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Abstract

Superheater tubes in biomass-fired power plants experience high corrosion rates due to condensation of corrosive alkali chloride rich deposits. To explore the possibility of reducing the corrosion attack by the formation of an initial protective oxide layer, the corrosion resistance of pre-oxidized Al and Ti-containing alloys (Kanthal APM and Nimonic 80A, respectively) was investigated under laboratory conditions mimicking biomass-firing. The alloys were pre-oxidized at 900 °C for 1 week. Afterwards, pre-oxidized samples, and virgin non-pre-oxidized samples as reference, were coated with a synthetic deposit of KCl and exposed at 560 °C for 1 week to a gas mixture typical of biomass firing. Results show that pre-oxidation could hinder the corrosion attack; however the relative success was different for the two alloys. While corrosion attack was observed on the preoxidized Kanthal APM, the morphology of the preoxidized Nimonic 80A was significantly unaffected suggesting protection of the alloy from the corrosive environment.
1.0 Introduction

Material selection for applications in biomass fired power plants is quite challenging due to the corrosive nature of such environments. During combustion of biomass in thermal power plants, alkali chloride-rich deposits condense on the surface of superheater tubes thereby causing corrosion of the materials. The high corrosion rate imposes a limit to the maximum operating steam temperatures of thermal power plants firing biomass. Consequently, efficiency is limited.

Material degradation under biomass-firing conditions is due to the reaction with both the alkali metal and chlorine present in condensed deposits. In addition, the reactions between superheater materials and the corrosive species present in the flue gas often results in high degradation rates. A combination of these parameters results in rather complex corrosion phenomena under biomass-firing conditions. Extensive studies have shown that the alkali metal present in the deposit can react with the native chromium oxide (Cr$_2$O$_3$) formed on austenitic stainless steels as follows:

$$KCl(s) + \frac{1}{4}Cr_2O_3(s) + \frac{3}{8}O_2(g) + \frac{1}{2}H_2O(g) \rightleftharpoons \frac{1}{2}K_2CrO_4(s) + HCl(g) \quad (1)$$

The consumption of Cr by this reaction prevents the formation of the protective Cr$_2$O$_3$ and releases HCl. As a result, a fast growing porous Fe-rich oxide forms on the surface of the alloy. Such oxide allows the transport of chlorine which propagates corrosion attack by a chlorination-oxidation mechanism.

To achieve higher steam temperatures (and plant efficiency), materials for superheater tubes in biomass-fired power plants will have to exhibit resistance to attack by both the corrosive deposit and the flue gas species. This implies that in addition to the basic requirement of slow creep and good steam oxidation resistance at high temperatures, these materials should have surface properties that inhibit fireside corrosion where corrosive species such as KCl, K$_2$CO$_3$, K$_2$SO$_4$, HCl, SO$_2$, etc. are present. In line with this, recent investigations have addressed the performance of preformed oxides as well as coatings on some commercial alloys as a way to improve
their corrosion resistance. Some of these investigations provide indications of the ability of the preformed oxides or coatings to delay the onset of corrosion attack by the corrosive KCl deposit.

The present study focuses on the effect of pre-oxidation to form protective oxides in order to prevent the corrosion attack under well controlled laboratory conditions mimicking biomass-firing. A recent study on the reactivity of some oxides with KCl indicated no significant reaction between KCl and the oxides Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$. On the basis of this result, the present investigation studied the corrosion protection of preformed oxides on two commercial alloys (Kanthal APM and Nimonic 80A) containing Al or Ti as alloying elements in addition to Cr.

2.0 Methods

The commercial alloys investigated in this study were a FeCrAl alloy (Kanthal APM) and a Ni-based superalloy (Nimonic 80A) with chemical compositions given in Table 1. Samples were cut from tubes and were degreased in acetone and dried in ethanol prior to initial oxidation or corrosion exposure.

Pre-oxidation of the samples was carried out in a horizontal furnace at 900 °C under a flow of pure oxygen for the period of 168 h. Morphological characterization of the resulting oxides was carried out by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a FEI Inspect S microscope. An energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) attached to the SEM was employed for quantification of the chemical composition. Qualitative phase analysis was carried out by X-ray diffraction (XRD) in grazing incidence geometry using a D8 Discover diffractometer from Bruker AXS equipped with Cr-K$\alpha$ radiation.

The corrosion performance of the pre-oxidized samples was evaluated after laboratory exposures to conditions mimicking biomass firing in thermal power plants. To facilitate this, the samples were coated with a slurry of synthetic deposit consisting of KCl. As a reference, virgin samples of each alloy without any pre-oxidation were coated with the same deposit and exposed to identical corrosive conditions. The corrosion exposures were performed in a dedicated laboratory test rig that allowed mimicking deposit-induced corrosion as experienced in power plants. The corrosion test rig consists of an electrically heated furnace, a gas mixing panel and a cleaning
system for the flue gas, a detailed description of the test rig is given elsewhere. The composition of the gas atmosphere used in the present exposures is given in Table 2.

Mass flow controllers in the gas mixing panel were used to obtain the desired concentration of the gas components in the final gas stream. The corrosion exposure of both pre-oxidized and virgin samples was carried out at 560 °C for 168 h. This temperature was chosen to reproduce the metal temperature experienced by actual superheater tubes operating in power plants with a steam temperature of 540 °C. The corrosion attack of samples with and without pre-oxidation was evaluated by characterization of the corrosion products using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), and X-ray diffraction (XRD) techniques.

3.0 Results

3.1 Oxide morphologies and chemical composition after pre-oxidation

The surface topography and morphology of oxides resulting from pre-oxidation of the investigated alloys at 900 °C for 168 h is shown in Figure 1.

