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Abstract—Volumetric Color Flow Mapping (CFM) is typically performed using matrix probes with up to 9,000 elements. The many elements necessitate the use of highly specialized and expensive probes with dedicated electronics. This paper demonstrates how Row Column Addressed (RCA) transducer arrays can provide volumetric CFM using only 1/72 times the number of elements used for conventional matrix probes without ECG gating. A simulation study is carried out and a constant number of elements used for conventional matrix probes without arrays can provide volumetric CFM using only 1/72 times the number of electrical connections, eases the manufacturing process of the transducer front end, and allows for much lower data rates from the front end to the processing unit. This shows great potential to overcome problems such as heating in the probe handle, production cost and requirements for the data transfer. The RCA-transducer is addressed through the rows and columns only. The rows and columns can be considered elongated line elements that are positioned orthogonal to each other. The elongated elements give rise to edge artefacts, which have been investigated by Rasmussen et al. [3]. The focused field is obtained by emitting with the row-elements and receiving with the column-elements, and vice versa. The transmitted field, in the plane of the transmit elements, is a focused wave, and in the dimension of the receive elements, a plane wave. This gives the wave propagation wavefront the geometric shape of a cylinder. By focusing the orthogonal line-elements during receive, information of a specific point in the volume can be obtained through a modified delay-and-sum beamformer [7]. A drawback of the RCA-transducer is related to the edge effects, which cause ghost echoes in the emitted field. These can be reduced by integrating apodization along the length of line-elements [3].

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate that RCA-transducers can perform volumetric Color Flow Mapping (CFM) with a low standard deviation at a volume rate of ∼ 234 Hz. The following section introduces an RCA-transducer acquisition scheme capable of 3-D imaging at a high volume rate. Section II presents an implementation of the acquisition scheme, and Section IV demonstrates its ability to provide volumetric images for velocity estimations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Fully populated 2-D matrix ultrasound transducers make real-time volumetric imaging possible [1]. A main concern for such a set-up is the number of elements, which increase quadratically with the dimensions of the aperture. The Row Column Addressed (RCA) transducer arrays address this problem by reducing the number of elements from $N^2$ to $N+N$, while still retaining the 3-D imaging capability of the matrix probe [2] [3].

Two significant bottlenecks facing real-time 3-D ultrasound imaging are the number of electrical connections in the 2-D probe and the ∼ 25 Hz frame rate requirement for cardiac imaging [4] [5]. ECG and respiration gating can be employed to circumvent a low volume rate. Here motion artefacts are reduced by tying the acquisition to specific events in the cardiac/respiratory cycle. This acquisition technique further reduces the volume rate. Synthetic Aperture (SA) systems can be used to address the volume rate problem without ECG gating. Here continuous volumetric images, suitable for velocity estimations [6] can be constructed from an emission sequence that insonifies the volume from different angles. The number of emissions used to create a volumetric image can be kept relatively low using a sparse SA emission scheme and frame rates far exceeding the 25 Hz can potentially be reached. The SA is further discussed in section II-A.

The low channel count of an RCA-transducer reduces the number of electrical connections, eases the manufacturing process of the transducer front end, and allows for much lower data rates from the front end to the processing unit. This shows great potential to overcome problems such as heating in the probe handle, production cost and requirements for the data transfer. The RCA-transducer is addressed through the rows and columns only. The rows and columns can be considered elongated line elements that are positioned orthogonal to each other. The elongated elements give rise to edge artefacts, which have been investigated by Rasmussen et al. [3]. The focused field is obtained by emitting with the row-elements and receiving with the column-elements, and vice versa. The transmitted field, in the plane of the transmit elements, is a focused wave, and in the dimension of the receive elements, a plane wave. This gives the wave propagation wavefront the geometric shape of a cylinder. By focusing the orthogonal line-elements during receive, information of a specific point in the volume can be obtained through a modified delay-and-sum beamformer [7]. A drawback of the RCA-transducer is related to the edge effects, which cause ghost echoes in the emitted field. These can be reduced by integrating apodization along the length of line-elements [3].

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate that RCA-transducers can perform volumetric Color Flow Mapping (CFM) with a low standard deviation at a volume rate of ∼ 234 Hz. The following section introduces an RCA-transducer acquisition scheme capable of 3-D imaging at a high volume rate. Section II presents an implementation of the acquisition scheme, and Section IV demonstrates its ability to provide volumetric images for velocity estimations.

