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Abstract: Firing of biomass can lead to rapid deactivation of the vanadia-based NH₃-SCR catalyst, which reduces NOₓ to harmless N₂. The deactivation is mostly due to the high potassium content in biomasses, which results in submicron aerosols containing mostly KCl and K₂SO₄. The main mode of deactivation is neutralization of the catalyst’s acid sites. Four ways of dealing with high potassium contents were identified: (1) potassium removal by adsorption, (2) tail-end placement of the SCR unit, (3) coating SCR monoliths with a protective layer, and (4) intrinsically potassium tolerant catalysts. Addition of alumino silicates, often in the form of coal fly ash, is an industrially proven method of removing K aerosols from flue gases. Tail-end placement of the SCR unit was also reported to result in acceptable catalyst stability; however, flue-gas reheating after the flue gas desulfurization is, at present, unavoidable due to the lack of sulfur and water tolerant low temperature catalysts. Coating the shaped catalysts with thin layers of, e.g., MgO or sepiolite reduces the K uptake by hindering the diffusion of K⁺ into the catalyst pore system. Intrinsically potassium tolerant catalysts typically contain a high number of acid sites. This can be achieved by, e.g., using zeolites as support, replacing WO₃ with heteropoly acids, and by preparing highly loaded, high surface area, very active V₂O₅/TiO₂ catalyst using a special sol-gel method.
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1. Introduction

The amount of electricity generated from firing solid biomass has been rising steeply in Europe over the last decades and is expected to continue to do so [1]. Similar trends are seen in other regions of the world [2,3]. Replacing fossil fuels, especially coal, by biomass aims at reducing the CO₂ emissions associated with thermal power plants [2,4–7]. Even though renewable energy sources like solar and wind power are more and more cost competitive [8] and make up an increasing share of power generation in most regions [9], some thermal power plant capacity is still needed due to the renewables’ fluctuating nature and the current lack of sufficient storage capacity [10]. Firing and co-firing of biomass can cause several problems in the power plant like slagging and fouling problems in boilers [11], ash deposition on heat exchangers, and increased catalyst deactivation in the NOₓ removing unit [12–18]. This review deals with the last-mentioned problem.

NOₓ gases cause formation of photochemical smog, acid rain (HNO₃), and ground level ozone formation. These conditions in turn have adverse consequences on human life and ecosystems. NOₓ emissions from power plants can be reduced by modifications to the combustion process (primary measures) or post-combustion techniques (secondary measures). Secondary measures are typically more expensive but also afford a higher degree of NOₓ removal. Due to ever stricter environmental regulations, secondary measures are increasingly needed for power plants to be compliant. The highest
degree of NOx removal is achieved with selective catalytic reduction (SCR) using ammonia as the reductant [18,19]. The most widespread kind of catalyst is V2O5-WO3/TiO2 (VWT) [20,21]. The loading of the active species vanadia is typically between 1 and 5 wt.%, depending on the temperature of operation and the SO2 content in the flue gas. Tungsta adds acid sites, reduces SO2 oxidation, and reduces rutilization of anatase. The typical loading is between 5 and 10 wt.%.

The increased rate of catalyst deactivation experienced in biomass-fired plants is mostly caused by the relatively high alkali- and alkali-earth metal contents in most biomasses [11,17,20–24]. Alkaline metals cause deactivation by neutralizing the catalyst’s acid sites, hence reducing the adsorption of NH3 [13,25–30]. Potassium, in the form of submicron aerosols of mainly KCl and K2SO4 [31–33], is the most important poison due to both its relative abundance and high basicity [24,34]. Equation (1) gives a simplified neutralization reaction with M being any metal.

\[
MCl + V - OH \rightarrow HCl + V - M
\]  

(1)

Other modes of deactivation like change in redox properties [35,36] and pore plugging [31] were reported to be of minor importance.

We have identified four kinds of strategies to deal with the high potassium content in biomasses: (1) potassium removal by adsorption; (2) tail-end placement of the SCR unit; (3) alkali barrier materials on the catalyst surface; and (4) intrinsically potassium resistant catalysts.

