



Multispecies fisheries management in the Mediterranean Sea: application of the Fcube methodology

Maravelias, C.D.; Damalas, D.; Ulrich, Clara; Katsanevakis, S.; Hoff, A.

Published in:
Fisheries Management and Ecology

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1111/j.1365-2400.2011.00801.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2400.2011.00801.x)

Publication date:
2012

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Maravelias, C. D., Damalas, D., Ulrich, C., Katsanevakis, S., & Hoff, A. (2012). Multispecies fisheries management in the Mediterranean Sea: application of the Fcube methodology. *Fisheries Management and Ecology*, 19(3), 189-199. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2400.2011.00801.x

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



http://ec.europa.eu/research/fp6/ssp/aframe_en.htm

Multispecies fisheries management in the Mediterranean Sea: application of the Fcube methodology

Christos D. Maravelias¹, Dimitrios Damalas¹, Clara Ulrich²,
Stelios Katsanevakis¹ & Ayoe Hoff³

¹ Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, 46.7 km Athens-Sounio, 19013 Anavyssos, Attica, Greece. tel: +30 210 9856703; fax: +30 210 9811713; e-mail: cmaravel@ath.hcmr.gr

² DTU Aqua, Charlottenlund Castle, 2920 Charlottenlund, Denmark. Email: clu@aqu.dtu.dk

³ University of Copenhagen, Institute of Food and Resource Economics, Rolighedsvej 25, 1958 Frederiksberg C, Denmark. Email: ah@foi.dk

ABSTRACT

The ecosystem approach (EA) advocates that advice should be given based on a holistic management of the entire marine ecosystem and all involved fisheries and fleets. Recent developments have advanced to multi-species, multi-fisheries advice, rather than on a single species/fleet/area stock basis, bridging the gap between existing single species approaches and the needs of the EA. The 'Fcube' method estimates potential levels of effort by fleet in mixed fisheries situations to achieve specific targets of fishing mortality. Data on effort, landings and socioeconomic parameters were used for coastal and trawl fisheries in the Aegean Sea. Results pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of alternative management strategies from both a biological and socioeconomic perspective. Fcube revealed the importance of effort control in the coastal fisheries that are still managed with no effort restrictions. The present findings, although preliminary, revealed that stringent cuts to effort and catch levels are required if the EA management goals are to be met. The Fcube methodology, initially developed for mixed fisheries advice in northern European waters that are managed with TACs, it also proved promising in providing advice to no-TAC fisheries.

Keywords: fleet, effort, socioeconomics, ecosystem approach, advice, demersal, TAC, landings

*Corresponding author: tel: +30 210 9856703; e-mail: cmaravel@ath.hcmr.gr

Article first published online: 21 Oct 2011

Please note that this is an author-produced PostPrint of the final peer-review corrected article accepted for publication. The definitive publisher-authenticated version can be accessed here:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2400.2011.00801.x> © 1999–2013 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

1 Multispecies fisheries management in the Mediterranean Sea: application of the Fcube
2 methodology.

3
4

5 Christos D. Maravelias, Dimitrios Damalas, Clara Ulrich, Stelios Katsanevakis &
6 Ayoë Hoff.

7
8

9 Christos D. Maravelias, Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, 46.7 km Athens-
10 Sounio, 19013 Anavyssos, Attica, Greece. tel: +30 210 9856703; fax: +30 210
11 9811713; e-mail: cmaravel@ath.hcmr.gr

12 Dimitrios Damalas, Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, 46.7 km Athens-Sounio,
13 19013 Anavyssos, Attica, Greece. Email: shark@ath.hcmr.gr

14 Clara Ulrich, DTU Aqua, Charlottenlund Castle, 2920 Charlottenlund, Denmark.
15 Email: clu@aqua.dtu.dk

16 Stelios Katsanevakis: Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, 46.7 km Athens-Sounio,
17 19013 Anavyssos, Attica, Greece. Email: skatsan@ath.hcmr.gr

18 Ayoë Hoff, University of Copenhagen, Institute of Food and Resource Economics,
19 Rolighedsvej 25, 1958 Frederiksberg C, Denmark. Email: ah@foi.dk

20

21 **Author for correspondence:**

22 Christos D. Maravelias, Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, 46.7 km Athens-
23 Sounio, 19013 Anavyssos, Attica, Greece. tel: +30 210 9856703; fax: +30 210
24 9811713; e-mail: cmaravel@ath.hcmr.gr

25

26

27 **Acknowledgements**

28 This work was prepared with financial support provided by the Commission of the
29 European Communities Directorate General for Fisheries (DG XIV) 6th Framework
30 RTD program ‘Specific Support to Policies’, under contract no 044168 “AFRAME, A
31 framework for fleet and area based fisheries management”.

32

33

34 **Abstract**

35 The ecosystem approach (EA) advocates that advice should be given based on a
36 holistic management of the entire marine ecosystem and all involved fisheries and
37 fleets. Recent developments have advanced to multi-species, multi-fisheries advice,
38 rather than on a single species/fleet/area stock basis, bridging the gap between
39 existing single species approaches and the needs of the EA. The 'Fcube' method
40 estimates potential levels of effort by fleet in mixed fisheries situations to achieve
41 specific targets of fishing mortality. Data on effort, landings and socioeconomic
42 parameters were used for coastal and trawl fisheries in the Aegean Sea. Results
43 pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of alternative management strategies from
44 both a biological and socioeconomic perspective. Fcube revealed the importance of
45 effort control in the coastal fisheries that are still managed with no effort restrictions.
46 The present findings, although preliminary, revealed that stringent cuts to effort and
47 catch levels are required if the EA management goals are to be met. The Fcube
48 methodology, initially developed for mixed fisheries advice in northern European
49 waters that are managed with TACs, it also proved promising in providing advice to
50 no-TAC fisheries.