II. THEORY

A. Synthetic Aperture

The image construction is performed using Synthetic Aperture Focusing Technique (SAFT). The basic idea of SA imaging is to gather backscattered data with a different phase and amplitude [3]. After beamformation, the data is summed to create an image with a higher lateral resolution. The acquisition is performed by emitting a cylindrical wave at different origins spanning the physical aperture. Each emission generates a Low Resolution Volume (LRV). The resolution is low because focusing is applied in receive only. Dynamic transmit focusing is synthesized by combining the LRV with an appropriate spatial weighting on the image-points based on the wave propagation path [6].

A high volume rate can be achieved with a sparse synthetic aperture. Using SAFT, a sparse virtual array is constructed
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with the focal lines as virtual source points [3]. In this paper, the focused field is emitted by a sliding sub-aperture, with the focal line’s lateral position at the center of the sub-aperture, thus creating a linear virtual array. The benefit of the virtual array is an extended depth of focus in the imaging and the increased transmission energy, which equates to an increased penetration depth [9]. One of the concerns related to the sparse virtual array is the grating lobes caused by the often large spacing between the virtual sources. The grating lobes can be reduced by increasing the focal depth, and by virtual source apodization [6].

B. Velocity estimation

The SA flow approach presented by Nikolov and Jensen is used for the velocity estimation [10]. Here the movements of scatters are detected as the lag displacement of the peak in the cross-correlation of two consecutive RF-emissions [11]. The precision of the velocity estimate is multifactorial: the width of the segmentation of the RF-signal, the sampling frequency, the center frequency, pulse repetition frequency (f_{prf}), etc., all affect the likelihood of detecting the correct peak [12]. Three measures were taken to reduce the probability of detecting an erroneous peak. The first was having a search area for the maximum peak, which should be based on the largest velocity expected; the second was averaging several consecutive cross-correlations; and the third was using an unbiased cross-correlation estimator; here the correlogram is divided element-wise with the autocorrelation of the window function used for the segmentation. A benefit of the unbiased estimator is that the expected value is the true cross-correlation value. The cost is, however, an increased variance towards the end-points of the correlogram. The discrete cross-correlation performed using frequency domain multiplication is expressed by:

\[
\hat{R} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \text{DFT}^{-1}\left\{\text{DFT}\{s_i\} \text{DFT}\{s_{i+1}\}\right\} \frac{1}{\sigma_s \sigma_{s+1}},
\]

where \(s_i\) denotes a specific segment of the RF-signal at time instant \(i\), \(N\) is the number of emissions being averaged, \(\sigma_s\) is the standard deviation of the segment and DFT represents the Discrete Fourier Transform. The standard deviation of the signals correled are used as a normalization factor ensuring equal weighting of the summed correlograms. Note also that division by \(N-1\) is omitted, since only the position of the peak - not its value - is of interest. The lag displacement analysis is performed using arg max:

\[
k_d = \arg \max_k \hat{R}(k),
\]

where \(k\) denotes the lag of the cross-correlation value. To improve the resolution of the lag, a second-order polynomial is fitted to the peak and its neighbouring points. The lag of the interpolated maximum peak is then calculated by [12]:

\[
k_{int} = k_d - \frac{\hat{R}(k_d + 1) - \hat{R}(k_d - 1)}{2(\hat{R}(k_d + 1) - 2\hat{R}(k_d) + \hat{R}(k_d - 1))},
\]

From the lag of the maximum peak, the axial velocity is estimated by the following equation:

\[
v = \frac{c k_{int} f_{prf,eff}}{2 f_s},
\]

where \(f_s\) is the sampling frequency and \(f_{prf,eff}\) is the effective \(f_{prf}\), which is further discussed in the following section.

III. Method

Volumetric imaging is conducted by emitting a focused wave using the column elements and receiving with all the row elements in parallel. A volume corresponding to the insonified area of the transmitted wave path is then beamformed to a LRV. After \(N_{row}\) emissions, the LRV are summed together to create a High Resolution Volume (HRV). With \(N\) realisations of the HRV, the velocities along the beam-direction are estimated using the cross-correlation estimator.

