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Suppression of boundary-driven Rayleigh streaming has recently been demonstrated for fluids of spatial inhomogeneity in density and compressibility owing to the competition between the boundary-layer-induced streaming stress and the inhomogeneity-induced acoustic body force. To understand the implications of this for acoustofluidic particle handling in the sub-micrometer regime, we here characterize acoustic streaming by general defocusing particle tracking inside a half-wavelength acoustic resonator filled with two miscible aqueous solutions of different density and speed of sound by adjusting the mass fraction of solute molecules. We follow the temporal evolution of the system as the solute molecules become homogenized by diffusion and advection. The acoustic streaming is suppressed in the bulk of the microchannel for 70–200 seconds dependent on the choice of inhomogeneous solutions. From confocal measurements of the concentration field of fluorescently labeled Ficoll solute molecules, we conclude that the temporal evolution of the acoustic streaming depends on the diffusivity and the initial distribution of these molecules. Suppression and deformation of the streaming rolls are observed for inhomogeneities in the solute mass fraction down to 0.1 %.

I. INTRODUCTION

Microfluidics has emerged as a tool to analyze biological particles by their bio-mechanical properties [1–4] and offers high precision in-line sample processing for fast and automated isolation of rare cell populations, such as white blood cell (WBC) sub populations [5, 6], circulating tumor cells [7–9], and controlled high-throughput size fractionation of bio-nanoparticles, such as pathogens [10] and extracellular vesicles [11, 12]. In recent studies acoustofluidics has been highlighted as a label-free method for separation [13, 14] and trapping [15] of sub-micrometer biological particles.

Acoustic manipulation of particles in the sub-micrometer range is challenging due to the presence of acoustic streaming associated with the acoustic field. Acoustic streaming is a steady flow that arises in a fluid medium interacting with sound waves. It has been studied extensively [16–20] because of its important role in thermoacoustics [21, 22], medical ultrasound [23–26], and acoustic levitation [27, 28]. Acoustic streaming has been classified into two categories based on its formation mechanisms. One mechanism is the spatial attenuation of acoustic waves in the bulk of the fluid, which results in a time-averaged net force in the direction of the wave propagation [16, 29]. This type of streaming, called quartz wind or bulk-driven Eckart streaming, is generally observed in large systems where the length scale of wave propagation is much longer than the wavelength. The other mechanism, predominant in systems of a size comparable to the wavelength, such as the system under investigation in this work, is that of acoustic energy dissipation in the viscous boundary layers, where the velocity of the oscillating fluid decays to match the velocity of the boundary [30, 31] of either walls [17, 32, 33] or suspended objects [28, 34–36]. This boundary-driven so-called Rayleigh streaming typically generates a recirculating flow in the bulk.

Rayleigh streaming has been identified as a key limiting factor in standing-wave, acoustic particle manipulation [37–43] because suspended microparticles are subject to both acoustic radiation forces and Stokes drag forces from the acoustic streaming. The relative magnitude of the two forces depends on the microparticle size and the material properties of the particle and the suspended fluid. For microparticles below a critical size, the motion of microparticles is dominated by acoustic streaming, which in many cases hinders the manipulation of sub-micrometer sized particles. Manipulation below the classical limit has previously been demonstrated by flow vortices generated by two-dimensional acoustic fields [44, 45], by acoustically active seed particles [39], by a thin reflector design [46], or in systems actuated by surface acoustic waves [47–49].

Recently, we discovered that an acoustic body force can cause relocation and stabilization of inhomogeneities in fluids of spatially inhomogeneous density and compressibility when subjected to a standing wave field [50–52]. This spurred the development of iso-acoustic focusing, an equilibrium method to measure cell acoustic properties wherein cells migrate in a fluid of gradually increasing acoustic impedance to their points of zero acoustic con-
trast [1]. Furthermore, the acoustic body force caused by a spatial inhomogeneity in density was found to enable efficient suppression of acoustic streaming in the bulk inside a half-wavelength resonator [53]. This finding paves the way for acoustic manipulation, fractionation, and inline sample preparation of sub-micrometer particles of biological relevance such as bacteria, virus and exosomes, as well as trapping of hot plasma in gasses [54].