51

52 **Keywords:** fleet, effort, socioeconomics, ecosystem approach, advice, demersal,
53 TAC, landings

54

55

56

57 **Introduction**

58 In its simplest form a fishery consists of one fleet exploiting a single stock of a
59 single species in a single area. After exhausting the quota of a given stock, it is
60 common practice for fishermen to continue fishing to utilize the quota of other
61 species. This leads to high grading, discards and/or illegal landings of their over-quota
62 catches (ICES 2008). The ecosystem approach to fisheries management in line with
63 the United Nations' Sustainability Summit (UN 2002) and the European Marine
64 Strategy Framework Directive (EC 56/2008) aims to avoid such risk by shifting focus
65 from single stocks towards much broader range of impacts caused by fishing
66 activities. Therefore, scientific management advice for mixed fisheries is requested on
67 a fleet's or fishery's basis rather than for single stocks in order to reduce the risk of
68 failing predefined goals.

69 FAO (2010) reports at least forty four management/advisory bodies worldwide
70 that try to deal with fleet specific advice for some time and face the difficulties arising
71 when socioeconomic and biological requirements have to be met simultaneously.
72 Various methodologies have been developed and analyzed in recent years. Promising
73 tools brought into action are MTAC (Vinther *et al.* 2004) and the “elasticity” (Da-
74 Rocha Álvarez & Gutiérrez-Huerta 2005) methods. The aforementioned methods
75 have been very sensitive to the period of the time series used in the inputs, and early
76 in 2006 a new approach the “Fleet and Fisheries Forecast method” (F^3 or Fcube) has
77 been presented at the 2006 WKMIXMAN (ICES 2006) and tested in the 2006 ICES
78 assessment working groups. This new Fcube framework (Ulrich *et al.* 2008; 2011;
79 ICES 2006; 2007; 2008; 2009) focuses on fisheries and fleets rather than stocks, thus
80 providing a bridge between the traditional single-species advice and the ecosystem
81 approach to fishery management.

82 The Mediterranean demersal fisheries have an essentially multispecies nature with
83 up to 100 species in some fisheries (Caddy 2009). There is a high interaction between
84 gears and fleet segments, since most of the main target species are exploited by more
85 than one fishing technique or strategy, each often concentrating on individuals of
86 different sizes (Caddy 2009). There are certain common management measures in EU
87 countries deriving from the application of the Common Fisheries Policy. The
88 Mediterranean fisheries are generally managed through effort control rules and
89 technical measures, such as closed seasons, closed areas, limited issue of new
90 licenses, minimum landing size (MLS), mesh size regulations, and maximum size of
91 fishing gears (TAC only apply to bluefin tuna). However, such restrictions differ
92 between countries or even among regions and/or fisheries of the same country. For
93 example, the Greek Aegean Sea coastal fisheries are regulated exclusively through
94 technical measures and are not subjected to any effort restrictions. Stock assessment
95 in the Mediterranean has been seriously constrained by data limitations in the past.
96 Occasionally, samplings over a short period were conducted for a small part of the
97 target species, providing static pictures of the current situation and requiring
98 restrictive equilibrium assumptions (Caddy 2009). The situation was considerably
99 improved the last decade in the Mediterranean EU member states, after the
100 implementation of the Data Collection Regulation (DCR) programme (EC 1543/2000;
101 EC 1639/2001; EC 199/2008; EC 949/2008) that enabled a time series of effort and
102 landings data in the Mediterranean to be build. In the present study, the Fcube
103 approach was applied on demersal fisheries data of the Greek Aegean Sea (Eastern
104 Mediterranean). The objectives of the present study were twofold: a) to explore the
105 general applicability of the Fcube method in a no-TAC situation, and b) to identify the

106 limitations of the method and ‘tailor’ it to data poor situations like the Mediterranean
107 fisheries.

108

109 **Material and Methods**

110 **Study area - Data**

111 The selected study area was the Greek Aegean Sea (GFCM 37.3.1, GSAs 22 &
112 23). According to EU legislation, logbooks in the Mediterranean are not compulsory
113 for vessels of <10 m total length (EC 2847/1993) or for landed net weight of fish <15
114 kg per species (EC 1967/2006). Moreover, because of the very large number of small
115 vessels (11,500 < 10 m – 88%) and landing ports (> 600), complete recording of
116 landings and effort from small-scale fisheries is impractical. Therefore, contrary to the
117 data-rich demersal fisheries of the Atlantic EU waters (ICES areas), the eastern
118 Mediterranean has a shortage of fisheries information, forcing the assessment to be
119 based on a small sample of total landings and effort data. Under the Data Collection
120 Regulation framework (EC 1543/2000; EC 1639/2001; EC 199/2008), data on effort
121 and landings have been collected in Greece since 2002, from 30 major sites including
122 209 landing ports on a monthly basis, according to a systematic sampling procedure
123 (Bazigos & Kavadas 2007). For the needs of this study, Greek data covering the
124 period 2004–2006 were used.

125 Three stocks of demersal species were considered: hake (*Merluccius merluccius*),
126 red mullet (*Mullus barbatus*), and striped red mullet (*Mullus surmuletus*). Their
127 selection was based on three criteria: abundance, availability of biological parameters,
128 and contribution to fishers’ income. Socioeconomic data covered a series of
129 parameters such as capital costs, fuel costs, crew cost, other variable costs, fixed

130 costs, and market prices of sold fish. Effort was expressed in thousands of days at sea,
131 catches (landings and discards) in tons, profits in thousands of Euros.

132

133 **Fleet segmentation – métiers**

134 Since one main concern of the managers is how to handle conflicts among fleets
135 sharing the same stocks, only fleets with overlapping activities and interests were
136 investigated. Such competition and conflicts exist only among trawlers and coastal
137 boats. Purse-seiners and fleets targeting large pelagic species do not interact with the
138 aforementioned fleets, either spatially or temporally, since they exploit different
139 resources. Fleet segmentation was actually dictated by the way data are collected
140 within the DCR sampling schemes, where boats are categorized by size and fishing
141 technique used. Definitions of fleets and métiers used are consistent with the Data
142 Collection Framework of European Commission (EC 199/2008). A fleet segment is
143 defined as “a group of vessels with the same length class and predominant fishing
144 gear during the year. A métier is “a group of fishing operations targeting a similar
145 (assemblage of) species, using similar gear, during the same period of the year and/or
146 within the same area and which are characterised by a similar exploitation pattern”.
147 So, in the same fleet segment, different métiers could be identified.

