Improved Decoupling for 13C coil Arrays Using Non-Conventional Matching and Preamplifier Impedance

Sanchez, Juan Diego; Johansen, Daniel Højrup; Hansen, Rie Beck; Hansen, Esben S.; Laustsen, Christoffer; Zhurbenko, Vitaliy; Ardenkjær-Larsen, Jan Henrik

Publication date:
2017

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):
Improved Decoupling for $^{13}$C coil Arrays Using Non-Conventional Matching and Preamplifier Impedance

Juan D. Sanchez-Heredia1; Daniel Hojrup Johansen1, Rie Beck Hansen1, Esben S. Hansen2; Christoffer Laustsen2; Vitaliy Zhurbenko1; Jan H. Ardenkjær-Larsen1,

1Technical University of Denmark, Department of Electrical Engineering, Ørsteds Plads, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark.
2Aarhus University, Department of Clinical Medicine, Palle Juul-Jensens Boulevard 99, 8200 Aarhus, Denmark

Synopsis (100 Words):
In this study, we describe a method to obtain improved preamplifier decoupling for receive-only coils. The method relies on the better decoupling obtained when coils are matched to an impedance higher than 50 $\Omega$. Preamplifiers with inductive imaginary impedance and low real impedance, increase the effectiveness of the decoupling.

Purpose:
Arrays of small coils are challenging due to the low sample loading (high Q) and strong coupling between elements, and the difficulty of decoupling them without compromising the Q-factors of the individual coils. Preamplifier decoupling as described in1 (and further developed in2) can potentially overcome the problem, but for high-Q coils, a very low input impedance is needed in order to obtain a reasonable decoupling. However, for the case of $^{13}$C at 3 T (32.13 MHz), commercially available preamplifiers3 have input impedance over 2.5 $\Omega$, which for high-Q coils (>300) provide very modest decoupling (<13 dB) in the standard configuration. Here we show that matching the coils to a higher impedance than the noise-optimum of the preamp (usually 50 $\Omega$) can be beneficial to improve the decoupling. The effect can be improved even more, if the preamplifier shows an inductive impedance at its input (with low real part).

Methods:
A modification of the circuit proposed in2 is used, in combination with the active decoupling scheme proposed in4 (Fig. 1). This circuit allows keeping the active decoupling from the transmitter independent of the inductance used for the preamplifier decoupling ($L_a$), which is practical when the preamplifier decoupling needs to be finely tuned (as is the case in high Q coils).

2 A 2-channel array was built (Fig. 2), using wire loop coils (coil diameter 50 mm, wire diameter 2.3 mm) separated by 75 mm (corresponding to next near neighbors in an overlapped array). The preamp decoupling performance was measured as the difference of $S_{11}$ [dB] of a loosely coupled double-loop probe between the 50 $\Omega$ and the preamplifier load. The Q-factor of the coils when mounted in the array was measured from the $S_{11}$. Experiments were repeated using a commercial preamplifier with resistive low input impedance (WMA32C, WanTCom, Chanhassen, MN, USA) matched to 50 and 100 $\Omega$, and a self-made preamplifier (more details in a separate abstract) with an inductive input impedance and matched to 50 $\Omega$. The parameters of the preamplifiers are shown in Table 1. Imaging experiments were performed at a clinical 3 T scanner (Signa HDx, GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA) using two spherical (38 mm diameter) $^{13}$C-enriched bicarbonate phantoms, placed one on top of each coil and separated 1 mm from them. The sequence used was a regular CSI with 16x16 acquired points, 19 s duration, and FOV of 120x120x50 mm3.

Results:
The measured coil Q-factor and maximum SNR are summarized in Table 1, while the decoupling is shown in Fig. 3, for the 3 different preamplifier configurations tested. The obtained Q-factor for the different configurations is consistent with the measured decoupling. The better Q of the coils translates to better measured SNR, which shows that the coils are working on the coil-noise dominated domain.

Discussion:
Non-conventional coil matching can provide better preamplifier decoupling when using the circuit proposed in [2], and can be used to obtain better SNR as long as the noise figure of the preamplifiers is still good at the impedance chosen for matching. In this study, we show that matching to a higher impedance using traditional low input impedance preamplifiers improves the preamplifier decoupling and can be beneficial in terms of SNR for coils where thermal noise is dominant, due to the improved Q-factor of the coils. This effect can be exploited even further, if the preamplifier presents an inductive input impedance, therefore allowing the matching with a higher capacitance value ($C_M$ in Fig. 1). This is so, because at the frequency of interest, the impedance of the matching network ($Z_{MATCH}$) becomes a bandpass filter when the preamp is connected. This creates a current divider with the resonator formed by the loop and $C_M$. Therefore, the amount of current reduction in the loop is inversely proportional to $Z_{MATCH}$ (being $Z_{MATCH}$ lower when the coil is matched to a higher impedance [2]).

Conclusion:
A practical setup providing improved decoupling for $^{13}$C coils at 3 T has been demonstrated on a 2-channel array of small coils. An improvement of 30 % on the maximum measured SNR was found when matching the coils to a slightly higher impedance (100 $\Omega$) with commercial preamplifiers. An even higher, 60 %, improvement was obtained with the use of preamplifiers with inductive input impedance. This decoupling method is of particular interest for arrays of small coils and/or low frequency, where coil noise dominates, and the coupling between elements is a major source of electronic noise.
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TABLE 1. DIFFERENT CONFIGURATIONS OF PREAMPLIFIER AND MATCHING IMPEDANCE, AND MEASURED RESULTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Preamp Input Impedance [Ω]</th>
<th>Coil Matching Impedance [Ω]</th>
<th>Preamp Noise Figure [dB]</th>
<th>Coil Q_{UNLOADED}</th>
<th>SNR_{max}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5 + j0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5 + j0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4 + j67</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Circuit used to tune and match the coils. Adding trimmers in parallel to the capacitances C_T, C_M2 and C_X allows to fine tune independently the resonance frequency of the coil, the matching and the preamplifier decoupling respectively. The active decoupling from the transmitter is tuned with the inductors L_1 and L_2. The values used for the lumped elements are: C_T ≈ 230 pF, C_M = 47 pF, C_M2 = 10-40 pF, L_1 = 1000 nH, L_2 = 520 nH, C_X = 15-80 pF and L_3 = 150 nH. Z_{MATCH} is the equivalent impedance of the whole matching network.

Figure 2. Fabricated 2 channel array. The loops are made with copper wire of 2.3 mm thickness and have 50 mm of diameter. The centers of the loops are separated 75 mm.
Figure 3. Preamplifier decoupling measured for one of the coils with a loosely coupled double-loop probe.

Figure 4. Measured SNR obtained with the 2-channel array under the 3 different configurations. a) Configuration 1, b) Configuration 2 and c) Configuration 3.