge\textsuperscript{9,15,16,22} for two reasons: (1) Although not as prominent, dose-independent clustering also occurred in ultra-shallow $B^+$ implants in PA-Ge and (2) The behavior is independent of fluence and the activation percentage is fixed even for a

![FIG. 1. Percent of B ions backscattered as a function of implant energy into c-Ge as simulated by SRIM and experimentally determined through ERD for a $5.0 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ implant into Ge.](image-url)
5.0 × 10^{13} \text{B}^+ \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \text{ implant for both c-Ge and PA-Ge. The observed behavior in this work suggests that a single electrically active solubility does not exist for ultra-shallow B^+ implants in Ge. Rather, the concentration of active dopants increases with increasing fluence. Since the electrical activation behavior deviates far from what has been observed previously in Ge as well as in Si, select samples were structurally characterized through channeling analyses utilizing nuclear reactions to determine the substitutional fraction of B after processing.

Table I shows the fraction of substitutional B as measured using channeling and NRA following a 400 °C 60s anneal. For both c-Ge and PA-Ge, low and high fluence samples were characterized to obtain structural data on samples that would be expected to be below and above solubility, respectively. For all characterized samples, the normalized channeling yield ($\chi^B$) obtained along the (100), (110), and (111) orientations are all approximately equal. This suggests that the non-substitutional B fraction is randomly distributed throughout the lattice. The substitutional fraction for each

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
& \text{B}^+ \text{ Fluence} (\text{cm}^2) & \chi^B(100) & \chi^B(110) & \chi^B(111) & \text{NRA}^a & \text{Hall}^b \\
\hline
c-Ge & 1.0 \times 10^{14} & 0.92 \pm 0.05 & 0.90 \pm 0.05 & 0.91 \pm 0.05 & 9.0 & 11.9 \\
& 1.0 \times 10^{15} & 0.81 \pm 0.01 & 0.83 \pm 0.01 & 0.84 \pm 0.01 & 18.3 & 12.0 \\
& 5.0 \times 10^{15} & 0.91 \pm 0.01 & 0.89 \pm 0.01 & 0.92 \pm 0.01 & 11.0 & 11.7 \\
PA-Ge & 1.0 \times 10^{14} & 0.41 \pm 0.01 & 0.39 \pm 0.01 & 0.43 \pm 0.01 & 62.0 & 53.9 \\
& 5.0 \times 10^{15} & 0.76 \pm 0.01 & 0.75 \pm 0.01 & 0.79 \pm 0.01 & 24.6 & 19.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Channeling minimum yields and corresponding substitutional B fraction for 2 keV samples as measured by channeling analysis using nuclear reactions along three axes (100), (110), and (111) and Hall effect measurements after annealing 400 °C for 60 s.}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{a}Determined by averaging $\chi^B$ values from (100), (110), and (111) orientations.

\textsuperscript{b}Ratio of carrier sheet density divided by the residual fluence.
sample was obtained by using Eq. (1) and averaging the channeling yield obtained along each crystal orientation. Assuming that the sheet number obtained from Hall measurements corresponds to the substitutionally located B, the substitutional fractions obtained using channeling analyses and electrical measurements agree favorably for all characterized samples. For even a modest B\(^{+}\) fluence of 1.0 \times 10^{14}\, \text{cm}^{-2} (peak B concentration of approximately 6.0 \times 10^{19}\, \text{cm}^{-3}), the substitutional fractions as measured by Hall and channeling analyses are in agreement at approximately 10%. The results confirm the efficacy of electrical measurements of ultra-shallow B\(^{+}\) implants in Ge and suggest that electrical results obtained using different processing conditions, i.e., different implant fluences or annealing thermal budgets, should be considered to be accurate.

Fig. 3 shows the change in sheet resistance between annealing at 400 °C and 600 °C for 60 s. Rather than presenting all measured data, the relative change in \(R_S\) was used to highlight the trend observed for all implant energies while maintaining a concise plot. Interestingly, with increasing annealing temperature, it was apparent that \(R_S\) decreased for all c-Ge implant conditions and increased for all PA-Ge implant conditions. As implant fluence was decreased, the relative changes in \(R_S\) became more prominent for both c-Ge and PA-Ge. For the lowest fluence implanted at 2 keV in c-Ge, \(R_S\) decreased 33.70% while for the highest fluence \(R_S\) decreased only 4.86%. A trend of increasing \(R_S\) became more prominent for both c-Ge and PA-Ge for anneals between 400 and 600 °C. Notably, it should be mentioned that no significant change in activation was observed across the investigated temperature range for both c-Ge and PA-Ge samples. Previous reports have shown that the activation of B in Ge is remarkably stable.\(^5\) However, Panciera et al. have reported that the dopant-defect interactions involved with end of range dissolution has an effect on activation values.\(^11\) The observed changes were slight (approximately 10% change in activation/deactivation) and suggests that dopant-defect interactions in Ge behave much differently from that which has been extensively studied in Si in which large fluctuations in activation are observed upon annealing.\(^40\)

In Fig. 4(a), \(n_s\) is observed to increase with increasing annealing temperature for PA-Ge samples; conversely, \(n_s\) values slightly decreased for c-Ge samples. Similar to the work by Panciera et al.,\(^11\) the observed changes in activation are subtle and do not have significant effect on the overall activation value. The changes in activation for conditions investigated were on the order of 10%. A significant fraction of B is responsible for an increase and decrease in \(R_S\) for PA-Ge in c-Ge, respectively.

