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Stable isotope-resolved analysis with quantitative dissolution dynamic nuclear polarization

Mathilde H. Lerche¹, Demet Yigit¹*, Anne B. Frahm¹*, Jan Henrik Ardenkjær-Larsen¹, Ronja M. Malinowski¹ and Pernille R. Jensen¹#.

¹Center for Hyperpolarization in Magnetic Resonance, Department of Electrical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Ørsteds Plads, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark.

ABSTRACT: Metabolite profiles and their isotopomer distributions can be studied non-invasively in complex mixtures with NMR. The advent of dissolution Dynamic Nuclear Polarization (dDNP) and isotope enrichment add sensitivity and resolution to such metabolic studies. Metabolic pathways and networks can be mapped and quantified if protocols that control and exploit the ex situ signal enhancement are created. We present a sample preparation method, including cell incubation, extraction and signal enhancement, to obtain reproducible and quantitative dDNP (qdDNP) NMR-based stable isotope-resolved analysis. We further illustrate how qdDNP was applied to gain metabolic insights into the phenotype of aggressive cancer cells.

INTRODUCTION

An increasing quest for systems approaches to biochemical understanding in living organisms makes NMR analysis of metabolism still more attractive. In conjunction with stable isotope labeling, NMR provides the possibility to directly determine metabolite composition of complex mixtures and to perform in situ analysis of pathway dynamics from live cells and whole organisms.¹ Augmented sensitivity and resolution of the NMR signals can be gained by ex situ signal enhancement e.g. obtained with dissolution Dynamic Nuclear Polarization (dDNP).² The well-established real-time kinetic dDNP NMR experiment offers detection of the direct conversion of a hyperpolarized substrate in a cell suspension with sub-second resolution.³ This type of experiment allows the determination of rate constants within a one-minute time window after addition of the substrate to the cell suspension. This transient experiment, however, requires high uptake and turnover rates for its success.

Changing the order of events in the described DNP signal enhanced experiment could eliminate any restriction on time scale. Such an experiment would involve incubation of live cells or whole organisms with stable isotope labeled substrates, extraction of metabolites and quantitative dDNP NMR of the extracts. Such complementary strategy has previously been applied for therapeutic drug monitoring in animals by analyzing systemic and excreted metabolites in blood and urine⁴, for characterization of physical parameters of metabolites⁵ and as metabolomics approach for component analysis of plant and breast cancer cells.⁶ In general, the complementary strategy of dDNP on metabolite extracts, benefit from the high sensitivity and resolution provided by hyperpolarized samples in high-field NMR spectrometers, the quantification by comparison with an internal standard, and the capability to monitor slow metabolic transformations.

Here we are focused on obtaining dynamic labeling patterns using dissolution DNP NMR for quantification of relative pathway activities. We demonstrate a robust protocol for quantitative dissolution DNP (qdDNP) sensitivity enhanced isotope tracer analysis by NMR. Living cancer cells are incubated with uniformly ¹³C-labeled glucose at different time points, metabolites are extracted, hyperpolarized and subsequently analyzed with NMR allowing reconstruction of cancer type specific metabolic pathways.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Sample preparation to evaluate polarization, solubility and concentration. Stock solutions of five test compounds were made,¹³C₁-pyruvate (27 mM),¹³C₁-lactate (34 mM),¹³C₁-Alanine (54 mM),¹³C₁-Acetate (35 mM) and¹³C-HP001 (50 mM, 1.1-bis (hydroxymethyl) cyclopropane). A polarization medium was made of 314 mg Milli-Q-water, 70 mg trityl radical OX063, 1227 mg glycerol and 28.8 mg Gadoteridol (100 µmol/g). 5 µL of each stock solutions
were mixed with 100 µL polarization medium, 25 µL Milli-Q-water, and 11.58 mg [U-13C,D] glucose (60 µmol) or 1.80 mg [U-13C,D] glucose (10 µmol). 150 µL sample was transferred to a sample cup.

