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An ATP Binding Cassette Transporter Mediates the Uptake of α-(1,6)-Linked Dietary Oligosaccharides in Bifidobacterium and Correlates with Competitive Growth on These Substrates*

Morten Ejby, Folmer Fredslund, Joakim Mark Andersen, Andreja Vujčić Žagar, Jonas Rosager Henriksen, Thomas Lars Andersen, Birte Svensson, Dirk Jan Slotboom, and Maher Abou Hachem

From the Protein Glycoscience and Biotechnology, Department of Bioengineering, Elektrovej, Building 375, Department of Chemistry, Kemitorvet Building 206, and Department of Microtechnology and Nanotechnology, Produktionsforvet Building 423, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark; MaxLab, MAX IV Laboratory, Lund University, Ole Römers väg 1, 221 00 LUND, Sweden, and Membrane Enzymology, Institute for Biomolecular Sciences and Biotechnology, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

The molecular details and impact of oligosaccharide uptake by distinct human gut microbiota (HGM) are currently not well understood. Non-digestible dietary galacto- and gluco-α-(1,6)-oligosaccharides from legumes and starch, respectively, are preferentially fermented by mainly bifidobacteria and lactobacilli in the human gut. Here we show that the solute binding protein (BIG16BP) associated with an ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporter from the probiotic Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis BI-04 binds α-(1,6)-linked glucosides and galactosides of varying size, linkage, and monosaccharide composition with preference for the trisaccharides raffinose and panose. This preference is also reflected in the α-(1,6)-galactoside uptake profile of the bacterium. Structures of BIG16BP in complex with raffinose and panose revealed the basis for the remarkable ligand binding plasticity of BIG16BP, which recognizes the non-reducing α-(1,6)-diglycoside in its ligands. BIG16BP homologues occur predominantly in bifidobacteria and a few Firmicutes but lack in other HGMs. Among seven bifidobacterial taxa, only those possessing this transporter displayed growth on α-(1,6)-galactosides. Competition assays revealed that the dominant HGM commensal Bacteroides ovatus was out-competed by B. animalis subsp. lactis BI-04 in mixed cultures growing on raffinose, the preferred ligand for the BIG16BP. By comparison, B. ovatus mono-cultures grew very efficiently on this trisaccharide. These findings suggest that the ABC-mediated uptake of raffinose provides an important competitive advantage, particularly against dominant Bacteroides that lack glycan-specific ABC-transporters. This novel insight highlights the role of glycan transport in defining the metabolic specialization of gut bacteria.

The gastrointestinal tract hosts a highly diverse microbial community referred to as the human gut microbiota (HGM) (1). This community, which is established shortly after birth, develops rapidly to form one of the most densely populated ecological niches in nature by the age of 2–3 years. Despite millions of years of co-evolution with mammalian hosts (2), only recently has the profound impact of the gut microbiota on various aspects of human health, including metabolic and immune-disorders, colon cancer, and brain function, as well as rate of aging been established (3–5). Evidence is rapidly accumulating that advantage can be taken of the gut microbiota in diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of diseases and disorders. To realize this potential it is important to discern the complex metabolic interactions among different microbiota taxa, which promote a healthy composition and prevent imbalance (dysbiosis) associated with disease (6). Diet is a key effector of the composition of the HGM (7, 8), and the metabolism of glycans has been highlighted as pivotal in maintaining a healthy bacterial community (9). Raffinose family oligosaccharides (RFOs), containing α-(1,6)-galactosides (10), are abundant in soybeans and other legumes and seeds (11, 12), but are non-digestible by humans. Similarly, isomalto-oligosaccharides (IMOs), comprising α-(1,6)-gluco-oligosaccharides derived from breakdown of starch and the bacterial exopolysaccharide dextran (13, 14), are resistant to degradation by human digestive enzymes. Both of these classes of α-(1,6)-oligosaccharides selectively
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boost the counts of bifidobacteria and gut-adapted lactobacilli in vitro and in vivo (10, 15), but the molecular basis of this preferential enumeration is unclear.

