



Polarization-independent high-index contrast grating and its fabrication tolerances

Ikeda, Kazuhiro; Takeuchi, Kazuma; Takayose, Kentaro; Chung, Il-Sug; Mørk, Jesper; Kawaguchi, Hitoshi

Published in:
Applied Optics

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1364/AO.52.001049](https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.52.001049)

Publication date:
2013

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Ikeda, K., Takeuchi, K., Takayose, K., Chung, I-S., Mørk, J., & Kawaguchi, H. (2013). Polarization-independent high-index contrast grating and its fabrication tolerances. *Applied Optics*, 52(5), 1049-1053. DOI: 10.1364/AO.52.001049

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Polarization-independent high-index contrast grating and its fabrication tolerances

Kazuhiro Ikeda,^{1,*} Kazuma Takeuchi,¹ Kentaro Takayose,¹ Il-Sug Chung,²
Jesper Mørk,² and Hitoshi Kawaguchi¹

¹Graduate School of Materials Science, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, 8916-5 Takayama, Ikoma, Nara 630-0192, Japan

²Department of Photonics Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby DK-2800, Denmark

*Corresponding author: kazikeda@ms.naist.jp

Received 19 October 2012; revised 3 January 2013; accepted 5 January 2013;
posted 7 January 2013 (Doc. ID 178363); published 8 February 2013

A polarization-independent, high-index contrast grating (HCG) with a single layer of cross stripes allowing simple fabrication is proposed. Since the cross stripes structure can be suspended in air by selectively wet-etching the layer below, all the layers can be grown at once when implemented for vertical-cavity surface-emitting lasers. We optimized the structure to have a broad and high reflectivity band centered at around 1 μm using a finite difference time domain method, and obtained an 80 nm high reflectivity band centered at 0.97 μm in which the reflectivity exceeded 99.5%. We also investigated the fabrication tolerances of the structure and found that, assuming careful optimizations of electron beam lithography for the precise grating width and dry-etching for the vertical sidewall, the suggested polarization-independent HCG can be fabricated using standard technologies. © 2013 Optical Society of America
OCIS codes: 050.6624, 230.4040, 230.1950.

1. Introduction

Recently, high-index contrast gratings (HCGs) featuring broadband reflectivity and being much thinner than conventional distributed Bragg reflectors (DBRs) have been proposed [1] and vertical-cavity surface-emitting lasers (VCSELs) exploiting the HCG have been demonstrated [2,3]. The HCG reflectors work based on guided mode resonance (GMR) under the phase-matching condition with the second-order grating [4,5]. When it is used for a broadband reflector instead of a DBR in VCSELs, the effective cavity length can be significantly reduced [6], which could contribute to faster modulation. Specifically, we expect faster polarization switching in the case of polarization-bistable VCSELs [7] due to the faster cavity buildup time for lasing. To apply HCGs to polarization-bistable VCSELs, the reflectivity has to be polarization independent. Although

polarization-independent HCGs have been proposed [8–10], these structures are not suitable for VCSELs because of the complexity in fabrication. The two-dimensional (2D) periodic islands structure [8,9] requires a low-index supporting material such as SiO_2 under the grating, which needs film depositions additional to the crystal growth. The cross-stacked grating [10] apparently requires more process steps to fabricate. In this paper, we propose a single layer cross-striped HCG which can be fabricated more easily using the air-bridge structure [2,3] and can provide processing robustness for large-area HCGs, preventing grating lines from sticking to each other. We numerically investigate the structure for a polarization-independent broadband reflectivity and also the fabrication tolerances of an optimized structure.

2. Polarization-Independent HCG

Figure 1 shows our HCG structure, which consists of a semiconductor 2D grating, a low refractive index layer, and a semiconductor substrate. The




Fig. 1. Polarization-independent HCG with a single layer of cross stripes for simple fabrication.