Here, we extend the study of acoustic streaming to fluids made inhomogeneous in both density and speed of sound by the addition of different solute molecules, and we investigate its evolution in an ultrasound half-wavelength glass-silicon resonator with rectangular cross-section. The suppression of acoustic streaming is mapped for different combinations of gradients in density and speed of sound. The evolution of the acoustic streaming and the molecular concentration field is measured in fluids of different solute molecule concentration and diffusivity by particle-tracking velocimetry and confocal microscopy, respectively. We conclude that the acoustic streaming is strongly dependent on inhomogeneities in the solute mass fraction down to 0.1%.

II. THEORY OF INHOMOGENEOUS ACoustofluidics

In our previous work [51–53], we have presented a continuum theory of the acoustic body force (or force density) $f_{ac}$ as well as of the acoustic streaming and its suppression in an inhomogeneous fluid. In the following, we briefly summarize this theory, but refer the reader to the original papers [51–53] for a full account of the theory. We consider a fluid that is made inhomogeneous by adding solute molecules with dilute-limit diffusivity $D$ and a spatiotemporal dependent mass fraction (concentration) $s = s(r, \tau)$. The physical properties of the resulting solution thus depends on space and time through $s$: Density $\rho_0(s)$, sound speed $c_0(s)$, compressibility $\kappa_0(s) = (\rho_0 c_0^2)^{-1}$, dynamic viscosity $\eta_0(s)$, and bulk viscosity $\eta_b$. Moreover, the solute molecules have an $s$-dependent diffusivity $D(s)$. As discussed in Refs. [51–53], a crucial property of this system, when placed in an ultrasonic field, is the separation of time scales between the fast acoustics $t \sim 0.1 \mu$s and the slow hydrodynamics $\tau \sim 10$ ms. Because $\tau \sim 10^3 t$, the acoustic fields can be computed while keeping the hydrodynamic degrees of freedom fixed at each instance in time $\tau$.

A. Fast-time-scale acoustics

The inhomogeneous solutions is placed an acoustic cavity where an time-harmonic standing acoustic wave is imposed at frequency $f$ and angular frequency $\omega = 2\pi f$. We assume the usual adiabatic case for the first-order pressure field $p_1$, density field $\rho_1$, and velocity field $v_1$ of amplitude $p_{ac}$, $\rho_{ac}$, and $v_{ac}$, respectively. The stress is $\sigma_1 = -p_1 I + \eta_b \left[ \nabla v_1 + (\nabla v_1)^T \right] + (\eta_0 - \frac{3}{2} \eta_b) (\nabla \cdot v_1) I$, where the superscript T indicates tensor transposition. Writing each acoustic field on the form $\rho = \rho_0(r, \tau) + \rho_1(r, \tau) e^{-i\omega t}$, the governing equations become [53],

$$\begin{align*}
-\omega \rho_0 v_1 &= \nabla \cdot \sigma_1, \\
-\omega \kappa_0 p_1 &= -\nabla \cdot v_1, \\
-\omega \rho_0 \kappa_0 p_1 &= -\omega \rho_1 + v_1 \cdot \nabla \rho_0.
\end{align*}$$

B. The acoustic body force

As we have shown in Ref. [51], the acoustic fields acting on the short time scale $t$ give rise to an acoustic body force $f_{ac}$ acting on the inhomogeneous fluid on the slow time scale $\tau$. This body force is derived from the nonzero divergence in the time-averaged (over one oscillation period $2\pi/\omega$) acoustic momentum-flux-density tensor $\langle \Pi \rangle$,

$$f_{ac} = -\nabla \cdot \langle \Pi \rangle. \quad (2)$$

The second-order quantity $\langle \Pi \rangle$ is given by products of the first-order acoustic fields $p_1$ and $v_1$ [55],

$$\langle \Pi \rangle = \langle p_2 \rangle I + \langle \rho_0 v_1 \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where the second-order mean Eulerian excess pressure $\langle p_2 \rangle$ takes the form

$$\langle p_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \kappa_0 |p_1|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \rho_0 |v_1|^2. \quad (4)$$

The acoustic body force $f_{ac}$ was derived on the slow hydrodynamic time scale $\tau$ in Ref. [51] from the divergence of the time-averaged acoustic momentum-flux-density tensor induced by continuous spatial variations in the fluid density $\rho_0$ and compressibility $\kappa_0$, or equivalently in density $\rho_0$ and sound speed $c_0$.

$$f_{ac} = -\frac{1}{4} |p_1|^2 \nabla \kappa_0 - \frac{1}{4} |v_1|^2 \nabla \rho_0 + \frac{1}{4} (\kappa_0 |p_1|^2 - \rho_0 |v_1|^2) \nabla \rho_0 \rho_0 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_0 |p_1|^2 \nabla c_0 \rho_0. \quad (5a)$$

