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ABSTRACT. Systems comprising single and multi-layer graphene deposited on metals and immersed in acid environments have been investigated, with the aim elucidating the mechanisms involved, for instance, in hydrogen production or metal protection from corrosion. In this work, a relevant system, namely chemical vapor deposited (CVD) multi-layer graphene/Ni (MLGr/Ni), is studied when immersed in a diluted sulfuric electrolyte. The MLGr/Ni electrochemical and morphological properties are studied in-situ and interpreted in light of the highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) electrode behavior, when immersed in the same electrolyte. Following this interpretative framework, the dominant role of the Ni substrate in hydrogen production is clarified.
KEYWORDS: multilayer graphene, cyclic voltammetry, hydrogen evolution reaction, electrode protection, electrochemical atomic force microscopy.

The graphene (Gr)/nickel interface has been the subject of an intense research for the past few years. From a fundamental point of view, Gr on Ni represents both a prototypical example of graphene with a strongly interacting metal and a significant case of lattice matched system. On the other hand, concerning the applications, this system has been used as a test-bed for evaluating the performance of graphene-based protective coatings. Moreover, Gr/Ni is of great interest in energy applications. In this respect, density functional theory calculations have recently shown that the H₂ evolution reaction (HER) rate of Gr-coated Ni is significantly higher than that of bare Ni, and comparable to that of Pt. If experimentally confirmed, these findings could pave the way for a promising approach to efficiently produce H₂, without the need of using expensive Pt catalyst. All these investigations concern i) the Gr/Ni interface properties and ii) its changes due to the environment. As the environment strongly affects the system interfacial properties, many studies
were performed in controlled ambient (e.g. ultra-high-vacuum).\textsuperscript{8} However, there is an urgent request of testing device prototypes in more realistic, application-oriented environments, as for instance in acid liquids [e.g. sulfuric acid (H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4})].\textsuperscript{14} The Gr/Ni interface represents even in this case a prototypical system, where redox reactions and surface changes can be studied with different electrochemical and microscopic techniques and the results compared with data obtained in controlled environments. More specifically, the Gr/Ni electrochemical behavior in both oxidative and HER regimes can be investigated for metal protection and H\textsubscript{2} production, respectively.

In this work, aimed by the interest of clarifying the morphological and electrochemical evolution of the Gr/Ni interface in acid media (here, H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} 0.5 M), we carry out an investigation of this system through a complementary approach based on cyclic voltammetry (CV) and \textit{in-situ} electrochemical atomic force microscopy (EC-AFM). In particular, to gain insight into the electrochemical behavior of the Gr/Ni system, we compare results acquired from i) highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG, considered as an ideal graphene multi-layer system), ii) bare Ni and (iii) chemical vapor deposited (CVD) multi-layer (ML) Gr/Ni samples of different thickness.

Our findings show a key role of the buried Ni electrode, which is wet when the electrode is immersed inside the electrolyte, despite the presence of the MLGr coating. The latter can be interpreted as a HOPG electrode rich of crannies, where ions in solution can pass through and reach the underneath substrate. This model simplifies the interpretation of experimental data and enables the prediction of MLGr/Ni electrode behavior in different acid or alkaline electrolytes, such as perchloric acid, Li-based solutions, \textit{etc}. 
The HOPG electrode properties, when immersed in diluted sulfuric acid, have been widely investigated.\textsuperscript{15-24} In Figure 1, we report a traditional CV from cathodic to anodic EC regions where the Faradaic current, flowing thorough the HOPG electrode, is measured as a function of the applied EC potential referred to the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE).

![Voltammogram obtained by CV on HOPG in 0.5 M H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}. The EC potential is referred to the SHE. The scan rate is 25 mV/s.](image)

**Figure 1.** Voltammogram obtained by CV on HOPG in 0.5 M H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}. The EC potential is referred to the SHE. The scan rate is 25 mV/s.