Bifidobacteria are strictly saccharolytic, but in contrast to other commensals of the HGM most of their glycoside hydrolases are intracellular, and their genomes encode only a few extracellular hydrolases targeting polysaccharides (16, 17). Instead, bifidobacteria rely on their specialized carbohydrate transport systems for uptake of available oligosaccharides (18). ABC transporters are the most common class of glycan transporters in bifidobacteria (19). ABC transporters use the free energy of ATP hydrolysis via two intracellular nucleotide binding domains to energize the uptake of a variety of molecules. Extracellular solute binding proteins (SBPs) are responsible for capture of ligands, which are subsequently translocated through a pore formed by two transmembrane domains (20). Although some substrate recognition is conferred by the transmembrane domains, SBPs largely define the specificity and the affinity of bacterial ABC importers (21). Oligosaccharide transporters are likely to play a pivotal role in the highly competitive and extremely densely populated gut niche, as the intracellular (or periplasmic) accumulation of oligosaccharides precludes loss to competing organisms. Despite their importance, molecular insight for most oligosaccharide transporters in the gut niche and especially those from bifidobacteria is lacking.

TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbohydrate</th>
<th>$K_D$ (mM)</th>
<th>$R_{max}$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melibiose</td>
<td>7.29 ± 0.7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raffinose</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stachyose</td>
<td>327 ± 11.2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbacose</td>
<td>&gt;4000</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isomaltose</td>
<td>1060 ± 73</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isomaltotriose</td>
<td>126 ± 3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panose</td>
<td>9 ± 0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isomaltotetraose</td>
<td>94 ± 1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isomaltopentaose</td>
<td>103 ± 1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isomaltotriose</td>
<td>104 ± 1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isomaltotetraose</td>
<td>143 ± 1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Dissociation constants ($K_D$) are the means of triplicates with the S.E.
$^b$ The maximum binding level from the fits to a one-binding-site model.
$^c$ $\chi^2$, statistical goodness of the fit to a one-binding-site model.
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FIGURE 1. Chemical structures of the oligosaccharide ligands of BIG16BP. RFOs, α-(1,6)-galactosides; n = 0, raffinose; n = 1, stachyose; n = 2, verbacose; IMO, α-(1,6)-glucosides; m = 0, isomaltose; m = 1, isomaltotriose through to m = 5, isomaltoctaose.

Results

BIG16BP Displays Dual Specificity to α-(1,6) Galactosides and Glucosides—Ligand preference of BIG16BP was explored using SPR. No binding to the monosaccharides fructose, galactose, and glucose was observed. The SBP was specific for α-(1,6)-glucosides (IMO and panose) and α-(1,6)-galactosides (RFO) (Fig. 1) as judged by the lack of binding to the β-glycosides cellobiose, xylo-oligosaccharides, β-galacto-oligosaccharides, β-fructo-oligosaccharides, or malto-oligosaccharides. The binding kinetics rate constants were too fast to be modeled, and the equilibrium dissociation constants (Table 1) were determined from steady state sensograms (Fig. 2). The highest affinity was measured toward the trisaccharides panose and raffinose (Table 1) with $K_D$ values of 9 μM and 21 μM, respectively. Reducing the ligand size to a disaccharide resulted in a
substantial affinity reduction (~35-fold for the melibiose compared with raffinose and 120-fold for isomaltose as compared with panose). By contrast, the change in affinity with increasing oligosaccharide size was clearly different between the RFO and the IMO. Thus, the presence of two additional -(1,6)-galactosyl moieties at the non-reducing end of raffinose in verbascose abolished the binding within the tested ligand concentration range, whereas the affinity for the -(1,6)-glucosides with a degree of polymerization of 3–7 was similar and only 14-fold lower than for the preferred trisaccharide panose (Table 1). The binding affinity of BlG16BP for raffinose was essentially unchanged in the pH range 5.5–8.0 (data not shown).

The thermodynamic parameters and binding stoichiometry for panose and raffinose were determined using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) and revealed a 1:1 binding driven by a favorable enthalpy change, which was offset by a large unfavorable binding entropy (Table 2 and Fig. 3). The affinity trend and the magnitude of the binding constants were in good agreement with the SPR data.

Overall Three-dimensional Structure—Crystal structures of BlG16BP in complex with the two preferred ligands were solved to a maximum resolution of 1.4 Å (Table 3). BlG16BP adopts a canonical SBP-fold (cluster B type SBP according to structural classification; Ref. 21), which comprises two domains of different size joined by a tripartite hinge region with the ligand binding site located at the domain interface (Fig. 4, A and B). Domain 1 (1–162; 327–373) is formed by six -(1,6)-helices and five -(1,6)-strands, two of which form a part of the hinge region and continue into the larger C-terminal domain (Domain 2). The loop spanning Ser-86 to Leu-93 in Domain 1 was not ordered, as judged by a poor electron density map, and no model is included for this region. The unmodeled region corresponds to Pro-40–Phe-47 of the maltose-binding protein (MBP) from Escherichia coli, which is located at the interface between MBP and the transmembrane MalG domain in the pretranslocation state of the ABC-transporter (23). Domain 2 (167–322; 390–
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TABLE 3
Data collection and refinement statistics of the complex structure of BlG16BP with raffinose and panose and a selenomethionine derivative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BlG16BP raffinose</th>
<th>BlG16BP panose</th>
<th>BlG16BP SeMet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDB ID</td>
<td>4ZS9</td>
<td>4ZZE</td>
<td>4ZZA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.9797</td>
<td>0.9775</td>
<td>0.9805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>57-1.37 (1.41-1.37)</td>
<td>23-1.76 (1.82-1.76)</td>
<td>43.4-2.019 (2.091-2.019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P2 2 2 2</td>
<td>P2 2 2 2</td>
<td>P2 2 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell (Å)</td>
<td>55.54 90.82 146.75</td>
<td>54.88 91.33 142.05</td>
<td>55.39 90.95 145.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections*</td>
<td>151,108 (10,787)</td>
<td>70,949 (6,995)</td>
<td>48,992 (4,766)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity*</td>
<td>6.2 (3.4)</td>
<td>3.4 (3.2)</td>
<td>4.1 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)*</td>
<td>96.9 (94.1)</td>
<td>99 (99)</td>
<td>100 (99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-meas*</td>
<td>0.08 (0.775)</td>
<td>0.098 (0.764)</td>
<td>0.136 (0.477)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean I/σ (</td>
<td>)</td>
<td>12.8 (2.1)</td>
<td>10.65 (2.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson B-factor (Å²)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-factor</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-free</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of atoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macromolecules</td>
<td>6,030</td>
<td>6,031</td>
<td>5,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligands</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>1,114</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein residues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r.m.s. bonds (Å)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r.m.s. angles (</td>
<td>)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran favored (%)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran outliers (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clash score</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B-factor (Å²)</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macromolecules (Å²)</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligands (Å²)</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (Å²)</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values in the parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

437) consists of 11 α-helices and 3 β-strands. The hinge region comprises two short β-strands arranged in an anti-parallel β-sheet spanning the two domains (163–166; 323–326) and the loop 372–375 (Fig. 4). A DALI structure comparison search (24) against the Protein Data Bank (PDB) identified the raffinose-binding protein RafE4 from Streptococcus pneumoniae (PDB code 2i58; Z-score 437) as the structurally most related orthologue to BlG16BP. The non-reducing end glycosyl unit of BlG16BP in complex with raffinose and panose showed well defined densities for the bound oligosaccharides in the ligand binding site that features a deep but open pocket large enough to accommodate three aromatic residues from Domain 2 providing stacking interactions to each glycosyl ring in a trisaccharide ligand, whereas polar contacts are provided by both domains of BlG16BP. The non-reducing end glycosyl unit of both ligands (galactosyl in raffinose and glucosyl in panose) stacks onto Phe-392 (defined as position 1) and makes polar contacts to Asp-394, Asn-109, and His-395 (Fig. 4, D and E). Interestingly, Asp-394 is able to form hydrogen bonds to both the equatorial C4-OH of the nonreducing end glucosyl in panose and the axial C4-OH of the galactosyl in raffinose, thus allowing for an equivalent mode of ligand binding at position 1 (Fig. 4, D and E). The glycosyl moiety of raffinose and panose at position 2 stacks onto Tyr-291 and makes polar contacts to Lys-58, Glu-60, and Asp-326. Although the pyranose rings at positions 1 and 2 can be overlaid almost perfectly, the planes of the terminal glycosyl moieties residues at position 3 are almost orthogonal to each other. Thus, the glucosyl moiety of panose stacks onto Trp-216 with almost parallel planes of the sugar ring and the indole side chain (Fig. 4, D and E). By contrast, the fructosyl residue in raffinose has a smaller area of van der Waals contact with Trp-216, with the planes of the furanose and indole rings being almost orthogonal to each other (Fig. 4, D and E).

