Nanoporous Carbon: Liquid-Free Synthesis and Geometry-Dependent Catalytic Performance
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ABSTRACT: Nanostructured carbons with different pore geometries are prepared with a liquid-free nanocasting method. The method uses gases instead of liquid to disperse carbon precursors, leach templates, and remove impurities, minimizing synthetic procedures and the use of chemicals. The method is universal and demonstrated by the synthesis of 12 different porous carbons with various template sources. The effects of pore geometries in catalysis can be isolated and investigated. Two of the resulted materials with different pore geometries are studied as supports for Ru clusters in the hydrogenolysis of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) and electrochemical hydrogen evolution (HER). The porous carbon-supported Ru catalysts outperform commercial ones in both reactions. It was found that Ru on bottleneck pore carbon shows a highest yield in hydrogenolysis of HMF to 2,5-dimethylfuran (DMF) due to a better confinement effect. A wide temperature operation window from 110 to 140 °C, with over 75% yield and 98% selectivity of DMF, has been achieved. Tubular pores enable fast charge transfer in electrochemical HER, requiring only 16 mV overpotential to reach current density of 10 mA cm⁻².
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Substituting fossil feedstocks with renewable ones calls for efficient processes that convert biomass and green electricity to fuels and chemicals.¹,² This requires the development of effective catalytic materials beyond the ones that are currently used for petrochemicals.³,⁴ The key is to understand (i) the catalytic active-site structure, (ii) the support–active-site chemical interaction, and (iii) the local physical environment of the active site. While the former two are widely studied, the effect of local physical environment, such as pore geometry, has yet to be fully explored and optimized independently. Such an environment strongly influences the catalytic processes, determines the mass transfer efficiency, and provides confinement effects for active species.²⁻⁵

Nanocasting with hard templates is a well-known method to prepare porous materials. It has been applied to make porous carbon, an important class of materials widely used as supercapacitors,⁶,⁷ effective cathode materials for lithium–sulfur batteries,⁸ as well as heterogeneous catalysts.⁹–¹⁶ In order to study the effects of pore geometries in these carbon materials, precise control of different pore structures via strictly identical synthetic procedures is needed. The synthetic steps and use of chemicals must be minimized to reduce the fluctuation of the chemical properties of the porous materials. However, these are difficult to achieve with the current nanocasting method via wet chemistry, which requires multistep processing, frequent solid–liquid separation, and long operation cycles.¹⁷–²⁰ The low efficiency of complex procedures and the unavoidable large-scale liquid waste containing environmentally unfriendly HF²¹–²³ or NaOH²⁴ provide the author and source are cited.
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also limit the potential application of these carbon materials in industrial energy conversion.

In this work, we report a liquid-free synthesis of porous carbon support that leads to carbon materials with the same chemical property but different pore geometry. The synthesis follows hard-templating principles in which the creation of pores stems from an inverse replica of a porous silica template.\textsuperscript{25–27} Uniform pore structure can therefore be achieved. Compared to the conventional method, this liquid-free synthesis uses gas for dispersing the carbon precursor, leaching templates, and removing impurities. The synthesis contains only two steps with two chemicals, which is significantly simplified compared with traditional methods. First, chemical vapor deposition (CVD) of ferrocene over a porous SiO\textsubscript{2} template forms a SiO\textsubscript{2}@Fe—carbon composite. Second, porous carbon that is a direct reverse replica of the SiO\textsubscript{2} template is obtained by heating the composite with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), in which SiO\textsubscript{2} is completely leached into gaseous SiF\textsubscript{4} (Figure 1a). The simple and liquid-free operations minimize any chemical differences of the obtained porous carbons.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Ferrocene is used as the carbon source owing to its low sublimation temperature (100 °C) and high carbon-to-hydrogen ratio that provides good carbon yield.\textsuperscript{28} The presence of Fe also catalyzes the carbonization.\textsuperscript{29} SiO\textsubscript{2}@m-SiO\textsubscript{2} and SBA-15 are used as templates to form porous carbons with bottleneck and tubular pores, respectively. The bottleneck pore origins from the use of octadecyltrimethoxysilane\textsuperscript{30} in the ethanol—water mixture as the structure-directing agent, while that of the tubular pore stems from the use of Pluronic P123 with the presence of HCl (Figures 1b–e and S2a,b). The pore size of the SiO\textsubscript{2}@m-SiO\textsubscript{2} and SBA-15 are 3.5 and 4.9 nm, respectively, while the size of the ferrocene molecule is 0.40 nm. The small ferrocene size ensures its diffusion within the pores in both systems. During the pyrolysis step, the ferrocene is oxidized to ferricenium. The strong interaction between the ferricenium and the silanol groups on the intersurface of porous silica leads to the uniformly distribution of pyrolytic carbon clusters on the pore walls of silica templates.\textsuperscript{32} Thus, the carbon replica of SiO\textsubscript{2}@m-SiO\textsubscript{2} and SBA-15 can be obtained.