148 The active Greek trawler fleet in the Aegean Sea consists of 299 vessels that use
149 bottom trawl net as the main gear (Table 1). The gear used is more or less the same
150 (40 mm diamond mesh size) irrespective of the target species, with only minor
151 modifications. Coastal vessels comprise > 92% of the Greek fleet (Table 1). The
152 coastal fleet is engaged in a variety of different fisheries and each vessel shifts among
153 several métiers during the year. These vessels mostly use static gears, i.e. gillnets,

154 trammel nets, and static long lines, but some of them have a boat seine license as well
155 and operate close to the coastline (< 0.5 mile) at depths < 50m.

156 Landings profiles were analyzed to identify potential métiers of both the bottom
157 trawl and the coastal fleet, based on a large sample of landings from all over Greece.
158 Fifty métiers were identified (6 belonging to the trawlers fleet and the rest to the
159 coastal fleet) in the Aegean Sea (Katsanevakis *et al.* 2010 a; b; c). However, in the
160 lack of métier-specific landings and effort data, such a level of disaggregation was not
161 adequate for applying the Fcube approach, and thus a lower level of disaggregation
162 was applied.

163 Four main fleet segments and four métiers were thus considered in this study. The
164 fleet – métier combinations used in the analyses were:

- 165 ▪ Trawl 12-24m - OTB: small sized bottom otter trawlers targeting demersal
166 species.
- 167 ▪ Trawl 24-40m - OTB: medium-large sized bottom otter trawlers targeting
168 demersal species.
- 169 ▪ Coastal 0-12m – NETS: small sized coastal fishery boats using gillnets or trammel
170 nets (multi-specific fishery)
- 171 ▪ Coastal 0-12m – LLS: small sized coastal fishery boats using static bottom
172 longlines targeting mainly hake
- 173 ▪ Coastal 0-12m – SV: small sized coastal boat seiners (multi-specific fishery)
- 174 ▪ Coastal 12-24m – NETS: medium-large sized coastal fishery boats using gillnets
175 or trammel nets
- 176 ▪ Coastal 12-24m – LLS: medium-large sized coastal fishery boats using static
177 bottom longlines targeting mainly hake

178 ▪ Coastal 12-24m – SV: medium-large sized coastal boat seiners (multi-specific
179 fishery)

180

181 **Stock assessment**

182 Although GFCM and STECF/SGMED (Scientific, Technical and Economic
183 Committee for Fisheries, SubGroup on the Mediterranean) have produced a series of
184 assessments on various Mediterranean demersal species, age-based analytical
185 assessments have not been undertaken in the Aegean Sea. In the past, some
186 exploratory approaches have investigated the stock status of Aegean hake in the
187 framework of EU funded Projects (BECAUSE, EFIMAS, SAMED). As a result, for
188 the needs of Fcube, detailed information regarding the stocks (total number of
189 individuals, total biomass, survival rates, natural losses, fishing mortalities) were
190 obtained applying stock assessment methods (VPA – Virtual Population Analysis;
191 Pope 1972) on the catch data (pseudocohort). Vectors of fishing mortalities (F) by age
192 were estimated and used as input to the Fcube implementation. Natural mortality was
193 not assumed constant (as is the case in most studies) but we used a variable vector of
194 values derived from the Chen-Watanabe equation (Chen & Watanabe 1989) for red
195 mullet and striped red mullet and from Caddy & Abella (1999) for hake. Therefore M
196 was variable across ages and not time. In Tables 2 and 3, the status of the three stocks
197 (total population in No, total biomass, fishery related removals, fishing mortalities)
198 and the corresponding biological parameters used for the VPAs, are presented
199 respectively.

200

201 **Fcube method**

202 The Fcube method acknowledges that fleets can allocate their fishing effort across
203 a range of different fisheries. Instead of only one incentive, like the single-species
204 quota, fleets can respond to a range of different incentives – stock biomass, market
205 conditions, regulations – and have a far wider range of responses at their disposal than
206 simply to stop fishing. Taking as input some observed patterns of the fishery and
207 fleets (landings, effort, catchability q , fishing mortality F by year, fleet, métier and
208 stock), the Fcube method reproduces forecasts of the fleets reactions under different
209 management actions. The core estimate of Fcube is effort, estimation of other
210 parameters values for the forecast year (q , F) are based either on averages over recent
211 years, or more complex approaches (behaviour algorithm-Andersen *et al.* 2010;
212 consideration of economic optimisation-Hoff *et al.* 2010). The basic assumption is
213 that a fleet may participate in more than one fishery, or metier, during a year, and that
214 the fishing mortality exerted on a specific fish stock by the fleet is proportional to the
215 effort used (Ulrich *et al.* 2008). This correspondence is used by Fcube to determine
216 the effort needed by a fleet to catch each of its single-species quotas.

217 As mentioned above, the Greek fisheries are not regulated by TAC (except for
218 bluefin tuna). In order to use the method, a set of virtual TACs and their
219 corresponding fishing mortalities were applied. These were estimated using forward
220 projections based on target fishing mortalities. Outlining the method: Fcube initially
221 forecasts the effort by fleet corresponding to a single stock TAC and based on this
222 effort, it forecasts the catch of each stock under various rules. Currently Fcube does
223 not account for stock dynamics (e.g. recruitment) as well as spatiotemporal re-
224 allocation of effort and catches. It is available within the FLEcon package, compatible
225 with the open-source FLR simulation framework, which is used widely in the
226 investigation of fishery-management problems (Fisheries Library in R, Kell *et al.*

227 2007; <http://flr-project.org>). Fcube inherently includes several sub-scenarios, which
228 output results in every run:

- 229 a) “min” (stop fishing when first quota exhausted)
- 230 b) “max” (stop fishing when last quota exhausted)
- 231 c) “val” (effort directed towards most valuable quota shares - this scenario gives
232 an effort weighted by the most valuable species, which may, however, not
233 necessarily give the highest profit. This choice of effort is not based on
234 economic optimization as has been applied in Hoff *et al.* 2010)
- 235 d) “SPECIES-*i*” (action necessary to avoid species-*i* over-quota catches for all
236 fleets)
- 237 e) "statusquo_E" (unchanged effort between the historical years and forecast
238 year)
- 239 f) "DAS_reduction" (Days At Sea partial reduction of effort on certain fleets).
240 Here it was decided to investigate an arbitrary DAS_reduction of 8% on the
241 effort of trawlers and 16% on the effort of the coastal fleet. These
242 corresponded to a fishing ban of three and eight weeks respectively (Greek
243 trawlers fishing period lasts 8 months (~35 weeks) whereas the coastal fleet
244 fishes year round (52 weeks)).