Conservation of BlG16BP within Bifidobacterium—Homologues of BlG16BP are encoded by genomes of several species within Bifidobacterium. While the solvent-exposed residues are variable, the binding pocket residues of BlG16BP that make direct ligand contacts are invariant in Bifidobacterium (data not shown). An exception is the conservative substitution of Asn-109 to aspartic acid in some Bifidobacterium longum subsp. longum strains.

Genetic Organization and Phylogenetics of α-(1,6)-Galactoside/Glucoside-binding Proteins—The composition and conservation of loci encompassing orthologues of the BlG16BP gene within Bifidobacterium was performed. Genes encoding permease components of an ABC importer, transcriptional regulators, GH36 α-galactosidases that mediate degradation of soybean α-1,6-galactosides (RFO), oligo-α-1,6-glucosidases (glucoside hydrolase family 13, subfamily 31, GH13_31) that catalyze the hydrolysis of α-(1,6)-glucosides (IMO), were invariably co-localized with BlG16BP orthologues in human gut adapted taxa (Fig. 5). This organization defines the α-(1,6)-galactoside/glucoside utilization loci in Bifidobacterium with the exception of the insect gut-residing Bifidobacterium asteroides (Fig. 5).

The occurrence of potential ABC transporters of α-(1,6)-glucosides in other taxa, particularly from the gut niche, was analyzed using a different strategy to overcome the low sequence
conservation of SBPs. The genetic co-localization of an ABC transporter and an $\alpha$-galactosidase of family GH36 was used as a discriminator to map the taxonomic distribution of $Bl_{G16BP}$ homologues in putative $(1,6)$-galactoside utilization loci. Using this approach, 263 gene loci encoding GH36 enzymes (mainly $\alpha$-galactosidases) together with an ABC transporter and enzymes predicted to be involved in the degradation of $(1,6)$-glucosides (e.g. $(1,6)$-glucosidases of GH13_31) or the sucrose moieties produced from RFO (e.g. sucrose phosphorylases of GH13_18 or sucrose hydrolases of GH32) were found. Most of the loci originated from the Firmicutes and Actinobacteria, including bifidobacteria species and other taxa typically associated with human hosts (Fig. 5). These SBPs populated three subtrees (1, 2, and 3) in this phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 6). $Bl_{G16BP}$ and homologous sequences sharing the same genetic organization were in subtree 1. By contrast, subtree 2 SBPs co-localized with putative $\alpha$-N-acetylglactosaminidase genes (of GH36, data not shown), suggesting a role in uptake of $\alpha$-N-acetyl-hexoseamine-containing glycans, e.g. from mucin. Subtree 3 is mostly populated by SBPs from marine or soil bacteria. The majority of bifidobacterial SBPs populate a single branch in subtree 1 together with $Bl_{G16BP}$ (Fig. 6). A few sequences from the HGM $Eubacterium rectale$, $Ruminococcus SR1/5$, and $Ruminococcus torques$ spp. (26, 27), cluster on an adjacent branch to bifidobacterial counterparts (Fig. 6). Another clade of $Bl_{G16BP}$ homologues harbored sequences from acidophiles cluster lactobacilli (28), e.g. $Lactobacillus acidophilus$ and $Lactobacillus crispatus$ together with $Streptococcus$ spp., e.g. $Streptococcus mutans$, a human oral cavity commensal (29).

