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Characterization of pack cemented Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coating exposed to KCl(s)
induced corrosion at 600°C

Oxidation of Ni$_2$Al$_3$ produced by pack aluminizing of pure nickel was studied
with and without 0.10 mg cm$^{-2}$ KCl(s) deposit in an environment containing 5%
O$_2$, 40% H$_2$O and 55% N$_2$ at 600 °C for up to 168 h. Oxide microstructure and
composition was investigated by SEM/EDX, BIB, TEM and GDOES. Oxidised
Ni$_2$Al$_3$ shows minimal weight gain, while adding KCl results in a small weight
loss consistent with evaporation of KCl. On the surface of samples exposed to
the gas environment only, a 30nm oxide of Al oxide was present, but where KCl
was present as deposit, 50-250 nm thick nodules form that are enriched in K, O
and Al.
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Introduction

Fireside corrosion in boilers is accelerated when firing biomass compared to firing coal.
This has the consequence that the maximum allowable outlet steam temperature is
lowered significantly when firing biomass in order to keep corrosion rates at an
acceptable level to have sufficient lifetime of critical components. The fireside
environment is typically characterized by a combination of high levels of reactive alkali
(NaCl and KCl), HCl and relatively low SO$_2$ concentrations [1].

Extensive research on the corrosiveness of potassium chloride towards low
alloyed, stainless steels and FeCrAl alloys has been performed e.g. [2-7]. This literature
shows that in addition to chlorine, the corrosiveness of KCl on chromia forming steels is
caused by degradation of the protective oxide through formation of alkali chromates.
Therefore, an opportunity to increase the lifetime of biomass fired boilers is to change
the material, either by coatings or better bulk materials that are more resistant towards
alkali induced corrosion.
The Al₂O₃ forming on alumina forming alloys and aluminides in oxidising environments occur in different modifications depending on exposure conditions, i.e. time, temperature and gas composition. At low temperatures or in early stages of oxidation, metastable oxides such as γ-Al₂O₃, δ-Al₂O₃ or θ-Al₂O₃ can form, while at higher temperature or after prolonged time the stable polymorph α-Al₂O₃ will form [8].

The presence of water vapour can influence the oxide formation and it has been shown that formation of metastable γ-Al₂O₃ is promoted on FeCrAl in the presence of 40% H₂O at 900°C [9]. Brumm and Grabke saw formation of γ-Al₂O₃ on NiAl after up to 50 h exposure at 800°C in 0.13 bar O₂+He [8]. Agüero et al. [10] exposed iron-aluminide slurry coatings on P92 steel to steam oxidation at 650°C; after 24 h the coating had developed a thin protective χ-Al₂O₃ with smaller amounts of γ-Al₂O₃ and α-Al₂O₃, which slowly transformed fully into α-Al₂O₃ and finally mixed Fe-Al oxides, when the coating was depleted in aluminium.

Nickel aluminide has in several cases also been shown to have good resistance against KCl induced corrosion. Li et al. [11] reported excellent performance of NiAl (50/50 at%) exposed beneath KCl deposit in air for 48 hours at 650°C, while FeₓAlᵧ type model alloys experienced extensive corrosion attack. Similar behaviour was reported for exposure beneath a mixed surface deposit of NaCl-KCl in air for 48 hours at 670°C [12]. Passive behaviour was found for a Ni₂Al₃ pack aluminized diffusion coating after exposure beneath a KCl deposit in static lab air for 168 hours at 600°C. With the characterization methods used (SEM/EDX and XRD) it was not possible to find increased reactivity compared to a salt-free reference exposure [13]. After testing for 168 hours at 560°C in a more complex atmosphere simulating flue gas from a straw-firing plant (6 vol % O₂, 12 vol % CO₂, 400 ppmv HCl, 60 ppmv SO₂, balance N₂ on dry basis; the dry gas being lead through a heated humidifier resulting in a final H₂O
content of 13.4 vol%) localized aluminium depletion was found for a Ni$_2$Al$_3$ diffusion coating [14]. However, the mechanism controlling the initial stages of corrosion in the presence of small amounts of KCl(s) has not been subjected to detailed microstructural studies.

The present work investigates the initial corrosion performance of Ni$_2$Al$_3$ made by pack aluminizing of pure Ni. The Ni$_2$Al$_3$ is exposed both with and without small additions of KCl in a laboratory setting in order to look for indications of reactivity with advanced characterization tools (TEM/EDX). The results from the microstructural investigation is linked to the exposure conditions and compared with the performance of other materials tested under the same well controlled settings.