During CVD, ferrocene vapor penetrates the pore systems of the templates and simultaneously decomposes into carbon and iron (Figure. 1f,g). The Fe/C-SiO\textsubscript{2}@m-SiO\textsubscript{2} composite maintains the spherical morphology of the SiO\textsubscript{2}@m-SiO\textsubscript{2} templates, while the tubular pore structures are still visible in the Fe/C-SBA-15 composite (Figures 1f,g and S2c,d). This indicates that ferrocene CVD does not change or affect the structure of the SiO\textsubscript{2} template. A comparison of N\textsubscript{2} physisorption before and after CVD shows the successful carbon filling of the micropore and mesopore channels in the SiO\textsubscript{2} templates (Figure S3). FeO\textsubscript{x} nanoparticles are found in the composite (Figures 1f,g and S4). The presence of FeO\textsubscript{x} nanoparticles is important as they catalyze the carbonization
reaction and increase the carbon yield. The oxidation state of Fe is between Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$ according to X-ray absorption spectroscopy fine structure (XAFS) (Figure S5a,c) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (Figure S5e). The pore-filling degree can be calculated by the differences in pore volume (PV) before and after CVD. The filling degrees for SiO$_2@m$-SiO$_2$ and SBA-15 templates are 40% and 54%, respectively (Tables S1). The high filling degree in SBA-15 is due to the tubular channel that boosts the mass transfer during CVD.

The composites are physically mixed with PTFE powder and heated at 900 °C under N$_2$ in order to leach the SiO$_2$ (Figure S6). PTFE first decomposes into several gaseous carbon fluoride compounds which subsequently react with SiO$_2$, forming CO and SiF$_4$. The overall reaction is

$$0.5nSiO_2 + (CE)_m \rightarrow 0.5nSiF_4 \uparrow + mCO\uparrow$$

This reaction forms only gaseous products and leaves carbon untouched, providing instant separation of carbon during leaching. SiF$_4$ is then reacted with CaCl$_2$ postsynthesis, forming CaF$_2$ and HCl. C-SiO$_2@m$-SiO$_2$ is spherical with hollow voids originating from the solid core in SiO$_2@m$-SiO$_2$. The void diameter is $8 \pm 8$ nm and shell thickness $40 \pm 3$ nm match those of the SiO$_2@m$-SiO$_2$, showing the perfect replica of the original template (Figures 1h and S2e). The ordered tubular pore structure is obtained in C-SBA15 after leaching at 900 °C, suggesting very good thermal and chemical stability (Figure 1i). Fe nanoparticles further catalyze the carbon graphitization during PTFE leaching, as indicated in the large graphitic domain in the Raman spectroscopy of C-SiO$_2@m$-SiO$_2$ (Figure S7). In comparison, a smaller graphitic domain is obtained when Fe is removed by HCl prior to the PTFE leaching. After PTFE leaching, Fe nanoparticles cannot be found in the TEM images for both carbons (Figures 1h,i and S2e,f). The XPS and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis after PTFE leaching show no obvious presence of Fe (Figures S5 and S8). The XAFS spectra indicates the initial FeO$_x$ are reduced to metallic Fe nanoparticles in both carbons (Figure S5b,d). The Fe content is further analyzed by microwave plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (MP-AES), giving 0.97 and 0.42 wt % of Fe for C-SiO$_2@m$-SiO$_2$ and C-SBA-15, respectively (Table S2), which are much smaller than the 30 wt % Fe content in the ferrocene precursor. This indicates the separation between carbon and in situ formed Fe nanoparticles during the PTFE leaching at 900 °C. The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) shows 93 and 98 wt % weight loss for C-SiO$_2@m$-SiO$_2$ and C-SBA-15 at 800 °C, respectively (Figure S9). Considering that Fe$_2$O$_3$ and SiO$_2$ are the major components in the TGA residue, the calculated SiO$_2$ contents are 5.6 and 1.4 wt % for C-SiO$_2@m$-SiO$_2$ and C-SBA-15, respectively. The pure carbon material C-SBA-15 is obtained (Figure S10b).

