



## Latency and Criticality of Uncertainties in the Development of Product-Service Systems

**Ramirez Hernandez, Tabea; Kreye, Melanie; Pigosso, Daniela Cristina Antelmi**

*Published in:*  
Proceedings of the Spring Servitization Conference

*Publication date:*  
2018

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*  
Ramirez Hernandez, T., Kreye, M., & Pigosso, D. C. A. (2018). Latency and Criticality of Uncertainties in the Development of Product-Service Systems. In Proceedings of the Spring Servitization Conference

## DTU Library Technical Information Center of Denmark

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## **LATENCY AND CRITICALITY OF UNCERTAINTIES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRODUCT-SERVICE SYSTEMS**

**TABEA RAMÍREZ HERNÁNDEZ , MELANIE KREYE & DANIELA CRISTINA ANELMI PIGOSSO**

### **RESEARCH MOTIVATION**

Servitization requires manufacturers to develop new business models - compound offerings between products and services often referred to as Product-Service Systems (PSS). The development of PSS goes beyond the traditional product-development practices, requiring new processes and capabilities due to the high levels of uncertainty caused by the novelty and complexity of developing the product and the service in parallel. Uncertainty is further increased through mostly long life cycles of PSS and organisational complexity caused by a high degree of stakeholder involvement (Wolfenstetter et al., 2015). The lack of managing these uncertainties often leads to large-scale losses for the provider, also known as the “servitization paradox”. Uncertainty has been characterised by a framework in product development literature in terms of its latency and criticality (O’Connor and Rice, 2013). Latency describes whether the uncertainty may be recognizable in time and distinguishes unanticipated and anticipated uncertainties. Criticality defines the influence on the project’s immediate progress and distinguishes routine (and thus foreseeable) and extraordinary (and thus unforeseeable) events. This research aims to apply this framework which stems from the product-development literature to PSS development to explore the phenomenon of uncertainty in this context

### **METHOD**

We present a case study with a large company operating in the food and beverage industry. The case company developed a PSS comprising of hard- and software for remote condition monitoring and a complementary service bundle consisting of maintenance, monitoring, analysis and optimization of the customer’s production processes. The development process required strong stakeholder management capabilities as it cut across all segments within the organization and represented a collaboration of 5 major companies. Although it was initiated in the middle of 2015 with the aim to complete it in middle of 2016, several uncertainties challenged the progress of the development leading to rescheduling the launch to the middle of 2018. The case data was collected via semi-structured interviews and evaluation of supporting project documentation. The data was analysed through coding in ATLAS.ti.

### **FINDINGS**

In the course of the project several uncertainties for both latency categories were identified. Anticipated uncertainty was exemplified through the cultural change in moving from a traditional manufacturer towards a service-oriented company. The case company struggled with convincing the employees of the change in the business model and motivating them to actively support and engage in its implementation. An example of unanticipated uncertainty was the underestimation of the complexity of the PSS development. The degree of detail required to define new functions and

processes for the PSS execution, and align them with the existing ones. This was strongly underestimated and led to a delay of the project. Criticality arose through routine and extraordinary uncertainty. Routine uncertainty was exemplified through the technical complexity of interface engineering between all systems. Here increased PSS complexity due to a higher number of interfaces between different software, hardware and service components had to be designed. Extraordinary uncertainty arose through quality problems with a physical component by virtue of the long life cycle prerequisite of the PSS. This resulted in a major delay of the PSS development due to fault tracing and the changeover to another hardware provider. Further examples of latency and criticality of uncertainties from the case company are shown in Figure 1.

Latency	Unanticipated	<b>Unanticipated and Routine</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reorganization of the company affecting the availability of project staff</li> <li>• Specific customer requirements respective IT standards to be fulfilled in order to sell the PSS</li> </ul>	<b>Unanticipated and Extraordinary</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hardware problems with one central component leading to major delays and change of supplier</li> <li>• Changes in the EU law threatening the whole PSS concept</li> </ul>	
	Anticipated	<b>Anticipated and Routine</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complexity in technical interface engineering</li> <li>• PSS development of very high complexity and large stakeholder involvement</li> <li>• Challenges through diverse languages and remote communication</li> </ul>	<b>Anticipated and Extraordinary</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change of the organizational culture</li> <li>• Strategic decision for a collaboration partner with little knowledge about the central field of engineering required</li> </ul>	
		← Routine	← Criticality	← Extraordinary →

Figure 1: Uncertainty Assessment Matrix

### CONTRIBUTION TO THEORY AND PRACTICE

This paper contributes to theory building through applying an uncertainty framework to PSS development. This contributes to the servitization literature by offering specific insights into the challenges occurred during PSS development. Building on a retrospective analysis further research should be conducted to aid uncertainty identification and prediction. Managerial implications are the creation of uncertainty awareness during PSS development, the ability to assess uncertainty and enable fast responses. Based on the framework managers can establish routine procedures for uncertainty management in the planning phase and respond immediately to extraordinary events.

### KEY DISCUSSION POINTS

- Uncertainties in PSS development may be assessed through their latency and criticality
- Latency may be distinguished into anticipated and unanticipated uncertainties
- Criticality may differentiate routine and extraordinary uncertainties
- The case shows that in PSS development all four categories of uncertainty typically arise
- This framework enables practitioners to assess uncertainties and manage them appropriately

### REFERENCES

- O'Connor, G.C. and Rice, M.P. (2013), "A comprehensive model of uncertainty associated with radical innovation", *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, Vol. 30 No. SUPPL 1, pp. 2–18.
- Wolfenstetter, T., Bohm, M., Krcmar, H. and Brundl, S. (2015), "Why product service systems development is special", *International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Systems Management (IESM)*, No. October, pp. 1221–1228.

### AUTHOR CONTACT DETAILS

Tabea Ramírez Hernández, tarah@dtu.dk, Department of Management Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark  
 Melanie Kreye, mkreye@dtu.dk, Department of Management Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark  
 Daniela Cristina Antelmi Pigosso, danpi@mek.dtu.dk, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark