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In a newly isolated temperature-sensitive lethal *Escherichia coli* mutant affecting the chaperonin GroEL, we observed wholesale aggregation of newly translated proteins. After temperature shift, transcription, translation, and growth slowed over two to three generations, accompanied by filamentation and accretion (in ~2% of cells) of paracrystalline arrays containing mutant chaperonin complex. A biochemically isolated inclusion body fraction contained the collective of abundant proteins of the bacterial cytoplasm as determined by SDS/PAGE and proteolysis/MS analyses. Pulse–chase experiments revealed that newly made proteins, but not preexistent ones, were recruited to this insoluble fraction. Although aggregation of "stringent" GroEL/GroES-dependent substrates may secondarily produce an "avalanche" of aggregation, the observations raise the possibility, supported by *in vitro* refolding experiments, that the widespread aggregation reflects that GroEL function supports the proper folding of a majority of newly translated polypeptides, not just the limited number indicated by interaction studies and *in vitro* experiments.

chaperone | misfolding | protein folding

More than 30 years ago, the first genetic experiments were carried out that identified the groE operon of *Escherichia coli* as involved in macromolecular synthesis, observing it to be required for production of λ and T4 virus phage particles (1, 2). Already in those first experiments it was recognized that groE mutations had effects on host cell growth in the absence of phage infection, implicating this operon in host cell metabolism. Indeed, some years later the groE operon was shown to be essential for *E. coli* viability (3). At about the same time, studies of a GroEL homologue in mitochondria, Hsp60, showed an involvement in assisting the folding of newly imported polypeptides to their native form (4, 5). The role of providing kinetic assistance to polypeptide folding was further established shortly thereafter by reconstitution *in vitro* of refolding by the purified groE gene products, the 800-kDa double-ring chaperonin GroEL and the 70-kDa single-ring cochaperonin GroES (6, 7).

Although the polypeptide binding and release actions of the GroEL system are generally understood, a test *in vivo* of the effect of severe conditional GroEL deficiency on protein metabolism has not been accessible to date. One would expect that many cellular pathways might be affected, based on studies in recent years indicating that ~10% of bacterial proteins, participating in a host of pathways, interact with GroEL in the cell (8, 9). In particular, ~12 such interacting proteins have been shown *in vitro* to be completely dependent on GroEL/GroES to reach the native state, and several of these proteins carry out essential cellular functions, thus providing some explanation for why groE is essential for cell growth. Such proteins require not only the binding function of GroEL, which forestalls misfolding and aggregation, but also the action of folding inside a hydrophilic, confined, cis ternary GroEL/GroES complex (10–13). But interaction and *in vitro* reconstitution studies cannot uncover the primary effects of shutoff of chaperonin function in the intact physiological system. Here we have assessed this state using a newly derived severe temperature-sensitive lethal GroEL-deficient strain of *E. coli* that arrests growth in liquid culture at the nonpermissive temperature. Global aggregation of newly translated proteins is observed, raising a question as to whether GroEL may play a more general role than previously thought. Supporting this possibility are observations presented here that two large monomeric proteins are assisted to the native state *in vitro* by GroEL alone, apparently employing binding and release from open rings.

Results

Isolation and Growth Properties of Temperature-Sensitive Lethal GroEL 461 Mutant. We previously examined a GroEL mutant *E. coli* strain with a relatively mild growth phenotype, showing temperature sensitivity on solid media but only slowed growth in liquid medium, without arrest (14). The mild phenotype was a function of this strain carrying both a temperature-sensitive GroEL allele, on a plasmid, and wild-type GroEL, in the bacterial chromosome. Even though the wild-type chromosomal GroEL was regulated by a lac promoter rather than the native one, there was still residual expression of wild-type GroEL in the absence of isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside to a level 5–10% of normal, reducing the severity of the plasmid-directed mutant phenotype. To produce a more severe phenotype, we placed the same mutant allele of GroEL, E461K, into a strain where the chromosomal GroEL was disrupted, by employing a plasmid shuffling strategy, exchanging an ara-*E461K* expression plasmid for an ara-*wild-type* one (15, 16) (Fig. L4 and Fig. 6, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site).

