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1. INTRODUCTION

Fiber optics telecommunication is the currently established backbone infrastructure for most of the information flow across the world [1]. However, the demand for an always increasing transmission rate, which for the existing channels is necessarily associated with an increment of the launched signal power to minimize the optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR) degradation, has been predicted to be asymptotically limited by the distortion induced by the optical fiber nonlinearity [2,3]. It is a well-known fact that light propagation in fiber optics is governed by the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) [4] where the nonlinearity arises due to the Kerr effect. Nonlinearity is a problem for transmitting information with the currently used modulation formats in fiber optics communications. Indeed, as the power is increased, the signal is more distorted by the nonlinear crosstalk, thus limiting the capability of the receiver in recovering the transmitted information. It is therefore necessary to mitigate the nonlinear effects to compensate for the distortions and to provide novel approaches for communication over the nonlinear fiber-optic channel. Two main paths have been followed up to now to counteract this problem: the first approach consists of mitigating the nonlinear effects through a wealth of techniques such as optical phase-conjugation [5] or digital back-propagation [6]; the second path, more ambitiously, aims at encoding information into the eigenmodes of the nonlinear channel, whose evolution is linear upon spatial propagation. This second approach, originally called eigenvalue communication, has been proposed by Hasegawa and Nyu [7] and it is now, with various modifications, growing as a new paradigm in optical communications [8].

This method exploits the exact integrability of the NLSE through the inverse scattering transform (IST) [9] as the master evolution equation of the electric field propagating in single-mode fiber (SMF). Integrability of the NLSE was demonstrated by Zakharov and Shabat back in 1972 [10], who found an associated spectral problem related to a set of ordinary linear differential equations. Following this approach, it is possible to identify the eigenvalues, which can be considered to be analogous to the frequencies in the classical Fourier transform, and the so-called scattering coefficients, complex amplitudes associated to the eigenvalues. The application of the IST to fiber optics communications allows the use of various and flexible modulation formats [8]. Due to integrability, in the lossless and noiseless limit, nonlinearity is not a detrimental factor anymore, but on the contrary, it is a constitutive element of the transmission system itself. The parallelism between the linear Fourier transform method used to solve linear initial value problems and the IST used to solve nonlinear ones [9] has driven some authors to rename the IST as the nonlinear Fourier transform (NFT) [11], which is the...
name currently used in the engineering communities (see [8] for a recent review including historical details). The nonlinear Fourier spectrum of a signal consists of a set of eigenvalues and the respective associated scattering coefficients. The eigenvalues belong either to a so-called discrete spectrum or to a continuous spectrum; the first describes the solitonic components of the signal, while the second is associated with dispersive waves and reduces to the classical Fourier spectrum in the limit of low power.

Communications channels based on both discrete or/and continuous spectrum modulations have been extensively studied and experimentally demonstrated up to now for the scalar (single polarization) NLSE (see e.g., [12–16] to cite just a few).

A series of key challenges that need to be met in order for NFT-based communication to exit the labs and operate in real-world infrastructures has been described recently [8]. One of those challenges consists indeed of endowing the eigenvalue communication approach with polarization division multiplexing, which allows information to be encoded on both orthogonal polarization components supported by SMFs. The description of the light propagation, accounting for its polarization dynamics, can be described, under specific conditions that apply to modern communications fiber links, by the Manakov equations [17]. In a milestone paper of nonlinear science, Manakov showed that those equations can be solved analytically by the IST [18]. Detailed investigations of the solutions of the Manakov equations especially concerning soliton and multisoliton dynamics in the presence of noise and polarization mode dispersion (PMD) in optical communications, as well as their connection with optical rogue waves formation, are present in the literature [19–25].

To the best of our knowledge, the NFT dual-polarization problem has never been tackled at the level aimed to demonstrate a working communication system, and only very preliminary theoretical works are present in the literature on this topic [26,27].

In this paper, we present the mathematical framework underlying the dual-polarization NFT, and we show an extension of our recent results on the first experimental demonstration of a dual-polarization nonlinear frequency division multiplexing (DP-NFDM) fiber optics communication system [28]. We have transmitted up to 373.5 km at the hard-decision forward error correction (HD-FEC) bit error rate (BER) threshold of 3.8 \times 10^{-3}, with information encoded in the quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK)-modulated scattering coefficients associated with two eigenvalues belonging to the Manakov system discrete spectrum, for both orthogonal polarization components supported by a SMF.

The structure of the paper is as follows: in Section 2 we define the NFT for the dual-polarization case, and we describe the mathematical tools—the Darboux transformation (DT)—needed to generate the waveforms associated with a desired nonlinear spectrum for both field polarizations. In Section 3 we discuss the details of a DP-NFDM system. Finally, in Section 4, we present a detailed account of the experimental transmission results, followed by a discussion of the results and conclusions in Section 5.

2. MATHEMATICAL FRAMEWORK

A. Channel Model

The evolution of the slowly varying complex-valued envelopes of the electric field propagating in a SMF exhibiting random birefringence and whose dispersion and nonlinear lengths are much larger than the birefringence correlation length is described by the averaged Manakov equations [17,29],

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial E_1}{\partial t} &= -i \beta_1 E_1 + \gamma (|E_1|^2 + |E_2|^2) E_1 \\
\frac{\partial E_2}{\partial t} &= -i \beta_2 E_2 + \gamma (|E_1|^2 + |E_2|^2) E_2
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\tau\) and \(\ell\) represent the time and space coordinates, respectively, \(E_{1,2}\) are the amplitudes of the two electric field polarizations, \(\beta_2\) is the dispersion coefficient, and \(\gamma\) is the nonlinearity coefficient.

In order to remove any dependency from a specific channel, it is common to work with the normalized version of Eq. (1). The normalized Manakov system (MS) [18,30,31] is obtained by performing the change of variable,

\[
q_j = \frac{E_j}{\sqrt{P}} \quad t = \frac{\tau}{T_0} \quad z = -\frac{\ell}{\mathcal{E}}
\]

where \(P = |\beta_2|/|\beta_1^2|\), \(\mathcal{E} = 2T_0^2/|\beta_2|\), and \(T_0\) is a free normalization parameter, leading to

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{i}{\alpha} \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial z} &= \frac{q_1}{\alpha} + 2(|q_1|^2 + |q_2|^2)q_1 \\
\frac{i}{\alpha} \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial z} &= \frac{q_2}{\alpha} + 2(|q_1|^2 + |q_2|^2)q_2
\end{align*}
\]

where \(z\) and \(t\) represent the normalized space and time variables, respectively. In this study, we have considered the anomalous dispersion regime (\(\beta_2 < 0\)), since it is the one that supports solitons and corresponds to the regime of currently deployed SMFs.

In realistic systems, the field amplitude is attenuated upon spatial propagation at a rate \(\alpha/2\), where \(\alpha\) is the attenuation coefficient of the fiber. This breaks the integrability of Eq. (1). However, it is possible to suitably redefine the fields \(E_{1,2} \rightarrow E_{1,2}e^{-(\alpha/2)z}\) in such a way that they obey a lossless equation with an effective nonlinearity coefficient

\[
\gamma_{\text{eff}} = \gamma (1 - e^{-(\alpha L)})/(\alpha L)
\]

where \(L\) is the length of one optical fiber span. The evolution equation with the modified nonlinear term can be considered the leading approximation of the lossy system when we account for the periodic signal boosts due to the erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA). This is the so-called lossless path-averaged (LPA) model [32–34], which is in general valid when the amplifiers’ spacing is smaller than the soliton period, and it has been used across all the present study.

B. Direct NFT

In order to compute the NFT of a signal \(g_{1,2}(t)\), it is first necessary to associate to the MS Eq. (3) a so-called spectral problem. This is known for the case of the NLSE as the Zakharov–Shabat spectral problem (ZSP), while for the Manakov equations we can call it the Manakov–Zakharov–Shabat spectral problem (MZSP). The MZSP is defined by the following system of linear ordinary differential equations:

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = (\lambda \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})v
\]

being
where $v$ is a solution, and $\lambda$ is a spectral parameter.

Assuming the vanishing boundary conditions for the signal, i.e., $|q_{1,2}(t)| \to 0$ for $t \to \pm \infty$, it is possible to find a set of canonical solutions to Eq. (5) called Jost solutions defined as [30]

$$\phi^N(t, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\lambda t}; \quad \tilde{\phi}^N(t, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_1 & q_2 \\ -q_1^* & 0 & 0 \\ -q_2^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

(6a)

$$\phi^P(t, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\lambda t}; \quad \tilde{\phi}^P(t, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\lambda t} \to +\infty,$$

(6b)

\{\phi^P(t, \lambda), \tilde{\phi}^P(t, \lambda)\} and \{\phi^N(t, \lambda), \tilde{\phi}^N(t, \lambda)\} are two bases for the eigenspace associate to $\lambda$. One can write $\phi^N(t, \lambda)$ and $\phi^N(t, \lambda)$ as a linear combination of the basis vectors \{\phi^P(t, \lambda), \tilde{\phi}^P(t, \lambda)\} as

$$\phi^N(t, \lambda) = \phi^P(t, \lambda)b(\lambda) + \tilde{\phi}^P(t, \lambda)a(\lambda),$$

(7a)

$$\tilde{\phi}^N(t, \lambda) = \phi^P(t, \lambda)\tilde{a}(\lambda) + \tilde{\phi}^P(t, \lambda)b(\lambda),$$

(7b)

with coefficients $a(\lambda), b(\lambda), \tilde{a}(\lambda), b(\lambda)$, and $\tilde{b}(\lambda)$, where $a(\lambda)$ is a scalar, $\tilde{a}(\lambda)$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix, $b(\lambda)$ is a two-entry column vector, and $\tilde{b}(\lambda)$ is a two-entry row vector. These coefficients are called scattering coefficients. From the knowledge of the scattering coefficients, it is possible to reconstruct the signal $q_{1,2}(t)$ uniquely.

Analogously to the case of the NLSE [11], we can define the NFT continuous and discrete spectral amplitudes for the MS as

$$Q_d(\lambda) = b(\lambda)a(\lambda)^{-1} \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

(8a)

$$Q_d,\lambda\lambda(\lambda) = b(\lambda)a'(\lambda)^{-1} \lambda_1,...,\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R},$$

(8b)

and $a'(\lambda) = \frac{da(\lambda)}{d\lambda}\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$ such that $a(\lambda) = 0$. Although these spectral amplitudes are commonly used, it is more convenient to work directly with the scattering coefficients $a(\lambda)$ and $b(\lambda)$ [35]; hence, when throughout the whole paper we will refer to the nonlinear spectrum, we will implicitly mean the eigenvalues and the associated scattering coefficients. The scattering coefficients are time independent, and their spatial evolution is given by [30]

$$a(\lambda, z) = a(\lambda, 0), \quad \tilde{a}(\lambda, z) = \tilde{a}(\lambda, 0),$$

(9a)

$$b(\lambda, z) = b(\lambda, 0)e^{i\lambda z z}. \quad \tilde{b}(\lambda, z) = \tilde{b}(\lambda, 0)e^{-i\lambda z z}. $$

(9b)

In order to not overburden the notation, we will drop the explicit space dependence as we did in the beginning of this section. The fact that the scattering coefficients are time invariant allows computing them at an arbitrary instant of time. For example, using Eq. (7a) and the boundary Jost solutions, they can be computed at $t = +\infty$. At this instant, $\phi^P(t, \lambda)$ is known. Moreover, it is possible to propagate $\phi^N(t, \lambda)$ from $t = -\infty$, where it is known, to $t = +\infty$ by integrating Eq. (7a). Given the particular structure of the Jost solutions, it results that the scattering coefficients are given by

$$a(\lambda) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} [\phi^N(t, \lambda)\phi^N(t, \lambda)^{-1}],$$