The oxide on Kanthal APM formed a blade-like morphology whereas the oxide on Nimonic 80A showed features with both globular and faceted morphologies. EDS analysis indicated that Al-rich oxides formed on Kanthal APM. Cross section analysis showed that the Al-rich oxide was about 500 nm thick. Grazing incidence XRD revealed the presence of both $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ on pre-oxidized Kanthal APM. Interestingly, the phase $(\text{Al}_{0.94}\text{Cr}_{0.052})_2\text{O}_3$ was also identified in the recorded diffractogram suggesting the possibility of a solid solution of the oxides.

On the other hand, on the surface of pre-oxidized Nimonic 80A, a Cr-Ti-rich oxide was identified. Cross section analysis of pre-oxidized Nimonic 80A revealed an about 8 µm thick outer oxide (with Ti and Cr enrichment in the near surface region), and an about 14 µm thick Al and Ti-rich inner oxide layer with a needle-like morphology. In addition, an internal oxidation zone was present along the grain boundaries. With grazing incidence XRD, TiO$_2$ and Cr$_2$O$_3$ were both identified in addition to the phase $(\text{Cr}_{0.88}\text{Ti}_{0.12})_2\text{O}_3$. 

3.2 Corrosion performance of pre-oxidized samples under biomass firing conditions

Figure 2 presents the morphology and composition of corrosion products formed on a pre-oxidized Kanthal APM sample after exposure to conditions mimicking biomass-firing. The preformed oxide was not able to protect the alloy from corrosion attack as the EDS maps reveal that Fe, Cr and Al were all removed from the alloy bulk and embedded in a porous corrosion product on the surface. The interaction between the deposit particles (KCl) and the flue gas resulted in the formation of sulphate containing compounds in the deposit. 12 Similarly, the virgin Kanthal APM alloy also suffered severe corrosion attack as revealed in Figure 3. The chemical composition of the corrosion products formed on Kanthal APM samples was similar irrespective of the applied pre-oxidation.

In contrast, no observable form of degradation was observed after exposure of pre-oxidized Nimonic 80A samples to the corrosive atmosphere (Figure 4). The chemical composition of the preformed oxide remained unchanged, but in addition, a sulphate layer had formed above this oxide due to the reaction of the deposit with SO₂ in the atmosphere during exposure. 12 However, the virgin Nimonic 80A suffered from corrosion attack after the exposure (Figure 5). The corrosion attack caused the removal of Ni, Cr, Al and Ti from the alloy and their redeposition on top of the alloy as a porous and heterogeneous layer.

4.0 Discussion

Al₂O₃ scales are known to be protective under oxidizing conditions, because they can maintain low corrosion rates of the underlying bulk materials. However, the results observed in the current study suggest that the presence of corrosive species such as KCl and SO₂ causes breakdown of the oxide scale. As a result, severe corrosion of the Kanthal APM alloy occurred. The mechanism of such fast corrosion is suggested to follow chlorination of the alloying elements such as Cr, Fe and Al, leading to the formation of volatile metal chlorides. The ability of these species to be transported as gaseous species reduces the chance of protective layer formation due to the porous nature of the oxides.
The Ti-Cr-rich oxide formed on Nimonic 80A showed good protection against corrosion attack. This is attributed to the less favourable interaction between TiO₂ in the oxide and KCl during the initial stages of corrosion. Thermodynamic studies related to such interactions have been reported in literature.¹¹ As a result, breakdown of the oxide did not proceed during exposure of pre-oxidized Nimonic 80A to biomass firing conditions.

5.0 Summary

The effect of chemical modifications by pre-oxidizing the surfaces of two commercial alloys (Kanthal APM and Nimonic 80A) on their corrosion resistance under laboratory conditions mimicking biomass firing in thermal power plants was evaluated. Pre-oxidation caused the formation of different oxide scales on both alloys. The Ti-Cr-rich oxide formed on Nimonic 80A considerably reduced the corrosion attack compared to the virgin Nimonic 80A, whereas the Al-rich oxide on Kanthal APM was unable to protect the alloy from corrosion under biomass firing conditions. The different performance of both alloys after pre-oxidation is explained in relation to the reactivity between the oxides and the corrosive environment.
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8.0 List of Figure Captions

Figure 1. The surface morphology of oxides resulting from pre-oxidation of (a) Kanthal APM and (b) Nimonic 80A at 900 °C for 168 h.

Figure 2. Morphology and chemical composition of corrosion products formed on a pre-oxidized Kanthal APM sample after exposure to conditions mimicking biomass-firing.

Figure 3. Morphology and chemical composition of corrosion products formed on a virgin Kanthal APM sample after exposure to conditions mimicking biomass-firing.

Figure 4. Morphology and chemical composition of corrosion products formed on a pre-oxidized Nimonic 80A sample after exposure to conditions mimicking biomass-firing. The position of EDS acquisition is shown by the inserted box.
Figure 5. Morphology and chemical composition of corrosion products formed on a virgin Nimonic 80A sample after exposure to conditions mimicking biomass-firing. The position of EDS acquisition is shown by the inserted box.
9.0 Tables

Table 1. Chemical composition of the investigated alloys.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Al (wt%)</th>
<th>Ti (wt%)</th>
<th>Cr (wt%)</th>
<th>Ni (wt%)</th>
<th>Fe (wt%)</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kanthal APM</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>C, Si, Mn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nimonic 80A</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Zr, Cu, Co, C, Mn, Si, Co</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Gas composition employed to mimick biomass-firing conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>O₂ (vol %, dry)</th>
<th>CO₂ (vol %, dry)</th>
<th>H₂O (vol %)</th>
<th>HCl (ppmv, dry)</th>
<th>SO₂ (ppmv, dry)</th>
<th>N₂ (vol %, dry)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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