2. Strategies Coping with Potassium Rich Fuels

2.1. Potassium Removal by Adsorption

One way of reducing the impact of potassium salts is to minimize the amount taken up by the catalyst bed(s). An obvious strategy is to use an acidic guard bed in front of the catalyst modules. However, due to the high space velocities (5000–10,000 h⁻¹) in SCR units and the high KCl content of about 0.2–1 g Nm⁻³ of the flue gas [37,38], such a guard bed would probably be saturated too rapidly and require substantial space. Assuming a KCl concentration of 0.2 g Nm⁻³ in the flue gas, a “guard bed space velocity” of 20,000 h⁻¹, and a monolith density of 300 kg m⁻³, 1 h of exposure translates into about 180 µmol K per gram. Even highly acidic substances like H-type zeolites with low Si/Al ratios only possess around 5000 µmol of acid sites per gram [39]. To the best of our knowledge, no guard beds have been implemented so far.

Wang et al. [24] have published a critical review on additives mitigating ash related problems. They have grouped the additives by the following four capture mechanisms: (1) chemical absorption and reaction; (2) physical absorption; (3) dilution and inert elements enrichment and (4) restraining and powdering effects. The first mentioned mechanism was singled out to be the most effective and is based on converting troublesome ash elements into high temperature stable compounds. Additives causing chemical binding can be based on alumino silicates such as, e.g., kaolin, coal fly ash, cat litter, clay minerals, and detergent zeolites. Alumino silicates bind potassium according to the simplified Equation (2).

\[
Al_2O_3 \cdot xSiO_2 + 2KCl + H_2O \rightarrow K_2O \cdot Al_2O_3 \cdot SiO_2 + 2HCl_{(g)}
\]  

(2)

Addition of fly ash obtained from coal-fired plants is an industrially used strategy [40] to bind potassium. Coal fly-ash contains high levels of alumino silicates, which can bind potassium [14,40,41]. Coal fly-ash has the advantage of being abundant and low-cost. Diarmaid et al. [11] have very recently studied the efficacy of coal-fly ash in reducing the release of potassium from various biomass (white wood pellets, straw, and olive cake) pellets suspended in a methane flame. Additive loadings of 5, 15, and 25 wt.% were used. Olive cake requires larger amounts of alumino silicates to minimize potassium release, probably because it contains more potassium than the other two biomasses. In the presence of additive, up to 100% of K is retained, and in the wood and olive cake ash up to 80% is retained,
demonstrating the effectiveness of alumino silicates even when burning pure biomasses with high potassium contents.

Firing coal with up to 10% \[42,43\] or even 20% \[44\] of biomass has also been reported to result in acceptable catalyst stability, probably because the resulting coal fly ash adsorbs released potassium compounds.

Sulfates of, e.g., ammonia, iron, aluminum, and phosphates of ammonia and calcium, as well as phosphoric acid, have also been listed by Wang et al. A possible issue with using sulfates is an increased formation of SO\(_3\). Injection of phosphorus-based “K-getter” compounds leads to the formation of, e.g., K\(_3\)PO\(_4\) and K\(_4\)P\(_2\)O\(_7\). Dahlin et al. \[27\] performed a multivariate analysis of six catalyst poisons (Na, K, Mg, P, S, and Zn) by impregnating monolithic VWT catalysts with corresponding metal precursor solutions. The obtained model showed that P dampens the deactivating effect of K and was explained by the formation of phosphates, preventing the interaction of potassium with vanadia. The effect of K\(_3\)PO\(_4\) on the stability of a vanadia-based catalyst was investigated by Castellino et al. \[45\] by exposing full length monoliths to a flue gas containing between 100 mg of K\(_3\)PO\(_4\) per Nm\(^3\). 720 h of exposure caused almost 40% deactivation, which was mainly ascribed to potassium neutralizing the catalyst’s acid sites and thereby resembling the deactivation by KCl. The authors concluded that binding K by P is not advantageous to the SCR unit.

### 2.2. Tail-End Placement of the SCR Unit

Wieck-Hansen et al. \[15\] studied the catalyst stability using a slip stream from a 150 MW coal-straw (80%/20%) fired power plant. The catalyst was exposed to the flue gas at 350 °C without prior de-dusting, simulating high-dust placement, and at 280 °C downstream of a baghouse filter, which reduced the particulate concentration from 100 to a few mg Nm\(^-3\), simulating low-dust placement of the SCR unit. 2860 h of high-dust exposure caused about 35% activity loss, while 2350 h of low-dust exposure only caused 15% activity loss. The difference in stability can probably be explained by the removal of, e.g., KCl particles by the dust-filter. Tail-end placement would probably lead to an even higher stability because of the desulphurization unit further reducing the potassium content in the flue gas. Tail-end operation at the biomass co-fired Amager plant in Denmark indeed showed promising results between 2010 and 2012 \[44\]. Laboratory studies by Putluru et al. \[46\] have furthermore shown that heteropoly acid (instead of WO\(_3\))-promoted catalysts with a high (3 and 5 wt.%) vanadia loading can retain more than 90% of their activity at 225 °C when poisoned with 100 μmol K g\(^{-1}\) catalyst. A corresponding WO\(_3\) promoted catalyst lost almost 50% of its activity. At 400 °C, the loss was reported to be around 70% \[47\]. Generally, potassium poisoning has a stronger relative effect at high temperatures \[23,48\], which is consistent with acid neutralization being the main mode of deactivation.

Kristensen et al. \[49\] reported excellent potassium tolerance and activity of sol-gel prepared 20 wt.% V\(_2\)O\(_5\)/TiO\(_2\) at temperatures below 250 °C. The potassium loading introduced by KNO\(_3\) impregnation was 280 μmol K g\(^{-1}\) catalyst. A commercial reference catalyst got completely deactivated.

The major drawback with tail-end placement is that wet and dry SO\(_2\) scrubbers typically reduce the flue gas temperature to about 50 and 150 °C, respectively. The VWT catalyst is not active enough at these temperatures, making costly reheating to 180–280 °C necessary. Over the last 10 to 15 years, a high number of reports on low-temperature SCR catalysts have appeared \[50\]. The aim of these studies is to make re-heating redundant. However, most of the reported catalysts are based on manganese, making them extremely sulfur and water sensitive. In 2014, we summarized literature findings on the effects of SO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O and could not find any convincing reports on sulfur and water-resistant manganese-based catalysts \[51\]. Here we only give some examples of reports on catalysts being severely affected by SO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O. Casapu et al. \[52\] studied MnCeO\(_x\) and reported a 79% activity reduction at 150 °C by adding 5 vol.% of water to the simulated flue gas. Flue gases typically contain at least 5 vol.% of water. Exposing the same catalyst to 50 ppm of SO\(_2\) for 30 min at 250 °C reduced the NO conversion from about 70 to 25%. Our group has experienced rapid and severe deactivation
of MnFe/TiO$_2$ and MnFeCe/TiO$_2$ at 150 °C by SO$_2$ levels as low as 5 ppm [51,53]. The modes of
deactivation were formation of (NH$_4$)$_2$Mn$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$ and ammonium sulfates. Regeneration by heating
to 400 °C was only effective with prior washing with base. 20 vol.% of water in the flue gas reduced
the NO conversion over a MnFe/TiO$_2$ from over 90% to 30.6%. Doping with ceria did not improve the
water tolerance. In 2018, Gao et al. [54] reviewed the sulfur and water tolerance of Mn-based catalysts
at low temperature and concluded, among other things, that more long term studies are needed to
validate the viability of this kind of catalyst under realistic conditions.

2.3. Coating Monoliths with Basic Substances

In order to reach the catalyst’s acid sites, potassium, typically originating from submicron
aerosols of KCl and K$_2$SO$_4$, first needs to be deposited on the external catalyst (monolith) surface [48].
From there, potassium needs to separate from its counter-ion and diffuse into the catalyst pores,
most likely through a surface transport mechanisms involving acid sites [31,55]. In other words,
potassium mobility becomes a determining factor in the poisoning mechanism of monolithic samples.
A pilot plant study performed by Jensen et al. [48] investigated the potassium uptake and the resulting
deactivation of plate type samples with various WO$_3$ (0, 7 wt.%) and V$_2$O$_5$ (1, 3, 6 wt.%) contents.
According to ammonia chemisorptions measurements, both tungsta and vanadia add acid sites to
the fresh samples, thereby favoring the potassium uptake. This, in turn, leads to an increased rate
of deactivation, e.g., 600 h of KCl aerosol (0.12 µm) at 350 °C leads to 76, 81, 89, and 98% relative
deactivation for 1%V$_2$O$_5$–0% WO$_3$, 3%V$_2$O$_5$–0% WO$_3$, 1%V$_2$O$_5$–7% WO$_3$, and 3%V$_2$O$_5$–7% WO$_3$,
respectively. Based on these results, it is highly questionable if the commonly used strategy of simply
increasing the number of surface acid sites is realistic under real life conditions. Despite the just quoted
deactivation data, tungsta-free catalysts are not an option for biomass fired plants, because they start
from a significantly lower base activity and probably suffer from rutilization over time.

