



On the Set of the Numbers of Conjugates of Noncyclic Proper Subgroups of Finite Groups

Shi, Jiangtao; Zhang, Cui

Published in:
Scientific World Journal

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1155/2013/430870](https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/430870)

Publication date:
2013

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Shi, J., & Zhang, C. (2013). On the Set of the Numbers of Conjugates of Noncyclic Proper Subgroups of Finite Groups. *Scientific World Journal*, 2013, [430870]. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/430870>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Research Article

On the Set of the Numbers of Conjugates of Noncyclic Proper Subgroups of Finite Groups

Jiangtao Shi¹ and Cui Zhang²

¹ School of Mathematics and Information Science, Yantai University, Yantai 264005, China

² Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark

Correspondence should be addressed to Jiangtao Shi; shijt2005@163.com

Received 3 August 2013; Accepted 11 September 2013

Academic Editors: J. Hoff da Silva and J. Park

Copyright © 2013 J. Shi and C. Zhang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Let G be a finite group and $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G)$ the set of the numbers of conjugates of noncyclic proper subgroups of G . We prove that (1) if $|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G)| \leq 2$, then G is solvable, and (2) G is a nonsolvable group with $|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G)| = 3$ if and only if $G \cong PSL(2, 5)$ or $PSL(2, 13)$ or $SL(2, 5)$ or $SL(2, 13)$.

1. Introduction

In this paper, all groups are assumed to be finite. It seems interesting to investigate the influence of some arithmetic properties of noncyclic proper subgroups on the solvability of groups. In [1], Li and Zhao proved that any group having at most three conjugacy classes of noncyclic proper subgroups is solvable, and a group G having exactly four conjugacy classes of noncyclic proper subgroups is nonsolvable if and only if $G \cong PSL(2, 5)$ or $SL(2, 5)$. As a generalization of the above result, we showed that any group having at most three conjugacy classes of nonnormal noncyclic proper subgroups is solvable, and a group G having exactly four conjugacy classes of nonnormal noncyclic proper subgroups is nonsolvable if and only if $G \cong PSL(2, 5)$ or $SL(2, 5)$ (see [2]).

Let G be a group and $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G)$ the set of the numbers of conjugates of noncyclic proper subgroups of G . It is clear that a group G with $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G) = \emptyset$ is either a cyclic group or a minimal noncyclic group, and a group G with $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G) = \{1\}$ is a group in which every noncyclic proper subgroup is normal. In [2], we also obtained a complete classification of groups G in which every noncyclic proper subgroup is nonnormal; all such groups G satisfy $1 \notin \mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G)$.

By $|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G)|$ we denote the order of $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G)$. Note that we cannot ensure that $1 \in \mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G)$ for any solvable group G with $|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G)| = n \geq 1$. For example, let $G \cong D_{2p^n}$ be a

dihedral group of order $2p^n$, where $n \geq 1$ and p is an odd prime. Then $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(D_{2p^n}) = \{p, p^2, \dots, p^n\}$, so $1 \notin \mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(D_{2p^n})$. For the nonsolvable group of the smallest order $PSL(2, 5)$, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(PSL(2, 5)) = \{5, 6, 10\}$, and so $|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(PSL(2, 5))| = 3$.

For the influence of $|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G)|$ on the solvability of groups, we have the following result, the proof of which is given in Section 3.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a group.*

- (1) *If $|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G)| \leq 2$, then G is solvable.*
- (2) *G is a nonsolvable group with $|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G)| = 3$ if and only if $G \cong PSL(2, 5)$ or $PSL(2, 13)$ or $SL(2, 5)$ or $SL(2, 13)$.*

The following two corollaries are direct consequences of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. *Let G be a group with $|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G)| \leq 3$. Then G is nonsolvable if and only if $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}(G) = \{5, 6, 10\}$ or $\{14, 78, 91\}$.*

Corollary 3. *Let G be a group and $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}(G)$ the set of the numbers of conjugates of nontrivial subgroups of G .*

- (1) *If $|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}(G)| \leq 2$, then G is solvable.*
- (2) *G is a nonsolvable group with $|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}(G)| = 3$ if and only if $G \cong PSL(2, 13)$.*

Let G be a group and $\mathcal{NC}^*(G)$ the set of the numbers of conjugates of nonnormal noncyclic proper subgroups of G . Obviously $\mathcal{NC}^*(G) \subseteq \mathcal{NC}(G)$.

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can obtain the following result.

Theorem 4. *Let G be a group. If $|\mathcal{NC}^*(G)| \leq 2$, then G is solvable.*

Remark 5. If we assume that G is a nonsolvable group with $|\mathcal{NC}^*(G)| = 3$, we cannot get that $\Phi(G) = Z(G)$. For example, let $G \cong PSL(2, 5) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $p \geq 7$ is a prime. It is easy to see that $|\mathcal{NC}^*(G)| = 3$. But $\Phi(G) = 1$ and $Z(G) = \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Let G be a group and $\mathcal{NA}(G)$ the set of the numbers of conjugates of nonabelian proper subgroups of G . Obviously $\mathcal{NA}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{NC}(G)$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can also obtain the following result.

Theorem 6. *Let G be a group. If $|\mathcal{NA}(G)| \leq 2$, then G is solvable.*

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some essential lemmas needed in the sequel.

Lemma 7 (see [3]). *Let G be a group. If all nonnormal maximal subgroups of G have the same order, then G is solvable.*

Lemma 8 (see [4]). *Let G be a nonsolvable group having exactly two classes of nonnormal maximal subgroups of the same order; then $G/S(G) \cong PSL(2, 7)$, where $S(G)$ is the largest solvable normal subgroup of G .*

Lemma 9 (see [5, 6]). *Let G be a group having exactly n classes of maximal subgroups of the same order, where $1 \leq n \leq 3$; then one of the following statements holds:*

- (1) *suppose that G is a group with $n = 1$, and then G is a p -group for some prime p ;*
- (2) *suppose that G is a nonsolvable group with $n = 2$, and then $G/\Phi(G) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2^{3i} \rtimes PSL(2, 7)) \times \mathbb{Z}_2^j$, where $i, j = 0, 1, \dots$, and $\mathbb{Z}_2^{3i} \rtimes PSL(2, 7)$ is a semidirect product of the normal subgroup \mathbb{Z}_2^{3i} and the subgroup $PSL(2, 7)$;*
- (3) *suppose that G is a nonsolvable group with $n = 3$, and then $G/S(G) \cong A_6; PSL(2, q), q = 11, 13, 23, 59, 61; PSL(3, 3); U_3(3); PSL(5, 2); PSL(2, 2^f)$, and f is a prime; $PSL(2, 7) \times PSL(2, 7) \times \dots \times PSL(2, 7)$.*

3. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 follows from the following two lemmas.

Lemma 10. *Let G be a group. If $|\mathcal{NC}(G)| \leq 2$, then G is solvable.*

Proof. Assume that G is nonsolvable. Then by [7, Exercise 10.5.7], all maximal subgroups of G are noncyclic. Let $\mathcal{MS}(G)$

be the set of the numbers of conjugates of maximal subgroups of G . It follows that $\mathcal{MS}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{NC}(G)$. Then $|\mathcal{MS}(G)| \leq 2$.

- (1) Suppose that $1 \in \mathcal{MS}(G)$. Since G is nonsolvable, G must have nonnormal maximal subgroups. Let M be any nonnormal maximal subgroup of G ; one has $|G : N_G(M)| = |G : M|$. Since $|\mathcal{MS}(G)| \leq 2$, we know that G has at most one class of nonnormal maximal subgroups of the same order. It follows that G is solvable by Lemma 7, a contradiction.
- (2) Suppose that $1 \notin \mathcal{MS}(G)$. It follows that all maximal subgroups of G are nonnormal. By the hypothesis, G has at most two classes of maximal subgroups of the same order. Since G is nonsolvable and G has no normal maximal subgroups, one has $G/\Phi(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{3i} \rtimes PSL(2, 7)$ by Lemma 9 (1) and (2), where $i = 0, 1, \dots$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{NC}(G/\Phi(G)) \subseteq \mathcal{NC}(G)$ and $|\mathcal{NC}(\mathbb{Z}_2^{3i} \rtimes PSL(2, 7))| > 2$. It follows that $|\mathcal{NC}(G)| > 2$, a contradiction.

Thus, our assumption is not true, so G is solvable. □

Lemma 11. *A group G is a nonsolvable group with $|\mathcal{NC}(G)| = 3$ if and only if $G \cong PSL(2, 5)$ or $PSL(2, 13)$ or $SL(2, 5)$ or $SL(2, 13)$.*

Proof. The sufficiency part is evident, and we only need to prove the necessity part.