The current enhancement above 1.70 V (anodic regime) is due to oxygen evolution.\textsuperscript{24} The shoulder at 2.03 V is related to anion intercalation inside the graphite stratified structure.\textsuperscript{24} From a morphological point of view, when the intercalation stage is reached during the CV, graphite is affected by both carbon detriment and a particular surface swelling known as *blisters*, which affects and characterizes the overall electrode surface at the sub-micrometer scale.\textsuperscript{18,21,22} At the
intercalation EC potential, O₂, CO and CO₂ gases evolve from both the surface and the underneath graphite layers. In the latter case, gases result trapped below the graphite surface and, unable to escape, swell the sample. In Fig. 1, we note the presence of a negative feature between 1.6 V and 2.0 V that is traditionally interpreted in terms of a partial de-intercalation process occurring on the electrode. If the EC potential is set below -0.40 V, the hydrogen production enhances the Faradaic current towards negative values (cathodic regime), in agreement with the Pourbaix diagram. Interestingly, we note that, when used as a cathode, the HOPG is morphologically stable, even when quite high negative EC potentials are applied on the sample. In particular, no blisters have been observed affecting the surface, in contrast to what usually found in the anodic regime. For this reason, in Figure 2, we compare the significant morphological differences between HOPG in the cathodic (panel a) and anodic (panel b) region. The former is almost indiscernible with respect to the pristine HOPG sample (panel c).

Figure 2. (4 × 4) µm² in-situ EC-AFM topography of HOPG in 0.5 M H₂SO₄, a) after CV in the negative (cathodic) EC potential range; b) after CV in the positive (anodic) EC potential range, where a characteristic blister affect the surface. c) before the CV sweep.
The electrochemical analysis of Ni immersed in the diluted sulfuric electrolyte reveals H₂ production when the EC potential is lower than -0.23 V (see Figure 3).²⁶ The positive current enhancement above 0.10 V is in agreement with the literature,²⁵ while a detailed analysis of a more anodic region (V_EC > 0.2 V) is precluded due to the high current intensity value measured during the experiment, which saturates the high-sensitive ammeter.

Figure 3. Voltammogram obtained by CV on bare Ni in 0.5 M H₂SO₄; scan rate = 25 mV/s.

At the sub-millimeter scale, we observe some bubbles on the electrode surface acquired by an in-situ optical microscopy (see Figure 4), as soon as a single potential sweep is completed. We interpret these bubbles as likely due to hydrogen.²⁵,²⁶ The lack of hydrogen bubbles on the HOPG surface is reasonable, due to the different degree of EC activity between graphite and Ni.
Referring to the CVs in Figs. 1 and 3, respectively, the Faradaic current intensity is more than an order of magnitude larger when measured on the metal electrode compared to the HOPG one.

After these preliminary investigations, a fresh Ni electrode was coated by a MLGr film, having a nominal thickness of 100 nm. The quality of the Gr over-layer was checked by both Raman spectroscopy (see Figure 5a), where the defect-related D peak is vanishingly small,\textsuperscript{27} and AFM analysis (see Figure 5b), where wide areas of flat MLGr are spaced out by clear wrinkles.\textsuperscript{28}
Figure 5. a) Raman spectra acquired on two different regions of a nominally 100 nm-thick MLGr/Ni sample. As it can be noticed by looking at the shape of the 2D peak, both turbostratic (green curve) and AB-stacked (orange curve) regions are present on the sample, as expected for MLGr grown on Ni.\textsuperscript{29} Inset: Raman spectrum of the reference HOPG sample. Here, only AB-stacked areas are present. b) \((4 \times 4) \, \mu m^2\) AFM topography image of the MLGr/Ni sample, acquired in air and in contact mode. The scan profile of the surface (dashed line) is reported below.