A 62 + 62 linear RCA array with 0.61λ pitch and a center frequency of 3.5 MHz was simulated in Field II [13] [14]. Beneath the transducer, a vessel with constant parabolic flow with 0.1 m/s peak velocity \(v_{max}\) and a beam-to-flow angle of 60° is placed at a depth of 85λ = 37.4 mm. The length axis of the vessel was aligned with the transmitting column elements. The radius of the vessel was set to 6 mm and the length chosen such that its projection onto the aperture plane was 10% longer than the line-elements.

The flow was simulated by translating a collection of uniformly randomly distributed scatters within the boundaries of the vessel. The velocity of the individual scatterers was calculated by:

\[
v(r) = \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2}\right) \cdot v_{max},
\]
where $R$ is the radius of the vessel and $r \in [0, R]$ is the radial coordinate. The displacement, $\vec{d}(\vec{r})$, at the time of the next emission is then given by:

$$\Delta \vec{d}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{f_{prf}} \cdot \vec{v}(\vec{r}).$$

(6)

The emission sequence was simulated by sliding 32 active transmitting elements across the array in steps of $\sim 2.5\lambda$ while receiving with all 62 orthogonal elements in parallel. Beamformation using a sliding aperture yielded image-points covering the full rectilinear volume of $13.2 \times 13.2 \times 48.4$ mm$^3$. The axial velocity was estimated in parallel using $N = 8$ consecutive HRVs and the number of virtual source points to span the aperture was set to $N_{vs} = 8$. The elements of the virtual array were spaced linearly with a pitch equal to the stride of the sliding sub-aperture. A reasonable reduction of the grating lobes in the transmit plane was achieved empirically by applying virtual source apodization and by setting the transmit F-number to 2. The Point Spread Function (PSF) in both the receive, $(x, y, z) | y=0$, and the transmit, $(x, y, z) | x=0$, plane is shown in Fig. 1.

With the described acquisition scheme, $f_{prf, eff}$ is $1/N_{vs}$ times the actual $f_{prf}$. This reduction is due to the fact that $N_{vs}$ emissions are used to generate an HRV. Though a low $f_{prf, eff}$ is less of an issue for the cross-correlation estimator, since the maximum detectable velocity only is constrained to the maximum lag of the correlogram, rapid de-correlation would still occur at larger velocities. The reduction of $f_{prf, eff}$ necessitates a high $f_{prf}$, which in turn reduces the visualisation depth. A volume rate of $f_{prf, eff}/N \approx 234$ Hz, with a visualisation depth of about 48.4 mm, was obtained with $f_{prf} = 15$ kHz. The simulation parameters are summarized in Table I and the set-up is illustrated in Fig. 2.

### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From eight axial velocity profiles of the center line, the relative mean bias and standard deviation ($\sigma$) was calculated to be $-0.60\%$ and $2.93\%$. The mean bias and $\sigma$ were calculated from the central 90% of the velocity profile to exclude potential edge effects. The mean velocity profile $\pm \sigma$ can be seen to closely follow the theoretical profile in Fig. 3. The relative mean bias and $\sigma$ of the axial velocity profiles in the $(x, y, z) | x=0$ plane is shown in Fig. 4. The mean bias is relatively constant near the center of the aperture but diverges towards the edge; indicating a slight skewing of the vessel structure. The mean $\sigma$ remain relatively constant throughout the image. 3-D Contour slices of the entire volumetric CFM are shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen that the CFM retains the characteristic of the vessel, and the velocity contours demonstrate a parabolic distribution in the entire volume.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I SIMULATION PARAMETERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parameter</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transducer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements in x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements in y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch in x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch in y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footprint size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-element apo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center Velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam-to-flow angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliding aperture size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F# (transmit/receive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmit/receive apo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual array apo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of sound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V. CONCLUSION

An RCA-transducer ultrasound imaging system able to acquire volumetric images down to 48.4 mm at a volume rate of \( \sim 234 \) kHz was presented and simulated in Field II. Using updating after each RR can yield a volume rate 1875 Hz. The axial velocity of constant parabolic flow in a vessel beneath the transducer was measured, using a cross-correlation estimator. The relative mean bias and standard deviation of eight velocity profiles of the center line, was calculated to \(-0.60\%\) and \(2.93\%\) respectively. Furthermore, a 3-D rendering of the volumetric CFM demonstrates that the estimated velocities are found within the vessel as expected. The parabolic flow distribution is also visible in the 3-D colormap. The SA data acquired in this sequence can also be used in any of the vector flow estimates described in [15] for full 3-D vector flow imaging.
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