By the hypothesis, $|\mathcal{MS}(G)| \leq 3$. We claim that

$$1 \notin \mathcal{MS}(G). \tag{1}$$

Otherwise, assume that $1 \in \mathcal{MS}(G)$. Then G has at most two classes of nonnormal maximal subgroups of the same order. Since G is nonsolvable, one has $G/S(G) \cong PSL(2, 7)$ by Lemmas 7 and 8. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{NC}(G/S(G)) \subseteq \mathcal{NC}(G)$ and $|\mathcal{NC}(PSL(2, 7))| > 3$. It follows that $|\mathcal{NC}(G)| > 3$, a contradiction. Thus, $1 \notin \mathcal{MS}(G)$.

Since $|\mathcal{MS}(G)| \leq 3$, we have that G has at most three classes of maximal subgroups of the same order.

By Lemma 9 (1), G cannot have exactly one class of maximal subgroups of the same order.

If G has exactly two classes of maximal subgroups of the same order, according to Lemma 9 (2), one has $G/\Phi(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{3i} \rtimes PSL(2, 7)$ since G has no normal maximal subgroups, where $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Since $|\mathcal{NC}(\mathbb{Z}_2^{3i} \rtimes PSL(2, 7))| > 3$, it follows that $|\mathcal{NC}(G)| > 3$, a contradiction.

Thus, G has exactly three classes of maximal subgroups of the same order. By Lemma 9 (3), $G/S(G)$ might be isomorphic to A_6 or $PSL(2, q), q = 11, 13, 23, 59, 61$ or $PSL(3, 3)$ or $U_3(3)$ or $PSL(5, 2)$ or $PSL(2, 2^f)$, and f is a prime or $PSL(2, 7) \times PSL(2, 7) \times \dots \times PSL(2, 7)$. If $G/S(G)$ is an isomorphism to A_6 or $PSL(2, q), q = 11, 23, 59, 61$ or $PSL(3, 3)$ or $U_3(3)$ or $PSL(5, 2)$ or $PSL(2, 2^f)$, and f is an odd prime or $PSL(2, 7) \times PSL(2, 7) \times \dots \times PSL(2, 7)$. It is easy to see that $|\mathcal{NC}(G/S(G))| > 3$ by [8, 9], which implies that $|\mathcal{NC}(G)| > 3$, a contradiction. Thus, $G/S(G) \cong PSL(2, 4) \cong PSL(2, 5)$ or $PSL(2, 13)$.

Note that $1 \notin \mathcal{MS}(G)$ and $|\mathcal{MS}(G)| = |\mathcal{NE}(G)| = 3$. It follows that $1 \notin \mathcal{NE}(G)$, so $S(G)$ is cyclic. We claim that

$$\Phi(G) = S(G). \tag{2}$$

Otherwise, assume that $\Phi(G) < S(G)$. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G such that $S(G) \not\leq M$. Then $G = S(G)M$. It is obvious that $S(G) \cap M \trianglelefteq M$. Moreover, $S(G) \cap M \trianglelefteq S(G)$, since $S(G)$ is cyclic. It follows that $S(G) \cap M \trianglelefteq G$. Therefore, $G/(S(G) \cap M) = S(G)/(S(G) \cap M) \rtimes M/(S(G) \cap M)$. Let $\bar{G} = G/(S(G) \cap M)$, $\bar{S}(G) = S(G)/(S(G) \cap M)$, and $\bar{M} = M/(S(G) \cap M)$. By N/C-theorem, $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}(G))/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}(G)) \leq \text{Aut}(\bar{S}(G))$. That is, $\bar{G}/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}(G)) = \bar{S}(G)\bar{M}/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}(G)) \leq \text{Aut}(\bar{S}(G))$. Note that $\text{Aut}(\bar{S}(G))$ is abelian since $\bar{S}(G)$ is cyclic. Moreover, $\bar{M} \cong S(G)M/S(G) = G/S(G)$ is a nonabelian simple group and $\bar{S}(G)\bar{M}/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}(G)) \cong (\bar{S}(G)\bar{M}/\bar{S}(G))/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}(G))/\bar{S}(G)$. Here $\bar{S}(G)\bar{M}/\bar{S}(G) \cong \bar{M}$. Therefore, one has $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}(G))/\bar{S}(G) = 1$ or $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}(G))/\bar{S}(G) = \bar{S}(G)\bar{M}/\bar{S}(G) = \bar{G}/\bar{S}(G)$. If $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}(G))/\bar{S}(G) = 1$, it follows that $\bar{S}(G)\bar{M}/\bar{S}(G) \leq \text{Aut}(\bar{S}(G))$ is abelian, a contradiction. If $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}(G))/\bar{S}(G) = \bar{G}/\bar{S}(G)$, then $\bar{S}(G) \leq Z(\bar{G})$. It follows that $\bar{G} = \bar{S}(G) \times \bar{M}$ and then $M \trianglelefteq G$; this contradicts that all maximal subgroups of G are nonnormal. Thus, our assumption is not true, so $\Phi(G) = S(G)$.