245 The minimum, maximum and value scenarios do not reflect economic behaviour
246 of the fishermen, i.e. acting as profit maximisers. Thus in addition to the above an
247 extra scenario has been included, where effort is distributed freely among métiers
248 while optimizing the total fleet profit, and at the same time complying with the single-
249 species TACs. Thus the original Fcube model has been extended with an optimization
250 module, the FcubeEcon model (see for details Hoff *et al.*, 2010). The FcubeEcon
251 approach bases the management decision (distribution of effort and thus of single-

252 species quotas) on economic optimisation considerations of the harvesting agents,
253 meaning that FcubEcon, using the original Fcube framework, bases the effort-
254 distribution between fleets and fisheries on optimisation of the profit (catch value
255 minus costs) of the fleets involved. The optimisation is based on the projection the
256 model does from 2004-2006 to 2007 and does not consider discount rates. In the
257 present context this profit optimization has been applied both when calculating
258 catches according to the traditional biological catch equation, and when calculating
259 catches using Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) times effort. Unlike the Hoff et al. (2010)
260 approach (which assumed a year round fishing period), herein certain effort
261 constraints for the fleets have been considered, based on the current legislation and
262 the respective seasonal closures. The detailed typology and mathematical
263 formulations regarding the Fcube method as well as the economic optimization
264 (FcubEcon) can be traced in the original works of Ulrich *et al.* (2008, 2011) and Hoff
265 *et al.* (2010).

266

267 **Fcube scenarios**

268 Three different main scenarios were investigated using Fcube (E denotes effort, F
269 fishing mortality, L landings). The historical stock catchabilities of the metiers were
270 calculated by dividing their partial fishing mortality by their effort and the average
271 catchability of years 2004 to 2006 was used for the forecast. This was based on an
272 exploratory analysis, which identified no obvious trend in the annual catchabilities by
273 metier.

274 The initial approach was to investigate the case in which the fleets retain their
275 fishing effort constant in the forecast year (2007). This was called the NC scenario
276 (Scenario 1: No Change) and had the following specifications: $E_{NC}=E_{2006}$, F_{NC} was the

277 average fishing mortality between 2004–2006, and $TAC_{NC}=L_{NC}=L_{2006}$, which was
278 9077 t for hake (HAKE), 3076 t for red mullet (REDMUL), and 1926 t for stripped
279 red mullet (STRMUL).

280 The other two scenarios 2 and 3 related to the reduction of fishing pressure on the
281 hake stock, since it is most likely harvested beyond sustainable levels (Maravelias
282 2007; Papaconstantinou & Faruggio 2000). In the present study the effects of either a
283 10% reduction on hake fishing mortality (scenario 2: -10% F) or a 20% reduction of
284 hake F (scenario 3: -20% F) were examined.

285

286

287 **Results**

288

289 Effort by fleet-métier, as well as corresponding landings for the last year of the
290 study (2006) is shown in the bar-charts of Figs. 1 and 2. These figures show the
291 important contribution of the small sized coastal-nets component of the fishery in the
292 total effort exerted (“Coastal 0-12m – NETS”). Economic cost data for 2006 by fleet
293 segment are given in Table 4.

294

295 **Scenario 1**

296 With the exception of “max” and “REDMUL” sub-scenarios that suggested a
297 slight increase in the effort of all fleets (~2.8%), all other sub-scenarios imposed a
298 significant decrease in fleets’ activities by as much as 33% in the “min” and
299 “STRMUL” sub-scenarios (Table 5).

300 The estimated catches by Fcube (Fig. 3) were directly linked to the forecasted
301 effort and the catchability by stock and metier.

302 From an economic perspective the “max” and “REDMUL” sub-scenarios were the
303 most profitable for the fleets, suggesting that fishers income will not drop below their
304 previous levels (Table 6), while “min” and “STRMUL” suggested cutbacks that, in
305 the short term, reached 35% in the coastal boats and more than 20% in the trawlers.

306 The “DAS_reduction” scenario covers, to some extent, both biological (slight
307 overquotas – few excess fish removals) and economic requirements (fishers income
308 may reduce, in the short term, from 5% to 20% based on the fleet investigated). In the
309 short term, substantial reduction of catches will be experienced mainly by the coastal
310 fleet.

311 Economic optimization scenarios suggested that investigating economically
312 optimal effort allocation between fleets, while complying with the TACs, may be
313 rewarding. However the profits in the optimisation scenarios are not necessarily
314 higher than the remaining scenarios since all of these assume some degree of
315 overfishing.

316

317 **Scenarios 2 and 3**

318 Lowering hake fishing mortality for hake by 10% or 20% corresponded to a 5.7%
319 or 11.8% decrease in landings, for scenarios 2 and 3 respectively. All sub-scenarios,
320 except “max” and “REDMUL”, suggested a significant decrease in fishing effort
321 (Tables 7 and 8), by as much as 35% and 42%, for 10% or 20% reduction on hake F
322 respectively for the “min” and “HAKE” sub-scenarios. Catches for scenarios 2 and 3
323 are presented in Figs 4 and 5 respectively.

324 Economic outputs suggested that the “max” and “REDMUL” sub-scenarios were
325 the most profitable for the fleets (Tables 9 and 10).

326 For scenario 2, the “STRMUL” sub-scenario was the least restrictive and gave
327 only 1.1% hake overquota. This sub-scenario suggested that: (i) no excess catches for
328 the remaining species will be observed, (ii) all fleets must reduce their activities by
329 approximately 33%, (iii) all fleets will reduce their red mullets landings significantly,
330 but coastal fleets will be the most affected and, (iv) short-term socio-economic impact
331 will be considerable (income reduction: -20% in trawlers; -33% in coastal fleets) and
332 a serious concern for the managers to confront.

