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We investigate the symmetry of transmission spectra in a photonic crystal (PhC) waveguide with a side-coupled cavity and a partially transmitting element (PTE). We demonstrate, through numerical calculations, that by varying the cavity-PTE distance the spectra vary from being asymmetric with the minimum blue-shifted relative to the maximum, to being symmetric (Lorentzian), to being asymmetric with the minimum red-shifted relative to the maximum. For cavity-PTE distances larger than five PhC lattice constants, we show that the transmission spectrum is accurately described as the transmission spectrum of a Fabry-Perot etalon with a single propagating Bloch mode, and that the symmetry of the transmission spectrum correlates with the Fabry-Perot roundtrip phase. © 2016 Optical Society of America
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Photon crystal (PhC) membrane structures consisting of waveguide-coupled microcavities represent an attractive platform for applications that can exploit the strong sensitivity of the transmission on the resonance frequency of the cavity. Due to the large ratio of quality factor to mode volume of PhC cavities [1], even small refractive index perturbations within the volume occupied by the cavity mode leads to significant transmission changes. This fact has been used to demonstrate ultra-low energy all-optical signal processing [2] as well as chemical- and biological sensing [3]. It was shown in 2002 [4] how a Fano resonance [5] can be achieved in PhC structures, which further improves the wavelength sensitivity. The interference between a narrow- and broad-band state, which leads to Fano resonances, was implemented with a low- and high-Q cavity structure for switching purposes [6]. We recently proposed a simpler geometry [7] and demonstrated that the shape of the transmission can be controlled [8]. In this letter, we expand on these results by showing how both the parity and shape may be manipulated in a way that is easily controlled experimentally. The geometry investigated in this letter is shown in Fig. 1. We define the parity to denote whether the minimum of the transmission from the input to the output waveguide is red or blue shifted relative to the maximum, see Fig. 2. Different physical mechanisms cause the cavity resonance shift to be either positive or negative. In optical signal processing, depending on the preferred modulation format, it is essential whether the resonance shift causes an increase or decrease in transmission. Since this is determined by the parity of the resonance, our investigated structure is easily transferred between applications, where different signs of the resonance shift are demanded.

Fig. 1. Left: PhC structure and field plot (|Hzz|) at the minimum transmission frequency for the PhC Fano structure with hole radius \( r = 0.3a \), PTE radius \( r_{PTE} = 0.80r \), Fabry-Perot length \( d = 5a \), refractive index of background material \( n_b = 3.1 \) and refractive index of air holes \( n_h = 1 \). The supercell for the first section is illustrated by the dotted white line, and the section interfaces are indicated with the dashed white lines. Right: Schematic of the structure with transmission, reflection and propagation matrices indicated, where the full PhC structure is divided into five sections.
Figure 1 shows the investigated structure consisting of a microcavity adjacent to a waveguide containing a partially transmitting element (PTE), which was also a key element in previous proposals [4, 7, 8]. By shifting the position of the PTE, both the parity and shape of the transmission spectrum can be controlled. The PTE is realized by a hole placed in the center of the waveguide and the microcavity is simply a point defect, i.e., a missing hole. The distance between the microcavity and the PTE is \( d \), and \( a \) is the PhC lattice constant. In [4] a different structure consisting of a microcavity placed in the center of a Fabry-Perot cavity composed of two PTEs was investigated with a single-mode transfer matrix formalism and it was concluded that whether the transmission spectrum is asymmetric (Fano-shaped) or symmetric (Lorentzian-shaped) depends on the spectral position of the microcavity resonance frequency relative to the Fabry-Perot background. In this work, we consider a different structure without a Fabry-Perot background. We describe the shape of the transmission spectrum as a function of the distance \( d \) using a full multi-mode model, and we show that the single-mode transfer matrix model in [4] breaks down in the short distance limit.

This effectively reduces the full five-section geometry to a three-section geometry consisting of three waveguide sections (1, 3 and 5) coupled through the transmission and reflection matrices of the microcavity (\( T_c \), \( R_c \)) and PTE (\( T_p \), \( R_p \)) sections. Thereby, the total transmission from input to output in Fig. 1 is given as [9]:

\[
T = T_p P^+ (I - RT)^{-1} T_c,
\]

\[
RT \equiv R_p P^- R_p P^+,
\]

where the matrices \( P^+ \) and \( P^- \) represent propagation in section 3 by the length of an integer number of supercells in the forward and backward direction, respectively. From Eq. (2) it is clear that the structure in Fig. 1 can be thought of as a Fabry-Perot cavity, where the microcavity constitutes a highly dispersive mirror, and this interpretation was previously used to propose an ultra-high speed laser structure [12]. When the mirror distance, \( d \), is small enough for the PTE to lie inside the neighbouring supercell of the microcavity, the Fabry-Perot interpretation no longer makes sense, since this interpretation requires a waveguide supercell to be in-between the supercells of the PTE and the microcavity. In this case the structure will instead be divided into 3 or 4 sections (see Fig. 1) and the total transmission matrix takes a different form.

The transmission spectra for different cavity-PTE distances, \( d \), are computed using Eq. (2) and a measure of the degree of parity, DoP, is defined as the difference between the numerical maximum slope of the transmission spectrum before and after the transmission minimum (see the solid markers on the spectra in Fig. 2):

\[
\text{DoP} = \frac{2\pi c}{a} \left[ \max \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \omega} \big|_{\omega<\omega_{\text{min}}} \right) - \max \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \omega} \big|_{\omega>\omega_{\text{min}}} \right) \right] \quad (4)
\]

With this definition, a positive (negative) DoP corresponds to blue (red) parity, and in Fig. 3, the DoP is plotted for different cavity-PTE distances, where the points are color-coded according to the parity. It is apparent that the parity and shape of the transmission spectrum can be engineered by the position of the PTE relative to the microcavity, and very large slopes are achievable. An example of this is seen in Fig. 2 with \( d = 6.07a \), where the spectral distance between the maximum and minimum is seen to not be limited by the microcavity linewidth, \( \gamma \), as is the case for our previously proposed structure with \( d = 0 \) [7, 8]. A shorter spectral distance between the maximum and minimum can be obtained, but not while requiring \( \max |T|^2 = 1 \) for our investigated structure.

The relative position of the transmission maximum and minimum results from the interference between many Bloch modes bouncing back and forth between the mirrors, as described by Eq. (2). Generally, it is not obvious how to determine the parity by direct inspection of this matrix equation. However, for sufficiently large \( d \), the coefficients in \( P^\pm \) corresponding to evanescent modes are exponentially damped. For single-mode PhC waveguides, that we restrict the following analysis to, this means that only one element from the propagation matrices has a significant contribution and thereby all other elements can be neglected. This reduces the transmission Eqs. (2) and (3) to scalar equations:

\[
T = T_p P^+ (I - RT)^{-1} T_c,
\]

\[
RT \equiv R_p P^- R_p P^+.
\]
where the (1,1) matrix elements are taken from the full matrices in Eqs. (2) and (3), since these couple and propagate the guided mode in the three waveguide sections (the same enumeration of the modes as in [9] has been used).

In Fig. 4, the transmission spectra found from Eqs. (2) - (3) (full model) and from Eqs. (5) - (6) (single-mode) are compared for four different cavity-PTE distances. At the smallest distances (top panel), the single-mode model predicts the correct parity, but otherwise deviates visibly from the numerically exact spectra, e.g. with a clear offset on the spectral position of the transmission minimum. As the distance is increased to \( d = 4a \) (bottom panel, blue curves), the agreement between the numerically exact and the single-mode model becomes substantially better, and at the largest distance considered here, \( d = 5a \), (bottom panel, magenta curves) the agreement is almost perfect. The mismatch between the full and the single-mode model is due to the influence of evanescent Bloch modes in the Fabry-Perot region. A similar behavior was observed in [13] in describing transmission between a ridge waveguide and a slow light PhC waveguide, and in [14] in analyzing PhC LnP cavities.

**Fig. 4.** Transmission spectra for cavity-PTE distances \( d = 2a, 3a, 4a \) and \( 5a \) using Eq. (2) (full model, solid curves) and Eq. (5) (single-mode, dash-dotted curves).

The minimum transmission frequency is shifted for \( d = 2a \) and \( d = 3a \) compared to \( d = 4a \) and \( d = 5a \) in Fig. 4, which does not seem intuitive, since the transmission of the guided Bloch mode through the microcavity section is zero at the resonance frequency of the microcavity for all \( d \geq 2a \). However, the scattering of the guided Bloch mode at the microcavity section will populate evanescent Bloch modes in the Fabry-Perot section. For large Fabry-Perot lengths the population of the evanescent Bloch modes will vanish before reaching the PTE and no scattering will occur. But for small distances there will be a finite population of the evanescent Bloch modes at the PTE, where they will scatter and populate the guided Bloch mode in section 5, resulting in a finite overall transmission of the guided Bloch mode from section 1 to 5 at the resonance frequency of the microcavity. This effect causes the shift of the transmission minimum for structures with small cavity-PTE distances.