**Pore Structure–Activity Relationship in HMF Hydrogenolysis.** PtCo has been identified as the benchmark for HMF to DMF conversion, suggesting an economical route to produce biofuel (DMF) from a cellulose-derived platform chemical (HMF). Ru is selected as a less expensive alternative to Pt and is loaded into the pore system of the porous carbon via wetness impregnation. Before being loaded with Ru, the C-SiO$_2@m$-SiO$_2$ and C-SBA-15 are washed with HCl solution to remove the residue of Fe and minimize any catalytic influences from those residues (Figure S11). High-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission microscopy (HAADF-STEM) reveals ultrasmall Ru clusters at 1.0 ± 0.2 and 0.9 ± 0.2 nm, evenly distributed through C-SiO$_2@m$-SiO$_2$ and C-SBA-15 support, respectively (Figure 3a,b). Such small clusters have different electronic structures and surface energy compared to that of the nanoparticles (>2 nm), leading to different catalytic behaviors. Therefore, it is very important to avoid the growth of Ru clusters into nanoparticles during catalysis. The surface of Ru clusters on both carbon supports is in the oxidized form, as confirmed by the RuO$_x$ signal in the XPS (Figures S12a,c). The carbon XPS of Ru/C-SiO$_2@m$-SiO$_2$ and Ru/C-SBA-15 also give very similar spectra. The fluorine 1s signal is found in the XPS full elements scan (Figure S11). The binding energy of the F 1s peak is between 686.5 and 687.5 eV. This is in a good agreement with the reported values of fluorinated graphite oxide (687.0 eV) and different from that of PTFE (689.6 eV). The Ru contents, determined by MP-AES element analysis, are 7.52 and 7.46 wt % for Ru/C-SiO$_2@m$-SiO$_2$ and Ru/C-SBA-15, respectively (Table S2). The Fe residues further reduce to 0.24 and 0.09 wt %, respectively. We, therefore conclude that initial Ru clusters in both supports are identical to each other, while the Ru–carbon interactions are also the same. The catalytic properties of
species such as BHMF and 5-MF. The 5-HMF hydrolysis was due to the incomplete conversion of intermediate selectivity toward DMF using Ru/C-SBA-15 and commercial more than 98% selectivity. The DMF yields using Ru/C-SBA-15 and Ru/C-commercial are marked in purple and green, respectively. Avoid ring hydrogenation and humin formation. Ru/C-SiO2@m-SiO2 is studied (red), DMF selectivity (blue), and DMTHF yield (pink) as a function of temperature. Reaction conditions: T = 130 °C; P = 10 bar H2; t = 2 h; HMF 0.16 mmol in 3 mL of THF. Right: HMF conversion (black), DMF yield (red), DMF selectivity (blue), and DMTHF yield (pink) as a function of temperature. Reaction conditions: P = 10 bar H2; t = 2 h; HMF 0.16 mmol in 3 mL of THF; Ru/HMF molar ratio 2.6%. The pink zone shows the 30 °C temperature window with over 75% yield of DMF and more than 98% selectivity. The DMF yields using Ru/C-SBA-15 and Ru/C-commercial are marked in purple and green, respectively.