**Carbohydrate Uptake by B. animalis subsp. lactis Bl-04**—To assay for oligosaccharide uptake preference, $B. animalis$ subsp. $lactis$ Bl-04 was grown in the presence of equal amounts of the RFOs melibiose, raffinose, and stachyose (Fig. 1). The depletion of oligosaccharides was monitored in the culture supernatant.
FIGURE 5. Representative organization of α-(1,6)-galactoside/glucoside utilization loci in bifidobacteria and other HGM taxa in addition to Streptococcus strains that colonize the oral cavity and lungs in humans. The loci are aligned with respect to the ABC transport system (light gray) and consisting of SBP and two adjacent transmembrane domain permease genes (Perm). Glycoside hydrolases are white, and raffinose-specific α-galactosidases of GH36 subfamily 1 (GH36_I) are in bold. Transcriptional regulators are dark gray, and hypothetical genes are black. The consensus organization found in most bifidobacteria is shown on top followed by the organization found in B. animalis subsp. lactis. Distinct B. longum and B. breve strains have a longer insertion in the locus.

FIGURE 6. Phylogenetic tree of BIG16BP homologues. A, radial cladogram of the homologues of BIG16BP, which were identified based on the co-localization of their genes with those encoding GH36 enzymes. The cladogram is divided into three subtrees: subtree 1 (blue background), subgroup 2 (green background), subtrees 3 (red background). Subtree 1 contains α-(1,6)-galactoside/glucoside-specific sequences including BIG16BP and functionally related homologues from Streptococcus in addition to Lactobacillus sequences specific for raffinose family α-(1,6)-galactosides. In agreement with this functional assignment, genes encoding sequences in subtree 1 are co-localized with genes of α-galactosidases (GH36_I) targeting raffinose family α-(1,6)-galactosides. Most sequences belonging to subtree 2 are distinguished by co-localization of their genes with putative α-N-acetylgalactosaminidase genes, which suggests a functional role in metabolism of host O-glycans. The specificities of subtree 3 SBPs are unknown. The branches from subtree 1 are populated by sequences from genera adapted to the human lung, oral cavity, and gut ecological niches. B, phylogram representation of the tree to show pylogenic distances.
throughout the growth experiment. Raffinose was depleted first followed by stachyose and, thereafter, melibiose (Fig. 7).

Growth of Bifidobacteria and B. ovatus on \((1,6)\)-Glycosides—Growth of seven strains of bifidobacteria on raffinose or a mixture of IMOs was performed. All tested strains except Bifidobacterium bifidum, which lacks the genes encoding the \(Bl\)G16BP ABC transporter, grew efficiently on both raffinose and the IMO mixture (Table 4). Moreover, \(B.\ ovatus\) from the dominant human commensal Bacteroidetes phylum, was able to grow equally well on these substrates (Table 4). This shows that growth on \((1,6)\)-glycosides does not require ABC-mediated transport as \(Bacteroides\) lack this type of transporters.

Competition Assay between \(B.\ ovatus\) and \(B.\ animalis\) subsp. \(lactis\) Bl-04—We wanted to evaluate the ability of \(B.\ ovatus\) to compete with \(B.\ animalis\) \(lactis\) Bl-04 for raffinose, the preferred substrate for the BIG16BP ABC transporter. \(B.\ animalis\) \(lactis\) Bl-04 efficiently out-competed \(B.\ ovatus\) and accounted for \(\sim 98\%\) of the colony-forming units after 18 h of growth in cultures starting from a 50:50 mixture. This distribution persisted after a second round of co-culture (Fig. 8).