(10a)

$$b_1(\lambda) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} [\phi^N(t, \lambda)\phi^N(t, \lambda)^{-1}],$$

(10b)

$$b_2(\lambda) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} [\phi^N(t, \lambda)\phi^N(t, \lambda)^{-1}],$$

(10c)

and using Eqs. (6) and (7a) gives

$$a(\lambda) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} [\phi^N(t, \lambda)e^{i\lambda t}],$$

(11a)

$$b(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} b_1(\lambda) \\ b_2(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} \lim_{t \to +\infty} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{f}_1(t, \lambda) \\ \bar{f}_2(t, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} e^{i\lambda t} \right].$$

(11b)

It should be noted that, compared to the NLSE case, there is an additional scattering coefficient $b_2(\lambda)$ that can be used to encode information, potentially doubling the system transmission rate.

### C. Inverse NFT

The inverse nonlinear Fourier transform (INFT) is the mathematical procedure that allows construction of a time domain waveform starting from a given nonlinear spectrum. In our work, we have performed the INFT at the transmitter by using an algorithm based on the DT [36]. The DT is a natural candidate to build time domain signals, especially when the information is encoded only in the discrete nonlinear spectrum. The method consists of adding iteratively discrete eigenvalues to the nonlinear spectrum while simultaneously updating the signal in the time domain. The INFT based on the DT for eigenvalue communications was proposed in [37]. In our work, we have used the DT for the MS derived by Wright [38]. We summarize here how the DT for the MS works.

Let $v$ be a column vector solution of the MZSP spectral problem Eq. (5) associated with the MS for the signal $q(t)$ and the eigenvalue $\lambda$; then according to [38], a new solution of Eq. (5), $\hat{v}$, is given by the following equation:

$$\hat{v} = (\lambda I_3 - G_0)v,$$

(12)

where $I_3$ is the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix, $G_0 = \Theta M_0 \Theta^{-1}$ with

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{v}_1 \\ \tilde{v}_2 \\ \tilde{v}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 - \lambda_0^2 \\ 0 \\ \lambda_0 - \lambda_0^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

(13)

where the matrix $M_0 = \text{diag}(\lambda_0, \lambda_0^2, \lambda_0^3)$, and $\hat{v} = (\hat{v}_1, \hat{v}_2, \hat{v}_3)^T$ is a solution of Eq. (5) for the seed signal $q_{\phi, f}(t)$, $f = 1, 2$ and a fixed value of $\lambda = \lambda_0$. The DT gives the new signal waveforms in the time domain for both polarizations $\hat{q}_{1,2}(t)$ as a function of the old signals $q_{\phi, f}$, the auxiliary solution $\hat{v}$, and of the new eigenvalue $\lambda_0$ we want to add to the nonlinear spectrum,

$$\hat{q}_{1,2} = q_{1,2} + 2i(\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2)u_j \frac{u_j^2}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2} u_j^2} (j = 1, 2),$$

(14)

where $u_j = \tilde{v}_{j+1}/\tilde{v}_1$.

Starting from the "vacuum" solution $q_{1,2}(t) = 0, j = 1, 2$, the procedure sketched in Fig. 1 can be repeated iteratively to generate the dual polarization time domain signal associated with a nonlinear spectrum containing an arbitrary large number of discrete eigenvalues.

The generic auxiliary solution $\hat{q}^{(k)}$ that satisfies the MZSP for the eigenvalue $\lambda_k$ reads: $\hat{q}^{(k)} = (A^{(k)}e^{i\lambda_k t}, B^{(k)}e^{i\lambda_k t}, C^{(k)}e^{i\lambda_k t})^T$ (\{A^{(k)}, B^{(k)}, C^{(k)}) being some initialization constants). Hence after adding $i$ eigenvalues, the auxiliary solutions are modified according to the following chain of matrix multiplications:
In this section, the basic structure of a DP-NFDM system using the discrete spectrum will be described as shown in the four insets in upper part of the figure.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\hat{v}^{(i)}}{\hat{p}_1^{(i)}} & = (\lambda_1 I_3 - \mathbf{G}_{0i-1}) \ldots (\lambda_i I_3 - \mathbf{G}_{0i}) \left( A^{(i)} e^{-i \lambda_1 t} B^{(i)} e^{i \lambda_1 t} C^{(i)} e^{i \lambda_2 t} \right), \\
\end{align*}
\]

where the \( \mathbf{G}_{0i} \) matrices are evaluated as functions of the \( i \)th auxiliary solution \( \hat{v}^{(i)} \) evaluated after \( i - 1 \) Darboux transformations; see also the scheme depicted in Fig. 1. The initialization constants \( \{A^{(i)}, B^{(i)}, C^{(i)}\} \) have been set respectively equal to \( \{1, -b_1(\lambda_3), -b_2(\lambda_3)\} \) in order to obtain the correct spectrum after performing NFT and INFT in sequence as in the scalar case [39]. \( b_1(\lambda_3) \) and \( b_2(\lambda_3) \) are the scattering coefficients that we want to associate to the eigenvalue \( \lambda_3 \) for the two polarization components, respectively.

### 3. DP-NFDM System

In this section, the basic structure of a DP-NFDM system using the discrete spectrum will be described. The digital signal processing (DSP) chain will be introduced first and then the experimental setup will follow.

#### A. Transmitter and Receiver Digital Signal Processing

At the transmitter, the data bits are mapped to the scattering coefficients pairs \( \{b_1(\lambda_1), b_2(\lambda_1)\} \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), where the eigenvalues \( \{\lambda_1 = 0.3, \lambda_2 = 0.6\} \) are used for each symbol. We will refer to these sets of coefficients and equivalently to the associated time domain waveform as a DP-NFDM symbol. The scattering coefficients associated with the first eigenvalue can assume values drawn from a QPSK constellation of radius 5 and rotated by \( \pi/4 \), while those associated with the second eigenvalue are drawn from a QPSK constellation of radius 0.14 as shown in Fig. 2. This particular structure of the constellations was chosen to reduce the peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR) of the signal at the transmitter in order to limit the performance losses due to the limited resolution of the digital-to-analog converter (DAC) and due to the nonlinear characteristic of a Mach–Zehnder modulator (MZM) and electrical amplifiers (see Supplement 1 for a detailed explanation). The waveform associated to each DP-NFDM symbol is generated using the DT described in the previous section followed by the denormalization as in Eq. (2) with normalization parameter \( T_0 = 47 \) ps. This choice of \( T_0 \) allows fitting the waveform in a time window of 1 ns (1 Gb/s) with enough time guard band among successive DP-NFDM symbols to satisfy the vanishing boundary conditions required to correctly compute the NFT.

The power \( P_{\text{In}} \) of the digital signal thus obtained is later used to set the power of the corresponding transmitted optical signal.

At the receiver, the digital signal output by the digital storage oscilloscope (DSO) is first rescaled so that its power is \( P_{\text{out}} \) (the power of the transmitted optical signal). Then an ideal rectangular filter with bandwidth equal to the 99% power bandwidth of the signal is used to filter the out of band noise. At this point, cross-correlation-based frame synchronization using training sequences is performed in order to optimally align the DP-NFDM symbol to the processing window. For each DP-NFDM symbol, first the eigenvalues are located using the Newton–Raphson search method employing the one-directional trapezoidal method, and then the coefficients \( b_{1,2}(\lambda) \) are computed on the found eigenvalues using the forward-backward trapezoidal method (see Supplement 1 for more details). The homodyne configuration of the receiver allows us to avoid having a frequency offset