333 For scenario 3, only the “min” or “HAKE” sub-scenarios met the biological
334 requirements set and in this case: (i) no discards are to be expected, (ii) all fleets must
335 lessen their activities by approximately 42%, (iii) red mullets landings will be reduced

336 by more than 30% for all fleets with the small coastal boats being severely affected
337 and, (iv) the short-term economic impact will be significant for the coastal boats
338 (more than 40% income reduction) and considerable for the trawlers (approx. -30%).

339 The CPUE economic optimization scenario indicated that by re-allocating effort
340 among fleets all segments (except larger trawlers) would substantially increase their
341 profits (Tables 8 and 9). Evidently, limiting the activities of few large trawlers (174
342 boats) will be beneficial for the remaining larger part of the fleets (13288 boats).

343

344 **Discussion**

345 One of the most useful characteristics of the Fcube method is its ability to simulate
346 and compare the outcomes of various management strategies under a mixed-fishery
347 perspective. Other strengths of Fcube are its mathematical and conceptual simplicity,
348 by attempting to model actual processes creating the situations of technical
349 interactions, rather than implementing statistical estimates with weak theoretical basis.
350 In the absence of reliable forecasts, Fcube can also be used as a tool for hind casting
351 observed catches and effort patterns (ICES 2007). However, the method is largely
352 dependent on catchability (q) and effort share. If the estimates of these parameters
353 deviate far from the actual ones, great inconsistencies may arise in the effort and catch
354 estimates, especially for fleets with very dissimilar exploitation patterns. In the
355 present work, effort was measured in days at sea. The use of a more informative unit
356 of effort (e.g. haul duration, swept area, length of net, number of hooks) could have
357 probably resulted in improved estimates.

358 Notwithstanding these limitations, the application of Fcube in the Aegean Sea's
359 data of four major fleets sharing three major stocks was valuable for a number of
360 reasons. Not only was it beneficial in estimating catch under various management

361 scenarios, but more importantly through the allocation of effort between different
362 fleets and métiers it revealed the importance of effort and catches control in a group of
363 fisheries that are largely managed through rather simple technical measures such as
364 minimum landing sizes and mesh sizes (i.e. coastal fisheries using nets and longlines).

365 The allocation of effort between fisheries is most effectively achieved by the
366 “Days At Sea reduction” sub-scenario. However, it is difficult to say how other
367 species, not considered in this study, would be affected and how effort may be re-
368 allocated spatially. Seasonal closures are already in action and “inbuilt” in the culture
369 of Greek modern trawl fisheries, making “DAS reduction” a more plausible
370 management strategy than setting actual TAC’s.

371 Selection of the most appropriate management strategy becomes a more difficult
372 task when stricter F reduction objectives are set (scenarios 2 and 3). To meet the
373 desired objectives, sub-scenarios with significant effort reductions had to be chosen,
374 such as the “STRMUL” sub-scenario to achieve 10% reduction on hake fishing
375 mortality or the “min” sub-scenario to achieve 20% reduction on hake fishing
376 mortality. Especially the introduction of the “min” option for scenario 3 may require
377 socio-economic measures for compensation (e.g. subsidies). Here, the
378 “DAS_reduction” scenario, which could appear more ‘attractive’ to Mediterranean
379 fisheries managers seems ineffective, since it cannot meet either hake or striped red
380 mullet’s biological objectives.

381 In most of the scenarios investigated, single-species management objectives failed
382 to be reached simultaneously in the short-term. One way to remedy this concern may
383 be to depend more on effort-based control of vessel activities than on single-stock
384 management objectives and TAC’s. The Fcube methodology, adapting total effort and
385 re-allocating it among the various fleets, may prove useful to this end.

386 When scientific advice advocates that the stocks are under alarming
387 fishing pressure, then priority should be given to rebuilding target
388 exploitation levels consistent with high long term yields. To achieve that,
389 stricter management measures may be required. In this case the “min”
390 scenario, allowing for overquota catches including discards would be
391 recommended. The socio-economic aspect of all the scenarios
392 investigated suggested that, if the objectives have to be met, then
393 considerable reductions in fishers’ income will take place in the short-
394 term. Multi-annual fisheries management plans with predefined
395 management goals consistent with sustainable high long term yields
396 should be developed to avoid such negative short term effects and to
397 improve the socio-economic situation of the mixed demersal fisheries
398 sector in the Aegean Sea. The fundamental challenge of fisheries
399 management is to balance the economic needs across a wide range of
400 fishery participants with the biological “needs” in terms of conservation.
401 The Fcube scenarios explored can be utilized as a tool for policy analysis
402 to better understand pathways of development and to assess the impact of
403 alternative policies on the natural resource base and human welfare. One
404 of the potential benefits of the current models is that one can get a better
405 and more comprehensive indication of the feedback effects between
406 human activity and fishery resources. Evidently the collection of
407 economic information regarding the fisheries and fleets involved is a

408 prerequisite for the above. This study is the very first approach to apply a multi-
409 species bio-economic evaluation of these fisheries in the eastern Mediterranean Sea.
410 Future improvements in the application of the Fcube method in the area could be: a)
411 the assessment of more commercial demersal species, b) the analysis of longer time
412 series of data, c) the further disaggregation of fleet activities to more métiers, d) the
413 accurate quantification of fishing mortality and catchability. Fishing mortality
414 estimation remains imprecise because, in addition to the reported catch, there are
415 other unaccounted sources of fishing mortality e.g. illegal, unreported and unregulated
416 fishing (IUU), ghost fishing. The lack of such information may lead to erroneous
417 conclusions and recommendations in assessment, which have a bearing on the input
418 data for Fcube (Ulrich *et al.* 2011).

419 A key achievement of the present study was the demonstration that while Fcube
420 was initially developed to address single-stocks TACs issues in the northern European
421 waters, it also proved applicable in fisheries management systems without TACs.
422 Through the allocation of effort among different fleets and métiers, Fcube revealed
423 the importance of effort control in a group of fisheries (i.e. Aegean sea coastal
424 fisheries) that are still managed without effort restrictions. The current work
425 demonstrated how single-stocks objectives can be translated into effort levels instead
426 of catch levels under certain assumptions, and thus how management strategies could
427 be advanced based on these in no-TAC regulated fisheries. As such, this study
428 contributed significantly to the general development of the Fcube methodology,
429 ensuring its wider generality and use.