Discussion

The complexity and the density of the HGM are highest in the distal gut, reaching \(10^{11}\) cells g\(^{-1}\) content (30). Humans consume large amounts of non-digestible glycans that reach the colon intact and exert a significant effect on the composition of the microbiota (9). Uptake of glycan oligomers is likely to be a key adaptation strategy to the fierce competition as it allows further intracellular (or periplasmic) breakdown of these oligomers and precludes loss to competing taxa. The involvement of ABC transporters in uptake of non-digestible oligosaccharides in health-stimulating bacteria has been highlighted through several “omics” studies (22, 31–33), and elevated levels of acetate derived from carbohydrate fermentation and the occurrence of certain carbohydrate specific ABC transporters in \(Bifidobacterium\) have been correlated to protection against pathogens in a mouse model (34). In this study, we report the structural and biochemical properties of the SBP associated to a conserved bifidobacterial ABC transport system, which has been shown to be transcriptionally up-regulated in response to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Raffinose</th>
<th>IMO mix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(B.\ animalis) (lactis) Bl-04</td>
<td>(1.8 \pm 0.05)</td>
<td>(2.0 \pm 0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B.\ adolescentis) ATCC 15703</td>
<td>(2.4 \pm 0.05)</td>
<td>(2.7 \pm 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B.\ longum) subsp. (lactis) JCM 1217</td>
<td>(1.7 \pm 0.01)</td>
<td>(1.4 \pm 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B.\ longum) subsp. (infantis) ATCC 15697</td>
<td>(0.8 \pm 0.12)</td>
<td>(2.0 \pm 0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B.\ breve) ATCC 15648</td>
<td>(1.5 \pm 0.31)</td>
<td>(1.6 \pm 0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B.\ dentium) Bd1</td>
<td>(1.1 \pm 0.10)</td>
<td>(1.2 \pm 0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B.\ bifidum) ATCC 29521</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B.\ ovatus) ATCC 8483</td>
<td>(1.9 \pm 0.01)</td>
<td>(1.8 \pm 0.13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
growth on a variety of α-glucosides and α-galactosides sharing a common α-(1,6)-disaccharide motif in *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* (22).

**Basis of Specificity and Size Selection by BIG16BP**—BIG16BP accommodates α-(1,6)-glucosides varying in size, glycosidic linkage, and monosaccharide composition. A key structural feature that contributes to this plasticity is the recognition of either an equatorial (glucosyl) or an axial (galactosyl) C4-OH at the non-reducing glycosyl unit (position 1) (Fig. 4). Occupancy of this position and an α-(1,6)-glucosidic bond to a glucosyl moiety at position 2 seem to be prerequisites for efficient ligand binding, as judged by the lack of detectable affinity for sucrose (α-d-Glc-(α1,β2)-d-Fru), isomaltulose (α-d-Glc-(α-1-6)-d-Fru), or maltose (α-d-Glc-(α-1-4)-d-Glc). A second feature that contributes to the broad recognition is the lack of direct polar contacts with the glycosyl moiety bound at position 3, which together with the open architecture of the binding site allows the accommodation of either a fructosyl or a glucosyl residue at this position (Fig. 4). Rearrangement of solvent-mediated hydrogen bonds at position 3 seems to allow fine-tuning of ligand contacts as observed in other SBP (25). This is likely to contribute to the large observed entropic penalty of binding and is consistent with an enthalpy-driven binding process (35). The fast kinetics, observed in the SPR analysis are also consistent with the solvent-accessible open binding site architecture (36) and the relatively low density of protein-ligand polar contacts. By contrast, the capture of arabinoxylo-oligosaccharides in a solvent-occluded binding site in another SBP homologue from the same organism was associated with significantly slower binding and dissociation kinetics (25).

Finally, the open binding cleft of BIG16BP allows the extension of the size of the ligands beyond a trisaccharide. Growth of *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* Bl-04 on the tetrasaccharide (stachyose) and pentasaccharide (verbascose) has been demonstrated (37), which proves that these ligands are indeed taken up albeit with lower selectivity than raffinose the preferred ligand. The preference for trisaccharides was also confirmed by monitoring the depletion of melibiose, raffinose, and stachyose during growth of *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* Bl-04 (Fig. 7). The order of depletion of these ligands was identical to their measured relative affinities to BIG16BP. This supports the role of the BIG16BP ABC system in the uptake of these α-(1,6)-galactosides.