---

**Fig. 3.** Change in sheet resistance for 2, 4, and 6 keV B\(^{+}\) implants to fluences ranging from 5.0 \times 10^{13} to 5.0 \times 10^{15}\, \text{cm}^{-2} between annealing at 400 °C and 600 °C for 60 s. With increased annealing temperature, the data show an increase and decrease in \(R_S\) for PA-Ge and c-Ge, respectively.

**Fig. 4.** Measured sheet number (a) and drift mobility (b) as a function of annealing temperature for samples B\(^{+}\) implanted at 2, 4, and 6 keV to a fluence of 5.0 \times 10^{15}\, \text{cm}^{-2} into c-Ge and PA-Ge.
of the residual implanted dose remained electrically inactive following a 600 °C anneal for 60 s. These results suggest that the B-Ge cluster responsible for the B inactivity in both c-Ge and PA-Ge is stable at elevated temperatures.

Drift mobility exhibited a contrasting behavior in that values decreased for PA-Ge and increased for c-Ge samples with increasing temperature as shown in Fig. 4(b). The drift mobility decreased with increasing implant energy and annealing temperature for PA-Ge samples which can be explained by the increase in the number of active dopants. It is known that the impact of ionized dopants on mobility is much more significant than that of neutral dopants due to the effects of coulombic scattering. The increase in $\mu_D$ for c-Ge is explained by the reduction of microstructural damage and subsequent reduction in scattering centers as evidenced in Fig. 5.

Fig. 5 shows the microstructure of samples B$^+$ implanted at 2 keV to a fluence of $5.0 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ into c-Ge and PA-Ge after annealing for 400-600 °C for 60 s. It is well known that B$^+$ implants into c-Ge are characterized by a defective microstructure that near the projected range ($R_p$) of the implant. In the case of c-Ge, the layer is not characterized by discernible extended defects, but rather a highly defective microstructure distinguished by inhomogeneous contrast which diminished with increasing annealing temperature. The inability to observe unique defects may be due to several factors. The samples may not have been subjected to a sufficient thermal budget to allow the formation of extended defects or if already formed, they may be very small and high in concentration which limits the ability to view individual defects. With increasing annealing temperature, the contrast associated with the damaged lattice decreases which suggests that the damage has been reduced. In Fig. 5(c), it is evident that the defective band is much smaller in nature and is located at a depth slightly beyond $R_p$. The depth of these defects may be due to the forward momentum of the ion beam driving interstitials past the $R_p$.

Further work is being completed regarding B$^+$ implant related defects in c-Ge.

In the case of PA-Ge, the initial amorphous Ge (x-Ge) layer was approximately 100 nm (not shown) and is observed to be fully regrown following a 400 °C anneal for 60 s as shown in Fig. 5(d). In addition, no implant related defects were found for any annealing condition of PA-Ge. However, extended defects during the SPEG process is not expected for low Ge$^+$ implant fluences and is not expected to form for anneals in excess of 400 °C.

The observed activation behavior in both c-Ge and PA-Ge is certainly unique and a far departure from what has been observed previously for B$^+$ implants in Si. The ultra-shallow nature of the implants in this work suggests that there may be a correlation with surface proximity. It has been suggested that there is a barrier to point defect recombination at the Ge surface which has been shown to spur the formation of a nanoporous structure. In addition, recent reports have noted the Ge surface as acting as a sink for vacancies while reflecting interstitials. With increasing B$^+$ implant energy, it has been observed that the active fraction increases with indicates the surface proximity may be affecting the activation behavior.

However, it should also be mentioned that increasing the implant energy also introduces a larger number of Frenkel pairs into the lattice upon implantation and may affect the activation observed in this work as a function of energy. It has been documented before that the activation of B in Ge is highly dependent on the damage imparted into the crystal, and similar findings have been observed in this work. In essence, increasing the B$^+$ fluence at a fixed energy introduces a fixed amount of damage to the lattice per B ion. Increasing the fluence allows for more B atoms to become electrically active although at a fixed percentage of the fluence. However, increasing the energy creates more damage per incoming ion which allows for an increase in the fraction of active dopants as observed in this work. It is believed that the introduction of additional Frenkel pairs and the reduction of the effects of the surface proximity on vacancy annihilation allow for an increase in the fraction of activated dopants with increasing B$^+$ energy.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

The electrical activation of ultra-shallow B$^+$ implants in c-Ge and PA-Ge was investigated using micro Hall effect and ion beam analysis techniques following isochronal anneals between 400 and 600 °C. A large fraction of implanted dopant was electrically inactive for all investigated conditions which suggest the presence of a stable B-Ge cluster in both c-Ge and PA-Ge. With increasing annealing temperature, slight activation changes were observed, but a large discrepancy from full activation exists for all investigated fluences and implant energies. With increasing implant energy from 2 to 6 keV, the fraction of electrically active B atoms increased which suggests that surface proximity and its effects on vacancy annihilation may
be impacting the B activation. The results suggest that the activation of B in Ge is highly dependent on the point defect environment which may promote the formation of an inactive B complex.
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