E461K changes a residue at the interface between GroEL rings, causing a shift of register between the rings, such that subunits form 1:1 contacts across the interface instead of 1:2 contacts (17). This misalignment is associated with loss of allosteric communication both within and between rings. ATP cooperativity, positive within rings and negative between them (18), is abolished. *In vitro*, the purified 461 complex binds nonnative proteins but is unable to fold
them at 37°C (as compared with 23°C). In particular, 461 complex fails to release subunits of substrate, behaving as a polypeptide "trap" (see Supporting Discussion in Supporting Text, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site).

In vivo, the newly isolated 461 strain was temperature-sensitive on both solid and liquid media. As expected for temperature-sensitive behavior due to GroEL deficiency, growth at 37°C was rescued if 461 cells were transformed with a second plasmid expressing wild-type GroEL and GroES at physiological levels. By contrast, a similar plasmid deleted of GroEL, directing expression of only GroES, failed to rescue at 37°C. In liquid LB media after shift to 37°C, the 461 strain exhibited growth arrest occurring over a period of 2–3 h (Fig. 1A). A similar pattern of arrested growth was observed regardless of the amount of arabinose used to regulate expression (0.001–0.1%). A concentration of 0.02% arabinose was selected for general use because plating efficiency of both wild-type and 461 cells was observed to be the most uniform in this amount of inducer. This concentration of arabinose produces a level of GroEL (equal in wild-type and 461 cells both before shift and for 2–3 h afterward) that is ~5-fold greater than that of nonmanipulated E. coli strains at 37°C.

The 461 culture was still viable at 3–4 h after temperature shift, at which time growth had ceased: when the culture was downshifted to room temperature and plated, colonies were formed. By 12 h, however, the culture was inviable; no colonies were obtained after downshift. Concerning morphology, as was observed previously for GroEL mutant strains, cells became progressively filamentous after temperature shift. A few percent of the cells also developed paracrystalline inclusions, shown by immuno-EM to contain the mutant GroEL itself (Fig. 1B and unpublished observations).

**Immediate Onset of GroEL-Deficient Phenotype.** The normal growth behavior of 461 cells immediately after temperature shift raised the question of whether the 461 GroEL is functionally deficient early after temperature shift or whether it requires a period to become defective in protein folding. To address GroEL function, the well studied GroEL-dependent substrate protein Rubisco from *Rhodospirillum rubrum* was expressed from a T7-regulated coding sequence in a second plasmid, and its solubility was assessed as a measure of whether GroEL function was present. Cells were shifted to 37°C for 15 min, and Rubisco synthesis was then initiated by infection with λ phage bearing the T7 polymerase gene. At the same time, the cells were 35S-radiolabeled. After an hour, the cells were harvested and fractionated into soluble and insoluble fractions, and the Rubisco, bearing a His6 tag, was collected from each (the latter under denaturing conditions) by using Talon affinity resin. The recovered material was analyzed by SDS/PAGE (Fig. 1C). In wild-type cells ~30% of the newly made Rubisco was found in the soluble fraction, presumably in native form, whereas in the 461 cells no soluble Rubisco was detected. We conclude that the mutant GroEL in 461 cells is defective in folding function even at early time after shift. The phenotypic effects observed thus follow from an early loss of GroEL function.

**Transcription and Translation Continue in Mutant Cells at Early Times After Temperature Shift but Are then Strongly Reduced.** Considering that 461 cultures continued to grow at early time after temperature shift, with advancing optical density and increasing length of filamenting bacteria, it seemed likely that protein translation was not arrested despite dysfunction of GroEL. To formally measure translation, pulse-radiolabeling was carried out, comparing equivalent amounts of wild-type and mutant cells directly solubilized at various times after temperature shift (Fig. 2). Rates of translation in 461 and wild-type cells were observed to be equal at 15 and 45 min after shift, but at 2, 3, and 4 h the rate of translation became reduced in the mutant, corresponding with the slowed growth of the culture.

Overall, the pattern of translated proteins observed for wild-type and 461 cells was remarkably similar. An interesting and reproducible difference was observed, however: the 85-kDa B2-dependent methionine biosynthetic enzyme MetE was induced in the mutant cells, observable by 45 min after shift (Fig. 2). This protein was also readily visible in Coomassie-stained gels of the 461 cells after shift (e.g., Fig. 3). Notably, it had been observed to be induced in the milder 461 mutant characterized earlier (14). In addition to induction of MetE, we observed here that, at later times, GroEL (461) itself was strongly translated (Fig. 2), as if more strongly arabinose-induced; consistent with this possibility, at late times after temperature shift a greater level of GroEL was observed in mutant cells than in wild-type cells.

Transcription was also examined, measuring 3H]uridine incorporation during 1-min time periods at various times after temperature shift (Fig. 7, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). The transcription rate was affected in a similar...
fraction of both 461 and wild-type cells. Because only 10–20% of *E. coli* proteins have been shown to interact stably with the chaperonin in vitro, this suggested that aggregation was occurring as a wholesale process, without regard to whether any particular protein was a bona fide GroEL substrate.

When the same type of fractionation was carried out on 461 cells growing at 20°C, a similar pattern was already visible, albeit at a much reduced level: here only ~10% of total protein was insoluble (Fig. 3B).

Inclusion Body Fractionation of 461 Cells Reveals a Large Amount of Insoluble Protein and a Large Number of Different Species. To evaluate the fate of proteins at a biochemical level, standard inclusion body preparations were carried out both on wild-type cells and mutant cells at various times after temperature shift. Lysozyme treatment, sonication, and Tris-buffered SDS (TBS-SDS) lysis of the wild-type and mutant soluble and inclusion body fractions were carried out both on wild-type cells and mutant cells, starting with an identical amount of cells for each strain. The SDS-buffered lysate was centrifuged at 4°C for 10 min at 10,000 × g to remove any insoluble material. Solubilized material from an identical OD equivalent of cells for each strain was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiographed. Note that wild-type cells were not harvested at 4 h after shift because they had progressed beyond an OD of 1.5.

**Fig. 2.** Translation in the mutant cells after temperature shift is initially the same as wild type but progressively slows. Additionally, MetE and GroEL are strongly expressed after shift (see text). For the translation experiment, wild-type or 461 cells grown in LB/ampicillin/arabinose at 20°C to the same OD (0.04) were shifted to 37°C, and at the indicated time points 0.4 ml of cells was labeled with 100 μCi of 35S-Translabel for 10 min. Cells were immediately recovered by centrifugation and directly solubilized in SDS sample buffer. The solubilized material from an identical OD equivalent of cells for each strain was analyzed by SDS/PAGE and autoradiographed. Note that wild-type cells were not harvested at 4 h after shift because they had progressed beyond an OD of 1.5.

To determine the identity of both soluble and insoluble proteins in 461 cells, we carried out proteolysis and MS on the soluble fraction and on the urea-solubilized inclusion bodies using the multidimensional protein identification protocol (MudPIT; ref. 19). In agreement with the SDS/PAGE analysis, the proteomic analysis identified in both fractions a similar set of ~300 proteins (Table 1, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). Most of the species were cytoplasmic proteins, many known to be highly abundant, but a few periplasmic (secretory) proteins were also observed (for example, plasmid-encoded β-lactamase was present, but Western blot analysis revealed ~90% to be soluble and processed, presumably in the periplasm; data not shown).