![Fig. 2. Ideal normalized constellations are illustrated schematically: in (a) the discrete eigenvalues \( \lambda_1 = 0.3 \) and \( \lambda_2 = 0.6 \) are depicted. The scattering coefficients \( b_{1,2}(\lambda) \), \( i = 1, 2 \), associated with the two orthogonal polarization components of the signal, are shown in (b) and (c), respectively. Polarization 1 and Polarization 2 are on a violet and green background, respectively. The scattering coefficients associated with \( \lambda_1 \) are chosen from a QPSK constellation of radius 5 and rotated by \( \pi/4 \), while those associated with \( \lambda_2 \) from a QPSK constellation and radius 0.14.](image-url)
between the transmitter laser and the coherent receiver local oscillator (LO), but given the non-zero combined linewidth of the two lasers (∼1 kHz), their coherence length is limited to about 90 km. This implies that the received constellations are affected by phase noise when the transmission distance exceeds the coherence length of the laser, causing errors in the detection of the symbols. The phase noise is removed by applying the blind phase search algorithm [40] in the NFT domain to each constellation individually. Finally, the scattering coefficients are rotated back to remove the phase factor acquired during the transmission [Eq. (9b)], and the decision on the symbols is taken using a minimum Euclidean distance decoder over the scattering coefficients.

B. Experimental Setup

The experimental setup and the block diagrams of the DSP are depicted in Fig. 3. At the transmitter, a fiber laser (FL) with sub-kilohertz (kHz) linewidth is modulated using an integrated dual polarization I/Q modulator driven by an arbitrary waveform generator (AWG) with 20 GHz analog bandwidth and 64 GSa/s. Before uploading it to the AWG, the signal generated by the INFT is predistorted using the ideal inverse transfer function of the MZM (asin(·)). This predistortion is required in order to have a good trade-off between signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) at the output of the MZM and signal distortions caused by its nonlinear transfer function. Nonetheless, given the still high PAPR of the optimized waveform considered (see Supplement 1), this predistortion is not optimal, and advanced methods can be employed to improve further the quality of the transmitted signal [41]. The channel is a fiber link composed of up to 9 spans of SMF fiber with dispersion \(D = 17.5\) ps/nm·km, nonlinear coefficient \(\gamma = 1.25\, W^{-1}\, km^{-1}\), attenuation \(\alpha = 0.195\, dB/km\), and PMD coefficient <0.1 ps km\(^{-1/2}\). Two different span lengths of \(L = 41.5\) km and \(L = 83\) km were employed. Considering these channel parameters, the complex baseband signal generated by the INFT with LPA and denormalized has the following properties: 99% of its power contained within a bandwidth \(W\) of 12.7 GHz, a PAPR of 9.49 dB, and an average power \(P_{tx}\) of 5.30 dBm and 7.70 dBm for the span lengths \(L = 41.5\) and 83 km, respectively. Given these channel and signal parameters, we have that the soliton period, defined as \((\pi/2)L_d\), with \(L_d = (W/|\beta_2|)^{-1}\) the dispersion length [8,42], is 436 km. Being this much larger than the typical birefringence correlation length, which is on a scale of few tens of meters [29], guarantees the applicability of the Manakov averaged model.

In order to properly match the transmitted signal to the channel, the gain of the EDFA at the transmitter is tuned in such a way to set the power of the optical signal to \(P_{tx}\). The optical signal is then transmitted through the channel.

At the receiver, the signal is first sent through a 0.9 nm optical band pass filter (OBPF), and then a polarization controller (PC) was used to manually align the polarization of the signal to the optical front end. The use of the PC was required to avoid the use of polarization tracking algorithms for the NFT signals, which were not available at the time of the experiment. In the future it could be possible to use modulation-independent polarization tracking algorithms, as an example, employing independent components analysis [43]. The signal is then detected by using a standard coherent receiver (33 GHz analog bandwidth, 80 GSa/s) in a homodyne configuration where the transmitter laser is used as LO. The acquired digital signal consisting of five blocks of \(10^5\) DP-NFDM symbols is then fed to the receiver DSP chain described previously.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The system was initially tested in a back-to-back (B2B) configuration, where the transmitter output has been directly connected to the receiver, in order to obtain the best performance achievable by the system in the sole presence of the intrinsic transceiver distortions (e.g., transmitter front-end distortion, detector noise,