**Experimental procedure**

**Aluminising by pack cementation**

Pack cementation of Ni-samples (99.99+% purity) was undertaken in a tube furnace with an argon protective atmosphere. The pack mixture consisted of 10 wt.% aluminium powder (99.9% purity, max particle size 60µm), 84 wt.% Al$_2$O$_3$-filler and 6 wt.% anhydrous AlCl$_3$. Powders for the pack were weighed and mixed thoroughly. The pack powder and samples were packed into Al$_2$O$_3$-containers that were then inserted in the tube furnace. The pack was heated to 650°C using a heating rate of approximately 10°C/min, held for 8 hours and then after the treatment cooled to room temperature inside the furnace in argon flow.

Samples were semicircular discs with a radius of 12.5 mm and a thickness of 2 mm. Prior to aluminising, the flat sample surfaces were ground on SiC paper with grit sizes #320, #500 and #1000 and then thoroughly cleaned in ethanol. After aluminising the flat sample surfaces were ground on SiC paper grit size #4000 and polished with 3
and 1 µm diamond suspension. Samples were weighed before and after exposure using a Sartorius R 160 P scale.

Corrosion testing

KCl was applied to the samples by spraying a saturated solution of KCl in a 20:80 mixture of water and ethanol (99.5%) while continuously drying the sample with heated air prior to exposure. The desired degree of KCl surface coverage was 0.10 mg/cm². All samples were exposed at 600°C in an environment containing 5% O₂, 40% H₂O and 55% N₂ in an electrically heated tube furnace with a gas flow of 1000 mL/min. The samples were exposed for 1, 24 and 168 hours, both with and without KCl. Two test samples were included for each test condition. After exposure, the samples were allowed to air cool followed by weighing.

Characterization

Samples were examined in an FEI Quanta 200 FEG ESEM equipped with an Oxford Inca 300 EDX system for chemical analysis in high vacuum mode. X-ray diffraction was performed in a Siemens D5000 Powder Diffractometer. Transmission electron microscopy was performed using a JEOL 3000F FEG-TEM. FIB sections for TEM were produced using an FEI Quanta 200 3D SEM; gold was sputtered on to the surface to protect the corrosion products before FIB lift-out using a Polaron Thermo VG Scientific SC7620 sputter coater. Broad ion beam (BIB) sections were produced in a Leica BIB workstation. Glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy (GDOES) was performed using a Horiba GD-Profiler 2™.
Results

Pack cementation
The treatment led to formation of a Ni$_2$Al$_3$ layer as shown in Figure 1. The presence of Ni$_2$Al$_3$ was confirmed by XRD; not shown. A thin zone is present between the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ layer and the bulk nickel. Phases that may form according to the phase diagram are NiAl, Ni$_5$Al$_3$ and Ni$_3$Al. NiAl has the fastest growth kinetics of these three phases [15] and therefore the thin zone is expected to primarily consist of NiAl.

![Figure 1. Reflected light optical image of the layer formed after aluminising at 650°C for 8 hours.](image)

Gravimetry
The corrosiveness of small amounts of KCl towards Ni$_2$Al$_3$ is illustrated in Figure 2 showing the mass gain in the gaseous environment (5% O$_2$ + 40% H$_2$O + N$_2$) with and without KCl(s) at 600 °C; similar exposures conducted for AISI 304L [3] and pure Ni [16] are included for comparison. Exposure of the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coated samples reveals very small or negative mass gains, which indicate the formation of a protective oxide scale. The mass gain of the sample exposed for 168 hours without KCl corresponds to a calculated average oxide thickness in the nm range, based on Al$_2$O$_3$ formation. The scatter in mass gain is in addition very small. Exposures of the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coated samples in the presence of KCl gives a mass loss approximately corresponding to the amount of deposited salt. KCl is known to evaporate under the given exposure conditions [3]. This
indicates that very little salt reacts with the \( \text{Ni}_2\text{Al}_3 \) phase and that a protective oxide forms in the presence of KCl, similar to the exposure without KCl. In comparison, the presence of KCl strongly accelerates the initial corrosion rate for AISI 304L stainless steel. In the absence of KCl after longer exposure times in the same gaseous atmosphere, a duplex oxide is formed due to evaporation of \( \text{CrO}_2(\text{OH})_2 \) in the presence of water vapour [17]. Pure Ni both with and without KCl shows a similar behaviour as the stainless steel with KCl, i.e. similar increase in weight gain. Evaporation of KCl may explain the smaller mass gain of Ni exposed with KCl compared to exposures without KCl [16].

