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Significance Statement

Determining the contribution of the recently discovered completely nitrifying (comammox) *Nitrospira* to global nitrogen cycling necessitates tools for their detection and quantification in diverse environments. Herein, we present an assay which enables the simultaneous detection and quantification of a broad range of clades A and B comammox *Nitrospira*, a key step in understanding their abundance, diversity and distribution in natural and engineered environments. We apply this method to groundwater-fed rapid sand filters at 12 drinking water treatment plants, and examine the nitrifying communities in these biological filters. Our results demonstrate that comammox *Nitrospira* are dominant nitrifiers in groundwater-fed biofilters.

Summary

The recent discovery of completely nitrifying *Nitrospira* demands a re-examination of nitrifying environments to evaluate their contribution to nitrogen cycling. To approach this challenge, tools are needed to detect and quantify comammox *Nitrospira*. We present primers for the simultaneous quantification and diversity assessment of both comammox *Nitrospira* clades. The primers cover a wide range of comammox diversity, spanning all available high quality sequences. We applied these primers to 12 groundwater-fed rapid sand filters, and found comammox *Nitrospira* to be abundant in all filters. Clade B comammox comprise the majority (~75%) of comammox abundance in all filters. *Nitrosomonadaceae* were present in all filters, though at low abundance (mean=1.8%). Ordination suggests that temperature impacts the structure of nitrifying communities, and in
particular that increasing temperature favours *Nitrospira*. The nitrogen content of the filter material, sulfate concentration, and surface ammonium loading rates shape the structure of the comammox guild in the filters. This work provides an assay for simultaneous detection and diversity assessment of clade A and B comammox *Nitrospira*, expands our current knowledge of comammox *Nitrospira* diversity, and demonstrates a key role for comammox *Nitrospira* in nitrification in groundwater-fed biofilters.

### Introduction

The conventional understanding of nitrification since its discovery in the 1890s is that it is a two-step process carried out by distinct groups of chemolithoautotrophic nitrifiers: Ammonia oxidizers and nitrite oxidizers. The repertoire of nitrifiers was expanded in 2005 with the discovery of ammonia oxidizing archaea (AOA) (Könneke *et al.*, 2005), but this still did not challenge the prevailing perception that labour was divided in nitrification. Though the reasons for this observed division of labour were unclear, it was predicted that a complete nitrifier could theoretically exist, and would thrive in surface attached environments with low ammonium loading (Costa *et al.*, 2006). The simultaneous discovery of comammox *Nitrospira* by a number of groups (Daims *et al.*, 2015; Pinto *et al.*, 2015; van Kessel *et al.*, 2015; Palomo *et al.*, 2016) disrupts our long-held understanding of nitrification. The low prevalence of comammox *Nitrospira* in most environments examined to date (Pjevac *et al.*, 2017), combined with their resistance to cultivation, explains how these organisms were overlooked for so long. The sole enrichments of comammox bacteria come from environments where they made up relatively small fractions of the original communities (Daims *et al.*, 2015; van Kessel *et al.*, 2015). This begs the question: Do comammox *Nitrospira* play a significant role in nitrogen cycling, or are they typically found
only in low abundance in diverse environments? We know very little about the ecology of comammox bacteria and their global contribution to ammonia oxidation. To begin to investigate these questions, tools to detect and quantify comammox Nitrospira are required.

Evidence from metagenomic sequencing suggests that comammox Nitrospira are one of the most dominant taxa at Islevbro waterworks in Zealand, Denmark (Palomo et al., 2016). Amplicon-based sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene in additional groundwater-fed rapid sand filters (RSF) reveal that Nitrospira spp. are abundant in many examined RSFs, though whether these include comammox is heretofore unknown (Albers et al., 2015; Gülay et al., 2016). Interestingly, these filters represent exactly the type of environment which Costa et al. (2006) predicted comammox would thrive in, namely, surface attached communities exposed to low ammonium loading. In this work, we examined the microbial communities of a range of RSFs and report on the abundance, diversity and composition of nitrifiers, including comammox Nitrospira, using qPCR and amplicon sequencing. We present a new high coverage qPCR assay for the amoA gene of Nitrospira - the first to simultaneously target both comammox Nitrospira clades A and B as verified by clone library and amplicon sequencing. Lastly, to gain insight into the ecology of comammox Nitrospira, we assessed the contribution of physicochemical and operational parameters of the filters to the composition of the nitrifying communities and the comammox Nitrospira guild, and examined the ratios of nitrifying guilds to identify relationships between nitrifiers. As the filters and source aquifers share similar characteristics with previously examined filters, we hypothesized that total Nitrospira and comammox Nitrospira would be abundant in all filters, but that variations in influent loading characteristics and physicochemical features of the filter environment would play a role in shaping the Nitrospira diversity in individual filters.
Results

Amplification of comammox amoA

Primers for the amplification and quantification of comammox amoA clades A and B were designed based on amoA genes from enriched or high quality metagenome-derived genomes of comammox organisms. Clone library sequencing resulted in the recovery of 19 unique sequences (from 21 clones), corresponding to 14 clade A and 5 clade B comammox amoA sequences. Comammox amoA amplicons from 12 waterworks were then sequenced by Illumina MiSeq, resulting in 88 unique amoA gene fragments, 41 from clade A, and 47 from clade B. When applying these primers to the quantification of Nitrospira amoA genes, the standard curve of the reaction was linear between 10-10⁹ copies/reaction, so as few as 10 copies can theoretically be quantified (Figure S1). However, inspection of melt curves (Figure S2) showed that noise existed in samples with low copy numbers and that reliable quantification of between 100-1000 or more copies is possible in environmental samples.