Only some of the cytoplasmic proteins in the inclusion body fraction were recognizable as bona fide GroEL substrate proteins based on previous in vitro validation studies, including MetF, MetK, GatY, and DapA (9). Also, a number of proteins previously identified as either GroEL/ATP-assisted or reversibly bound to GroEL were detected in the inclusion body fraction. The inclusion bodies also contained a large number of abundant cytoplasmic proteins that have not been observed to interact with GroEL. Several of these were overexpressed, purified, and tested in vitro for their dependence on the GroEL system for folding. EF-G, FtsZ, AlaRS, and acyl CoA synthetase were found to be GroEL/ATP-assisted proteins, whereas LysS appeared to be reversibly GroEL-interacting (data not shown). Notably, EF-G, AlaRS, and acyl CoA synthetase are of substantial size relative to the GroEL cavity, measuring 77, 96, and 77 kDa, respectively. In the case of the abundant tubulin-like FtsZ protein, we note that FtsZ-deficient cells exhibit a filamentous cell morphology resembling that observed here (20).

**MetE.** MetE, the 85-kDa protein highly induced in the 461 cells after temperature shift, was studied further. Such strong overexpression of MetE was surprising, because cells growing in the presence of a rich LB medium containing methionine would normally repress the methionine biosynthetic genes (21). However, GroEL/GroES has been shown to be absolutely required for MetK folding (9), and its misfolding in the setting of GroEL deficiency would lead to decreased levels of S-adenosylmethionine, which functions as a coenzyme with the MetJ protein of the *metE* operon. Thus, defective MetK and resulting decreased S-adenosyl methionine...
Respective fractions was displayed in SDS temperature (20°C) and at various times after shift to 37°C into soluble (S) and inclusion body (P) fractions was carried out as described in GroES binding in trans to direct release and folding (23).

Mitochondrial aconitase (85 kDa), which stringently requires active enzyme after dilution from denaturant, enhancing recovery presence of GroEL alone had a major effect on recovery of native proteins as opposed to preexistent ones were becoming incorporated into the insoluble fraction if, as is currently postulated, only newly made proteins were at risk for becoming incorporated into the inclusion bodies. Consistent with such behavior, when shorter labeling times (1 or 5 min) were used there was still a substantial fraction of newly made protein lodging in the insoluble fraction (Fig. 10, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). Strikingly, the pattern of soluble and insoluble proteins at the two times of chase remained identical to that of the pulse period. In particular, there was no further increase in the amount of insoluble protein during the chase, indicating that soluble radiolabeled proteins were not becoming progressively recruited to the insoluble fraction. This finding likely indicates that, once newly translated proteins reached native form, they were no longer at risk for becoming incorporated into the inclusion bodies.

An additional pulse–chase study, carrying out radiolabeling at permissive temperature and then shifting to 37°C in the presence of chloramphenicol, was also performed (Fig. 5B). Here, by the end of the pulse period, a small percentage of proteins was found in the insoluble fraction (≈10%), corresponding to the fractionation behavior of total proteins (Fig. 3B). As with labeling at 37°C, this fractionation pattern was preserved after shift to 37°C and chase for 45 min and 90 min, indicating here also that only newly made proteins are at risk for misfolding and aggregation, whereas already-folded native proteins are not subject to recruitment into the aggregates.