cross-striped structure can be suspended in air by selectively wet-etching the underlayer as opposed to the 2D periodic islands structure. Therefore, all the layers for a HCG-VCSEL can be grown at once [2,3]. The 90° rotational symmetry of the structure secures the polarization-independent reflectivity. The physical basis of the high reflectivity will be understood by the GMR for the leaky modes in the grating structure as discussed comprehensively in [5]. Since our HCG structure is intended for the upper mirror of VCSELs, the reflection from the back surface of the substrate does not need to be considered [1]. We optimized the structure to have a broad and high reflectivity band centered at around 1 μm using a finite difference time domain solver, MEEP [11], where the periodic boundary condition and the perfectly matched layer are assumed in the in-plane and out-of-plane direction, respectively. Modal analysis will be another tool to investigate grating structures [12]. The high-reflectivity band can be shifted in wavelength simply by scaling the structure size. An input plane wave incident from the upper side in Fig. 1 is considered since this configuration allows simpler characterization of the fabricated HCG structure. Our calculation for the structure of Fig. 1 showed that the result considering an input wave from the bottom side is the same. We started from a cross-striped structure with the size of the one-dimensional grating in [3], and found that a set of parameters, $\Lambda = 556$ nm, $W = 178$ nm, $T_g = 270$ nm, $T_L = 630$ nm, $n_g = 3.5$, and $n_L = 1$ provides an 80 nm high reflectivity band centered at 0.97 μm, in which the reflectivity exceeds 99.5%, as shown in Fig. 2(a). The small ripple seen in the inset of Fig. 2(a) is an artifact of the calculation, having an amplitude that changes with the resolution setting. The bandwidth similar to DBRs and the high reflectivity are sufficient for VCSELs [2,3]. We note that cavity modes in VCSELs have oblique plane wave components and the reflectivity of HCGs is known to be sensitive to the incident angle [13]. Therefore, the reflectivity for the cavity modes in VCSELs will be lower than that presented here using a plane wave. However, our HCG structure will be still polarization independent since the cavity modes




Fig. 2. (Color online) (a) Calculated power reflectivity spectrum of the optimized HCG ($\Lambda = 556$ nm, $W = 178$ nm, $T_g = 270$ nm, $T_L = 630$ nm, $n_g = 3.5$, $n_L = 1$). (b) The electric field distribution (linear polarization perpendicular to the paper) in the unit cell for a wavelength within the high-reflectivity band.

have the cylindrical symmetry. The electric field distribution in the unit cell for a wavelength with the highest reflectivity ($\lambda = 0.99$ μm) is also presented in Fig. 2(b). We observe the field decaying below the grating.

3. Fabrication Tolerances

When the structure of Fig. 1 is fabricated, W is the most critical, being particularly sensitive to fabrication error due to the small size. In order to evaluate the fabrication tolerance, we first calculated the W dependence of the reflectivity spectrum as shown in Fig. 3(a). We see that the high reflectivity band (higher than 99.5%) splits into two, cf. the qualitative two-hump form of the spectrum seen in the inset of Fig. 2(a), when W is larger than 188 nm and those split bands have a quite narrow bandwidth. It is thus found from Fig. 3(a) that the fabrication tolerance for W will be about ± 10 nm to keep the reflectivity higher than 99.5% at a wavelength of 0.97 μm. Electron beam lithography has this level of pattern definition accuracy under carefully controlled conditions [14]. Optical lithography may also achieve such pattern definition accuracy [15]. We also calculated the case with nonvertical sidewalls as presented in Fig. 3(b). The definition of the




Fig. 3. (Color online) (a) W , (b) θ , (c) T_g , (d) T_L , and (e) n_g dependences of the reflectivity spectrum. The other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 2. The symbol “+” indicates 99.5%.

tilted angle θ is described in the inset of Fig. 3(b). We observe that the high reflectivity band splits into two when θ is larger than $\pm 8^\circ$. It is thus found from Fig. 3(b) that the fabrication tolerance for θ will be about $\pm 6^\circ$. This level of vertical dry-etching is also possible under carefully controlled conditions [16]. Similar considerations for the other structural parameters resulted in $T_g = 270 \pm 30$ nm, $T_L = 630 \pm 130$ nm, and $n_g = 3.5 \pm 0.1$, respectively, as shown in Figs. 3(c)–3(e). Since we assume that the grating and low index layers are all grown with molecular beam epitaxy (MBE), the above tolerances of T_g and T_L are not critical. It is worth noting that if the MBE growth has a ± 10 nm thickness error, different choices of T_g and T_L will be possible. For example, $T_g = 250$ nm results in a wider bandwidth of ~ 100 nm as seen in Fig. 3(c), and $T_L = 510$ nm provides a shorter effective cavity length

although the bandwidth becomes slightly narrower, as seen in Fig. 3(d). Finally, the above tolerance of n_g is not critical either since the composition of the grating material (e.g., $\text{Al}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{As}$) can be well controlled by MBE.