430

431 **References**

- 432 Andersen B.S., Vermard Y., Ulrich C., Hutton T. & Poos J.J. (2010) Challenges in
433 integrating short-term behaviour in a mixed-fishery management strategy
434 evaluation framework: a case study of the North Sea flatfish fishery. *Fisheries
435 Research* **102**, 26-40.
- 436 Bazigos G. & Kavadas S. (2007) Optimal sampling designs for large-scale fishery
437 sample surveys in Greece *Mediterranean Marine Science* **8**, 65-82.
- 438 Caddy J.F. (1998) Issues in Mediterranean fisheries management: geographical units
439 and effort control. Studies and Reviews. *General Fisheries Council for the
440 Mediterranean*. No. 70. Rome, FAO. 56 pp.
- 441 Caddy J.F. (2009). Practical issues in choosing a framework for resource assessment
442 and management of Mediterranean and Black Sea fisheries. *Mediterranean
443 Marine Science* **10**, 83–119.
- 444 Caddy J.F. & Abella A.J. (1999) Reconstructing reciprocal M vectors from length
445 cohort analysis (LCA) of commercial size frequencies of hake, and fine mesh
446 trawl surveys over the same grounds. *Fisheries Research* **41**, 169-175.
- 447 Chen S.B. & Watanabe S. (1989) Age dependence of natural mortality coefficient in
448 fish population dynamics. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi* **55**:205-208.
- 449
- 450 Da-Rocha Álvarez J.M. & Gutiérrez Huerta M.J. (2005) A Dynamic Stochastic
451 Approach to Fisheries Management Assessment: An Application to some
452 European Fisheries. Fundación BBVA, Editor. Plaza de San Nicolás, 4. 48005
453 Bilbao, 70pp.
- 454 de Pontual H., Bertignac M., Battaglia A., Bavouzet G., Moguedet, P. & Groison A.L.
455 (2003) A pilot tagging experiment on European hake (*Merluccius merluccius*):

456 methodology and preliminary results. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **60**, 1318–
457 1327.

458 EC (1993) European Council Regulation establishing a control system applicable to
459 the common fisheries policy. Regulation 2847/1993, OJ L 261/1. Available at:
460 [http://eur-](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:31993R2847:EN:HTML)
461 [lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:31993R2847:EN:HTML](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:31993R2847:EN:HTML)

462 EC (2000) Council Regulation (EC) No 1543/2000 of 29 June 2000 establishing a
463 Community framework for the collection and management of the data needed to
464 conduct the common fisheries policy. OJ L 176, 15.7.2000, p. 1–16. Available
465 at: [http://eur-](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32000R1543:EN:HTML)
466 [lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32000R1543:EN:HTML](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32000R1543:EN:HTML)

467 EC (2001) Commission Regulation (EC) No 1639/2001 of 25 July 2001 establishing
468 the minimum and extended Community programmes for the collection of data in
469 the fisheries sector and laying down detailed rules for the application of Council
470 Regulation (EC) No 1543/2000. OJ L 222, 17.8.2001, p. 53–115. Available at:
471 [http://eur-](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32001R1639:EN:HTML)
472 [lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32001R1639:EN:HTML](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32001R1639:EN:HTML)

473 EC (2002) Council Regulation (EC) No 2371/2002 of 20 December 2002 on the
474 conservation and sustainable exploitation of fisheries resources under the
475 Common Fisheries Policy. OJ L 358, 31.12.2002, p. 59–80. Available at:
476 [http://eur-](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32002R2371:EN:HTML)
477 [lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32002R2371:EN:HTML](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32002R2371:EN:HTML)

478 EC (2006) European Council Regulation concerning management measures for the
479 sustainable exploitation of fishery resources in the Mediterranean Sea, amending
480 Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93 and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1626/94.

481 Regulation 1967/2006, OJ L 409/11. Available at: [http://eur-](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2006:409:0011:0085:EN:PDF)
482 [lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2006:409:0011:0085:EN:PD](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2006:409:0011:0085:EN:PDF)
483 [F](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2006:409:0011:0085:EN:PDF)

484 EC (2008) Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17
485 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine
486 environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive) (Text with EEA
487 relevance) OJ L 164, 25.6.2008, p. 19–40. Available at: [http://eur-](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:164:0019:01:EN:HTML)
488 [lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:164:0019:01:EN:HTM](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:164:0019:01:EN:HTML)
489 [L](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:164:0019:01:EN:HTML)

490 EC (2008) Council Regulation (EC) No 199/2008 of 25 February 2008 concerning the
491 establishment of a Community framework for the collection, management and
492 use of data in the fisheries sector and support for scientific advice regarding the
493 Common Fisheries Policy. OJ L 60, 5.3.2008, p. 1–12. Available at: [http://eur-](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:060:0001:01:EN:HTML)
494 [lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:060:0001:01:EN:HTM](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:060:0001:01:EN:HTML)
495 [L](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:060:0001:01:EN:HTML)

496 EC (2008) Commission Decision of 6 November 2008 adopting a multiannual
497 Community programme pursuant to Council Regulation (EC) No 199/2008,
498 establishing a Community framework for the collection, management and use of
499 data in the fisheries sector and support for scientific advice regarding the
500 common fisheries policy. OJ L 346, 23.12.2008, p. 37–88. Available at:
501 [http://eur-](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:346:0037:01:EN:HTML)
502 [lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:346:0037:01:EN:HTM](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:346:0037:01:EN:HTML)
503 [L](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:346:0037:01:EN:HTML)

504 EC (2006) European Council Regulation concerning management measures for the
505 sustainable exploitation of fishery resources in the Mediterranean Sea, amending

506 Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93 and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1626/94.
507 Regulation 1967/2006, OJ L 409/11.

508 EC (2008) Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17
509 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine
510 environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive) (Text with EEA
511 relevance) OJ L 164, 25.6.2008, p. 19–40.