**Comparison of BIG16BP to the Distantly Related RafE from S. pneumoniae**—The structure of the BIG16BP homologue RafE, from *S. pneumoniae* in complex with raffinose, has been deposited (PDB code 2i58). Despite low levels of sequence conservation, BIG16BP and RafE share fold and domain organization (Fig. 4). Differences between these two proteins are observed in the ligand aromatic stacking platform, which in RafE comprises three tryptophans and a tyrosine residue. Two of these tryptophans are substituted by the less bulky Tyr-291 and Phe-392 at positions 1 and 2, respectively, in BIG16BP (Fig. 4). The role of the RafE-associated ABC transport system in uptake of melibiose, raffinose, and isomaltotriose has been demonstrated by gene knock-out (38) and transcriptional analyses (39). Gene knockouts in *Bifidobacterium* are not straightforward to introduce, but the functional and structural similarity between BIG16BP and RafE supports the role of BIG16BP ABC systems in the uptake of α-(1,6)-galactosides in *Bifidobacterium*. This is further supported by uptake profile analysis in *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* Bl-04 and the inability of the only tested *Bifidobacterium* strain, which lacks this ABC system, to grow on α-(1,6)-galactosides (Table 4).

**α-(1,6)-Glycoside Metabolism in the Human Gut Niche and Possible Advantages of ABC-mediated Transport**—Our genetic and phylogenetic analyses assert the conservation of the BIG16BP ABC system in most human gut-adapted bifidobacteria together with the necessary machinery for breakdown of α-(1,6)-linked galactosides and glucosides, which outlines the utilization route of these glycans (Figs. 6 and 9). This is in accord with the high activity of the oligo α-(1,6)-glucosidase encoded by this locus in *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* toward isomalto- and isomalitoltriose (40). The co-occurrence of α-(1,6)-galactosides and glucosides in plant seeds, e.g., soybean (41), provides a possible explanation for the catabolic integration of the above two classes of glycans in both bifidobacteria and streptococci. Notably, this clustering is not observed in *Eubacterium, Ruminococcus*, and *Lactobacillus* HGM strains, which lack the α-(1,6)-glucosidase gene (Fig. 5). Thus, only α-(1,6)-galactosides are likely to be taken up by the ABC system in these Firmicutes taxa, which are supported by transcriptional analysis in *Lactobacillus* NCFM (32). Interestingly, this α-(1,6)-galactoside specific ABC system is only present in acidophilus cluster human gut-adapted lactobacilli (28). The lack of this ABC system in *Lactobacillus* strains from other ecological niches suggests recent acquisition of this transporter by horizontal gene transfer during the adaptation to the human
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gut. Acquisition of oligosaccharide uptake and catabolism genes has been proposed as an adaptation strategy to the gut niche (42). With the exception of Bifidobacterium and a few strains from Firmicutes, the BIG16BP ABC system seems to be lacking in most HGM commensals, including those able to degrade α-(1,6)-glycosides, but use different transporters, e.g. the major facilitator superfamily melibiose uptake system present in some E. coli strains (43). We have shown in the present study that B. ovatus, which uses SusC-type glycan transporters, was able to efficiently grow on raffinose the preferred RFO ligand for the BIG16BP ABC system in monocultures. B. animalis subsp. lactis BI-04, however, was able to efficiently outcompete B. ovatus in mixed cultures. These findings suggest that the preferential stimulation of bifidoabcteria by raffinose is most likely attributed to the competitive advantage granted by ABC-mediated transport in the uptake of short α-1,6-oligosaccharides (Fig. 8).

The affinity range of ligand binding to BIG16BP is roughly 2 orders of magnitude lower than the only two previously characterized oligosaccharide binding proteins from bifidobacteria of the (1,6)-Oligosaccharide Uptake in Bifidobacterium orders of magnitude lower than the only two previously characterized oligosaccharide binding proteins from bifidobacteria of the (1,6)-glycoside transport family (Fig. 8). Therefore, BIG16BP is roughly 2 orders of magnitude lower than the only two previously characterized oligosaccharide binding proteins from bifidobacteria of the (1,6)-glycoside transport family (Fig. 8).