It is interesting to consider the effect of an asymmetric fabrication error on the polarization-independent reflectivity. Our structure is polarization independent because of the 90° rotational symmetry. However, an asymmetric fabrication error, for example, $W_x = 178 + 5$ nm, $W_y = 178 - 5$ nm, results in a polarization-dependent reflectivity as shown in Fig. 4. We see that the high reflectivity bands for E_x and E_y linear polarizations shift in the same manner as in Fig. 3(a), but have an overlap of between 0.95 and 1 μm , where the polarization-independent property almost holds. When applied to VCSELs, the maximum reflectivity difference at 0.98 μm , i.e.,




Fig. 4. (Color online) Calculated power reflectivity spectra (for E_x and E_y linear polarizations) of the optimized HCG with an asymmetric fabrication error, $W_x = 183$ nm, $W_y = 173$ nm.

$R_{\text{HCG}} = \sim 0.997$ and ~ 0.999 for E_x and E_y polarizations, respectively, results in an 8% difference of lasing threshold gains g_{th} , assuming a simple relation of $g_{\text{th}} = \alpha + 1/(2d) \cdot \ln(1/\sqrt{R_{\text{HCG}} \cdot R_{\text{DBR}}})$ with a loss inside the cavity of $\alpha = 20$ cm^{-1} , a reflectivity of the opposing DBR mirror of $R_{\text{DBR}} = 0.999$, and a cavity length of $d = 3$ μm [17]. Further optimization to minimize the dent in the high-reflectivity band will be possible for more robust polarization independence.

Finally, we investigate the effect of absorption in the grating material on the reflectivity. We assume that the absorption originates from carriers unintentionally (p -type at 2×10^{14} cm^{-3}) or intentionally (p -type at 2×10^{16} cm^{-3}) doped in MBE growth. The absorption coefficients at the doping levels above are calculated as $\alpha = 0.4$ and 29.3 cm^{-1} , respectively, using the corresponding mobilities in $\text{Al}_{0.6}\text{Ga}_{0.4}\text{As}$ [18], which is a typical material used in air-bridge HCG-VCSELs [2,3]. The power reflectivity spectra calculated using the absorption coefficients are plotted in Fig. 5. We see that the carrier density intrinsically included in MBE-grown crystals ($\sim 2 \times 10^{14}$ cm^{-3}) does not change the reflectivity spectrum, while the carrier density intentionally doped (2×10^{16} cm^{-3}) reduces the reflectivity.




Fig. 5. (Color online) Calculated power reflectivity spectra of the optimized HCG with the absorption coefficients of 0.4 and 29.3 cm^{-1} at the doping levels of $(p) 2 \times 10^{14}$ cm^{-3} and $(p) 2 \times 10^{16}$ cm^{-3} , respectively.

From the inset of Fig. 5, a carrier density higher than 2×10^{16} cm^{-3} will result in a reflectivity lower than 99.5% which makes an impact on the lasing threshold gain in HCG-VCSELs.

4. Conclusions

We have proposed a polarization-independent HCG with a single layer of cross stripes for simple fabrication. An 80 nm high-reflectivity band centered at a wavelength of 0.97 μm , in which the reflectivity exceeds 99.5%, was obtained using optimized structural parameters. The bandwidth is similar to that realized by DBR mirrors and the reflectivity achieved is sufficient for VCSELs. We have also investigated the fabrication tolerances of the structure and found that, assuming careful optimizations of electron beam lithography for the W tolerance of ± 10 nm and dry-etching for the θ tolerance of $\pm 6^\circ$, the polarization-independent HCG can be fabricated using standard technologies. In addition, it has been found that material absorption originating from carriers intrinsically included in MBE-grown crystals ($\sim 2 \times 10^{14}$ cm^{-3}) does not reduce the reflectivity, while a carrier density higher than 2×10^{16} cm^{-3} will result in a reflectivity lower than 99.5%, which makes an impact on the lasing threshold gain in HCG-VCSELs.