Although this points towards non-specific amplification, additional bands were never observed when amplicons were run on an agarose gel (Figure S3). Primer coverage was evaluated \textit{in silico} using a database of 48 amoA Nitrospira sequences (not including clones from this study) of the correct gene region obtained from isolates or enriched strains, metagenome-derived genomes and metagenomes (Figure S4). When allowing for up to two mismatches, both primers covered all sequences. In the analysis of clone sequences only, all but one of the clone sequences were amplified when two mismatches were allowed, suggesting that coverage estimates from \textit{in silico} analysis are conservative as \textit{in situ} amplification can extend beyond two mismatches.

Filter communities
The nitrifying communities of 12 waterworks were characterized by sequencing of 16S rRNA Bacteria, nxrB *Nitrospira* and amoA *Nitrospira* gene amplicons as well as by qPCR-based quantification of 16S rRNA genes of Bacteria, AOB, and *Nitrospira*, nxrB of *Nitrospira* and *Nitrobacter* and amoA of *Nitrospira* and AOA (Table 1). Though variations were observed even between biological replicates of the same filter, as observed previously (Gülay et al., 2016), the microbial communities of all filters shared similar compositions. Total cell numbers varied substantially between filters, from $4.5 \times 10^7 - 1.2 \times 10^{10}$/g of filter material (median $2.6 \times 10^9$/g). The after-filter at DWTP-7 contained unusually low cell numbers ($4.5 \times 10^7$/g), which is likely because the majority of biological activity occurs in the long (2.3 m) pre-filter at this waterworks. The remaining filters had much higher total cell numbers (Figure 1A). Nitrifiers made up between 24- 59% of the communities in all filters with the exception of DWTP-11 pre-filter (5.2%) (Figure 1B). Pre-filters are commonly believed to be mainly the site of abiotic iron oxidation and precipitation (Tatari et al., 2017), and this appears to be corroborated based on the relatively lower density of nitrifiers in DWTP-11.

*Nitrospira* spp. represented the vast majority of nitrifying bacteria in the filter communities with 112 ASVs, and made up an average of 34% of filter communities. This high abundance is reflected in both qPCR and sequence data (Figure 1AB). In select filters, amplicon sequencing and qPCR indicated that *Nitrospira* spp. comprised a smaller proportion of the community (DWTP-9 (16.1%), and DWTP-11 pre-filter (4.8%)), but in the remaining filters, *Nitrospira* made up between 24.2% (DWTP-12) and 58.8% (DWTP-2) of the microbial communities. Quantification of *Nitrospira* spp. by qPCR of the 16S rRNA and nxrB genes generally resulted in similar estimates of *Nitrospira* abundance, although the ratios within samples varied somewhat, which may be due to variation in coverage of the primer sets and the copy number of nxrB in the *Nitrospira* present in different filters (Figure 1A). We assumed a
genomic copy number of one nxrB per Nitrospira spp., as metagenomic analysis revealed that the dominant Nitrospira spp. at DWTP-8 each harboured a single nxrB gene (Palomo et al. 2017), however some Nitrospira spp. can harbour up to six copies of nxrB (Pester et al., 2014). Relative to qPCR of nxrB and Nitrospira 16S rRNA, Illumina sequencing of universal Bacteria 16S rRNA gene amplicons tended to overestimate the abundance of Nitrospira (Figure 1C). AOB from the family Nitrosomonadaceae were present in all filters, though generally comprised less than 2.5% of the communities according to both qPCR and sequence data (Figure 1AD). Of 60 ASVs, 33 were classified as Nitrosomonas or Nitrosospira spp. while the others were not classified below the family level. Nitrosomonadaceae abundance was particularly high in DWTP-9 (7.8%), and DWTP-10 (7.3%). Interestingly, DWTP-9 was a filter in which Nitrospira abundance was considerably lower relative to other filters, suggesting that AOB may play a larger role in ammonia oxidation in this filter. No sequences affiliated with Nitrosococcus were detected. Thaumarchaeota (AOA; 2 ASVs) were detected at very low levels in sequence data (0.09% of total reads), though one or more ASVs were present in half of the DWTPs. Similar relative abundance levels were detected by qPCR, with an average absolute cell number of 1.1x10⁶/g of filter material (Figure 1D). With respect to other NOB, 6 ASVs affiliated with Nitrotoga spp. were observed in DWTP-2, 8, 9, and 12 at low abundance (<0.6%). No sequences were affiliated with Nitrobacter despite their detection by qPCR at around 1% of most communities (Figure 1D). Within the phylum Planctomycetes, several ASVs affiliated with anammox (order Ca. Brocadiales), but were detected at low levels (<0.06%) at only a few DWTPs (DWTP-8, 11, 12).