Since the potassium uptake relies on acid sites on the outer monolith surface, it can be reduced by
coating this surface with a basic material, thus reducing the relative rate of deactivation [23,56,57].
MgO and Sepiolite (Mg$_4$Si$_8$O$_{16}$(OH)$_2$·6H$_2$O) have been reported as effective barrier materials.
These substances are, on the one hand, basic enough to hinder potassium from penetrating the catalyst
wall, and, on the other hand, they do not cause deactivation on their own. Olsen et al. [56] coated a plate
type catalyst with composition of 3 wt.% V$_2$O$_5$–7 wt.% WO$_3$/TiO$_2$ with 8.06 wt.% MgO resulting in a
roughly 200 µm thick layer and performed a pilot plant exposure campaign with KCl aerosols for several
hundred hours at 350 °C. The coating layer reduced the rate of deactivation from 0.91% to 0.24% per day.
These percentages refer to the initial activity of the uncoated sample. However, the decreased rate of
deactivation comes at the cost of an initial activity reduction of about 42%. This activity reduction was
ascribed to increased gas phase diffusion limitations introduced by the MgO layer, slight poisoning by
MgO on the outer layer of the catalyst, or a combination thereof. SEM-EDS measurements confirmed
that the outer MgO layer very effectively prevented potassium from diffusing into the catalyst and
that magnesium did not diffuse into the catalyst. Kristensen [23] very successfully used sepiolite as
a binder material for making plate type catalysts from 20 wt.% V$_2$O$_5$/TiO$_2$ powder, reinforced silica
sheets, and 20 wt.% sepiolite as binder. The resulting catalyst was exposed to a KCl aerosol for 632 h at
380 °C and thereafter crushed to a powder for lab scale activity measurements. A commercial of 3 wt.%
V$_2$O$_5$–7 wt.% WO$_3$/TiO$_2$ plate type catalyst was used as reference. When tested at 400 °C after KCl
exposure, the 20 wt.% V$_2$O$_5$/TiO$_2$–Sepiolite composite retained 68% of its activity, translating into a first
order rate constant of about 1650 cm$^{3}$·g$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$. The activity loss of the reference catalyst was 84%, and the
resulting first order rate constant was reported to be only about 200 cm$^{3}$·g$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$. These activity losses
were compared with data from a corresponding incipient wetness (KNO$_3$) poisoning study. The losses
experienced by the 20 wt.% V$_2$O$_5$/TiO$_2$–Sepiolite composite and the reference translate into impregnated
K loadings of 75 and 172 µmol K g$^{-1}$catalyst, strongly suggesting that sepiolite acts as a barrier material.
This was confirmed by SEM-EDS measurements, showing that potassium mainly accumulated on the
outer surface of the plate.
2.4. Intrinsically Potassium Resistant Catalysts

In this review “intrinsically potassium resistant” refers to catalysts that retain a high share of their activity, even when potassium is taken up from the flue gas and diffuses into the catalysts pore system. To the best of our knowledge, there is up to now no review on potassium tolerant catalysts.

The majority of studies mimic potassium poisoning by impregnation with potassium salts like e.g., KNO$_3$, K$_2$CO$_3$, and KCl followed by calcination. The resulting K-loaded catalysts are typically tested in powder form in lab scale reactors. Studies performed by different laboratories are often difficult to compare due to vastly different experimental conditions and benchmark catalysts. For example, using different potassium loadings and activity testing in different temperature regimes might lead to different conclusions. Benchmarking against catalyst of different potassium tolerance might also lead to different conclusions. Because of these shortcomings in comparability, we start this section with results from our laboratory, which tested a high number of alternative catalysts using identical or very similar experimental conditions.