The hydrogenolysis of 5-HMF comprises several steps. First, C=O is converted to C−OH, forming 2,5-hydroxymethylfuran (BHMF). C-O cleavage takes place at the two hydroxyl groups, resulting in DMF. The furan group can overreact with H2, forming cis- and trans-2,5-dimethyltetrahydrofuran (DMTHF) (Figure 3j). Another route to DMF is via the formation of 5-methylfurfural (5-MF). HMF can also undergo polymerization to humins. A major task in this reaction is to maximize the DMF yield (Figure 3k, left). With a 2.2% molar ratio of Ru to HMF, 79% conversion is achieved with 77% yield and 97% selectivity to DMF at 130 °C (Figure 3k and Table S3), indicating the selective hydrogenation on the formyl group and the hydrogenolysis of the hydroxyl group at low temperature. At 2.6% molar ratio the conversion increases to 84% and the yield of DMF reaches 82%, with only a trace amount of side products. Further increase of the molar ratio to 3.1% and 4.4% causes the formation of DMTHF isomers (Figure S13), and the selectivity of DMF drops to 37% and 4%, whereas the conversion remains at 95%. Kept at a 2.6% molar ratio, the reaction temperature is then varied (Figure 3k, right). The selectivity stays above 98% from 110 to 140 °C, while the conversion increases from 76% to 91%. The drop of selectivity is observed at 160 °C, forming DMTHF. Thus, the highest yield of DMF with Ru/C-SiO2@m-SiO2 is 90%, achieved at 140 °C and 2.6% molar ratio. Ru/C-SBA-15 and commercial Ru/carbon (Ru/C-commercial) give 93% and 86% conversion under the same conditions, but with only 71% and 49% yields of DMF, respectively (Figure 3k, right). The low selectivity toward DMF using Ru/C-SBA-15 and commercial Ru/carbon is due to the incomplete conversion of intermediate species such as BHMF and S-MF. The 5-HMF hydrolysis was also performed with C-SiO2@mSiO2, C-SBA-15, and commercial activated carbon, giving 34%, 3%, and 6% HMF conversion, respectively. The yields of DMF are only 6%, 0%, and 4% for C-SiO2@m-SiO2, C-SBA-15, and commercial activated carbon, respectively. The difference in HMF conversion among the three carbons comes from the slight higher Fe in the C-SiO2@mSiO2 than that of the others.

C-SiO2@m-SiO2, C-SBA-15, and C-commercial have bottleneck (2.2 nm) and tubular (4.0 nm) pores and micropores (<1 nm), respectively. The strength of the pore confinement effect to Ru clusters at 1 nm is in the order C-SiO2@m-SiO2 > C-SBA-15 > C-commercial (Figure 3a–c). As a result, the size of Ru clusters grows from 1.0 ± 0.2, 0.9 ± 0.2, 1.1 ± 0.5 nm to 1.2 ± 0.2, 1.5 ± 0.5, 2.0 ± 1.0 nm over C-SiO2@m-SiO2, C-SBA-15, C-commercial, respectively, after catalysis (Figure 3a–i). The slight increase of Ru sizes in Ru/C-SBA-15 and Ru/C-commercial during catalysis leads to the change of surface electronic structures, a decrease of the active surface, and thus the loss of activity. Cluster aggregations are found for both Ru/C-SBA-15 and Ru/C-commercial, suggesting that Ru clusters are more mobile on those supports than that of Ru/C-SiO2@m-SiO2 (Figures 3d–f and S14–16). The cluster aggregation on Ru/C-commercial is more severe than that on Ru/C-SBA-15, leading to a lower DMF yield of 49% than the 71% yield using the Ru/C-SBA-15 catalyst. This clearly indicates the importance of bottleneck pores in confining active Ru clusters during catalysis, showing a wide operation window from 110 to 140 °C, with over 75% yield and 98% selectivity of DMF. The temperature range of the window is the lowest compared to those reported in the literature.38–41 Our result significantly outperforms the benchmark PtCo catalyst, which gives only 5% DMF yield at 120 °C under the same conditions and requires at least 160 °C to achieve a substantial DMF yield (Table S4).11 These results show the superior activity of subnanometer Ru clusters in the hydrogenolysis of 5-HMF and,...
thus, the significant importance of confinement effects from the bottleneck pores that prevent the growth of the clusters.

The recycled Ru/C-SiO2@m-SiO2 shows 72% conversion, 68% yield, and 94% selectivity to DMF at 130 °C (Table S3, entry 9). The XPS spectrum reveals nonsignificant changes for both Ru and carbon (Figure S12 and Table S5 and S6). A slight increase of the metallic Ru crystalline domain is found in the reduction of the average Ru oxidation state (Figure 4a). X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy (XANES), showing lower price and similar H2 bond strength compared to that of Pt/C-SBA-15 (42 mV) (Figure 5a). Such low overpotential for Ru/C-SBA-15 increases by 39 mV, while that of Ru/C-SiO2@m-SiO2 increases by 4 mV. Such a drop in overpotential for Ru/C-SBA-15 corresponds to the charge-transfer resistance.42 Thanks to the tubular pore geometry, Ru/C-SBA-15 has much smaller porosity resistance than that of bottleneck pores in Ru/C-SiO2@m-SiO2. The charge transfer in the Ru/C-SBA-15 is also higher than that in Ru/C-SiO2@m-SiO2, suggesting a favored transfer mechanism in tubular pore system. We hypothesize that this is due to the diffusion of as formed H2. The H2 can quickly diffuse through the tubular pore and thus leave the catalytic center. In the bottom-neck pore, H2 will be trapped inside the pore and thus block the active sites. The superior performance of the Ru/C-SBA-15 compared to that of commercial Pt/C suggests the potential of practical applications of those Ru-based catalysts in the conversion of green electricity to energy.

The fitting of the linear portions of the Tafel plots accordingly gives Tafel slopes.48 The slopes suggest a difference in the rate-determining step for the tubular and bottleneck pores. Ru/C-SBA-15 gives a slope of 56 mV dec⁻¹, suggesting a major rate determining step following the Heyrovsky reaction (H₂O + e⁻ → H₂⁺ + OH⁻) in the tubular pore.42 The slope for Ru/C-SiO2@m-SiO2 is 83 mV dec⁻¹, indicating that in addition to the Heyrovsky reaction, the Volmer reaction (H₂O + e⁻ → H⁺ + OH⁻) is also the rate-determining step in the bottleneck pore (Figure 5c). The tubular pore system provides fast charge transfer of sufficient electrons for the Volmer reaction and efficient OH⁻ diffusion pathway, shifting the rate-determining step to solely the Heyrovsky reaction. The stability of Ru/C-SiO2@m-SiO2 and Ru/C-SBA-15 catalysts are measured galvanostatically at a current density of 10 mA cm⁻² for 24 h. Within 24 h, the overpotential for Ru/C-SBA-15 increases by 39 mV, while that of Ru/C-SiO2@m-SiO2 only increases by 4 mV. Such a drop in stability is due to the growth and aggregation of Ru clusters in C-SBA-15 during HER. The high Ru cluster stability in HER in the bottleneck pore systems is in good agreement with that in the hydrogenolysis of 5-HMF to DMF.

Universal Liquid-Free Synthesis of Porous Carbon Materials. The catalytic behaviors of Ru/C-SiO2@m-SiO2 and Ru/C-SBA-15 in 5-HMF hydrogenolysis and HER clear show the important role of pore geometry in catalysis and
energy conversions. Thanks to the liquid-free carbon synthesis method, a range of carbon materials with the same chemical composition but different pore geometries can be prepared. The broad application of such a method is further verified in 10 additional silica supports, which are chosen as the representative templates according to their pore size, volume, and surface area. They are K-SSZ-13, silicate-1, Na-ZSM-5, Na-zeolite beta, Na-zeolite Y, MCM-41, KIT-6, 200 nm mesoporous silica sphere (mSiO$_2$-200), 30 nm mesoporous silica sphere (mSiO$_2$-30), and SiO$_2$@m-SiO$_2$ with half-shell thickness (SiO$_2$@h-mSiO$_2$) (Figure S1). The pore sizes start from 0.38 nm for K-SSZ-13 and increase to to 5.9 nm for KIT-6 (Figure S19). The size of ferrocene is 0.33 nm between the two cyclopentadienyl groups (C$_2$H$_5$) and 0.4 nm for the Cp$^-$.