Comammox Nitrospira are the dominant nitrifiers.
Sequencing and taxonomic assignment of \textit{nxrB} genes of \textit{Nitrospira} spp. reveals that the filters are dominated by Lineage 2 \textit{Nitrospira} (Figure 2A; 131 ASVs). Lineage 1 (8 ASVs) were present in DWTPs 2, 5 and 8, while Lineage 4 (3 ASVs) was observed in DWTP-8 and 10. Eight unassigned ASVs were present at low abundance in DWTP-1 and 12. Though the high abundance of \textit{Nitrospira} spp. in groundwater-fed RSFs was expected in accordance with previous work (Albers \textit{et al.}, 2015; Gülay \textit{et al.}, 2016), nothing is known about the diversity and abundance of comammox \textit{Nitrospira} in the filters. To gain an idea of the relative proportion of comammox in the \textit{Nitrospira} community, and to assess the accuracy of the newly designed \textit{amoA} \textit{Nitrospira} primers, we examined the taxonomic affiliation of 16S rRNA and \textit{nxrB} amplicon sequences from the filters (Table S1 & S2). The classification of comammox based on 16S rRNA and \textit{nxrB} is not robust due to high similarity of these genes in comammox and non-comammox \textit{Nitrospira}, and has been applied here only as it was the sole means we could conceive of to evaluate \textit{amoA} primer performance in real samples. Using strict similarity cutoffs with comammox reference sequences as criteria to consider our ASVs as comammox, (100\% for 16S rRNA and 98\% for \textit{nxrB}), comammox comprise a minimum of 78\% (16S rRNA) and 28\% (\textit{nxrB}) of the \textit{Nitrospira} abundance in all filters (Table S1 & S2). The \textit{nxrB}-based estimation is likely very conservative, as almost one third (40 of 150 ASVs) of the \textit{nxrB} amplicon sequences did not affiliate closely (<91\% identity) to any reference sequences, and the number of reference \textit{nxrB} sequences available for comammox is low. However 16S rRNA gene based estimates are in accordance with the qPCR data from the newly described \textit{Nitrospira amoA} primers which, when normalized by total cell numbers of \textit{Nitrospira} (from 16S rRNA and \textit{nxrB} gene qPCR), indicate that comammox make up between 28-100\% of \textit{Nitrospira} in the filters (Figure 1AB). Sequencing of \textit{amoA} amplicons indicates that clade B comammox \textit{Nitrospira} are more abundant than clade A, making up...
around 75% of comammox abundance in most filters (Figure 2B). Despite this, there are both clade A and B ASVs that have high abundance in the majority of the filters, though in general, clade A appear to be less widespread across the filters (Figure 3). Phylogenetic analysis of amoA sequences revealed a novel group of comammox within clade A that was present in a few filters for which no reference or clone sequences exist. This group’s amoA is more closely related to canonical AOB than other known clade A comammox (Figure 4).

Ratios of nitrifying guilds were examined using qPCR data to identify dependencies between nitrifying groups. Linear regression showed a weak relationship between NOB and AOP cell numbers, (NOB=2.5 x AOP, R² adj = 0.16, p = 0.045). Unexpectedly, a strong relationship was observed between comammox *Nitrospira* and NOB (NOB=0.74 x Comammox, R² adj = 0.70, p = 1.5x10⁻⁶) (Figure S5).

**Impacts of physicochemical and operational parameters on nitrifying community**

In order to explore the factors associated with the structure of the nitrifying communities of the filters and the success of comammox *Nitrospira* in these communities, we performed constrained ordination of the nitrifying communities, using 16S rRNA of nitrifiers and amoA *Nitrospira* sequence data together with physicochemical composition of the influent water and filter material and operational parameters (Table S3). Constrained ordination models were built using stepwise selection of variables. Temperature was the sole significant variable (p = 0.025) explaining variation in the nitrifying communities, explaining 9.4% of the variation. Increasing temperature appeared to be associated with increasing diversity of *Nitrospira* spp. in the filters (Figure 5A). Little explanatory power was provided by the measured physicochemical parameters to explain variation between the nitrifying communities. However, in ordination modelling of the comammox *Nitrospira* guild, the sulfate content of the water, surface ammonium loading rate (SLR), nitrogen content of
the filter material and NVOC, were significant variables in addition to temperature explaining 20.5%, 13.7%, 13.4%, 11.5% and 10.4% of the variability respectively (Figure 5B, Table 2).

The ammonium concentration in the water and calcium concentration in the filter material were also found to be significant explanatory variables (p < 0.04) but were not included in the ordination models due to co-linearity with other variables (Figure S6). Pearson correlations and linear regression were also used to relate the absolute abundance of nitrifying groups with physicochemical and operational parameters. The strongest correlation observed was between copper concentration in the influent water and comammox *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospira* cell numbers estimated from 16S rRNA qPCR ($R^2 = 0.63$ for both) (Figure S7, Table S4).

**Discussion**

Based on kinetic theory of optimal pathway length, Costa *et al.* (2006) predicted the existence of complete nitrifiers ten years before their discovery. They predicted that these organisms would thrive in surface attached communities subject to low ammonium loading where slow growing, high yield organisms could flourish. Groundwater-fed RSFs represent just such an environment, and the abundance of comammox *Nitrospira* there confirms that this environment provides an ideal ecological niche for them. Similarly, comammox *Nitrospira* have been detected in relatively high abundance in other drinking water filters, drinking water distribution systems and freshwater aquaculture filters (Pinto *et al*., 2015; Bartelme *et al*., 2017; Wang *et al*., 2017). Developing an understanding of these organisms and their relevance to global nitrogen cycling requires investigations into their prevalence and contributions to nitrification in diverse environments. In order to facilitate these efforts,
we developed a method to detect and quantify diverse comammox *Nitrospira* of clades A and B, and applied this method to a selection of RSFs.

These *amoA* primers cover the complete range of known comammox *Nitrospira* diversity, with amplicons clustering with known reference sequences as well as presenting newly identified sequences that cluster within both clades A and B (Figure 4). Complete coverage of all sequences in a database of 48 *Nitrospira amoA* gene sequences when allowing for 2 mismatches suggests excellent coverage of *Nitrospira amoA* with these primers (Figure S4). One branch of *amoA* sequences within clade A did not cluster with any reference sequences, and falls between *Ca*. N. nitrosa and Betaproteobacterial ammonia oxidizers (Figure 4). This cluster was present in low abundance and in few filters, but is not believed to be chimeric, as it clusters closely with other clade A sequences and these ASVs were observed in several samples (Figure 3). It is difficult to assess whether the newly designed *Nitrospira amoA* primers over- or underestimate comammox *Nitrospira* abundance, though comammox cell numbers estimated by the assay tended to be lower or equivalent to those estimated from 16S rRNA and *nxrB* *Nitrospira* genes, making these estimates appear reasonable. Comammox genomes assembled to date contain either one or two *amoA* genes, however there could be a greater degree of variation in *amoA* copy number, which would alter the estimation of comammox cell number based on *amoA* in the filter communities (Figure 1). It can also not yet be evaluated whether the assay is biased towards a specific clade, as the true relative abundance of clades A and B in the sampled RSFs is not clear.