![Fig. 4. System performance in terms of BER as a function of the OSNR in a back-to-back configuration. The BER of the individual constellations are shown by the violet (Polarization 1) and green (Polarization 2) curves and are grouped per eigenvalue (\(\lambda_1 = 0.3, \lambda_2 = 0.6\)). The black curve represents the average BER over the four constellations.](image)
The four experimental constellations of the scattering coefficients $b_1, b_2(\gamma), i = 1, 2$ associated with the two eigenvalues ($\lambda_1 = i/0.3$, $\lambda_2 = i/0.6$) are shown at the transmitter side (left) and after 373.5 km transmission with 41.5 km spans and 83 km span lengths of SMF with span length $L = 41.5$ and $L = 83$ km. The performance in terms of BER as a function of the transmission distance $L$ is shown in Fig. 4. A visible effect is the fact that the BER is not the same for the four different constellations, but it is worse for the two constellations associated with the eigenvalue with the largest imaginary part. This effect can be related to the dependency of the noise variance of both the eigenvalues and the corresponding scattering coefficients on the imaginary part of the eigenvalues themselves [44–48]: an analysis of the noise distribution for the various eigenvalues and scattering coefficients is provided in Supplement 1.

In order to demonstrate fiber transmission with the proposed system, a transmission was performed over a link of $N$ spans of SMF with span length $L = 41.5$ and $L = 83$ km. The performance in terms of BER as a function of the transmission distance is shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) for the four different constellations. The difference in performance in the two eigenvalues appears in this case too. This can also be seen from the constellation plots after 373.5 km in Fig. 6, where the two constellations associated with $\lambda_2$ are sensibly more degraded than those related to $\lambda_1$, which are still well defined. Similar performance can instead be seen in the two different polarizations of the same eigenvalue.

Finally, in Fig. 5(c) we compare the average BER for the two span lengths used in the test in order to check the impact of the LPA approximation. Worse performances are expected when longer links are used, being $\gamma_{eff}$ [given in Eq. (4)] farther from the real $\gamma$ of the fiber in this case. The BER curve for the 41.5 km span contains two outlier points at 124.5 km and 249 km that are slightly worse than the general trend of the curve. This is believed to be caused by instabilities in the setup when the related experimental traces were acquired, in particular an incorrect alignment of the polarization to the receiver due to a drift in the polarization state of the received signal. Besides these two points, the rest of the 41.5 km curve lies under the one for the 83 km spans, confirming that the use of longer spans adds a slight degradation in the performance of the system. The maximum reach of the system achieved with BER under the HD-FEC threshold is 373.5 km using 41.5 km spans and 249 km with spans of 83 km. It should be noted that in our experimental setup the PMD effect was not compensated for. However, for the transmission lengths and PMD values of the standard SMF employed, the accumulated differential group delay is negligible if compared with the pulse duration [4]. The impact of PMD is therefore not expected to have had a major impact on the results shown. New approaches have been developed to compensate for PMD effects in linear transmission systems [49], and a recent work has shown in simulations that for a DP-NFDM system employing the continuous spectrum, PMD effects could be compensated for in the nonlinear domain by using a linear equalizer [27]. Similar techniques may be applied to discrete DP-NFDM systems.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated experimentally, for the first time to the best of our knowledge, an eigenvalue-based optical communication system employing two orthogonal modes of polarization. We encoded 8 bits/DP-NFDM symbol and demonstrated transmission up to 373.5 km. Furthermore, we have shown that a powerful, but rather abstract mathematical technique, the Darboux transformation, can indeed have a far-reaching impact in applied nonlinear optics, namely, in fiber-based telecommunication systems. Our results pave the way toward doubling the information rate of NFT-based fiber optics communication systems. Although more research work needs to be done in this direction, by
demonstrating the possibility of using dual-polarization NFT channels, we have indeed successfully met one of the key challenges that were explicitly highlighted in a recent review of this research field [8] as necessary steps in order to bring eigenvalue communication from a pioneering stage to be a working infrastructure for optical communications in the real world. Furthermore, the demonstration of polarization division multiplexing is a significant step toward a fair comparison of the NFT-based channels with the currently used linear ones where polarization division multiplexing is an established practice.
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