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Hydrodynamic loads

Simplest: Linear wave kinematics and Morison equation

\[ F = \frac{1}{2} \rho C_D D |U| U + \rho C_M A \frac{dU}{dt} \]

Better: Fully nonlinear wave kinematics and Morison equation

Advanced: CFD and coupled CFD

Zang and Taylor (2010)
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Forces from a fully nonlinear potential flow solver

Allan Engsig-Karup, Harry Bingham and Ole Lindberg

\[ \partial_t \eta = -\nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi} + \tilde{w}(1 + \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \eta), \]
\[ \partial_t \tilde{\phi} = -g \eta - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \tilde{\phi} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi} - \tilde{w}^2 (1 + \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \eta)) \]

\[ \nabla^2 \phi + \partial_{zz} \phi = 0 \]
\[ \partial_z \phi + \nabla h \cdot \nabla \phi = 0 \]
Response in bottom of tower

Fully nonlinear waves versus linear waves

\[ H_s = 9.4 \text{ m}, \quad T_p = 14.2 \text{ s}, \quad W = 5 \text{ m/s} \]

Schløer et al. (OMAE 2012)
The OC4 jacket

Jacket and reference turbine modelled in Hawc2. Fully nonlinear wave loads.

Storm sea state. Turbine standstill.
Severe ringing/impulsive excitation.

Torben Juul Larsen
Taesong Kim
Larsen et al Europ. Offsh. Wind 2011
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The OpenFOAM® CFD solver

Open source CFD toolbox
Vast attention during last 3 years

This study: interFoam solver
  3D incompressible Navier-Stokes
two phases (water and air)
VOF treatment of free surface

Waves2foam wave generation toolbox has been developed and validated
(Niels Gjøl Jacobsen
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What is ringing?

Natural excitation of natural frequency by higher-harmonic forcing from nonlinear waves

Fig. 8. Resonant build-up of vibrations in model tests [3, Fig. 3.3]. Bending moment of the Draugen GBS (lower). ($k \eta_{sp} k_R = (0.22, 0.13)$. Wave elevation (upper). Reproduced with kind permission by Shell.
Detailed calculation of forces from steep regular waves

Bo Terp Paulsen
Third-harmonic force compared to FNV theory

- kA=0.20
- kA=0.25
- kA=0.30
- kA=0.33
- Huseby Grue - kA=0.19, h/R=20
- Huseby Grue - kA=0.19, h/R=15
- MM
- FNV

Terp Paulsen et al
IWWFWB 2012
Compute outer flow field with potential flow wave model
Compute inner field with wave-structure interaction with CFD-VOF model
Terp Paulsen et al (2012)
Irregular waves: JONSWAP\( (T_p = 12s, H_s = 8m) \)

Large domain \Rightarrow \text{Impossible to resolve with CFD alone!}

Rather trivial test case as it serves as validation

Terp Paulsen et al (2012)
Coupling of OpenFOAM and OceanWave3D

- 3 hours times series of 2D irregular waves computed in hours with OceanWave3D
- Selected event analysed with OpenFOAM (~1 day)

Terp Paulsen et al (2012)
Coupling of OpenFOAM and OceanWave3D

- Small “warmup” period for the CFD-computations: No initialization of pressure and pseudo air velocities
- Morison forces and CFD-computations agrees for small wave heights
- Discrepancies after passage of main event is attributed to diffraction effects

Terp Paulsen et al (2012)
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New tests at DHI with a rigid and a flexible structure
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Results and brief analysis for flexible pile

Irregular JONSWAP waves, unidirectional

- $h = 20\text{m}$
- $T_p = 14\text{s}$
- $H_s = 11\text{m}$

Free surface elevation $0.15\text{m}$ from pile

Measured inline force on pile

Acceleration of top point

Displacement of top point
Results and brief analysis
'continuous' forcing of 1st natural mode from wave-nonlinearity

Impulsive load from breaking /near breaking wave
Which waves give the largest accelerations?

Bredmose et al (OMAE 2013)
Which waves give the largest accelerations?

$h = 40.8$ m

$h = 30.8$ m

$h = 20.8$ m

$h_s = 11$ m

$h_s = 8.3$ m

Bredmose et al (OMAE 2013)
Numerical reproduction of experiments

Linear wave detection

Nonlinear wave transformation

\[ \partial_t \eta = -\nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi} + \tilde{w}(1 + \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \eta), \]

\[ \partial_t \tilde{\phi} = -g\eta - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \tilde{\phi} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi} - \tilde{w}^2 (1 + \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \eta)) \]

\[ \nabla^2 \phi + \partial_{zz} \phi = 0 \]

\[ \partial_z \phi + \nabla h \cdot \nabla \phi = 0 \]

FEM model

Force model

\[ F_{\text{surface}} = -\frac{1}{2} \rho_w A c_m \eta_x (u - \dot{X})^2 \]

\[ f(t, z) = \rho_w A c_m (\dot{u} - \dot{X}) + \rho_w A \ddot{u} + \rho_w A c_m w_z (u - \dot{X}) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \rho_w c_D D (u - \dot{X}) |u - \dot{X}| \]
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Wave transformation
Response, $h=40.8$ m
Response, $h=20.8$ m
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