C. Slow-time-scale dynamics

The dynamics on the slow time scale $\tau$ is governed by the momentum- and mass-continuity equations for the fluid velocity $v(r, \tau)$ and pressure $p(r, \tau)$, and by the advection-diffusion equation for the mass fraction (concentration) $s(r, \tau)$ of the solute with diffusivity $D$, [51]

$$\begin{align*}
\partial_\tau (\rho_0 v) &= \nabla \cdot [\sigma - \rho_0 vv] + f_{ac} + \rho_0 g, \quad (6a) \\
\partial_\tau \rho_0 &= -\nabla \cdot (\rho_0 v), \quad (6b) \\
\partial_\tau s &= -\nabla \cdot [-D \nabla s + vs]. \quad (6c)
\end{align*}$$

Here, $g$ is the gravitational acceleration, $\sigma$ is the fluid stress tensor, and $f_{ac}$ is the acoustic body force.
D. Boundary-driven acoustic streaming

The above slow-time-scale velocity field $v$ comprises the acoustic streaming in the general inhomogeneous case, which is the main focus of this work. However, as the inhomogeneity in our system is smeared out by diffusion as time passes, it is helpful to be reminded of the streaming flow in an homogeneous systems. This problem was solved analytically by Lord Rayleigh [30] for an infinite parallel-plate channel of height $H$ with its two plates placed symmetrically around the $x$-$y$ plane at $z = \pm \frac{1}{2} H$ and with the imposed first-order standing-wave acoustic fields with wavelength $\lambda$ and wave number $k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$ along the $y$ direction $e_y$: $p_1(y) = p_{ac} \sin(ky)e^{-i\omega t}$ and $v_1 = v_{ac} \cos(ky)e^{-i\omega t} e_y$. In the case of $\lambda \gg H \gg \delta$, where $\delta = \sqrt{2\gamma \rho_0/(\rho_0 \omega)}$ is the thickness of the viscous boundary layer, Rayleigh found the time-averaged components $\langle v_{2y} \rangle$ and $\langle v_{2z} \rangle$ of the second order fluid velocity $\langle v_2(y,z) \rangle$ outside the viscous boundary layer to be

$$\langle v_{2y} \rangle = \frac{3}{8} \frac{v_{ac}^2}{\epsilon_0} \sin(2ky) \left[ 1 - 3\frac{(2z)^2}{H^2} \right] \frac{1}{2}, \quad (7a)$$

$$\langle v_{2z} \rangle = \frac{3}{8} \frac{v_{ac}^2}{\epsilon_0} \cos(2ky) \left[ \frac{2z}{H} - \frac{(2z)^3}{H^3} \right] kH \frac{1}{2}. \quad (7b)$$

For an analytical solution in a closed rectangular channel, see Ref. [33]. In any case, the amplitudes $p_{ac}$ and $v_{ac}$ are related to each other and the acoustic energy density $E_{ac}$,

$$E_{ac} = \frac{1}{4} \rho_0 v_{ac}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \kappa_0 p_{ac}^2. \quad (8)$$

E. Numerical simulations of the system

In our previous work [51–53], we have presented how to carry out numerical simulations of acoustofluidics in an inhomogeneous fluid. In the following, we briefly summarize this method, but refer the reader to the original papers [51–53] for a full account, and to Ref. [20] for a specific script simulating transient acoustofluidics. The dynamics in the 2D channel cross-section is solved numerically, under stop-flow conditions with the initial conditions described in Section IV B, using a weak-form finite-element implementation in COMSOL Multiphysics [56] with regular rectangular mesh elements. A segregated solver solves the time-dependent problem in two steps: (i) The fast-timescale acoustics Eq. (1) in the inhomogeneous medium is solved while keeping the hydrodynamic degrees of freedom fixed. This allows computation of the time-averaged acoustic body force $f_{ac}$ Eq. (5). (ii) The slow-timescale dynamics Eq. (6) is then integrated in time $\tau$ while keeping the acoustic energy density fixed at $E_{ac} = 52 \text{ Pa}$ [57]. This implementation extends our previous one limited to iodixanol solutions [53] by allowing for $\nabla \epsilon_0 \neq 0$ and an $s$-dependent diffusivity $D(s)$.