**Cancer cell growth.** Human prostate adenocarcinoma cells (PC3) and human mammary adenocarcinoma cells (MCF7) were grown to approximately 90%. Cells were grown in flasks (175 cm²) in an environment with 5% CO₂ at 37 °C in RPMI-1640 medium with FBS and antibiotics. Cells were harvested by trypsinization, washed and resuspended in 40 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.3 to a concentration of 20 million cells/mL.

**Metabolite samples, cell extracts.** 500 µL cell suspension (10 mio. cells) in 2 mL Eppendorf tubes were placed in a shaking thermostat at 37 °C. 100 µL [U-13C,D] glucose (120 mM) were added and the cells were incubated for 0, 1, 3, 10 or 30 min, respectively. Following incubation, the entire cell suspension was quenched with perchloric acid (PCA): 400 µL ice cold 2.2 M PCA solution was added to 600 µL cell suspension and placed on ice for at least 10 min. Soluble metabolites were extracted by centrifugation (10 min, 10,000 rpm, 4°C). Supernatant was pH neutralized with KOH, centrifuged and freeze-dried. The lyophilized samples were dissolved with 150 µL polarization medium (70 mg OX063, 1227 mg glycerol, 944 mg Milli-Q-water and 28.8 mg Gadoteridol (100 µmol/g) and 5 µL HP001 (50 mM) was added as an internal standard.

**Metabolite samples, unlabeled.** Signal loss coefficient (SLC) measured using unlabeled metabolites consisted of 75 µmol of each compound (3-phosphoglycerate (3PG), dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) or phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP)) mixed with 300 µL polarization medium as described under cell extracts, 15 µL HP001 (50 mM) and 5.4 mg [U-13C,D] glucose. Difference in pH dependency of the chemical shift for 3PG and 6PG was used to identify 3PG as the observed metabolite (Figure S3).

**Dynamic nuclear polarization.** qDNP was performed at 3.35 T and 1.4 K in a HyperSense polarizer with microwave irradiation at f_w = 94 GHz and P_w = 100 mW. Technical samples were polarized for 30, 90 or 240 min, respectively. Metabolite samples were polarized for 90 min. All samples were dissolved with 5 mL phosphate buffer (40 mM, pH 7.4) and transferred to a 9.4 T NMR spectrometer into a 5 mm NMR tube in approx. 12 s.

**NMR Spectroscopy, qDNP.** All samples were measured in a 9.4 T Varian spectrometer at 37 °C. 13C 1D NMR spectra of metabolite samples were acquired with a 70° pulse. 13C-1D-NMR spectra of technical samples were obtained with a series of 5° pulses acquired every 3 s.

**NMR Spectroscopy, Thermal NMR.** 75 µL DNP sample was dissolved in 400 µL phosphate buffer (40 mM, pH 7.3), 75 µL D₂O and 15 µL HP001. 13C-1D NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 800 MHz spectrometer with cryoprobe using a delay of 2 s and 4096 scans.

**Data Processing.** NMR spectra were processed with MNova. Statistical analysis was done with Python using SciPy.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Optimization of DNP sample preparation.**

Quantification of biological extracts requires a general and robust polarization matrix compatible with an ensemble of different metabolites. A mixture of 50% water/50% glycerol was used with the trityl radical OX063 and a gadolinium-DOTA complex as described in general principles by Karlsson et al. 10 HP001 was chosen as internal reference compound due to its favorable properties such as spectral position, long T_1 on the quaternary carbon, high solubility and chemical stability. To simulate the conditions in a metabolite sample, [U-13C,D] glucose was added along with the standard compound to the polarization matrix.

![Figure 1. Solid-state polarization build-up of 13C signal in the general polarization matrix consisting of 50% water, 50% glycerol, OX063 radical and Gd-complex (black symbols, n=3). The corresponding liquid state polarization of the standard compound HP001 (red symbols) is shown as comparison (n=3 for 30 and 90 min and n=2 for 240 min polarization time).](Image)

