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Abstract — The LTE standards is leading standard in the wireless broadband market. In order to accommodate the increased demand for mobile data services the radio interface in the LTE standard is enhanced with advanced technologies such as OFDMA (Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access) and MIMO (multiple antenna) techniques. The Radio Resource Management at the base station plays major role in satisfying the users demand for high data rates and QoS demands. Resources for both downlink and uplink transmission need to be assigned such that the capacity, throughput, and cell edge performance are optimized. This paper evaluates a cross layer scheduling algorithm that aims at minimizing the resource utilization. The algorithm makes decisions regarding the channel conditions and the size of transmission buffers and different QoS demands. The simulation results show that the new algorithm improves the resource utilization as well as provides better guarantees for QoS.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Not only because of the technology but also because it fulfills defined requirements for a pure 4G generation and backward compatibility with its previous generations (GSM, UMTS...), 3GPP Long Term Evolution (LTE) is leading the wireless mobile world [1]. The air interface of this all-IP-based network architecture utilizes the SC-FDMA in the uplink and OFDMA in the downlink enhanced by multiple antenna systems, supporting both the time (TDD) and frequency (FDD) division duplex modes. Due to the all-IP based architecture, the air interface needs to accommodate a mixture of real and non-real time services. This means that the all traffic including delay sensitive services needs to be scheduled [2].

The main purpose of the LTE scheduling system in the Base Station (BS) is to prioritize and allocate the available frequency-time resources to specific single user equipment (UE). The scheduling is at the MAC (Medium Access Control) layer, it is not standardized and it is an implementation specific mechanism. The overall system performance and reusability of the resources are mainly influenced by the scheduling algorithm [4]. The design of a downlink scheduling algorithm is a complex procedure and presents a number of design challenges, such as maximization of system capacity and spectral efficiency, bit error performances, fairness approach, etc.

So far, there has been a lot of research in modeling the scheduler in order to achieve the highest performance while avoiding latency and starvation problems. In this paper we evaluate a cross layer scheduler scheme that considers the physical layer (channel conditions), application layer (service type) and RLC layer (transmission buffer size). The results are compared with the maximum capacity algorithm with QoS service classification. The algorithms are evaluated on different criteria, such as achieved air interface throughput and delay for each type of service.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, we give some general insights on scheduling algorithms present in the literature. Section III describes the packet scheduler model and the proposed scheduling algorithm. Section IV presents the simulation parameters, while the Section V provides a discussion of the simulation results. Concluding remarks are offered in the last section.

II. OVERVIEW OF PACKET SCHEDULING SCHEMES

This section offers a brief overview of packet scheduling algorithms present in the literature based on [1]-[12]. The packet scheduling is an optimization problem where the scheduling function can be constructed such as to define the way the objectives of the optimization are reached. In accordance with the manner the optimization is achieved, a simple classification of this function can be done: rate-adaptive, delay adaptive and utility based schemes.

The rate adaptive process can be instantaneous, proportional-fair and rate adaptive with margin. The instantaneous (maximum capacity) algorithm exploits multiuser diversity by assigning the subcarriers to those users that experience the best channel conditions. This algorithm tends to maximize the overall system capacity but fails to ensure fairness or any QoS guarantee. Additionally this algorithm does not improve cell edge performance and it can lead to starvation for users that have bad channel conditions. The proportional fair algorithm provides fairness among users such that the minimum data rate enforced on each user is maximized. This algorithm tends to achieve maximum capacity with constraints of data rate fairness to all users. The rate
adaptive with margin additionally considers QoS requirements such that it tends to guarantee minimum (threshold) data rate to each user.

The delay based algorithms tend to optimize the overall system delay under certain constraints. The delay based function can be instantaneous where the users that would experience minimum delay are prioritized. It can also be proportional where the maximum delay enforced on each user is minimized. The rate adaptive and delay adaptive can be considered in combination. Additionally the objective function can involve certain degree for cell edge performance, and/or cell load balancing.

Utility theory can be applied in the design of the packet scheduler. The utility function can be derived from surveys, user’s profiles and behavior, differentiation between concurrent services executing at one user, overall network traffic.

III. PACKET SCHEDULER DESIGN

A. General Scheduler Design

The efficient utilization of the air interface in a scheduling system as LTE, is achieved as a combination of subcarrier allocation, adaptive modulation and coding and power allotment. Due to the limited radio resources such as available spectrum, power and equipment utilities (for ex. number of antennas), the resource allocation needs to be done such that these resources are utilized in most optimal way while the system performances are maximized. In order to simplify the resource management, the decision process can be divided into two components [5][9]:

Time Domain: The goal is to generate a prioritized list of UEs (their radio bearers). It can be based on different parameters such as HARQ retransmission, QoS requirements, users’ profiles etc. The number of users that can be scheduled at each TTI is limited by the size of the PDCCH (physical downlink control channel).