Enrichment and genome assembly of additional comammox *Nitrospira* and further investigation into the breadth of comammox diversity will provide additional insights into the coverage of the qPCR assay. Several other primers for the detection of comammox have been developed. One approach uses a two-step method with primers that have broad
coverage of copper-containing membrane-bound monoxygenases (CuMMOs) combined with a newly designed specific primer for comammox (Wang et al., 2017). While this method has a broad coverage for CuMMOs, it does not have good coverage of comammox amoA, and due to its two-step nature, is not amenable to quantification. Another set of primers has high coverage and specificity, but requires separate primer sets for clade A and clade B (Pjevac et al., 2017). A third group has combined a previously designed pmoA primer with a newly designed comammox specific primer. The coverage of this primer was not investigated, and the study amplified only a single comammox sequence. Whether this was due to low coverage of the primers, or low diversity of comammox in the examined samples is not clear (Bartelme et al., 2017). Thus, we believe that our primers fill an important gap, providing broad coverage amplification and quantification of both comammox clades with a single primer set.

The nitrifying communities of all filters were dominated by the phylum Nitrospirae. Members of the Nitrospirae were mostly from the genus Nitrospira (112/123 ASVs), and these are believed to catalyse either complete nitrification or nitrite oxidation (Figure 1). Analysis of nxrB gene sequences from the filter communities indicate that the majority of the Nitrospira present are from Lineage 2 (Figure 2A), and classification of nxrB sequences (at > 98% nucleotide identity to reference sequences) indicates that a minimum of 28% of the Nitrospira affiliate with comammox Nitrospira, while qPCR results from 16S rRNA and amoA from Nitrospira suggests that this value is much higher (40-100%) (Tables S2 & S3). Nitrosomonadaceae, dominated by Nitrosomonas spp. were present in all filters but generally in low abundance (<2.5%). A very small proportion (<0.06%) of AOA were present in some filters. Nitrobacter spp., while not detected in sequence analysis, were detected by qPCR, which suggested that their abundance was similar, or slightly lower than that of AOB
Inter-filter variation was observed in the nitrifying communities. In certain filters, notably DWTP-9 and 12, lower Nitrospira abundance was associated with higher Nitrosomonadaceae. In addition, DWTP-12 also had a larger proportion of Nitrotoga spp. than any other filter. These observations suggest that functional redundancy exists in the filters, and that a functional nitrifying community was present in all filters. While the community structures were similar to those in previously examined filters, our study found a somewhat higher fraction of Nitrospira than one previous study (Albers et al., 2015) but is in line with Nitrospira abundances in a second study (Gülay et al., 2016). Nitrosomonadaceae estimates are consistent with results from both studies and neither detected Nitrobacter spp. in amplicon data. Interestingly, the same primers and sequencing platforms (454 pyrosequencing) were used in these two studies, ruling out possibilities of primer bias to explain diverging Nitrospira estimates. Sequencing depth was greater (by at least 2 fold) in the second study. However these results likely suggest that different filters contain varying abundances of Nitrospira spp. Several different filter types were investigated in the present study including pre-filters (PF) (DWTP-7-PF and DWTP-11), single filters (SF) (DWTP-1-3 and 12) and after-filters (AF) (DWTP-4-10). In groundwater-fed RSFs, PFs are generally presumed to be the major site of abiotic reactions such as iron oxidation and precipitation, and generally contain a larger grain size, while AFs are considered the main site of nitrification, and contain smaller grain sizes (Tatari et al., 2017). The low abundance of nitrifiers at DWTP-11 agrees with a dominant abiotic role for PFs, but the large nitrifying community at DWTP-7-PF does not support this. However, DWTP-7 is a special case where the PF is particularly deep (2.3 m) and is the main site of ammonium removal (Søborg et al., 2015).

Based on experimental observations and energy conservation ratios, the ratio of AOP to NOB in a nitrifying reactor is around 2:1 (Winkler et al., 2012). Until their discovery, the
presence of comammox *Nitrospira* would result in an apparent overabundance of NOB, which would typically be attributed to a nitrification-denitrification loop, or a so-called ping-pong effect (Winkler *et al.*, 2012). Based on the stoichiometry of nitrification and the presumed metabolisms of comammox, AOP and NOB, in an environment containing all three guilds it is expected that there would not be a fixed ratio of comammox to AOP and NOB, while the ratios of AOP and NOB would remain as expected. We calculated ratios of these three groups across the rapid sand filters based on qPCR data where all groups were quantifiable and conducted linear regression of the relative abundance of nitrifying guilds (Figure S5). A weak linear relationship was observed between AOP and NOB (NOB = 2.5 x AOP, $R^2_{adj}$ = 0.16, $p$ = 0.045), and NOB are much more abundant than expected if all energy comes from nitrite produced by AOP. In addition, a strong relationship exists between comammox *Nitrospira* and NOB (NOB = 0.74 x Comammox, $R^2_{adj}$ = 0.70, $p$ = 1.49x10$^{-6}$, Figure S5). These observations do not follow expectations based on the assumptions that comammox *Nitrospira* oxidize ammonium completely to nitrate and that canonical AOB and NOB then share the ammonium not oxidized by comammox. Several factors could contribute to these observations. The first is that the newly designed primers underestimate the number of comammox, and that a portion of the organisms considered to be NOB are actually comammox. However, this would require a very large underestimation of comammox by these primers to return the AOB:NOB ratio close to 2:1. The presence of a nitrification-denitrification loop is certainly a possibility in these environments – a number of the abundant organisms in these filters such as members of the Bacteroidetes, and Chloroflexi are potentially capable of nitrate reduction, which could provide additional nitrite for NOB. Some NOB may also have diverse metabolic capabilities, and are not solely oxidizing nitrite, as has been found in some *Nitrospira* (Koch *et al.*, 2014, 2015), though the
potential alternate substrates that would provide sufficient energy in these filters are unclear. A fourth possibility, that explains the strong relationship between NOB and comammox is that comammox Nitrospira support the growth of NOB by providing them with a fraction of the nitrite they produce. This would be consistent with the observed transient accumulation of up to 30% of the nitrite in enriched cultures of Ca. Nitrospira inopinata during ammonia oxidation (Daims et al., 2015). At micromolar ammonium concentrations, which are reflective of the concentrations in the top of most of the filters, nitrite accumulation was lower, but was still substantial (15-20%). This suggests that comammox Nitrospira may feed canonical NOB, thereby promoting their growth. There is no clear reason for a metabolic dependency of, or benefits of cooperation for, comammox with NOB, and it is possible that this phenomenon is due solely to the diffusion of nitrite out of the periplasmic space (where it is generated) during nitrification. In Ca. Nitrospira inopinata, the only comammox organism for which data is available, the affinity for nitrite is three orders of magnitude lower than that of ammonia (K_m= 449.2 µM) (Kits et al., 2017), and is substantially lower compared with characterized canonical nitrite-oxidizing Nitrospira (K_m= 9-27 µM) (Nowka et al., 2015). This relatively lower affinity for nitrite than ammonia in comammox suggests that nitrite could accumulate in the periplasm of comammox during nitrification, and subsequently diffuse outside of the cell where it could be used by nearby NOB. In-situ visualization of nitrifying biofilms would indicate if NOB are found in close association with comammox Nitrospira in order to take advantage of nitrite diffusion. This would provide an additional explanation for the frequent co-existence of different Nitrospira spp. in diverse environments (Gruber-Dorninger et al., 2015; Gülay et al., 2016).