512 FAO (2010) Regional Fishery Bodies (RFB) - Web Site. Regional Fishery Bodies
513 (RFB). FI Institutional Websites. *In*: FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department
514 [online]. Rome. <http://www.fao.org/fishery/rfb/en>

515 GFCM (2010) Management of Mediterranean Fisheries. General Fisheries
516 Commission for The Mediterranean. 34th Session Athens, Greece, 14-17 April
517 2010. GFCM Document XXXIV/2010/4. Available at:
518 http://151.1.154.86/GfcmWebSite/GFCM/34/GFCM34_2010_4-e.pdf

519 Hoff A., Frost H. & Ulrich C. (2009) An economic approach to overcoming over-
520 quota catches in multi-species fisheries: The FcubEcon model. ICES CM / O:05.

521 Hoff A., Frost H., Ulrich C., Damalas D., Maravelias C.D., Goti L. & Santurtún M.
522 (2010) Economic effort management in multispecies fisheries: the FcubEcon
523 model. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **67**, 1802-1810.

524 ICES (2006) Report of the Workshop on Simple Mixed Fisheries Management
525 Models (WKMIXMAN), 9–13 January 2006, ICES Headquarters. ICES CM
526 2006/ACFM:14. 47 pp. Available at:
527 <http://www.ices.dk/reports/ACFM/2006/WKMIXMAN/WKMIXMAN06.pdf>

528 ICES (2007) Report of the Study Group on Mixed Fisheries Management
529 (SGMixMan), 15-19 January, ICES HQ, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM
530 2007/ACFM:02. 565 pp. Available at:

531 <http://wiki.difres.dk/efimas/lib/exe/fetch.php?media=efimas1:wp4:cs2:appr1:sgm>
532 [ixman08.pdf](#)

533 ICES (2008) Report of the Study Group on Mixed Fisheries Management
534 (SGMixMan), 14-18 January, ICES HQ, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM
535 2007/ACOM:23. 65 pp.

536 ICES (2009) Report of the Workshop on Mixed Fisheries Advice for the North Sea
537 (WKMIXFISH), 26-28 August, ICES HQ, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM
538 2009/ACOM:47. Available at:
539 [http://www.ices.dk/reports/ACOM/2009/WKMIXFISH/WKMIXFISH%202009.](http://www.ices.dk/reports/ACOM/2009/WKMIXFISH/WKMIXFISH%202009.pdf)
540 [pdf](#)

541

542 Katsanevakis S., Maravelias C.D. & Vassilopoulou C. (2010a) Otter trawls in Greece:
543 Landing profiles and potential métiers. *Mediterranean Marine Science* **11**, 43-59.

544 Katsanevakis S., Maravelias C.D. & Kell L.T. (2010b) Landings profiles and potential
545 métiers in Greek set longliners. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **67**, 646-656.

546 Katsanevakis S., Maravelias C.D., Vassilopoulou V. & Haralabous J. (2010c) Boat
547 seines in Greece: Landings profiles and identification of potential métiers.
548 *Scientia Marina* **74**, 65-76.

549 Kell L.T., Mosqueira I., Grosjean P., Fromentin J-M., Garcia D., Hillary R., Jardim
550 E., Mardle S., Pastoors M.A., Poos J.J., Scott F. & Scott R.D. (2007) FLR: an
551 open-source framework for the evaluation and development of management
552 strategies. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **64**, 640-646.

553 Machias A., Somarakis S. & Tsimenides N. (1998) Bathymetric distribution and
554 movements of red mullet *Mullus surmuletus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*
555 **166**, 247-257.

556 Maravelias C.D. (2007) Bayesian Risk Assessment in Hellenic Fisheries
557 Management. State of Hellenic Fisheries. *In* SoHelFI State of Hellenic Fisheries.
558 Edited by C. Papaconstantinou, A. Zenetos, V. Vassilopoulou & G. Tserpes.
559 HCMR Publ. pp. 320-326.

560 Papaconstantinou C. & Faruggio H. (2000) Fisheries in the Mediterranean.
561 *Mediterranean Marine Science* **1**, 5-18.

562 Pope J.G. (1972) An investigation of the accuracy of virtual population analysis using
563 cohort analysis. *International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries*
564 *Research Bulletin* **9**, 65-74.

565

566 Reeves S. & Ulrich C. (2007) Bridging the gap: fleets, fisheries and the ecosystem
567 approach. ICES CM 2007/R: 02.

568 Tserpes G. (1996) Contribution to the study of the dynamics and fisheries exploitation
569 of the demersal fisheries resources of Cretan waters. PhD Thesis, Heraklion:
570 University of Crete, 189 pp.

571 Ulrich C., Reeves S.A. & Kraak S.B.M. (2008) Mixed Fisheries and the Ecosystem
572 Approach. ICES INSIGHT Issue No. 45, p.36-40.

573 Ulrich C., Reeves S.A., Vermard Y., Holmes S. & Vanhee W. (2011) Reconciling
574 single-species TACs in the North Sea demersal fisheries using the Fcube mixed-
575 fisheries advice framework. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, In press.

576 UN (2002) Report of the World Summit on Sustainable Development (United Nations
577 publication, A/CONF.199/20), Johannesburg, South Africa, 26 August-4
578 September 2002, 173 pp. Available online at: <http://daccess-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N02/636/93/PDF/N0263693.pdf?OpenElement>.

579
580

581 Vinther M., Reeves S. & Patterson K. (2004) From single-species advice to mixed-
582 species management: taking the next step. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **61**,
583 1398-1409.
584

TABLES

Table 1: Fishing vessel characteristics by fleet segment in the Aegean Sea, in 2006.

Fleet	Number of boats	Average Length (m)	Average engine power (KW)
Coastal 0-12m	12746	6.7	20.1
Coastal 12-24m	417	13.8	93.1
Trawl 12-24m	125	21.3	277.7
Trawl 24-40m	174	28.1	317.7

Table 2. Basic stock parameters values used as inputs in Fcube.