Figures 1 and 2 present the potassium tolerance for an assortment of catalyst with various active metals (Fe, Cu, and V) and support materials (TiO$_2$, tungsto phosphoric acid (TPA) promoted TiO$_2$, mordenite (MOR), and sulfated ZrO$_2$). The retained activity clearly depends on the number of acid sites of the fresh catalysts, which in turn is very much a function of the support material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Retained Activity [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe/MOR</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu/MOR</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2O5/MOR</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-TPA/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-TPA/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2O5-TPA/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 wt.%-V2O5/Sulfated-TiO$_2$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2O5/Sulfated-ZrO$_2$</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2O5-WO$_3$/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Retained activity at 400 °C upon impregnation with 100 μmol K $g_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$ (130 μmol K $g_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$ for V$_2$O$_5$/sulfated-ZrO$_2$). Reproduced from [47].

In this study, the highest alkali tolerance was obtained with MOR (Si/Al = 10)-based catalysts. Putluru et al. [58] optimized the Cu loading and tested the effect of 0, 250, and 500 μmol K/g$\text{catalyst}$. 4 wt.% Cu/MOR retains about 60% of its initial activity after poisoning with 500 μmol K $g_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$, while only half that potassium loading causes more than 80% deactivation on a reference catalyst containing 3 wt.% vanadia and 7 wt.% tungsta. Cu/BEA (Si/Al = 25) and Cu/ZSM5 (Si/Al = 15) exhibit only slightly lower potassium tolerance than Cu/MOR does. Cu/Zeolite catalysts are not only very potassium resistant but also very active at 400 °C with first order rate constants of up to 1800 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, while this value is only about 1000 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ for the VWT reference catalyst [49]. Since the high potassium tolerance is at least in part due to the high number of acid sites on the zeolites, these materials will probably have to be protected by a thin layer of, e.g., MgO in order to avoid
increased uptake of potassium containing particles. Another issue with Cu-based catalyst is their sulfur intolerance [59, 60]. Vanadia supported on zeolites are very potassium tolerant but suffer from relative low activities. Likewise, iron-zeolite catalysts show comparatively low activities below 400 °C.

Putluru et al. [61] also demonstrated that the WO$_3$ component of the VWT catalyst can be replaced by heteropoly acids such as H$_3$PW$_{12}$O$_{40}$, H$_4$SiW$_{12}$O$_{40}$, H$_3$PMo$_{12}$O$_{40}$, and H$_4$SiMo$_{12}$O$_{40}$. Heteropoly acids contain more acid sites than WO$_3$, and these can probably serve as sacrificial sites, which is reflected by a higher potassium tolerance. Tungsto phosphoric acid (TPA, H$_3$PW$_{12}$O$_{40}$) resulted in the highest activity and the highest number of acid sites and is thermally more stable than the other heteropoly acids. Note that preparation of HPA-promoted catalyst is entirely based on impregnation and could therefore relatively easily be upscaled. A corresponding study on HPA-promoted Cu/TiO$_2$ and Fe/TiO$_2$ delivered similar results regarding activity and potassium tolerance [62]. The best HPAs were reported to be H$_3$PW$_{12}$O$_{40}$ and H$_3$PMo$_{12}$O$_{40}$. Another study by Putluru et al. [46] showed the effect of vanadia loading (3–6 wt.%) on the activity, and potassium tolerance of HPA promoted V$_2$O$_5$/TiO$_2$ catalysts at temperatures below 300 °C. The optimum vandia loading was 5 wt.%, and the resulting catalysts were almost unaffected by 100 μmol K g$^{-1}$ catalyst when tested at 225 °C.

The most active and potassium-tolerant catalyst published by our laboratory is a 20 wt.% V$_2$O$_5$/TiO$_2$ prepared by a sol-gel route [23, 47, 49]. This catalyst contains about 5 times as many acid sites as the VWT reference and is at least twice as active. The conversion of SO$_2$ to SO$_3$ at 380 and 420 °C was reported to be less pronounced than over the VWT reference. This is probably due to the amorphous nature of vanadia, which is a result of the special sol-gel method of preparation. Impregnation with 500 μmol K g$^{-1}$ catalyst resulted in the catalyst being about as active as the VWT reference loaded with only 150 μmol K g$^{-1}$ catalyst. Pilot scale exposure to KCl aerosols has demonstrated that a 20 wt.% V$_2$O$_5$/TiO$_2$—sepiolite composite catalyst suffers relatively little deactivation under more realistic conditions because of sepiolite impeding the surface diffusion of potassium.