III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Experimental setup and materials

The silicon chip consists of a straight channel of length $L = 24 \text{ mm}$, width $W = 375 \text{ nm}$, and height $H = 133 \mu\text{m}$ as sketched in Fig. 1. The chip was sealed by a Pyrex lid of thickness 1 mm using anodic bonding, and an 18 mm $\times$ 6.4 mm $\times$ 1.0 mm lead zirconate titanate (PZT) transducer (PZT26, Ferroperm Piezoceramics, Denmark) was bonded underneath using cyanoacrylate glue (Loctite Super Glue, Henkel Norden AB, Stockholm, Sweden). At the point of injection, three streams are present in the trifurcated configuration of which the two side streams are routed via a common port while the center stream has a separate port. At the end of the main channel the outlet has the same trifurcated configuration as the inlet. Pieces of silicone tubing (outer diameter 3 mm, inner diameter 1 mm, and length 7 mm) were glued to the chip inlets and outlets. The inlet flow streams were routed via a motorized four-port two-way diagonal valve so that the flow could be stopped by a short-circuit of the side inlets with the center inlet stream, and the outlet stream was routed via four-port two-way solenoid valve. The inlets were used for injecting two different liquids, whereas only one outlet was used for collecting the waste, while the other outlet was blocked during all measurements. A Pt100 thermoresistive element was bonded to the PZT transducer to
record the temperature.

The PZT transducer was driven by a function generator (AFG3022B, Tektronix, Inc., Beaverton, Oregon, USA), and the waveforms of the applied voltages to the transducer were monitored by an oscilloscope (TDS1002, Tektronix, Inc., Beaverton, Oregon, USA). The liquids were injected to the channel using syringe pumps (neMESYS, Cetoni GmbH, Korbussen, Germany) with flow rates controlled by a computer interface.

The main density and speed-of-sound modifier used for this study was Ficoll (PM70 and PM400, GE Healthcare Biosciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden), of different average molecular mass (PM70: 70,000 mol wt; PM400: 400,000 mol wt). The Ficoll was dissolved in Milli-Q water to different mass fractions. The density and speed of sound of all the solutions were measured using a density and sound velocity meter (DSA 5000 M, Anton Paar GmbH, Graz, Austria), and the viscosities were measured by a falling ball micro viscometer (MINIVIS II, AMETEK Grabner Instruments, Vienna, Austria). We used the nine different solution combinations listed in Table I to create inhomogeneous acoustofluidics.

The measured material parameters of the Ficoll PM70 and Ficoll PM400 solutions used in the experiments at temperature \( T = 25 \, ^\circ \text{C} \) are given in the Supplemental Material [58]. The resulting fitting expressions for these parameters are listed in Table II.

### Table I. Specification of the nine inhomogeneous solutions S1 – S9 used in the experiments. The excess density \( \rho^* \) and speed of sound \( c^* \) are defined in Eq. (9). PBS is phosphate-buffered saline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Center inlet</th>
<th>Side inlet</th>
<th>( \rho^* ) (%)</th>
<th>( c^* ) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>5% Ficoll PM400</td>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>10% Ficoll PM70</td>
<td>6.38% iodixanol</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>15% iodixanol</td>
<td>10% Ficoll PM70</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>-2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>10% Ficoll PM400</td>
<td>Milli-Q water</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>5% Ficoll PM400</td>
<td>Milli-Q water</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>1% Ficoll PM400</td>
<td>Milli-Q water</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>10% Ficoll PM70</td>
<td>Milli-Q water</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>5% Ficoll PM70</td>
<td>Milli-Q water</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>1% Ficoll PM70</td>
<td>Milli-Q water</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II. The measured density \( \rho_0(s) \), sound speed \( c_0(s) \), and viscosity \( \eta_0(s) \), obtained as described in Section III A, as well as diffusivity \( D(s) \), see Section IV A, for homogeneous Ficoll-Milli-Q solutions as a function of the solute mass fraction (concentration) \( s \) the interval \( 0 < s < 0.1 \). The fits are based on 9 (for \( D \) only 3) values of \( s \) in that interval.