**Discussion**

**Whole Sale Aggregation of the Collective of Newly Made Proteins in GroEL-Deficient Cells: Is GroEL Interacting with Most Newly Translated Proteins?** The analysis here of insoluble proteins after temperature shift of GroEL-deficient 461 cells, both in SDS/PAGE and by proteomic study, revealed that a majority of the abundant (observable) bacterial cytoplasmic proteins were present. The gel analyses indicated that the percentage of any given species in the insoluble fraction increased with time: on average ≈10% was insoluble at permissive temperature (20°C), but after 3 h at 37°C, a time when the cells halted further growth, as much as 30–40% was insoluble. How could the general cohort of newly translated proteins wind up in the insoluble fraction if, as is currently postulated, only ≈10% of these are bona fide substrates? Three possible models come to mind: (i) The cytoplasm of the GroEL-deficient cells has reached...
MetE is oxidized in the insoluble fraction of 461 cells as revealed by in vivo thiol trapping (A), and aconitase can be refolded in vitro by GroEL alone (B). (A) Thiol trapping experiments were performed to ascertain the oxidation status of MetE in vivo in 461 cells (wild type does not express MetE in LB medium). Cell fractions were examined by isoelectric focusing and Western blotting (22) (see Supporting Methods in Supporting Text). The first two lanes show controls with purified MetE exposed in vitro to reducing (first lane) and oxidizing (second lane) conditions, then alkylated in the same order as for the cells; the third lane shows the supernatant fraction of alkylated 461 cells; and the fourth lane shows the inclusion body fraction of alkylated 461 cells (20-fold more total protein loaded vs. the third lane). Note that if oxidation or alklylation of MetE within inclusion bodies were different from that of the purified glutathionylated protein, then multiple bands with various isoelectric points would be expected; however, the close correspondence between the loaded vs. the third lane). Variant MetE is oxidized in the inclusion body fraction of the 461 cells similarly to the purified protein. (B) E. coli and yeast mitochondrial aconitase refolding in vitro: the E. coli enzyme is assisted by GroEL alone whereas the yeast enzyme requires both GroEL and GroES. Acid-unfolded aconitase B was diluted into neutralizing buffer containing the respective components, and refolding was carried out for 1 h. Iron–sulfur cluster formation was then carried out, followed by enzyme assay, as described in ref. 23. Activity is expressed as the percentage recovery of the input material.

A physical state where any newly translated protein departing the ribosome can be recruited into an aggregate while it is in the nonnative state (this might be triggered by the misfolding and aggregation of the collective of stringent GroEL substrates); (ii) GroEL deficiency affects another component that plays a more general role in the proper folding of all proteins departing the ribosome; (iii) GroEL itself is involved with folding a far broader collective of proteins than has been recognized by studies to date. The first of these models seems tenuous given the observation that, even at permissive temperature, there is substantial aggregation (~10%) of many of the newly translated proteins (Fig. 4), but no altered physical state is observed by EM at 20°C (data not shown). On the other hand, we cannot formally exclude an “avalanche” model, in which stringent GroEL substrates fail to fold and aggregate, providing a multiplicity of nonnative surfaces that interact with and entrain other newly translated protein species.

The second model invokes a new component that would, by definition, need to have a role broader than that defined to date for GroEL. Although the abundance of such a component would not necessarily have to be as great, a null allele would presumably present a phenotype akin to that observed here. We are at a loss to enumerate such a component. For example, although the chaperone trigger factor interacts at the ribosome with most newly translated proteins, it is not essential for cell viability, and wholesale aggregation does not occur in the setting of its deletion (24). DnaK (Hsp70) likewise can be deleted at intermediate temperatures without such an effect (25). Only when both trigger factor and DnaK are deleted is there a general aggregation phenotype similar to but quantitatively less severe than that observed here (24). There is, however, no evidence that GroEL is required for the ongoing function of either trigger factor or DnaK. Interestingly, however, it has recently been observed that the growth defect and whole aggregation of DnaK/trigger factor-deficient cells can be rescued by strong overexpression of GroEL/GroES, indicating that the chaperonin system can in fact act on the broad range of substrates, of all molecular sizes, acted on by DnaK and trigger factor (26). Likewise,
severe aggregation in RpoH mutants, affecting the heat shock transcription factor σ32, has been rescued by strong overexpression of GroEL/GroES (27).

These latter observations lead to consideration of the third possibility that, in vivo, the interaction of GroEL with newly translated proteins is more general than in vitro reconstitution and coimmunoprecipitation studies have reflected, such that most proteins interact with GroEL in some way to achieve normal folding. In the case of the reconstitution studies, a nonnative protein’s ability to bind to GroEL has typically been measured after its dilution from denaturant, necessitating relatively stable physical association with GroEL. For example, in one commonly used assay the nonnative substrate must remain stably associated with GroEL through steps of cell breakage, immune capture, and washing. It seems conceivable that a wider collective of proteins than these assays have detected may form transient and low-affinity interactions in vivo with GroEL that nonetheless forestall them from aggregation and direct them toward proper folding. For example, the ATP-independent behavior observed here in vitro of two large monomeric enzymes, MetE (85 kDa) and aconitase (93 kDa), may reflect this.