This sample is used as a working electrode (WE) in our EC cell. In Figure 6, we report the CV collected during a wide EC potential sweep.
In Fig. 6a it is shown that the Faradaic current starts increasing at a lower EC potential with respect to the HOPG electrode (Fig.1). Going from lower to higher potential values, a shoulder appears in the line shape at 1.6 V, which recalls the well-known intercalation stage observed in Fig. 1. We note that, reducing the applied potential, the Faradaic current is always positive, conversely to what measured on the HOPG (see the cathodic feature of Fig.1). Considering the cathodic region (Fig. 6b), the voltammogram is characterized by a wide feature in the (-0.2 - 0.8) V potential range. This structure is not observed on the HOPG electrode (Fig. 1), while the Ni electrode shows a significant current enhancement in the same energy region (Fig. 3), as previously commented. Such differences indicate that the MLGr/Ni surface is electrochemically more reactive than that of HOPG. We speculate that such a reactivity is driven by processes
occurring at the buried interface. The MLGr coating is not sufficiently uniform and the anions in solution pass through it eventually reaching the Ni surface, where they undergo electrochemical reactions. Since the defect-related D peak is vanishingly small in the MLGr Raman spectra (Fig. 5a), the many wrinkles on the surface (Fig. 5b) as well as possible grain boundaries (i.e., fractures and/or discontinuities) in the coating film have to be considered as the main responsible for the higher reactivity of the MLGr/Ni electrode with respect to HOPG, in agreement with previous interpretations.4,30 In particular, Deng and Berry suggested that wrinkles give rise to i) nanosized channels through which gases and molecules can pass through (perfect and flat graphene is instead completely impermeable to all molecules, even hydrogen and helium),31 ii) a curved, hence more chemically reactive graphene lattice.30 Looking at our microscopic data, where wrinkles are the main morphological features characterizing the images, we tend more to this interpretative model instead of a crucial role of grain boundaries.4 The presence of these boundaries cannot be excluded a priori, but their importance must be weighted in the light of the collected microscopic images (see, for example, Figure 7a and b). In fact, wrinkles run through the whole film, from the topmost graphene layer to the bottom most one32 (as seen in Figure 8, by transmission electron microscopy, TEM ), whereas grain boundaries within one graphene layer may not overlap with the ones in the graphene layer right below.4,33
Figure 7. a) In-situ optical microscope image of a nominally 100 nm-thick MLGr/Ni, after CV in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ in the anodic range (see Fig. 6a); b) (4 × 4) μm² AFM topography image of 100 nm MLGr/Ni, acquired in-situ and in contact mode. The scan profile of the surface (dashed line) is reported below.

In addition, the role of the underneath Ni substrate as well as the MLGr film is here supported by a methodical comparison with graphite, which results unavoidable to draw conclusions. The local surface morphology (Figure 7b), as explored by the EC-AFM, similar to what previously observed on the as-prepared MLGr/Ni sample (Fig. 5b). We do not observe blisters (as defined above for graphite) – hence intercalation – in contrast with what found with the HOPG electrode (Fig. 2b). This is because O₂, CO and CO₂ gases, produced during intercalation, can thus deflate through crannies (mainly wrinkles) without any clear degradation of the Gr layers.
Figure 8. a) TEM (320 × 320 nm²) image of the 100 nm-thick MLGr/Ni sample. The wrinkle runs overall the thickness of the MLGr coverage. b) (108 × 108 nm²) zoom in correspondence of one wrinkle edge (white square in panel a).

The *in-situ* optical microscopy again reveals bubbles (**Figure 9a**), soon after the CV in the cathodic regime is performed (Fig. 6b), while the MLGr/Ni surface morphology (Fig. 9b) is always comparable with the as-prepared film.
Figure 9. a) In-situ 10x optical microscope (1160 × 880) µm² image of 100 nm MLGr/Ni, after CV in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ in the cathodic range (see Fig. 6b); b) (4 × 4) µm² AFM topography image of 100 nm MLGr/Ni, acquired in-situ and in contact mode. The scan profile of the surface (dashed line) is reported below the panel.

This last finding could appear to be in contradiction with recent observations of hydrogen being trapped between a MLGr film and a nickel substrate.¹¹ In that case, droplets of 0.5 M H₂SO₄ were placed on a Ni foil coated with MLGr film. Over time, the MLGr film would locally swell as due to build-up of hydrogen at the MLGr interface. However, the two observations are not in contradiction, because the time scale between the two experiments (i.e., the CV test we report here and the test with the droplet carried out in ref. 11) is significantly different. In fact, when we deposit a droplet of 0.5M H₂SO₄ on our ML Gr/Ni samples and wait overnight, we can also observe swelling of the ML Gr film (see Figure 10).