Due to the high similarities in physicochemical parameters and microbial communities between filters, correspondence analysis did not provide strong links between
physicochemical parameters and the total nitrifying community or comammox *Nitrospira* guild structure (Figure 5, Table 2). However, our analysis does suggest that temperature influences the structure of the nitrifying community (Figure 5A). Temperatures in groundwater-fed RSFs tend to be low, and are fairly consistent year-round due to low fluctuations in subsurface temperature. The temperature in the studied filters ranged from 8.6-13.2°C, which creates a selective pressure for organisms that are active and growing at low temperature. Increasing temperature appears to have a positive effect on *Nitrospira* spp., though we could not distinguish between comammox and nitrite-oxidizing taxa (Figure 5A). In addition to temperature, the sulfate content of the influent water, surface ammonium loading rate, nitrogen content of the filter material and NVOC, play significant roles in shaping the comammox *Nitrospira* guild in RSFs. However, there was no clear clade-specific separation within comammox *Nitrospira* based on these variables. As all source waters are freshwater, sulfate was extremely low in all environments (<25 mg/L), so it was interesting to find that it was an important explanatory variable in shaping the comammox guild, even at such low concentrations. Notably, while comammox *Nitrospira* have been detected in brackish lakes and marine sediments (van Kessel *et al.*, 2015; Pjevac *et al.*, 2017), they have mainly been described in freshwater environments (Pinto *et al.*, 2015; Palomo *et al.*, 2016; Y. Wang *et al.*, 2017) and have not been detected in marine environments (Daims *et al.*, 2015). Thus, it is possible that sensitivity to sulfate varies across the comammox *Nitrospira*, even at the low concentrations observed in freshwater environments. Investigations of sulfur metabolism in comammox genomes may shed light on their sensitivity to sulfate. Ammonium is presumed to be the primary biological substrate in the majority of the filters examined here, so the impact of the surface ammonium loading rate on community structure was not surprising. Low ammonium flux is one of the factors
predicted to select for comammox based on the kinetic theory of optimal pathway length
(Costa et al., 2006), and our observations suggest that this factor is important even within
the comammox guild, suggesting that there may be differential response to ammonium
loadings within comammox, though no clade-specific differentiation was observed.
Ammonium concentration, which was also observed to be significant in explaining the
structure of the comammox community but was not included in the associated model, is
strongly correlated with the surface ammonium loading rate (Figure S6). The majority of
nitrogen in the filter material is found in amino form (around 70% in all filters). High
resolution scanning of the carbon (C1s), nitrogen (N1s), and oxygen (O1s) regions points
towards the presence of amino acids and/or protein at all measured depths of the mineral
coatings (Figure S8). It is possible that proteins or amino acids are produced during biofilm
formation by comammox *Nitrospira* spp., explaining the relationship between comammox
and filter material nitrogen. Alternately, they may be produced by other community
members, but are responded to by certain comammox *Nitrospira*. The excretion of proteins
and amino acids during biofilm formation has been observed previously in diverse taxa
(Flemming and Wingender, 2010). NVOC explained significant variation only in the
comammox community. The presence of mixotrophy within the genus *Nitrospira* (Watson et
al., 1986; Daims et al., 2001; Spieck et al., 2006) suggests that some comammox *Nitrospira*,
like their nitrite-oxidizing counterparts, could be capable of mixotrophic growth, resulting in
selection of specific strains based on organic carbon availability. Investigation of additional
filters with more variable microbial communities and physicochemical parameters may
provide further information to support or refute these observations and provide stronger
links between environmental parameters and comammox *Nitrospira* ecology. Physiological
analysis of enriched or pure strains, as well as genomic analysis of comammox *Nitrospira* will
provide further insight into the role of these features in comammox *Nitrospira*. Linear regression between the abundance of nitrifying clades with physicochemical parameters resulted in a significant linear relationship between comammox abundance and the copper content of the water, though copper was not identified as an important factor in ordination analysis. As copper is an essential co-factor in the ammonia monooxygenase enzyme, it is not surprising that it could limit the growth of ammonia oxidizers. Recovery of nitrification activity in poorly functioning RSFs after copper dosing has been previously observed (Wagner et al., 2016).