Frequency domain, subcarrier allocation: Here the goal is to decide on the ordering and amount of the resources (subcarriers) assigned to each user. Usually it is based on the channel quality indicators, but can also include the QoS requirements, (transmit and/or receive) buffer status etc. The size of the PDSCH and PUSCH (Physical Downlink/Uplink Share Channel) limits the total number of resource elements assigned.

Frequency domain, bit selection (or power allocation): The power assigned to each subcarrier defines the amount of bits to be transmitted. Total transmission power and cell interference govern the power assignment.

B. Proposed Scheduler Design

This section presents the proposed scheduling algorithm based on the channel quality indicator and the transmission buffer size (TX CAP). In the time domain the users (or radio bearers) are sorted according to the service priority. The VoIP service has the highest priority, then the Video streaming service followed by the Web browsing service and the FTP service at last. In the frequency domain, the resource block (RB) assignment is done according to the transmission buffer size. The users with the same service priority are sorted according the transmission buffer size and the channel quality indicators. The users with the larger buffer size are assigned more RBs. Additionally if the data that is available for transmission does not fill more than one resource block, the users is not considered for scheduling. Regarding the power allocation, equal power is assumed for each subcarrier.

In order to confirm the performance improvement of the proposed scheduler, the results are compared with the maximum capacity algorithm where the UE are again sorted according the channel quality indicators (referred to as MAX CAP algorithm).

IV. SIMULATION PARAMETERS

A. Traffic Parameters

Four different service types have been considered: VoIP, Video service, Web browsing and FTP sessions. The purpose for choosing mixed data traffic is to show the scheduler design impact on the QoS and different services. Based on the traffic parameters for different services in [15][16] and [17], the traffic parameters for the four services considered during the simulations are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1: TRAFFIC SOURCE PARAMETERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VoIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Simulation Tool

OPNET Modeler Tool has been used in order to perform evaluation of the proposed scheduling scheme. Built-in LTE models were used in order to ensure
confidence and correctness of the evaluation.

Current OPNET version (16) does not support adaptive modulation and coding in LTE. Therefore the model was enhanced with this feature by using the Effective Exponential SINR Mapping as described in [19].

C. Network Simulation Parameters

The simulations consider a single-cell multi-user scenario. A scenario of 16 UEs, such that there are 4 UEs for each service class, and average distance to the eNB of 450 meters is considered.

The simulations are performed for minimum specified bandwidth for LTE and the ITU "Pedestrian A" channel model. It is assumed that a fixed 80% of the PDCCH is to be used for downlink assignments. Table 2 shows the rest of the system configuration parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System Bandwidth</td>
<td>1.4 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplexing</td>
<td>FDD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcarrier Spacing</td>
<td>15 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle Prefix</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of eNB</td>
<td>1 (no Sectors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of UE</td>
<td>16 users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Profile</td>
<td>ITU Pedestrian A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLC mode</td>
<td>UN-ACK Mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQI measurements</td>
<td>Wideband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time window for CQI</td>
<td>1 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting interval</td>
<td>5 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation Duration</td>
<td>1700 sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

This section presents the results from the simulation based analysis for the scheduling scheme and configuration presented in the previous sections. The comparison metrics that are presented are the average achieved throughput and delay for each class of service. Several simulations have been executed for different seed values and the results have been averaged.

The simulations have shown that utilization of the PDCCH has been reduced with the new scheduling scheme (TX CAP). The average utilization with the TX CAP scheme is 85% compared to 100 % with the MAX CAP scheme. This is result from the fact that UEs with larger buffers are prioritized, and therefore the assigned higher numbers of RB per UE is higher. This leads to lowered number of UEs scheduled in one TTI as all RB are already allocated. The available space in the PDCCH can be further utilized for downlink data transmissions and therefore the overall throughput can be increased.

Fig. 1 represents the average end-to-end delay for delay sensitive services (VoIP and Video Streaming), page response time for HTTP service and file download time for FTP service. As it can be seen from the figure, the delay sensitive services have reduced average packet end-to-end delay with the TX CAP algorithm. The reason for this is that due to the QoS classification these services will have higher priority and they will be always considered for transmission in first place. On the other hand the HTTP service and FTP service are slightly degraded. The average page download time is increased by 0.5 sec, while the average file download time is increased by 7 sec.

![Fig. 1. Average delay for different services](image1)

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper elaborates on the LTE downlink scheduling schemes and gives an evaluation by simulation with built-in LTE OPNET model. The results of the proposed scheme have been compared to the MAX CQI algorithm with QoS awareness.

The results have shown that when transmission buffer size is considered by the scheduler in combination with channel conditions and QoS classification, the delay requirements for real time services can be improved. Additionally the number of colons required by the PDCCH can be reduced and used for downlink transmission. Therefore the data rate for non-real time services can be improved.

In this phase of the project, only wideband measurements have been considered. In the future, we plan to include sub-band measurements. Additionally the time...
domain scheduling in case of increased number of VoIP users can lead to degraded performance of other service types, especially the FTP services. Therefore other parameters need to be further considered in order to satisfy the minimum QoS demands for non-real type of traffic.
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