The samples were polarized for 30, 90 and 240 min to find the best time point for dissolution (Figure 1). For each time point the solid-state polarization is repeatable (a.u. 2817 ± 114, 5311 ± 74 and 5716 ± 57 for respectively 30, 90 and 240 min). After 90 min 93 % of the maximal achievable polarization is reached. This time point was therefore chosen for samples where optimal SNR is important. It is evident that reducing the polarization time to 30 min can increase the throughput of the method. In this case ca. 50% of the maximal polarization can be achieved. After dissolution, the integral of the standard compound (HP001) follows the solid-state build-up as expected (Figure 1, red symbols). The polarization matrix used here is optimized...
with respect to radical, Gd-complex and $^{13}$C concentration. A relatively broad maximum around 15 mM OX063 has been found in the literature for polarization matrices. Additional gadolinium increases the polarization at 3.35 T with 60% (Table S2). Ludwig et al. have suggested that $^{13}$C labeled additives can increase the polarization by increasing the spin diffusion. In accordance with this we observe a 50% decrease of the polarization if the [U-$^{13}$C,D] glucose is replaced by natural abundance glucose (Table S2). To obtain maximum signal in the solid state 60 µmol of [U-$^{13}$C,D] glucose was applied but even at 10 µmol [U-$^{13}$C,D] glucose 83% of the maximum polarization can be achieved (Table S2). At optimum conditions a liquid state polarization of 20% on glucose C1 was obtained after 240 min polarization followed by dissolution and 12 s manual transfer time. This corresponds approximately to a solid-state polarization of 44% ($T_1 = 15$ s, 9.4 T).

Quantification of metabolites. The liquid state polarization level was measured for four often-occurring metabolites (lactate, acetate, alanine and pyruvate) polarized at three different time points (30, 90 and 240 min). The absolute integrals are reproducible for a given polarization time (Figure 2) but over longer time periods the stability of the polarizer can influence these. For the method to be robust the quantification of the metabolites is thus based on the ratio to an internal standard (HP001). This ratio is stable and independent of the polarization time for each metabolite with a coefficient of variation of 4.5% ($^{13}$C$_1$-lactate), 7.4% ($^{13}$C$_1$-acetate), 6.1% ($^{13}$C$_1$-alanine) and 15.7% ($^{13}$C$_1$-pyruvate), n=9. Transport of the sample from the polarizer to the NMR spectrometer represents in many cases an uncontrolled magnetic environment. For our current setup with a 12 s transfer time the method is limited to quaternary and deuterated carbon positions. Other laboratories have minimized losses of polarization in the sample transfer step by implementation of magnetic and temperature controlled transfer lines. Although these solutions are important for optimization of assay sensitivity and reproducibility, robust quantification of metabolites demands that individual signal decay is taken into account. To account for signal decay during transfer for all identified metabolites, a signal loss coefficient (SLC) was determined relative to the internal standard (HP001) from the DNP experiment calibrated to the values obtained with thermal NMR (SLC=DNP ratio/NMR ratio).

Identification and quantification of characteristic metabolites in cancer cells. Breast cancer cells (MCF7) and prostate cancer cells (PC3) were incubated with [U-$^{13}$C,D] glucose for 1, 3, 10 and 30 min (n=3). The entire cell suspensions were arrested and soluble metabolites were extracted and analyzed as discussed above. A distinct cell type dependent metabolic pattern is showing itself already at 10 min incubation time but is highly evident after 30 min incubation with [U-$^{13}$C,D] glucose, Figure 3. For comparison a thermal NMR spectrum acquired on a 600 MHz spectrometer over night on an identical sample only reveals lactate (MCF7, 30 min, Figure S1).

![Figure 2. A) Example of spectrum after dissolution of four metabolites after 90 min polarization. B-E) Measured integrals of the dissolved samples after 30-240 min polarization. Top panels show ratio to internal standard (HP001).](image_url)