![Figure 2. Mass gain of \( \text{Ni}_2\text{Al}_3 \) samples as well as 304L [3] and Ni [16] exposed with and without small amounts of KCl(s) in 5% \( \text{O}_2 \) + 40% \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) + \( \text{N}_2 \) at 600 °C.](image)

**Characterization**

**Reference exposure**

In the absence of KCl the \( \text{Ni}_2\text{Al}_3 \) coated samples showed very small mass gains. After one hour of exposure the sample surface was covered with a smooth oxide. Since the
appearance is very similar to the unexposed sample, this indicates that the scale is very thin, see Figure 3. The grain structure after short exposure times is visible due to contrast from channeling of electrons. The grain size varied over the surface. In some regions, the grain diameter was up to 20 µm while other regions had a grain size of about 5 µm. After 24 hours exposure, the oxide scale is still thin and transparent to the electron beam in the SEM. However, the surface appears to have a more undulating morphology, which makes the grain structure of the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coating even more apparent. There is only a very small difference in contrast between grains in the BSE image indicating also small differences in oxide scale thickness on different grains. The samples exposed for 168 hours have an even more undulating surface morphology than the 24 hour samples. The Ni$_2$Al$_3$ grains are undulating while the grey scale in the BSE image again is similar indicating a similar thickness of the oxide scale on different grains. Grazing incidence XRD showed the presence of Ni$_2$Al$_3$, but it was not possible to identify the thin oxide scale.

A FIB/SEM lift out and subsequent TEM analysis revealed a 30 nm thick oxide layer rich in Al, see Figure 4. The TEM analysis confirmed the interpretation of the BSE images. The oxide scale was challenging to investigate in detail. Besides chemical composition, selected area diffraction (SAED) analysis and HRTEM imaging were employed in order to investigate if the oxide scale was crystalline. However, only indications of a crystalline scale could be observed, see HRTEM image in Figure 4B, and no conclusive diffraction pattern was identified. EDX from the middle of the layer yielded a composition of 42.3% Al, 1.3% Ni and 56.4% O in at%. 
Figure 3. SEM/BSE images of sample surfaces after exposure for 0, 24 and 168 hours at 600°C to 5% O₂ + 40% H₂O + N₂.
Figure 4. TEM images of oxide formed after exposure for 168 hours at 600°C to 5% O₂ + 40% H₂O + N₂. A) Bright field overview, B) high resolution TEM (HRTEM).

**Exposure with KCl**

In the presence of KCl, all the Ni₂Al₃ coated samples showed a mass loss. The mass loss after 168h corresponds approximately to the evaporation of 0.1mg/cm² KCl, which was deposited on the surface. Oxidation with KCl(s) gave rise to morphology very similar to that observed on the samples exposed without KCl. No indications of an oxide rim could be observed in the vicinity of the KCl particles. At some locations, the final grinding after coating had not lead to a completely planar surface and here KCl particles could be found preferably in unpolished regions (valleys). However, no
changed morphology could be identified at such locations and the further characterization was carried out at locations with planar surface.

The low magnification BSE image in Figure 5A shows a similar morphology as that without KCl (cf. Figure 3) indicating a thin oxide scale all over the surface. After 24 hours exposure, most of the KCl had vanished due to evaporation but still some particles were left. Larger KCl particles had a tendency to lie at places with surface defects/unpolished regions, but this did not influence the oxide morphology of these regions. A high magnification SE image of the marked region in Figure 5A shows the vicinity of a remaining KCl particle, see Figure 5B. Small KCl particles could be observed but there was no indication of an oxide rim around these particles. No indication of spallation was observed. The oxide scale is still thin and transparent for the electron beam in the SEM. The sample exposed for 168 hours has very few KCl particles present. Similar to samples from the gaseous atmosphere only, the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ grains have an undulating surface morphology while the grey scale in the BSE image is similar indicating a similar thickness of the oxide scale on different grains, however, small-elongated nodules a few hundred nm in length are present over the surface, see Figure 6. This was not the case for the samples exposed without KCl.

GI-XRD again showed the presence of the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ but the phase of the very thin oxide scale could not be identified. KCl could only be detected on the sample exposed for one hour.
Figure 5. Ni$_2$Al$_3$ sample exposed to 5\% O$_2$ + 40\% H$_2$O + N$_2$ with KCl at 600°C for 24h: 
A) SEM/BSE image. B) SEM/SE image of the region marked in image A.

Figure 6. SEM/BSE image of Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coated sample exposed to 5\% O$_2$ + 40\% H$_2$O + N$_2$ with KCl at 600°C for 168h.