This work was supported in part by the “R&D promotion scheme funding international joint research” promoted by the NICT (National Institute of Information and Communications Technology).

References

1. C. F. R. Mateus, M. C. Y. Huang, D. Yunfei, A. R. Neureuther, and C. J. Chang-Hasnain, “Ultrabroadband mirror using low-index cladded subwavelength grating,” *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.* **16**, 518–520 (2004).
2. M. C. Y. Huang, Y. Zhou, and C. J. Chang-Hasnain, “A surface emitting laser incorporating a high-index-contrast subwavelength grating,” *Nat. Photonics* **1**, 119–122 (2007).
3. Y. Zhou, M. C. Y. Huang, and C. J. Chang-Hasnain, “Tunable VCSEL with ultra-thin high contrast grating for high-speed tuning,” *Opt. Express* **16**, 14221–14226 (2008).
4. A. Hardy, D. F. Welch, and W. Streifer, “Analysis of second-order gratings,” *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **25**, 2096–2105 (1989).
5. R. Magnusson and M. Shokooh-Saremi, “Physical basis for wideband resonant reflectors,” *Opt. Express* **16**, 3456–3462 (2008).
6. I.-S. Chung, V. Iakovlev, A. Sirbu, A. Mereuta, A. Caliman, E. Kapon, and J. Mørk, “Broadband MEMS-tunable high-index-contrast subwavelength grating long-wavelength VCSEL,” *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **46**, 1245–1253 (2010).
7. H. Kawaguchi, T. Mori, Y. Sato, and Y. Yamayoshi, “Optical buffer memory using polarization bistable vertical-cavity surface-emitting lasers,” *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **45**, L894–L897 (2006).
8. J. Yamauchi, N. Goto, and H. Nakano, “Broadband mirror using a two-dimensional subwavelength grating,” in *Proceedings of the Society Conference of IEICE, Electronics (IEICE, 2007)*, p. 196 (in Japanese).
9. R. G. Mote, S. F. Yu, W. Zhou, and X. F. Li, “Design and analysis of two-dimensional high-index-contrast grating surface-emitting lasers,” *Opt. Express* **17**, 260–265 (2009).
10. D. Zhao, H. Yang, Z. Ma, and W. Zhou, “Polarization independent broadband reflectors based on cross-stacked gratings,” *Opt. Express* **19**, 9050–9055 (2011).

11. A. F. Oskooi, D. Roundy, M. Ibanescu, P. Bermel, J. D. Joannopoulos, and S. G. Johnson, "MEEP: a flexible free-software package for electromagnetic simulations by the FDTD method," *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181**, 687–702 (2010).
12. S. K. Chin, N. A. Nicorovici, and R. C. McPhedran, "Green's function and lattice sums for electromagnetic scattering by a square array of cylinders," *Phys. Rev. E* **49**, 4590–4602 (1994).
13. H. Sano, J. Kashino, A. Gerke, A. Imamura, F. Koyama, and C. Chang-Hasnain, "Transverse mode control of VCSELs with high contrast sub-wavelength grating functioning as angular filter," in *2012 Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics (IEEE, 2012)*, paper CW3N.5.
14. E. Kuramochi, M. Notomi, S. Mitsugi, A. Shinya, T. Tanabe, and T. Watanabe, "Ultrahigh- Q photonic crystal nanocavities realized by the local width modulation of a line defect," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **88**, 041112 (2006).
15. M. Settle, M. Salib, A. Michaeli, and T. F. Krauss, "Low loss silicon on insulator photonic crystal waveguides made by 193 nm optical lithography," *Opt. Express* **14**, 2440–2445 (2006).
16. M. Notomi, K. Yamada, A. Shinya, J. Takahashi, C. Takahashi, and I. Yokohama, "Extremely large group-velocity dispersion of line-defect waveguides in photonic crystal slabs," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 253902 (2001).
17. K. Iga, F. Koyama, and S. Kinoshita, "Surface emitting semiconductor lasers," *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **24**, 1845–1855 (1988).
18. M. Sotoodeh, A. H. Khalid, and A. A. Rezazadeh, "Empirical low-field mobility model for III–V compounds applicable in device simulation codes," *J. Appl. Phys.* **87**, 2890–2900 (2000).