Table 1. Signal loss coefficient (SLC). Metabolite ratios relative to the standard HP001 from the DNP experiment is calibrated to the values obtained with thermal NMR (SLC=DNP ratio/NMR ratio).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound (Com)</th>
<th>DNP ratio (Com/HP001)</th>
<th>NMR ratio (Com/HP001)</th>
<th>SLC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lactate,C1</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.07</td>
<td>82 ± 8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate,C1</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.04</td>
<td>95 ± 6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine,C1</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.01</td>
<td>55 ± 4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate,C1</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.01</td>
<td>108 ± 9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Diagram](image_url)
Figure 3 A-B) Example of dDNP-NMR spectra of metabolite extracts from two cancer cell lines (MCF7, breast cancer and PC3, prostate cancer). The cells have been incubated with [U-13C,D] glucose for time points 0, 1, 3, 10 and 30 min. Chemical shifts are referenced to HP001 at 23.7 ppm and metabolite assignments are performed as described in Supporting Information (Table S6). C) Lactate and 3-phosphoglycerate production as function of time relative to an internal standard (n=3). Both cell types produce large amounts of lactate (about 20 times more than the other observed metabolites), confirming their glycolytic phenotype. While this production is constant over time in MCF7 cells it is decreasing in PC3 cells. Lactate production per minute is halved at 30 min incubation compared to 1 min incubation time, p < 0.01 (Figure S2).

The change in lactate production thus hints to a changed metabolism significant at 30 min incubation time. Amounts of identified metabolites can be calculated for the two cell types allowing a direct comparison of fluxes. A signal loss coefficient (SLC) for identified metabolites was obtained with dDNP NMR on unlabeled metabolites polarized under the same conditions as for the metabolite extracts. A list of SLC values for identified metabolites is given in Table 2. Difference in sample preparation such as increased concentration and different labeling schemes do not influence the calculated SLC (Table S4 and S5). Amounts of metabolites identified at 30 min incubation time in the two cell types were quantified (Figure 4) using the SLC values given in Table 1 and 2.

Table 2. Signal loss coefficients (SLC) for metabolites identified in addition to Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>DNP ratio (Com/HP001)</th>
<th>NMR ratio (Com/HP001)</th>
<th>SLC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3PG, C1</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.02</td>
<td>52 ± 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHAP, C2</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.02</td>
<td>116 ± 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pep, C1</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.09</td>
<td>107 ± 9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pep, C2</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.04</td>
<td>120 ± 4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Whereas breast cancer cells produce almost entirely pyruvate (pyr) and its downstream metabolites alanine (ala) and lactate (lac), prostate cancer cells accumulate phosphoenolpyruvate (pep) and dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP). 3-phosphoglycerate (3PG) although produced by both cell types is six times higher in the long incubation times for prostate cancer cells as shown in Figure 3C. This high increase in 3PG follows the relative decrease in lactate production in PC3 cells at long incubation times and may reflect alternative use of glucose metabolism such as e.g. build-up of amino acids. This interpretation is supported by the both upstream and downstream accumulation of glycolytic intermediates.

CONCLUSIONS

By inverting the steps involved in a real-time cellular dDNP experiment, and incubating living cells with an isotope enriched substrate before subjecting the metabolite(s) to hyperpolarization, we prolong the time window from a few minutes to hours. The time frame will be dependent on the biological model rather than on the T1 of the tracer. We show in this work that NMR analysis of hyperpolarized metabolite extracts following substrate incubation can be quantitative provided that an internal standard is applied in combination with laboratory dependent calibrations. The former is straightforwardly added to the analyte and the latter is determined as one-time corrections unique for each metabolite.
Figure 4. Quantitative metabolic fingerprint from 30 min incubation of MCF7 and PC3 cell line with [U-[13C,15N] glucose (n=3).

In combination, the extended time scale and quantitative signal enhancement of extracts allow metabolite driven hypotheses in cellular biochemistry. In the example discussed here the metabolic pattern of MCF7 cells support a highly glycolytic phenotype with accumulated downstream metabolites of pyruvate, lactate and alanine. The metabolic pattern of PC3 cells is more complex: Both DHAP and pep accumulate supporting that the active isoform of pyruvate kinase (PKM2) in cancer is inhibited in aggressive prostate cancer cells. The accumulation of 3PG suggests alternative use of energy production such as activation of the serine/glycine pathway resulting in an apparent decrease in lactate formation at long incubation times.

The presented work describes a method by which, in cell metabolite analysis can be performed with high sensitivity and high accuracy and precision. Such a method is a means to bring biological insight from a new level of measurable metabolites.
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