A BIB cross-section was ion milled through the oxide scale and into the coating on a
Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coated sample exposed for 168h in the presence of KCl. In Figure 7, a SEM/BSE image depicts the resulting cross-section. The oxide is too thin to be identified in the cross-section, however, the different Ni-Al layers formed as a result of interdiffusion could be clearly observed. The Ni$_2$Al$_3$ layer is about 25 µm thick and has a grain size of about 15 µm. Beneath the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ layer, a 5 µm thick middle layer with composition corresponding to β-NiAl could be identified. The grain size of this layer is about 10 µm. The 10 µm thick bottom layer consists of 70 at.% Ni and 30 at.% Al and has a grain size of about 3 µm. There may be more layers present in between the observed layers but they are thin and difficult to resolve with the SEM/EDX. According to the Ni-Al phase diagram, both Ni$_5$Al$_3$ and Ni$_3$Al can be expected to form. None of the layers contain any voids in the ion-milled cross-section.

Figure 7. SEM/BSE image showing an ion milled cross-section of a Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coated sample exposed with KCl at 600 °C for 168h.
In order to investigate the thin protective scale on the surface after 168 hours, a TEM sample was prepared with the FIB/SEM workstation. Figure 8 shows a TEM bright field image of the oxide scale and subjacent Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coating. The oxide scale is 50nm-250nm in the cross-section, compared to the more uniform 30 nm thickness observed for the oxide on the sample exposed without KCl. The grain size of the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coating is a few µm in this section and the undulating surface after 168 hours exposure could be confirmed. The nodules seen on the surface correspond to the small-elongated nodules seen in the SEM, cf. Figure 6.

Figure 8. TEM/BF image showing the thin oxide scale in cross-section of a Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coated sample exposed to 5% O$_2$ + 40% H$_2$O + N$_2$ with KCl at 600 °C for 168h. The EDX profile shown in the next image was measured in the marked region.
Figure 9. TEM/EDX results from the sample exposed to 5% O₂ + 40% H₂O + N₂ and KCl at 600 °C for 168h, from the position marked in Figure 8. Results are shown in cation at% in the line profile.

TEM/EDX on the electron transparent oxide scale resulted in hole formation in the thin oxide through electron-beam damage, but still revealed that the oxide scale contains O, Al, K and a small amount of Ni, see the results of EDX measurements in Figure 9. Only cation content is plotted in the EDX profiles. The oxygen content was measured to about 50 at.% in the oxide scale and no Cl was detected within the oxide. The signals from deposited gold and the copper grid were removed in the EDX quantification. The outermost part of the nodule is enriched in Ni, followed by a section enriched in K. Near the interface to the Ni₂Al₃, the K content drops. No measurable Al depletion in the bulk could be found with TEM/EDX. The decrease in K towards the interface to the bulk alloy, could indicate the formation of a more Al-rich oxide at the interface. This could be Al₂O₃ but it was not confirmed.
GDOES was performed on a sample exposed with KCl for 168 hours. The results shown in Figure 10 confirm the presence of K in the outer part of the scale. Since the surface is undulated and the nodules found on the surface vary in thickness the separation between different microstructure regions do not appear as sharp interfaces in the GDOES profiles. No attempt was made to try to convert the intensity measurements into quantitative data, since no suitable calibration sample was available; however, the intensity profiles are in agreement with the TEM/EDX data where quantification was performed. A weak intensity signal from Cl was also identified, but this could be due to residual KCl particles lying on the surface. The K peak immediately at the surface may be caused by such particles. After 10 s sputtering time, the oxide scale had been penetrated completely.

![GDOES profiles measured on a sample exposed with KCl for 168h at 600°C in 40% H2O + 5% O2 + N2.](image)

**Figure 10.** GDOES profiles measured on a sample exposed with KCl for 168h at 600°C in 40% H2O + 5% O2 + N2.

**Discussion**

Both the mass gain and the microstructure investigation confirm that the Ni2Al3 coating forms a protective aluminium rich oxide scale in 40% H2O + 5% O2 + N2 after all
exposure times. The microstructural investigation indicate that a thin crystalline alumina scale has formed; it was not possible to identify the specific polymorph of Al$_2$O$_3$. Generally, \(\gamma\)-Al$_2$O$_3$ can often be found after (short) low temperature exposures [8], however also other possible modifications or mixtures are possible, e.g. \(\chi\)-Al$_2$O$_3$ [10]. Further work is needed to identify the exact polymorph that formed on the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ in the present study. However, the behaviour of the scale is protective and the growth rate is in addition similar to that of protective chromium rich oxide scale formed on stainless steels at 600°C in O$_2$ [17].