Despite having been only recently described, the wide environmental distribution of comammox *Nitrospira* as detected in metagenomic databases suggests that comammox *Nitrospira* likely make a significant contribution to global nitrogen cycling (Daims et al., 2015). The developed primers for the *amoA* gene of comammox *Nitrospira* exhibit good coverage of both clades A and B, and enabled the quantification and characterization of comammox *Nitrospira* across 12 DWTPs. Comammox *Nitrospira* are by far the most abundant nitrifiers in all groundwater-fed RSFs examined. Though the specific activity of comammox *Nitrospira* in the filters is not known, they likely play a major role in nitrification in the filters as the abundance of other ammonia and nitrite oxidizers was comparatively low. Furthermore, a relationship between the abundance of comammox and NOB suggests that comammox *Nitrospira* oxidize a greater proportion of ammonia entering the filters than AOP, and may directly support the growth of NOB. Future work involving isotope labelling, and enrichment and isolation of comammox *Nitrospira* will provide clarity as to their specific nitrification activity, and their contribution to global nitrogen cycling.

Experimental Procedures
Sampling

The twelve drinking water treatment plants (DWTPs) are geographically distributed across Denmark (Figure S9). Physicochemical characteristics of influent, effluent water and sand were obtained through direct measurement or from the JUPITER database (www.geus.dk/DK/data-maps/jupiter/Sider/default.aspx) (Table S3). Filter material (15 mL) was collected from 2 locations at the top of the filters using a 1% hypochlorite-wiped stainless steel grab sampler. Single sand samples were collected from DWTP-7, 9, 10, 11, 12. Filter material was immediately placed into cryotubes, immersed in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C for further analysis. Liquid grab samples were collected from i) the pre-filter influent from the aeration steps ii) the after-filter influent from the after-filter cascade and iii) the effluent tap at the end of the after-filter. Liquid samples were collected in 1 L glass bottles and were filter sterilized (0.2 µm) into polypropylene bottles for specific chemical analyses. Samples for ICP-MS/ OES were immediately acidified (65% nitric acid, Merck, Suprapur). Liquid samples were transported on ice and stored at -20 °C until further analysis.

Chemical analysis of influent and effluent water and filter material

Ammonium was measured using a standard colorimetric salicylate and hypochlorite method (Bower and Holm-Hansen, 1980). Nitrite was analysed using a standard method adapted from Grasshoff et al. (1983). Nitrate and sulfate were measured by ion chromatography according to AWWA-WEF method 4110 (Eaton et al., 1998). Metal content in water was determined by ICP-MS (Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, P, Mg, Co, and Ni; 7700x, Agilent Technologies), or ICP-OES (Ca; Varian, Vista-MPX CCD Simultaneous ICP-OES). Dissolved oxygen and pH were measured with a handheld meter (WTW, Multi 3430, with FDO® 925 and SenTix® 940 probes). NVOC analysis was performed using a wet chemical TOC-analyser TOC-V WP (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Dried sand samples (60°C, 16 hours) were used for
mineral coating characterization and elemental composition by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, K-Alpha, Thermo Scientific, USA). Triplicate sand grains were analysed under vacuum with a monochromated Al-Kα X-ray source and an X-ray spot size of 400 µm.

**Nitrospira amoA qPCR primer and assay design**

To design primers for amoA *Nitrospira*, DNA sequences for all high quality *Nitrospira* amoA genes available at that time (n = 8) were collected and aligned using T-coffee (Notredame *et al.*, 2000). Alignments were manually curated, and regions of homology between sequences were further examined as candidate regions for primer design. The criteria used to evaluate and develop primer sets were that the primer region was between 16 and 24 nucleotides long with a GC content close to 50%, contained minimal secondary structure and a minimal number of non-homologous bases between sequences in the alignment including no non-matching bases within 4 bases of the 3’ end. In cases of non-matching nucleotides, the possibility of improving primer coverage by using degenerate bases was examined, maximizing the use of degenerate bases that would result in the highest likelihood of amplification of all sequences. Candidate primers that would result in amplicons of 100 to 350 bp were examined for compatibility (minimal Tm difference and tendency for dimer formation). To evaluate specificity, primer sets were searched against the NCBI non-redundant nucleotide database. No non-specific binding results were detected for the final primer set. Primers Ntsp-amoA 162F (GGATTTCTGGNTSGATTGGA) and Ntsp-amoA 359R (WAGTTNGACCACCASTACCA) were synthesized and tested *in vitro* against strains of *E. coli* (TOP10, Invitrogen), *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440, *Clostridium thermocellum*, *Methanoculleus bourgensis* and *Meliobacter roseus* as well as a number of bioreactor and environmental samples (nitritation anammox reactors, DWTPs, denitrifying reactors). The annealing temperature was optimized using gradient PCR (46°C-50°C) with negative and
positive control samples. With the final amplification protocol, single bands of the expected size were observed only in positive samples. A clone library was made by pooling amplicons from 3 DWTPs (DWTP-8, 12 and Glostrup waterworks, Zealand), which were used in a TOPO TA cloning reaction according to manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). Thirty positive clones were picked and were amplified with amoA Nitrospira and M13 primer sets, all resulting in the expected band size. From these clones, 21 plasmids were purified using the Qiagen Plasmid MiniPrep kit (QIAGEN, Germany) and sequenced by Macrogen Inc. on an ABI3730XL sequencer from the M13R primer (Amsterdam, NL). Plasmids were subsequently used for standard curves for absolute quantification by qPCR. The standard curve is linear between 10-10⁹ copies/reaction and the efficiency of the assay was around 105%. PCR reactions were carried out in a volume of 25 µL consisting of 5X Phusion HF buffer (Thermo Fisher), 0.5 µL of 10 mM dNTPs (Sigma), 500 nM of each primer, 0.25 µL of Phusion DNA polymerase (ThermoFisher) and 1 µL of DNA template in DNA/RNA free water with the following thermocycling protocol: 95°C for 10 min, followed by 25 cycles of 95°C for 45 s, 48°C for 30 s, 72°C for 45 s, and final extension at 72 °C for 7 min. Gene fragments of Nitrospira amoA from clones have been deposited in Genbank (MF073209-MF073227). A database of available amoA Nitrospira sequences was constructed using published reference sequences and mining of the IMG database. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using known Nitrospira and Nitrosomonas amoA sequences to distinguish Nitrospira amoA sequences (Figure S4). Primer coverage was assessed in silico using R package BioStrings.