Therefore, K-SSZ-13 represents the template with the smallest pores that can be used for ferrocene CVD.

Most porous carbons keep the original morphology of the SiO$_2$ template (Figure 6), except for K-SSZ-13, in which the
cuboid shape is slightly distorted. The diffusion of ferrocene into the zeolite framework is limited, resulting in a quasi-hollow structure where more carbons are formed at the peripheral part than at the center (Figure 6f–i). Even with silicate-1, which has the smallest particle size of 129 ± 19 nm, a clear shell with a thickness of 12 ± 3 nm is found (Figure 6h). The diffusion limitation is also found in zeolite catalysis systems, where reactions only take place within several nanometers of the zeolite surface.49 Similar to C-SBA-15, 3D-ordered mesoporous C-KIT-6 is also obtained (Figure 6v). Unlike C-SBA-15 and C-KIT-6, the C-MCM-41 is disordered (Figure 6u), possibly due to the small pore size of MCM-41 template that leads very thin carbon walls, which are not stable at high temperature. C-mSiO2-200, C-mSiO2-30, and C-SiO2@h-mSiO2 retain the spherical morphology of the original silica template (Figure 6w–y). The diameters are 208 ± 20, 35 ± 3, and 170 ± 7 nm, respectively, corresponding well with that of the original SiO2 templates. Trace amounts of Fe and Al are found in the C-Na-zeolite-Y, giving 0.30 and 1.54 wt % from MP-AES analysis, respectively (Table S2). All porous carbons are the inverse replica of the original silica templates and have different pore geometries (Figure 6). Hysteresis between 0.4 and 0.9 P/P0 is found for all five zeolite-templated carbons, showing the formation of mesopores inside the carbon cuboid. The mesopores are also found in all mesopore silica templated carbons. The well-controlled synthesis of carbon materials with different pore structures enables a fundamental understanding of pore geometry effects in chemical reactions.

CONCLUSIONS

Ru clusters in bottleneck and tubular carbon pores are studied in the 5-HMF hydrogenolysis and HER. Bottleneck pores successfully confine the Ru clusters size around 1 nm during catalysis, showing twice the DMP yield of unconfined clusters and better stability in HER. The tubular pore has low porosity resistance and provides efficient charge transfer in HER, requiring only 16 mV overpotential to reach current density of 10 mA cm−2. The difference in catalytic activity is mainly pore geometry dependent, while the chemical properties of Ru clusters and carbon support are kept the same. The fast and liquid-free synthesis of carbon support with different pore geometry is general applicable, with 10 additional syntheses of porous carbon templated from commercial zeolite and mesoporous silica. The use of gas for dispersing chemicals, leaching templates, and removing impurities is advantageous in the material innovations that are important for catalysis, energy conversion, and storage applications.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Synthesis of SiO2@m-SiO2 and SiO2@h-mSiO2 Templates. To obtain a solid SiO2 core, ethanol (552.3 g), H2O (132.53 mL), NH3 (28–30%), 12.83 mL) and tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS, 27.77 mL) were mixed in a 1000 mL round-bottom flask. The mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. To synthesize the mesoporous SiO2 shell, TEOS (19.72 mL) and octadecyltrimethoxysilane (OTMS, 7.78 mL) were then added to the aforementioned mixture. The mixture was then stirred for another 12 h. Half amounts of TEOS (9.36 mL) and OTMS (3.89 mL) were used to synthesize the half-shell template. The product was collected by centrifugation and placed in an oven to dry. Approximately, 8.5 g of white product was obtained in each batch. The sample was calcined at 550 °C for 1.5 h with a heating rate of 1.5 °C min−1 for the removal of the alkyl chains contained in OTMS.