**Ficoll PM70**

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_0(s) &= (1 + 0.349 s) \times 996.85 \, \text{kg m}^{-3} \\
c_0(s) &= (1 + 0.167 s) \times 1496.30 \, \text{m s}^{-1} \\
\eta_0(s) &= \exp(10.82 s) \times 0.893 \, \text{mPa s} \\
D(s) &= (1 - 5.51 s + 23.0 s^2) \times 1.21 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \\
\end{align*}
\]

**Ficoll PM400**

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_0(s) &= (1 + 0.348 s) \times 996.91 \, \text{kg m}^{-3} \\
c_0(s) &= (1 + 0.164 s) \times 1496.50 \, \text{m s}^{-1} \\
\eta_0(s) &= \exp(16.20 s) \times 0.893 \, \text{mPa s} \\
D(s) &= (1 - 10.3 s + 56.0 s^2) \times 1.15 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \\
\end{align*}
\]

The GDPT tracer particles in the solutions were suspensions of fluorescent green polystyrene beads with a nominal diameter of 0.49 \( \mu \text{m} \) (Molecular Probes, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The images for the GDPT analysis of the tracer particle motion in the microchip were recorded using a CMOS camera (ORCA-Flash4.0 V3, Hamamatsu Photonics K.K., Japan) mounted on an epi-fluorescence microscope (BX51WI, Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). An objective lens with 10x magnification and 0.3 numerical aperture was used and a cylindrical lens with a focal length of 300 mm was placed between the camera and the objective at a distance of 20 mm in front of the camera. This configuration provided a measurement volume of \( 1.31 \times 1.52 \times 0.15 \, \text{mm}^3 \). Blue light fluorescent excitation light was provided by a double-wavelength LED unit (pE-300 ultra, CoolLED Ltd., UK) with a peak wavelength of 488 nm. A standard fluorescence filter cube was used with an excitation pass-band from 460 nm to 490 nm and a high-pass emission filter at 520 nm.

Before performing a GPDT measurement, a stack of calibration images was obtained with an interval of 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) in the depth coordinate by moving a motorized z-stage (MFD, Mährhäuser, Wetzelar GmbH & Co. KG, Wetzelar, Germany) equipped on the microscope. Then, the height of the stage was fixed and the motion of the particles was recorded. The image acquisition was performed with an exposure time of 90 ms and a frame rate of 10 fps. The acquired images were analyzed in GDPTlab by performing normalized cross-correlation and comparing the acquired images with the calibration stack. Because the channel is filled with liquid, the values of liquid refractive indices are required for calculating the true particle position in \( z \)-coordinate, which were measured using an automatic refractometer (AbbeMat MW, Anton Paar GmbH, Graz, Austria). The mean value of the refractive indices of the two liquids injected to the channel was used for particle tracking, which gives a maximum error of 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) in the
A laminated flow of two liquids was injected to the channel to form a concentration gradient with a flow rate of 100 µL/min and a volumetric ratio near unity, see Fig. 1. Before and during the measurements, the transducer was actuated by a linear frequency sweep from 1.95 to 2.05 MHz in cycles of 1 ms to produce a standing half-wave across the width [61]. The frequency sweep covers the identified resonance frequencies at 1.96 MHz for pure water and 1.97 MHz for 10% Ficoll PM400 and ensures steady actuation throughout the experiment during the time-evolution of the concentration field. The applied voltages (ranging from 1.59 to 1.67 V peak-to-peak) were adjusted for each injection of fluids to maintain the same acoustic energy density $E_{ac} \approx 52$ Pa in the channel. For the inhomogeneous situation with three liquid layers, we estimated $E_{ac} = \frac{1}{2}(E_{ac}^{ctr} + E_{ac}^{side})$, where $E_{ac}^{ctr}$ and $E_{ac}^{side}$ in the center and side layers were measured in their respective homogeneous states by tracking individual polystyrene beads with a nominal diameter of 6.33 µm (PPF-6052, Kisker Biotech GmbH & Co. KG, Steinfurt, Germany) [62]. At time $\tau = 0$, the flow was stopped, and the images for the GDPT measurements were recorded. The instantaneous stop of the flow in the channel was performed by short-circuit of the two inlets by switching the four-port valve, which stops the flows and equilibrates the pressures of the two inlet streams, see Fig. 2. For each set of measurements, the particle motion was recorded for 200 s to observe the evolution of the acoustic streaming. Each measurement was repeated at least 16 times to improve the statistics.