To render Eqs. (5) - (6) more easily interpretable, we write the propagation constants and \( T \)- and \( R \)-coefficients as follows:

\[
P^+(\omega) = P^-(\omega) = \exp(i k(\omega)L),
\]

\[
T_P(\omega) = T_P(\omega) \exp(i \varphi_{P}(\omega)),
\]

\[
R_P(\omega) = \gamma \exp(i \varphi_{R}(\omega)),
\]

\[
R_c(\delta) = \frac{\gamma}{\delta i + \gamma} = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\delta^2 + \gamma^2}} \exp(i \varphi_{Rc}(\delta)),
\]

\[
T_c(\delta) = \frac{\delta}{\delta i + \gamma} = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\delta^2 + \gamma^2}} \exp(i \varphi_{Rc}(\delta)),
\]

where \( L \) is the distance between the microcavity and PTE sections, \( k(\omega) \) is the dispersion of the guided Bloch mode in the PhC waveguide, \( \varphi_{(P,R)} \) are the phases related to transmission and reflection at the PTE, \( T_P = |T_P| \) and \( R_P = |R_P| \) are the transmission and reflection amplitudes for the PTE, and \( \delta = \omega - \omega_{min} \) is the detuning. Finally \( \gamma \) is half the linewidth of the transmission spectrum of the microcavity (see Fig. 2), which equals the coupling rate between the microcavity and the waveguide. The microcavity reflection phase is derived from Eq. (10) and the result is \( \varphi_{Rc} = \arctan(\delta/\gamma) \). Using this and Eq. (5) we find:

\[
|T|^2 = \left| \frac{|T_P|^2|T_c|^2}{1 + |R_P|^2|R_c|^2 - 2|R_P||R_c| \cos(2kL + \varphi_P + \varphi_{Rc})} \right|^2 \frac{i^2 \delta^2}{(1 + i^2 r_1^2 \gamma^2)^2 - 2 r_1^2 \gamma \sqrt{\gamma^2 + \delta^2} \cos(\Phi_{RT})},
\]

where the frequency dependence of all parameters has been suppressed, and \( \Phi_{RT} = 2kL + 2\pi(\omega_{min}L + \varphi_P + \varphi_{Rc}) \) is the phase of the roundtrip as a function of detuning for a waveguide with linear dispersion, where \( 1/K \) is the group velocity. In the single-mode limit, the transmission vanishes exactly at the resonance frequency of the microcavity, i.e. at zero detuning \( \delta = 0 \), which is evident from Eqs. (11) and (12).

Figure 5 shows the phase of the roundtrip element \( RT \) in Eq. (6) at the frequency of minimum transmission, \( \omega_{min} \), as a function of \( d \). The blue (red) dots (crosses) correspond to the structure having blue (red) parity, where the parity is found from the full computation using Eq. (2). From our definition of parity in Eq. (4) it follows that the transition between blue and red parity occurs when the transmission spectrum is an even function of the detuning, \( \delta \). Eq. (12) shows that this can only be achieved, if \( \cos(\Phi_{RT}) \) is also even, which occurs when \( \Phi_{RT} \) is odd, corresponding to \( \Phi_{RT}(\omega_{min}) = 0 + 2\pi p, p \in \mathbb{Z} \). Since the transition only happens at these values, the parity must have the same sign in the intervals \( \Phi_{RT} \in [0; \pi] \) and \( [\pi; 2\pi] \), which Fig. 5 confirms. The parity of the transmission spectrum is therefore completely determined by the roundtrip phase at the transmission minimum.
It was shown that the structure displays Fano resonance. This frequency dependence contributes to the asymmetry of the parity of the Fano resonance. This limit was identified to be at $d > 5a$, since it requires a total phase shift of $\pi/4$ for switching the DoP, while maintaining max$(|T|^2) = 1$. Reducing the linewidth, $2\gamma$, and the PTE transmission, $|\Phi_{RT}|$, would increase the slope and thus reduce the required phase shift for flipping the DoP, while maintaining max$(|T|^2) = 1$.

To conclude, we have analyzed the transmission spectrum of a photonic crystal microcavity coupled to a partially-blocked waveguide. It was shown that the structure displays Fano resonances and that the symmetry of these can be controlled by varying the distance between the microcavity and the partially transmitting element. For sufficiently large distances, a single-mode description is accurately describes the shape of the transmission spectrum, and in this limit it was shown that the phase of the roundtrip within the Fabry-Perot cavity determines the parity of the Fano resonance. This limit was identified to be at $d \approx 5a$ for the investigated structure. The breakdown of the single-mode description for $d < 5a$ is due to the increasing influence of evanescent Bloch modes for smaller Fabry-Perot cavities.

The possibility of fully tailoring the Fano resonance in photonic crystal microcavity-waveguide structures might find applications in, for example, optical signal processing and sensing. Our results suggest that the shape of the transmission can be made extremely sensitive to changes in the roundtrip phase. It is therefore interesting to investigate whether the structure is more susceptible to refractive index changes in the waveguide, rather than in the microcavity, which is conventionally used [2, 4, 6–8].
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