Adding a small amount of KCl (0.10 mg cm$^{-2}$) to the surface of steel 304L generates a breakdown of the protective scale after a very short time span (1h) over large parts of the surface [3]. The breakdown mechanism is initiated by the formation of K$_2$CrO$_4$ depleting the Cr rich oxide of chromium. This results in a chromium poor oxide consisting of an outward growing iron rich oxide and an inward growing Cr,Fe,Ni oxide [18].

For pure Ni exposed to the same environment it was found that adding KCl did not result in an increase in corrosion rate, however a change in surface morphology was identified and small oxide crusts were observed in the vicinity of former KCl particles, which was proposed to be the result of an NiCl$_2$-KCl eutectic that forms at 514˚C [16].

For the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coating investigated in the present work, there were no indications of crust formation around KCl particles. An AlCl$_3$-KCl eutectic can be found in the KCl-AlCl$_3$ phase diagram, see Figure 11. However, the amount of AlCl$_3$ is expected to be low on the surface because the vapour pressure of AlCl$_3$ is very high (P$_{AlCl_3}$=0.667 atm at 600˚C) (calculated by using the software FactSage 6.4 and
databases: Fact53, ELEM and BINS). Furthermore, AlCl$_3$ can be expected to be converted to oxides at very low oxygen partial pressures.

Figure 11. KCl-AlCl$_3$ phase diagram calculated with the software FactSage 6.4 and databases: Fact53, ELEM and BINS.

The morphology of the polished surface becomes undulating with longer exposure times for both exposures with and without KCl. A similar behaviour of surface rearrangement was observed at 1450 ºC in pure water vapour where evaporation was given as the cause [19]. The Al(OH)$_3$ is the most dominant vapour species but should be negligible at 600 ºC. Therefore the exact mechanism behind formation of the undulating surface needs further work to clarify. There are no indications that this influences the oxide scale on top of the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ grains or at the grain boundaries.

The microstructure investigation shows the formation of an aluminium rich oxide phase also in the presence of KCl. Based on the TEM-EDX findings a K$_x$Al$_{1-y}$O$_z$ reaction product formed in the surface nodules but it is slow growing and contains only smaller amounts of potassium.

In other work, no reactivity between KCl and a similar Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coating exposed under a KCl deposit at 600°C for 168h in static lab air was found, even though it was
calculated to be thermodynamically possible to form e.g. KAl$_9$O$_{14}$ during exposure with KCl (g) [13]. It was hypothesized that the characterization methods used (SEM/EDX and XRD) were not sufficient to reveal if reactivity had occurred on a nano-scale. In the present investigation, there is definite proof of reactivity between the coating and the KCl through the formation of K-containing nodules across the sample surface. It is suggested that the layer formed is KAl$_9$O$_{14}$ as the K:Al atomic ratios measured in Figure 9 correspond to this compound and that it is probably formed from the presence of KCl(g). The outermost part of the nodule is enriched in Ni, followed by a section enriched in K. Brumm and Grabke [8] discuss that a possible mechanism for formation of Ni-rich outer oxides (NiO and NiAl$_2$O$_4$) on NiAl can be that they form during early stage oxidation and are subsequently undergrown by Al$_2$O$_3$ but remain stable at the oxide-gas interface. The mechanism in the present case could be similar. No chloride was observed at the oxide nodules where K was observed, or at the oxide metal interface, indicating the release of Cl possibly as HCl or small amounts of AlCl$_3$.

Despite the reaction of KCl with Al$_2$O$_3$, the general behaviour is protective up to 168 hours with only a small weight loss visible from gravimetry. This weight loss is consistent with evaporation of the added KCl, but it is difficult to completely rule out that a minor amount of AlCl$_3$ could have formed and contributed to the weight loss. For iron-rich aluminide coatings on P91 steel, selective aluminium removal was observed when exposed under a KCl deposit at 600 °C for 168h in static lab air. For Ni$_2$Al$_3$, local failures have also been observed for KCl induced corrosion in a more complex test-atmosphere [14] indicating that if the protective layer is penetrated, corrosion progresses fast, likely due to high volatility of AlCl$_3$. This may have implications for use in biomass boilers.
Conclusions

- Compared to 304L steel and pure Ni, the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ diffusion coating had very low corrosion rates in both exposures with and without KCl. For the exposure with KCl a weight loss was observed in the range expected from evaporation of KCl.
- For exposures with KCl, nodules up to 250 nm thick and rich in K, Al and O were identified across the exposed sample surface proving that there is reactivity.
- No chlorides were observed in the nodules or at the oxide/metal interface.
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