DNA extraction and qPCR

DNA was extracted from 0.5 g of sand material using the MP FastDNA Spin Kit (MP Biomedicals LLC, Solon, USA) as described (Palomo et al., 2016). DNA concentration and quality was measured by NanoDrop (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, USA). Gene copy
numbers of 16S rRNA from total Bacteria, AOB, and *Nitrospira*, *amoA* from Archaea, and *Nitrospira*, and *nxrB* from *Nitrospira* and *Nitrobacter* were estimated by qPCR (Table 1). Reactions were carried out on a Chromo4 thermocycler (Bio-Rad) in a total volume of 25 µL containing 10 ng of DNA, 12.5 µL of 2x iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad), and 500 nM of each primer in DNA/RNA free water. Gene copies were quantified by comparison to a standard curve constructed with plasmid dilutions at known copy numbers. Copy numbers of 16S rRNA from Bacteria were converted to cell numbers based on the average 16S rRNA gene copy number per organism in each sample by comparing 16S rRNA amplicon data to the rrnDB database (Stoddard et al., 2015) and calculating the average copy number per sample (R script available at https://github.com/ardagulay). Other qPCR data were corrected using the following assumptions: *Nitrobacter* spp. contain 2 copies of *nxrB*, while *Nitrospira* spp. contain one copy. A single copy of *amoA* per genome is present in *Nitrospira* and AOA, and similarly a single copy of 16S rRNA is present in *Nitrospira* and AOB.

**Amplicon sequencing and analysis**

DNA was amplified using primers for 16S rRNA total Bacteria, *nxrB* *Nitrospira* and *amoA* *Nitrospira* (Table 1). Library preparation and Illumina MiSeq sequencing was carried out by DMAC (DTU Multi-Assay Core Facility, Kgs Lyngby, DK). Illumina data was analysed in USEARCH to examine quality in order to optimize trimming procedures (Edgar, 2010). Quality control, trimming, merging of paired ends, and error correction were performed in DADA2, which outputs the abundance of error-corrected amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) rather than clustering as in an OTU-based approach (Callahan et al., 2016). Comparison of ASVs with the SILVA SSU database v123 was used for taxonomic assignment of 16S rRNA genes, while custom databases were used for taxonomic assignment of *nxrB* and *amoA* of *Nitrospira*. Further analysis was carried out in R package phyloseq (2012; McMurdie et al.,
Ratios of nitrifiers were calculated using qPCR data – NOB abundance was inferred by subtracting *Nitrospira* amoA copy numbers from *Nitrospira* nxrB copy numbers and adding *Nitrobacter* cell numbers. AOP were inferred by adding AOB 16S rRNA copy numbers and AOA amoA copy numbers. Amplicon data are available under PRJNA399693 (Table S5).

**Statistical analysis**

Constrained correspondence analysis (CCA) with variation partitioning, linear regression and correlation analyses were performed in R package vegan (Oksanen *et al.*, 2017). CCA was performed using ASV abundances of 16S rRNA of the nitrifying fraction of the community, (family Nitrosomonadaceae, *Can.* Nitrotoga, *Can.* Nitrosoarchaeum, and genus *Nitrospira*) or amoA amplicons together with filter operational parameters and physicochemical data of influent water and filter material. Libraries were normalized to relative abundance. In the analysis of the comammox *Nitrospira* guild structure, all amoA ASVs were used in the analysis, but libraries were rarefied to even depth (30799 reads). CCA was performed to determine the importance of each variable, and a constrained ordination model was built using stepwise selection of variables with ordistep. Variable significance was determined by permutation tests (200 permutations) with anova.cca in vegan.
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Figure 1. Characterization of nitrifying communities from 12 groundwater-fed RSFs based on a) qPCR and b) 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing. c) Comparison of *Nitrospira* relative abundance by qPCR and 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing. d) Comparison of AOB, AOA (amoA) and *Nitrobacter* (nxrB) relative abundance based on qPCR and 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing. In c) and d) qPCR cell numbers were normalized to relative abundance based on 16S rRNA Bacteria-derived cell numbers.