Synthesis of mSiO2-30. A 7.675 g portion of ethyltrimethyldiammonium bromide (CTAB) was dissolved in 500 mL of deionized water in a polypropylene bottle. The suspension was stirred for 5 min and subsequently sonicated for 20 min. Afterward, the solution was placed in an oven at 100 °C for 10 min. Then 1.545 mL of triethanolamine was added, and the solution was stirred at 80 °C for 1 h. Then, 77.5 mL of TEOS was rapidly added to the stirring solution, which was subsequently stirred at 80 °C for 2 h. The product was collected by centrifugation and placed in an oven to dry. The sample was calcined at 550 °C for 1.5 h with a heating rate of 1.5 °C min−1 for the removal of the alkyl chains contained in CTAB and TEOS.

Synthesis of mSiO2-200. A 0.8 g portion of CTAB and 4.8 mL of 30% ammonia—water were dissolved in 118 mL of deionized water in a 500 mL round-bottom flask. The suspension was stirred for 5 min. The mixture of TOES (4 mL) and hexane (16 mL) was added into the solution dropwise, and the solution was stirred for 12 h. The product was collected by centrifugation and placed in an oven to dry. The sample was calcined at 550 °C for 1.5 h with a heating rate of 1.5 °C min−1 for the removal of the alkyl chains contained in CTAB and TEOS.

Synthesis of Porous Carbon Materials via Various Templates. The porous carbon materials were prepared by using the CVD method with different zeolites and SiO2 as the templates and ferrocene as the carbon source. Ferrocene (2 g) and zeolite/SiO2 (500 mg) were placed in different heating zones within the two-stage tubular furnace. The sublimation and the pyrolysis of the ferrocene were conducted in 56 mL min−1 argon flow at 120 and 550 °C, respectively, for 2 h. The weight loss for ferrocene during CVD is 650 mg. The as-prepared Fe-carbon/SiO2 composite (500 mg) was mixed with PTFE (4 g) and heated under 1 L min−1 nitrogen flow at 900 °C for 4 h to subsequently leach silica.

Synthesis of Ru/C-SiO2@m-SiO2 and Ru/C-SBA-15. Before loading of Ru, the carbon material, C-SiO2@m-SiO2, was washed with HCl solution. RuCl3 (Ru 38%, 137 mg, 0.52 mmol) was dissolved in water (0.22 mL) and then impregnated into the C-SiO2@m-SiO2 powder (470 mg) under stirring until it was mixed uniformly and then dried at 60 °C. The mixture was heated at 220 °C under 15% hydrogen/argon for 3 h. The same method was used to prepared Ru/ C-SBA-15.

Hydrogenolysis of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural. For a typical experiment, HMF (20 mg, 0.16 mmol), tetrahydrofuran (THF, 3 mL), dodecane (1.37 mg, 0.008 mmol), and different amounts of catalyst were added into a Teflon inset, transferred into a stainless-steel autoclave reactor, and then sealed and purged with hydrogen three times. The reaction was performed at the target temperature with 10 bar of initial hydrogen pressure under 400 rpm stirring. After the desired reaction time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature. The solution was filtered and analyzed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS). The catalysts were collected by centrifugation, washed with THF several times, and then reduced by hydrogen again before recycling.