The evolution of the concentration gradient in the channel was mapped by confocal microscopy (Fluoview 300, Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) in the $x$-$y$ plane at the mid-height of the channel ($z = 0$). The same objective lens as in the streaming measurement was used and a scan rate of 0.89 s$^{-1}$ was chosen, which provided a measurement area of 658 µm $\times$ 385 µm. To trace the Ficoll concentration fields fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled Ficoll (Polysucrose 70- and Polysucrose 400-fluorescein isothiocyanate conjugate, Sigma-Aldrich Sweden AB, Stockholm, Sweden) were added to the solutions in amounts ranging from 0.10 % to 0.16 %. Before the measurement of the concentration gradient a background image was recorded when no fluorescent molecules were present in the channel. A linear decay of the intensity of the fluorescence signal emitted from FITC-labeled Ficoll solutions with the decreasing concentration was confirmed. After exciting the sound field, the two liquids were laminated in the channel by infusing them with a total flow rate of 100 µL/min, and it therefore took them $\sim 1$ s to reach the observation region which is 10 mm downstream from the trifurcation inlet. The acoustic energy density, the flow rate, and the volumetric ratio were the same as those in the streaming measurements. The image acquisition started at $\tau = 5$ s after stopping the flow, and it continued until $\tau = 195$ s in intervals of 10 s. Each measurement was repeated three times.

### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Time evolution of concentration fields

The acoustofluidics of the inhomogeneous system is governed by the time evolution of the molecular concentration field $s$. By adding fluorescently tagged Ficoll molecules to the center flow stream, we studied this evolution by confocal microscopy. For a given solution combination injected, confocal $x$-$y$ scans were recorded at mid height ($z = 0$). In Fig. 3 is shown examples of such scans for solution S4 (10% PM400 and Milli-Q) of Table I recorded at $\tau = 5, 55, \text{and} 195$ s. From the fluorescence intensity, we could infer $\rho_0$ and $c_0$ at different locations in the channel through a calibration curve using known concentrations $s$. The concentration gradient was quantified by measuring the intensity profile across
FIG. 3. Confocal images in the horizontal $x$-$y$ plane taken of solution S4 with acoustics on at (a) $\tau = 5$ s, (b) $\tau = 55$ s, and (c) $\tau = 195$ s. The yellow lines indicate the locations where $s_{\text{cnt}}$ and $s_{\text{side}}$ are measured, which are then used to determine $\hat{\rho}_s$, $\hat{c}_s$, and $\hat{s}$, see Eqs. (9) and (10).

the channel width, which shows that the concentration field evolves from a steep box-shaped distribution at early times to a progressively more flat distribution at later times, see Fig. 4. Since the measurement plane is placed at $z = 0$, away from the streaming rolls compressed near the top and bottom boundaries, the evolution of the concentration field is governed purely by diffusion free from advection at early times. With this assumption the diffusivity $D$ of each solution can be extracted from the concentration profile $s$ at early times by a simple numerical model of molecular diffusion in 1D in the transverse $y$ direction with zero-flux boundary conditions at the walls $y = \pm \frac{1}{2}W$. It should be noted that the diffusivity $D$ is measured in the presence of the ultrasound field, which might be different from the situation without ultrasound owing to the barodiffusion [55] and the possible interaction between Ficoll molecules and the sound waves. The fitted, estimated expression for $D$ as a function of the solute concentration $s$ is listed in Table II.

B. Streaming in inhomogeneous solutions

With the nine different solutions S1–S9 of Table I and the general theory summarized in Section II, we are now in a position for a detailed study of the acoustic streaming in inhomogeneous solutions. We quantify the magnitude of a given inhomogeneity by the excess mass density $\hat{\rho}_s$ and the excess speed of sound $\hat{c}_s$, based on local values in the center and in the side of the channel, see Fig. 3(b),

$$
\hat{\rho}_s = \frac{\rho_{\text{cnt}}}{\rho_{\text{side}}} - 1, \quad \hat{c}_s = \frac{c_{\text{cnt}}}{c_{\text{side}}} - 1.
$$

FIG. 4. The time evolution with acoustics present of the concentration profile for solution S4 with FITC-labelled Ficoll molecules deduced from recordings as shown in Fig. 3.