Figure 2. Relative abundances of *Nitrospira* spp. ASVs by a) lineage, based on nxrB sequencing, b) comammox clade based on amoA sequencing.
Figure 3. Heatmap showing the relative fractional abundance of *amoA Nitrospira* amplicon sequences across eleven waterworks.
Figure 4. Maximum likelihood tree of *amoA* Nitrospira amplicons and reference nucleotide sequences and clone sequences from this study. Sequences were subject to frameshift correction and codons were aligned using DECIPHER. Phylogenetic analysis was performed in MEGA7. Bootstrap values greater than 60 are shown. The tree was rooted using *amoA* sequences from *Nitrosomonas europaea*, *Nitrosomonas eutropha* and *Nitrosomonas* sp. Is79A3
Figure 5. Constrained correspondence analysis of microbial communities based on a) nitrifying communities based on 16S rRNA sequencing (CCA1 and CA1). CCA1 is constrained by temperature, increasing from left to right. b) Nitrospira amoA sequencing (CCA1 and CCA2)
Table 1. Primers and thermocycling protocols used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene target</th>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Ta (°C)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>16S Bacteria</strong>&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; (Sequencing)</td>
<td>Bakt 341F</td>
<td>CCTAYGGGRBGCASCAG</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>(Yu et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bakt 805R</td>
<td>GGACTACNNGGGTATCTAAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>16S Bacteria</strong>&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; (qPCR)</td>
<td>1055F</td>
<td>ATGGCTGTGCAGTCGCT</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>(Ferris and Muyzer, 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1392R</td>
<td>ACGGCGGTTGTCATG</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Lane, 1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>16S AOB</strong>&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CTO189FA/B</td>
<td>GGAGRAAAGCAGGGGATCG</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>(Kowalchuk et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTO189FC</td>
<td>GGAGGAAAGTAGGGGATCG</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Hermansson and Lindgren, 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT1R</td>
<td>CGTCTTCAGACCARCTACTG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>16S Nitrospira</strong>&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Nspra675F</td>
<td>GCGGTAAATGCAGKAGTCG</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>(Graham et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nspra746R</td>
<td>TCAGCGTCAGRWYGGTCCAGAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>amoA AOA</strong>&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CrenamoA23F</td>
<td>ATGGTCTGGCTWAGACG</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>(Tourna et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CrenamoA616R</td>
<td>GCCATCCATCTGTATGTCGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>amoA Nitrospira</strong>&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Ntsp-amoaA</td>
<td>GGATTTCCTGGNTSGATTGGA</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162F</td>
<td>Ntsp-amoaA</td>
<td>WAGTNTGACACCACCASTACCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>nxrB Nitrospira</strong>&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>nxrB169F</td>
<td>TACATGTGGTGGGAACA</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>(Pester et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nxrB638R</td>
<td>CGGTCTGTGTCRATCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>nxrB Nitro bacter</strong>&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NxrB-1R</td>
<td>CCGTGTGTGGAYCTCGGTGA</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>(Vanparys et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NxrB-1F</td>
<td>ACGTGGAGCCAAGCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> For thermocycling protocol see |<sup>2</sup>Gulay et al., 2016;<sup>3</sup>Pester et al., 2014, <sup>4</sup>Tourna et al., 2008
<sup>7</sup>Thermocycling protocol: 94°C 5:00, 40 cycles of 94°C 30s, 48°C 30s, 72°C 1:00, melt curve (70°C -95°C) 0.2°C/s gradient
<sup>5</sup>Thermocycling protocol: 95°C 10:00, 40 cycles of 95°C 1:00, Ta 1:00, 72°C 2:00, melt curve (70°C -95°C) 0.2°C/s gradient

Table 2. Variability explained (fractional) and significance of filter operational parameters and physicochemical features of water and filter material on microbial community structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>16S rRNA Nitrifiers</th>
<th>amoA Nitrospira</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variation explained</td>
<td>$p$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean 1</th>
<th>Mean 2</th>
<th>Mean 3</th>
<th>Mean 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate (water)</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (filter material)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface ammonium loading rate</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (filter material)</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium (water)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVOC</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.042*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.025*</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.065*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (water)</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe (filter material)</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (filter material)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.0794</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (filter material)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe (water)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn (filter material)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (filter material)</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu (water)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn (water)</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite (water)</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si (filter material)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (water)</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total inertia                          | 2.2548 | 1.6449 |
| Inertia explained by all variables     | 2.2437 | 1.6434 |
| Inertia explained by significant       | 0.211  | 1.2905 |
| variables                              |        |        |
| Inertia explained by model             | 0.211  | 1.101  |

*parameters included in the ordination model

Ca (filter material) and NH₄ were not included in the model due to high co-linearity with other variables (Figure S4)

Supplementary Information
Figure S1. Representative standard curve (from $10^1$ to $10^8$ copies) from *amoA Nitrospira* qPCR

Figure S2. Melting curves of *amoA Nitrospira* qPCR product from DWTP-1 (high abundance), DWTP-8 (medium abundance) and standard (from $10^1$ to $10^8$ copies)

Figure S3. Representative amoA Nitrospira PCR products visualized on a 0.7% agarose gel

Figure S4. Phylogenetic tree of sequences used to assess primer coverage

Figure S5. Linear regression of a) AOP and NOB and b) comammox and NOB

Figure S6. Spearman correlation matrix of explanatory variables used in ordination analysis

Figure S7. Pearson correlation matrix of physicochemical/ operational variables with qPCR data

Figure S8. Sample X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis of the filter material from the DWTP-5 indicative of the consistent peak patterns observed. a) Complete scan with the primary elements identified; b) Carbon C1s high-resolution scan; c) Nitrogen N1s high-resolution scan; and d) Oxygen O1s high-resolution scan. Of particular importance are the peaks found at 284.3, 286.5, 288.0, 400.0, 400.8, and 531.6 (labelled within the plots) that are assumed to correspond to the presence of proteins in the filter material.

Figure S9. Geographic locations of the investigated waterworks

Figure S10. Constrained correspondence analysis of microbial communities based on a) 16S rRNA Bacteria (CA2 and CA3) and b) amoA *Nitrospira* sequencing, CCA3 and CCA4 and d) CCA5 and CA1

Table S1. Similarity of comammox classified *Nitrospira nxrB* gene ASVs to closest reference sequences

Table S2. Classification of 16S *Nitrospira* amplicons to comammox reference sequences
Table S3. Physicochemical and operational parameters of the investigated rapid sand filters

Table S4. Linear regression of physicochemical/ operational parameters with qPCR data for significant (p < 0.01) Pearson correlations

Table S5. Details of amplicon sequencing datasets