Electrochemical Measurement. All of the tests were carried out using an Autolab (Metrohm PGSTAT302N) electrochemical station by a three-electrode method with a glassy carbon rotating disk as the working electrode and carbon rod and Ag/AgCl/saturated KCl as counter and reference electrode in alkaline electrolyte (1 M KOH) at room temperature. All of the measurements were carried out with a fixed catalyst deposition of ~0.28 mg cm−2 on a 3 mm in diameter (or area of 0.0707 cm2) glassy carbon disk electrode. The catalyst was prepared as follows: 2 mg of sample was dispersed in a total of 540 μL of solution consisting 500 μL of 4:1 v/v water/ethanol and 40 μL of Nafion (5% solution) under sonication. The sonication was carried out up to 1 h to obtain uniform catalyst dispersion ink, of which 5 μL was micropipetted and dropped on to a GCDE followed by drying at 60 °C in the oven prior to the electrochemical tests. All of the electrochemical test results were reported with respect to the reference, Ag/AgCl. The HER liner sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves were measured at disk rotating speeds of 1600 rpm. Stability tests were carried under a current of 0.707 mA for 24 h. EIS was taken from 100 Hz to 100m Hz at ~100 mV vs RHE.
Characterization. TEM was performed using a JEOL JEM-2100 microscope equipped with an Oxford Instruments EDS detector at 200 kV. Particle size distributions were estimated through measurement of 100 particles. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging was performed on a JEOL JSM-7401F SEM. Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) was performed using a probe corrected (CEOS) JEM ARM 200CF (JEOL, Japan) equipped with detectors for bright-field (BF), high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) and secondary electron (SE) imaging at 200 kV. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed using a StadiP diffractometer from STOE, a voltage of 40 kV, at 30 mA, with a Cu source with measurements were performed using a StadiP diode. Measurements were performed using the diamond light source (UK). Samples were diluted by cellulose out at 3.0 GeV with a beam current of 300 mA on the beamline B18 for STEM and SEM imaging. X-ray diode microscopy (STEM) was performed using a probe corrected (CEOS) JEM ARM 200CF (JEOL, Japan) equipped with detectors for bright-field (BF), high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) and secondary electron (SE) imaging at 200 kV. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed using a StadiP diffractometer from STOE, a voltage of 40 kV, at 30 mA, with a Cu source with 

measurements were performed using a StadiP diode. Measurements were performed using the diamond light source (UK). Samples were diluted by cellulose out at 3.0 GeV with a beam current of 300 mA on the beamline B18 for STEM and SEM imaging. X-ray diode microscopy (STEM) was performed using a probe corrected (CEOS) JEM ARM 200CF (JEOL, Japan) equipped with detectors for bright-field (BF), high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) and secondary electron (SE) imaging at 200 kV. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed using a StadiP diffractometer from STOE, a voltage of 40 kV, at 30 mA, with a Cu source with Kα1 = 1.540562 Å and Kα2 = 1.544398 Å. The signal from Kα2 was removed for XPS analyses. XPS analyses were performed on a Thermoscientific XPS K-or surface analysis machine using an Al source. Analysis was performed on the Casa XPS software. Elemental analysis was performed using an Agilent 4100 microwave plasma-atomic emission spectrometer. Solid samples were digested in aqua regia. Each sample was measured three times to ensure reliable results. The results show the average of the measurements recorded. Thermogravimetric analysis was carried by PerkinElmer Pyris 1 TGA in nitrogen flow from 30 to 900 °C. Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms were recorded at 77 K using a Micromeritics 3Flex surface characterization analyzer. The samples were degassed at 300 °C overnight. Specific surface areas were determined according to the BET model, with pore diameters, volumes, and distributions determined through the BJH method. X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) analysis of the Ru K edge (22.117 keV) and the Fe K edge (7.112 keV) were recorded at 3.0 GeV with a beam current of 300 mA on the Beamline B18 of the Diamond Light Source (UK). Samples were diluted by cellulose and pressed into a 0.8 cm diameter pellets for measurement.). Ru foil, RuO2, Fe foil, FeO (wüstite), Fe2O3 (hematite), and Fe3O4 (magnetite) were measured as the standard for energy shift calibration. The XAFS spectra of all samples were measured in transmission mode in an energy range of 21.9–23.3 keV for Ru K edge and 6.91 to 7.66 keV for Fe K edge with a beam size of 200 μm (H) x 250 μm (V). The spectrum of each sample was measured five times and merged to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. Athena software was used for data extraction and XANES analysis. Artemis software was used to fit the k2-weighted EXAFS data in real space with 3.4 Å−1 < k < 13.5 Å−1 and 1.0 Å < R < 3.0 Å.2470 Raman spectra were recorded on a Renishaw InVia Raman spectrometer in a backscattered confocal configuration using 647 nm laser excitation.
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