	<i>M. merluccius</i>			<i>M. barbatus</i>			<i>M. surmuletus</i>		
	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006
Total population N	141,770,128	198,645,205	216,733,088	418,649,399	438,988,985	344,625,848	278,477,788	208,823,193	187,348,335
Total Biomass tons	20,067	29,455	27,272	9492	9902	8737	9720	6888	6881
Total Landings tons	7615	8513	9077	3438	3556	3096	2675	1986	1951
Total Catches tons	9487	13725	13245	3453	3620	3159	2795	2089	1970
Fishing mortality F	1.011	1.038	1.119	0.397	0.380	0.347	0.358	0.341	0.272

Table 3. Basic biological parameters values for the stocks investigated in Fcube

Stock	Parameter						Source
	L_{∞} (mm)	k	t_0	a(W-L)	b(W-L)	M	
<i>M. merluccius</i>	1100	0.25	-0.35	0.00000398	3.11	0.93	de Pontual <i>et al.</i> , 2003
<i>M. barbatus</i>	318	0.13	-2.55	0.00000316	3.25	0.39	Tserpes G., 1996
<i>M. surmuletus</i>	354	0.23	-1.194	0.00000955	3.04	0.76	Machias <i>et al.</i> , 1998

Table 4. Basic economic parameters for the Aegean Sea fleet segments in 2006.

Fleet	Coastal 0-12m	Coastal 12-24m	Trawl 12-24m	Trawl 24-40m
Income (x1000 €)	192,526	7,746	36,042	118,005
Costs (x1000 €)	98,553	3,017	29,423	74,882
Fleet (No of boats)	12,746	417	125	174

Table 5. Scenario 1; percentage change in effort for the various sub-scenarios in relation to the 2006 exerted effort.

Sub-scenario	% change in effort in relation to 2006			
	Coastal 0-12m	Coastal 12-24m	Trawl 12-24m	Trawl 24-40m
Max	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8
Min	-33.8	-33.7	-33.7	-33.7
Val	-23.2	-27.2	-18.5	-22.6
HAKE	-28.3	-28.2	-28.2	-28.2
REDMUL	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8
STRMUL	-33.8	-33.7	-33.7	-33.7
statusquo_E	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1
DAS_reduction	-15.8	-14.2	-7.9	-7.9

Table 6. Scenario 1; economic outputs of the 8 sub-scenarios and the economic optimizations scenarios investigated (values are in 1000€)

Profit per Vessel (1000 €)	sub-scenario							
	max	min	val	HAKE	REDMUL	STRMUL	statusquo_E	DAS_reduction
Fleet								
Coastal 0-12m	37.9	25.3	29.1	27.3	37.9	25.3	37.0	30.5
Coastal 12-24m	211.9	140.9	153.0	151.8	211.9	140.9	206.7	176.1
Trawl 12-24m	97.6	78.4	91.9	82.4	97.6	78.4	96.7	93.4
Trawl 24-40m	424.5	312.9	350.5	331.7	424.5	312.9	417.2	395.6

Table 7. Scenario 2; percentage change in effort for the various sub-scenarios in relation to the 2006 exerted effort

Sub-scenario	% change in effort in relation to 2006			
	Coastal 0-12m	Coastal 12-24m	Trawl 12-24m	Trawl 24-40m
Max	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8
Min	-35.5	-35.4	-35.4	-35.4
Val	-26.7	-32.5	-22.7	-27.7
HAKE	-35.5	-35.4	-35.4	-35.4
REDMUL	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8
STRMUL	-33.8	-33.7	-33.7	-33.7
statusquo_E	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1
DAS_reduction	-15.8	-14.2	-7.9	-7.9

Table 8. Scenario 3; percentage change in effort for the various sub-scenarios in relation to the 2006 exerted effort

Sub-scenario	% change in effort in relation to 2006			
	Coastal 0-12m	Coastal 12-24m	Trawl 12-24m	Trawl 24-40m
Max	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8
Min	-42.4	-42.4	-42.3	-42.4
Val	-30.1	-37.6	-26.8	-32.7
HAKE	-42.4	-42.4	-42.3	-42.4
REDMUL	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8
STRMUL	-33.8	-33.7	-33.7	-33.7
statusquo_E	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1
DAS_reduction	-15.8	-14.2	-7.9	-7.9

Table 9. Scenario 2; economic outputs of the 8 sub-scenarios and the economic optimizations scenarios investigated (values are in 1000€)

Profit per Vessel (1000 €)	sub-scenario							
	max	min	val	HAKE	REDMUL	STRMUL	statusquo_E	DAS_reduction
Fleet								
Coastal 0-12m	37.9	24.7	27.9	24.7	37.9	25.3	37.0	30.5
Coastal 12-24m	211.9	137.5	142.4	137.5	211.9	140.9	206.7	176.1
Trawl 12-24m	97.6	77.1	89.3	77.1	97.6	78.4	96.7	93.4
Trawl 24-40m	424.5	306.8	332.0	306.8	424.5	312.9	417.2	395.6

Table 10. Scenario 3; economic outputs of the 8 sub-scenarios and the economic optimizations scenarios investigated (values are in 1000€)

Fleet	Profit per Vessel (1000 €)			sub-scenario				
	max	min	val	HAKE	REDMUL	STRMUL	statusquo_E	DAS_reduction
Coastal 0-12m	37.9	22.2	26.7	22.2	37.9	25.3	37.0	30.5
Coastal 12-24m	211.9	123.4	132.0	123.4	211.9	140.9	206.7	176.1
Trawl 12-24m	97.6	71.1	86.7	71.1	97.6	78.4	96.7	93.4
Trawl 24-40m	424.5	281.0	313.3	281.0	424.5	312.9	417.2	395.6

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Effort exerted by fleet and métier during 2006. (OTB: black fill, LLS: white fill, NETS: striped fill, SV: grey fill)

Fig. 2. Landings by fleet and métier during 2006. (HAKE: black fill, OTHERS: striped fill, REDMUL: grey fill, STREDMUL: white fill)

Fig. 3. Scenario 1 Fcube output (catches in tons in the forecast year 2007) of the possible sub-scenarios of effort management proposed (horizontal lines indicate corresponding stock TAC's). (HAKE: black fill, REDMUL: grey fill, STREDMUL: dotted fill)

Fig. 4. Scenario 2 Fcube output (catches in tons in the forecast year 2007) of the possible sub-scenarios of effort management proposed (horizontal lines indicate corresponding stock TAC's). (HAKE: black fill, REDMUL: grey fill, STREDMUL: dotted fill)

Fig. 5. Scenario 3 Fcube output (catches in tons in the forecast year 2007) of the possible sub-scenarios of effort management proposed (horizontal lines indicate corresponding stock TAC's). (HAKE: black fill, REDMUL: grey fill, STREDMUL: dotted fill)