**Flux Considerations.** Is there sufficient GroEL, at ~1–2 μM concentration in the bacterial cytoplasm, to accommodate interaction with the large collective of proteins emerging from a concentration of ribosomes that is 10-fold greater? An earlier theoretical calculation suggested that folding of only a few percent of total newly synthesized protein could be managed (28), but the assumption was made that the folding rate at GroEL would be similar to that of synthesized protein could be managed (28), but the assumption was made that the folding rate at GroEL would be similar to that of GroES (0.1–1.1 min⁻¹). These rates reflect a GroEL/GroES reaction cycle of ~5–10 sec and a requirement for multiple cycles. In contrast, for substrates employing transient association with an open GroEL ring to prevent misfolding, only a single round of binding and release from an open ring may be required, with a release rate that might be well above 1 sec⁻¹ (i.e., 60 min⁻¹). For example, studies of the small protein lysozyme showed that binding and release by GroEL, without ATP or GroES, could accelerate its already rapid folding, involving an off-rate of ~2 sec⁻¹ (29). Thus, interaction with the global collective of newly translated proteins seems conceivable. Further studies in vivo may be able to directly observe the spectrum of interacting proteins.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plasmds and Strains.** A pBAD-EL derivative bearing the E461K codon change was produced by PCR mutagenesis and propagation in DH5α at 20°C. After plasmid shuffling to introduce this plasmid in place of pBAD-EL in A190 cells (Fig. 6), the plasmid was directly recovered from the clonally purified 461 strain grown at 20°C, and the GroEL coding region was sequenced. The original E461K codon change was observed, but an additional change was also present, V417G, confirmed by MS analysis of purified 461 protein.

The double-substituted protein was purified and characterized in vivo; its ATPase and refolding behavior were observed to be identical to those of the singly substituted E461K.

**Inclusion Body Preparation.** Five OD₆₀₀ units of bacterial cells were resuspended in 400 μl of 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0)/1 mM EDTA (hightonic buffer), brought to 0.5 mg/ml lysozyme, and incubated for 5 min. MgCl₂ was added to 5 mM, and the mixture was treated with 30 units of DNase I for 5 min. Sonication was then carried out at 4°C. Triton X-100 was added to 0.5%, and the sample was centrifuged at 4°C for 15 min at 14,000 × g. The pellet fraction was washed once with 500 μl of buffer A and solubilized with 450 μl of 10 M urea/100 mM Tris (pH 7.4)/1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine at 20°C for 30 min.

**Rubisco Expression in Wild-Type and 461 Cells.** Wild-type and 461 transformants carrying a second pBR322-based plasmid bearing T7-regulated Rubisco-His₆ and a chloramphenicol drug marker were grown at 20°C to OD₆₀₀ of ~0.5 in media containing 0.2% maltose. Five milliliters of each culture was shifted to 37°C for 15 min, then simultaneously infected with 0.5 ml of CE6 bacteriophage containing a T7 RNA polymerase gene (3.4 × 10⁹ pfu/ml; Novagen, La Jolla, CA) and radiolabeled with 0.5 mCi (1 Ci = 37 GBq) of ³⁵S-Translabel (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). After 1 h the cultures were separated as described in Inclusion Body Preparation into soluble and insoluble fractions. Unlabeled Rubisco-His₆ (40 μg) was added to each fraction as a carrier, and the respective fractions were incubated with 50 μl of Talon resin (BD Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA) to recover the His-tagged Rubisco. The recovered material was fractionated in SDS/PAGE, and the radiolabeled products were quantitated by using a PhosphorImager (GE Healthcare).

**EM and Proteomic Studies.** See Supporting Methods in Supporting Text.
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