FIG. 5. The particle positions (blue points) in the vertical $y$-$z$ cross section of width $W = 375 \, \mu m$ and height $H = 133 \, \mu m$ overlaid from 100 frames between $\tau = 20$ s and 30 s for the inhomogeneous solutions S1, S2, S3, and S4 listed in Table I. The color plot represents the concentration of the solute molecules from low (dark) to high (white).
We begin by studying solutions S1–S4 that, as can be seen from Table I, are chosen for their specific dependencies of $\rho_s$ and $\hat{c}_s$: S1 depends only on $\rho_s$, S2 only on $\hat{c}_s$, S3 on both with opposite sign, and S4 on both with the same sign. Moreover, in all four cases, the center liquid was chosen so as to be stabilized by the acoustic body force acting on the inhomogeneous fluid, which avoided undesirable particle motion due to relocation of the two liquids [50]. The overlaid particle positions from $\tau = 20$ to $30$ s in different gradients for S1–S4 are shown in Fig. 5. It is seen that streaming is suppressed in the bulk for all four solutions and is only manifested by flat streaming rolls located near the top and bottom walls at $z = \pm \frac{1}{2}H$, in full agreement with our previous findings for an iodixanol solution with only density dependency and no sound speed dependency [53]. All streaming patterns are similar, exhibiting four vortices with no apparent symmetry around the vortex centers and having a larger width close to the center $y = 0$ than to the side walls at $y = \pm \frac{1}{2}W$.

The asymmetry in the streaming rolls can be explained by the evolution of the concentration field $s(r, \tau)$ near the top and the bottom walls at early times. Initially by construction, $s$ exhibits a steep, nearly vertical box-like distribution, and the acoustic body force $f_{ac}$ stabilizes the fluid in the center stream and prevents advective recirculation in the bulk [52]. However, near the top and bottom walls, the compressed streaming flow transports the center solution towards the sides, causing wedges with nearly $45^\circ$ slopes to form in the concentration field $s$ at regions near $y = 0$ and $z = \pm \frac{1}{2}H$. Because $f_{ac}$ is parallel to the concentration gradient, the wedges led to a weaker $f_{ac}$ in the horizontal direction and therefore the streaming rolls have larger curvature near the center of the channel. These wedges are difficult to resolve experimentally, so to confirm the above hypothesis, we performed a numerical simulation of the evolution of the concentration field and the streaming field using COMSOL Multiphysics as described in Section II E. In Fig. 6 we show the results of such a simulation for solution S4 at time $\tau = 25$ s after injection in the device having a transverse standing half-wave present as in the experiment. The wedge shape in the concentration field is clearly seen in the transition form high (white) to low (blue) concentration near the top center and bottom center of the cross section. Moreover, the simulated particle motion show the observed asymmetric vortices being broader near the center $y \approx 0$ than compared to the sides at $y \approx \pm \frac{1}{2}W$. In the Supplemental Material [63] we have placed movies showing the time evolution for $0 < \tau < 40$ s of which Fig. 6 is the single frame at $\tau = 25$ s.

C. Time evolution of the streaming suppression

To follow the time evolution and final breakdown of
The time evolution of the streaming flow is characterized by diffusion at early times, whereas the advection due to the streaming plays a minor role. When reaching a critically weak inhomogeneity, the streaming rolls have grown sufficiently so that advection starts to play a more important role. A transition occurs, after which the rate of change of $\Delta/\Delta_{\text{hom}}$ is increased, since the inhomogeneity now is weakened by both diffusion and advection. This transition occurs at earlier times if the initial concentration of Ficoll is lower for two reasons: The diffusivity is larger, see Table II, and the initial solution gradients are weaker, resulting in a smaller $f_{ac}$. Hence, at a given time $\tau$, the transition occurs earlier and the rate of change of $\Delta/\Delta_{\text{hom}}$ is larger for a lower initial Ficoll concentration compared to a higher one. For all concentrations, the streaming rolls expand from the walls into the bulk as the inhomogeneity is smeared out, and finally they become the same as for homogeneous streaming, indicated by $\Delta/\Delta_{\text{hom}} \approx 1$ at late times in Fig. 8(a).

The time evolution also depends on the mass of the molecules that cause the inhomogeneity, which affects the diffusivity. In Fig. 8(b) is shown the streaming roll evolution for Ficoll PM400, which has 5.7 times larger mass and 25 % lower diffusivity (at $s = 0.1$) compared to Ficoll PM70 in Fig. 8(a). The rate of change of $\Delta/\Delta_{\text{hom}}$ is lower and the transition point between diffusion and advection-diffusion-dominated regimes are shifted to later times for all initial concentrations. Before the transition occurs, the particles in the bulk only experience acoustic radiation force without the competition with streaming-induced Stokes drag force. In addition, the duration of the diffusion-dominated regime can be controlled by selecting appropriate gradients, providing sufficient time to move small particles by acoustic radiation force. This feature in inhomogeneous medium breaks the small-size barrier in acoustophoresis, which will enable the manipulation of sub-micrometer particles.