Figure 10. 20x optical microscope (620 × 620) µm² image of 100 nm MLGr/Ni. A bubble is formed on the surface, after depositing 0.5 M H₂SO₄ droplet and waiting overnight.
To finally check the role of the Ni substrate in leading the electrochemical response of the MLGr sample, we tested other two samples with different thickness of the MLGr film. They have a half (double) thickness with respect to the one we studied (i.e. 50 nm and 200 nm, respectively). In comparison to the 100 nm-sample, the acquired CV on the sample with the thinner MLGr film reveals a more intense HER feature (Figure 11a) in the cathodic region, while the voltammogram collected on the 200 nm-thick sample (Fig. 11b) shows a clear reduction of the structure at 0.35 V in the anodic regime.

**Figure 11.** a) Voltammogram obtained by CV in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ in the cathodic range on a) 50 nm MLGr/Ni sample b) 200 nm MLGr/Ni sample (continuous line) compared with the 100 nm-thick sample (dashed line). Scan rate = 25 mV/s.
From a morphological point of view, bubbles at the sub-millimeter scale are still visible on the surface of the electrode, while its local morphology is unperturbed with respect to the previous case.

Our interpretation is therefore that the acid electrolyte diffuses through the MLGr film and reaches the buried Ni substrate after the sample immersion into the electrolyte. The Ni EC activity is far larger than graphite both in the anodic and cathodic region. This fact explains why we observe a significant difference in the CVs between the HOPG (which can be thought of as an ultra-thick defect-less MLGr film) and MLGr film. The comparison between the three samples of different thickness (nominally, 50, 100, 200 nm) corroborates this interpretation. The strategy adopted in this work (i.e., direct comparison between electrochemical and microscopic data acquired on the MLGr/Ni electrode with those collected on the HOPG sample) definitively attests the role of wrinkles in the MLG film on the overall electrochemical behavior of the MLGr/Ni system. This approach represents a more general successful way to interpret data (of both electrochemistry and microscopy) and could help to predict results with other carbon-like films deposited on electrodes.

To summarize, we have shown that the electrochemical behavior of the MLGr/Ni system more closely resembles that of Ni rather than that of HOPG. This might appear surprising because the electrochemical reactions happen on the electrode surface and one could reasonably think that the surface of a ML Gr (50 to 200 nm-thick) on Ni would be very much similar to that of HOPG. From a combined CV, Raman and EC-AFM analysis together with a methodical comparison with a prototypical carbon electrode (HOPG), we find that the presence of wrinkles is likely to be the main reason why the MLGr/Ni sample is so highly electrochemically active and blisters are not present in the anodic brunch.
EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The growth of graphene film on nickel was carried out as described in Ref. 9. Briefly, a 25 µm-thick nickel foil was ultra-sonicated in acetone and then loaded into an AS-One (Annealsys) rapid-thermal chemical vapor deposition chamber. Next, the sample was heated at 950°C for 15 min under the co-flow of 120 sccm and 100 sccm of Ar and H₂, respectively. The growth process was then performed for 150 sec, 5 min or 10 min at 950°C with 2 sccm C₂H₂ and 100 sccm H₂ to obtain graphene films with 50, 100 and 200 nm nominal thickness. Lastly, the chamber was cooled down with a rate of 20°C s⁻¹ after the pressure was pumped down below 5 mbar.

Raman spectra were collected by a Thermo Fisher Scientific DXR Raman microscope, with a 50x objective. The excitation wavelength and the laser power used for the Raman experiments were 455 nm and 5.0 mW, respectively.

A FEI Titan T20 G2 transmission electron microscope was employed for inspecting the cross-section of the MLGr/Ni electrode.

A commercial Keysight 5500 EC-AFM was used in the experiments. The tip (Al coated) was driven in contact mode configuration. A single (4 × 4) µm² image is acquired in few minutes. An optical microscope allows the tip positioning. The same optical microscopy set up was used for a sub-millimeter characterization of the sample in-situ. A three-electrode cell with a Pt counter electrode was employed. The reference electrode was a Pt wire, which shows a stable difference with respect a standard hydrogen electrode. The EC cell is in Teflon and a Viton O-ring ensure the sealing of the cell.

The sulfuric acid (Sigma Aldrich) was diluted with Type 1 water (Millipore) to obtain a 0.5 M electrolyte solution. The latter was purified by bubbling 5.0 Ar gas for several hours.
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