Other research groups have also made many contributions over the last 10 years. Peng et al. [63] reported on the effect of doping V$_2$O$_5$-WO$_3$/TiO$_2$ with Ce. $V_{0.4}C_{0.5}W_{5}$/Ti and $V_{0.4}W_{10}$/Ti loaded with 1% K convert 30 and 18% NO, respectively, when tested at 400 °C. Du et al. [64] investigated the effect of Sb and Nb additives to V$_2$O$_5$/TiO$_2$. Both Sb and Nb have promotional effects on their own and can act synergistically. At 300 °C, potassium loaded VTi and V$Sb_{0.5}$NbTi show NO conversions...
of 22 and 43%, respectively. Gao et al. [65] reported on CeV mixed oxides supported on sulfated zirconia showing resistance to both SO\textsubscript{2} and potassium. The formation of CeVO\textsubscript{4} hinders the formation of Ce\textsubscript{2}(SO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}, and vanadia suppresses the absorption of SO\textsubscript{2}, thus inhibiting NH\textsubscript{4}HSO\textsubscript{4} formation. The potassium-loaded CeV mixed oxide catalyst maintains more than 95% NO conversion over 400 min of exposure to 600 ppm SO\textsubscript{2}, while the conversion over the V free catalyst drops to about 65%.

To the best of our knowledge, very few reports exist on the potassium tolerance of hydrocarbon-SCR. Ethanol-SCR using Ag/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} is comparable in activity to NH\textsubscript{3}-SCR over a 3 wt.% V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}–7wt.% WO\textsubscript{3}/TiO\textsubscript{2}, however, is almost equally affected by potassium [66]. The mechanism of poisoning is not well understood but involves oxidation of ethanol to CO\textsubscript{2}. Another problem with using ethanol instead of NH\textsubscript{3} as reductant is its much higher price. Furthermore, Ag/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} suffers from poor sulfur tolerance.

3. Conclusions

Different strategies of dealing with high concentrations of potassium in flue gases, typically present in biomass fired plants, were discussed. Addition of coal fly ash or other substances rich in alumino silicates like, e.g., kaolin is already an industrial practice and can very effectively bind potassium-containing aerosols. Lab scale experiments have demonstrated that this approach can be applied to various biomasses. The drawback of these additives is an increased concentration of particulates that need to be filtered off the flue gas. Tail-end placement of the SCR unit has also been demonstrated to work industrially. The major disadvantage of the tail-end placement, the expensive flue gas reheating to at least 180 °C, can, at present, not be avoided due to lack of catalysts that are sufficiently active, as well as due to sulfur and water tolerant at the outlet temperature of the desulfurization unit. Coating of shaped (monolith, plates) catalysts with thin layers of MgO or sepiolite was demonstrated to strongly reduce the rate of deactivation in pilot plant studies. The mildly basic nature of the protective layer impedes the diffusion of potassium ions into catalyst pores. Some of the studies report that the protective layer reduces the base activity by almost 50%, whereas others report a much lower penalty. Also, catalysts designed to tolerate higher loadings of potassium have been developed on a lab scale and include V, Cu, and Fe as active metals and heteropoly acid-promoted TiO\textsubscript{2}, sulfated ZrO\textsubscript{2}, and zeolites with a low Si/Al ratio as support materials. Most of the alternative catalysts gain their increased potassium tolerance from the addition of sacrificial acid sites. Since an increased number of acid sites was demonstrated to increase the potassium uptake from the flue gas, the addition of sacrificial sites probably only makes sense in conjunction with a protective layer of, e.g., MgO. The most promising results in this regard were obtained with a sol-gel prepared 20 wt.% V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}/TiO\textsubscript{2} in combination with sepiolite. This composite material is about twice as active as the commercial, takes up less potassium from the flue gas, and experiences less deactivation per amount of adsorbed potassium. Avoiding the issue of reduced ammonia adsorption due to potassium uptake by using hydrocarbons as reductants has so far not been promising. We believe that mitigating the effect of potassium in biomass-fired units requires a multidimensional approach. For example, researchers should, if possible, demonstrate, using pilot plant studies, that promising catalyst formulations are also combinable with effective barrier materials that can minimize potassium uptake. Cost benefit analyses should also compare the use of alumino silicate addition with the use of potentially more expensive catalysts and tail-end placement of the SCR unit.
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