To further validate that the evolution of $\Delta$ is dominated by diffusion at early times, we plot in Fig. 9 $\Delta/\Delta_{\text{hom}}$ versus the rescaled time $\tau/\tau_{\text{diff}}$, where $\tau_{\text{diff}} = (\frac{1}{4}W)^2/(2D)$ is the diffusion time for the given solute molecule, for Ficoll solutions S4–S9 from Fig. 8. The green line indicates the early time diffusion-dominated dynamics, while the brown line indicate the late time advection-diffusion-dominated dynamics. The two 1% solutions have been shifted 0.9 $\tau_{\text{diff}}$, see the text.
six data sets is observed for $\tau \lesssim 2\tau_{\text{diff}}$. For $\tau \approx 2\tau_{\text{diff}}$ the previously described transition to the advection-diffusion regime occurs, and for $\tau \gtrsim 2\tau_{\text{diff}}$ the collapse is not as good, as the advection part does not scale with the diffusion time. In Fig. 9 we see a higher rate of change and a larger spread in the data points after the transition. As $f_{\text{ac}}$ is weak in the two 1% solutions, advection plays a role from the beginning. Time zero is therefore ill-defined, and consequently, we have shifted these two data sets by 0.9$\tau_{\text{diff}}$ in time to make the transition point coincide with that of the four 5% and 10% solutions.

In a final analysis, we tie the evolution of the normalized vortex size $\Delta/\Delta_{\text{hom}}$ directly with the underlying concentration difference between the center and the sides of the sample. By a first-order Taylor expansion of the inhomogeneous density $\rho_0(s)$ and sound speed $c_0(s)$, we define the normalized concentration difference $\hat{s}_*$ as

$$\hat{s}_* = \hat{\rho}_s \frac{\rho_0(0)}{\rho_0(0)} = \hat{c}_s \frac{c_0(0)}{c_0(0)}.$$  

(10)

In Fig. 10 we plot $\Delta/\Delta_{\text{hom}}$ for all six Ficoll-Milli-Q solutions S4–S9 of Table I as a function of $\hat{s}_*$ on thus only implicit of time $\tau$. We observe that all the six data sets fall on a single curve that increases as $s$ decreases, giving strong support to the hypothesis that the suppression of the acoustic streaming in the bulk is governed by $f_{\text{ac}}$ resulting from the concentration profile $s$. We see that streaming is efficiently suppressed for $\hat{s}_* > 0.01$, where the flow roll size $\Delta$ is less than 30% of the homogeneous size $\Delta_{\text{hom}}$.

V. CONCLUSION

The efficient suppression of acoustic streaming in inhomogeneous media presents opportunities for in-line, label-free filters to align or separate sub-micrometer particles by acoustic radiation forces. In this paper, we investigated experimentally acoustic streaming, in a half-wavelength resonator, for aqueous solutions that was made spatially inhomogeneous in density and compressibility by a solute concentration gradient. The results show that acoustic streaming patterns are very sensitive to such inhomogeneities. Acoustic streaming in the bulk of inhomogeneous fluids is suppressed by confinement of the recirculating streaming rolls near the boundaries parallel to the direction of sound propagation. As corroborated by numerical simulations, this suppression is caused by an inhomogeneity-induced acoustic body force $f_{\text{ac}}$. The suppressed streaming rolls exhibit an asymmetry pattern due to a local streaming-induced deformation of the molecular concentration field near the walls where streaming is generated. The streaming rolls grow over time due primarily to diffusion, but for late times advection play an important role as $f_{\text{ac}}$ vanishes and the system becomes homogeneous. For Ficoll solutions, $f_{\text{ac}}$ decays steadily over a time span of 100 to 200 s, and $\Delta < 0.3\Delta_{\text{hom}}$ was detected for inhomogeneities in the Ficoll mass fraction above as little as 1%.

Our findings indicate that streaming-free particle separation can be carried out in the bulk of the acoustic resonator during several seconds by adding just 1% mass fraction of Ficoll molecules to the central inlet fluid stream. We see a clear potential for this type of acoustic streaming suppression to enable acoustic manipulation, enrichment, and fractionation of particles in the sub-micrometer range by acoustophoresis.
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