It follows that $G/\Phi(G) \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$ or $\text{PSL}(2, 13)$.

If $\Phi(G) = 1$, then $G \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$ or $\text{PSL}(2, 13)$.

Next, suppose that $\Phi(G) \neq 1$. Let p be any prime divisor of $|\Phi(G)|$. We claim that $p \neq 2$. Otherwise, assume that $p > 2$. Let T be a subgroup of $\Phi(G)$ such that $\Phi(G)/T \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. That is, $\Phi(G)/T \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $(G/T)/\mathbb{Z}_p \cong (G/T)/\Phi(G/T) = (G/T)/(\Phi(G)/T) \cong G/\Phi(G) \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$ or $\text{PSL}(2, 13)$. Since $p > 2$ and Schur multipliers of both $\text{PSL}(2, 5)$ and $\text{PSL}(2, 13)$ are \mathbb{Z}_2 , we have that $G/T \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ or $\text{PSL}(2, 13) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. Note that $|\mathcal{NE}(\text{PSL}(2, 5) \times \mathbb{Z}_p)| > 3$ and $|\mathcal{NE}(\text{PSL}(2, 13) \times \mathbb{Z}_p)| > 3$. It follows that $|\mathcal{NE}(G)| > 3$, a contradiction. Thus, $p \neq 2$, so $\Phi(G)$ is a cyclic 2-group. If $|\Phi(G)| = 2^n > 2$, let L be a subgroup of $\Phi(G)$ such that $\Phi(G)/L \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then $(G/L)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong (G/L)/\Phi(G/L) = (G/L)/(\Phi(G)/L) \cong G/\Phi(G) \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$ or $\text{PSL}(2, 13)$. We have that $G/L \cong \text{SL}(2, 5)$ or $\text{SL}(2, 13)$. Let M be a subgroup of L such that $L/M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then $(G/M)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong (G/M)/(L/M) \cong G/L \cong \text{SL}(2, 5)$ or $\text{SL}(2, 13)$. Since Schur multipliers of both $\text{SL}(2, 5)$ and $\text{SL}(2, 13)$ are trivial, we have that $G/M \cong \text{SL}(2, 5) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\text{SL}(2, 13) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$; this contradicts that all maximal subgroups of G are nonnormal. Thus, $|\Phi(G)| = 2$. It follows that $G \cong \text{SL}(2, 5)$ or $\text{SL}(2, 13)$. \square

Lemmas 10 and 11 combined together give Theorem 1.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referees who gave valuable comments and suggestions. Jiangtao Shi was supported by NSFC (Grant nos. 11201401 and 11361075). Cui Zhang was supported by H.C. Ørsted Postdoctoral Fellowship at DTU (Technical University of Denmark).

References

- [1] S. Li and X. Zhao, "Finite groups with few non-cyclic subgroups," *Journal of Group Theory*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 225–233, 2007.
- [2] J. Shi and C. Zhang, "Some results on nonnormal noncyclic subgroups of finite groups," submitted.
- [3] M. Kano, "On the number of conjugate classes of maximal subgroups in finite groups," *Japan Academy A*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 264–265, 1979.
- [4] S. R. Li, "Finite groups having exactly two classes of nonnormal maximal subgroups of the same order," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 388–392, 1990 (Chinese).
- [5] X. H. Li, "Finite groups having three maximal classes of subgroups of the same order," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 108–115, 1994 (Chinese).
- [6] W. J. Shi, "Finite groups having at most two classes of maximal subgroups," *Chinese Annals of Mathematics A*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 532–537, 1989 (Chinese).
- [7] D. J. S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1996.
- [8] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton et al., *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1985.
- [9] L. E. Dickson, *Linear Groups with an Exposition of the Galois Field Theory*, Teubner, Leipzig, Germany, 1901.



- ▶ Impact Factor **1.730**
- ▶ **28 Days** Fast Track Peer Review
- ▶ All Subject Areas of Science
- ▶ Submit at <http://www.tswj.com>