In conclusion, this study reports the first biochemical and structural insight into an ABC-associated α-(1,6)-glycoside transport protein and provides evidence that ABC-mediated uptake may confer a competitive edge in the fierce competition for metabolic resources in the human gut niche. Altogether, these findings promote our understanding of the impact of oligosaccharide uptake in preferential glycan utilization.

Experimental Procedures
Cloning, Production, and Purification of the Solute Binding Protein Balac_1599 from B. animalis subsp. lactis BI-04—B. animalis subsp. lactis BI-04 genomic DNA was used as the template for the PCR amplification of the Balac_1599 open reading frame (GI:241191602) with the primers sense (5'-GAATTCCATATGGCGACGGGAGCTCGAAGGATCC-3') and antisense (5'-CGCCGATCCCTACTTGCGGAAGTCA-CGAGCC-3'). The PCR amplicon (1201 base pairs) encoding the mature peptide without the signal peptide as predicted by SignalP (SignalP 4.1 Server) was cloned within the NdeI and SalI sites of pET28a+ (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) and transformed into E. coli DH5α (Invitrogen). The resulting pET28aBalac1599 was fully sequenced and transformed into E. coli BL21(DE3) and B834DE3 (Novagen) for expression of unlabeled and selenomethionine-labeled BIG16BP was produced in B834DE3 according to Studts and Fox (46).

The recombinant protein was purified by immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography using a 5-ml HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare) as described (45) followed by anion exchange chromatography using an 8-ml Mono Q 10/100 GL column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0 (buffer A), and installed on an ÄKTA Avant chromatograph (GE Healthcare). Proteins eluted by a gradient of buffer B (buffer A + 500 mM NaCl) in 10 column volumes at 1 ml min⁻¹ were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, pure fractions were pooled, and buffer was exchanged into 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0. The N-terminal tag was removed by incubation with thrombin from Novagen for 24 h at room temperature and passed through the His column, the flow-through containing the cleaved recombinant protein was concentrated, and buffer was exchanged into 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0. Protein concentration was determined by measuring, A280 using a molar extinction coefficient ε280 nm = 51,750 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ determined experimentally by amino acid analysis (predicted ε280 nm = 45,380 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

Carbohydrates—Fructose, galactose, glucose, melibiose, sucrose, malto-oligosaccharides DP (degree of polymerization) 2–7 ([α-d-Glc(1–4)]α1–6–d-Glc), isomaltolose ([α-d-Glc-(1–6)-d-Fru], raffinose ([α-d-Galp-(1–6)-α-d-Glc-(1–2)-β-d-Fru], stachyose ([α-d-Galp-(1–6)-α-d-Galp-(1–6)-α-d-Glc-(1–2)-β-d-Fru], and verbascose ([α-d-Galp-(1–6)-α-d-Galp-(1–6)-α-d-Glc-(1–2)-β-d-Fru] were from Sigma. β-1,4-Xylo-oligosaccharides ([β-d-xylp(1,4)]α1–6–d-xyulp) were from Shandong Longlive Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Yucheng, China). Cellobiose ([β-d-Glc-(1,4)-d-Glc] was from Fluka AG (St. Gallen, Switzerland). β-Galacto-oligosaccharides (both [β-d-Galp-(1,4)]α1–5–d-Glc and [β-d-Galp-(1,6)]α1–5–d-Glc) and fructo-oligosaccharides ([β-d-Fruk-(1,2)]α1–4–d-Fruk and [β-d-Fruk-(1,2)]α1–4–d-Fruk and [β-d-Fruk-(2,1)]α1–6–d-Fruk and [β-d-Fruk-(2,1)]α1–6–d-Fruk) were kindly supplied from DuPont Health and Nutrition Inc. (Brabrand, Denmark) as custom preparations. Panose and isomaltotriose were from Carbohydrates (Berkshire, UK), whereas IMO FP (degree of polymerization) 4–7 were a kind gift of Prof. Atsuo Kimura (Hokkaido University, Hokkaido, Japan) (See Fig. 1). For the growth experiments, a commercial mixture of IMO was used (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan), and the α-mannan was from Orffa (Werkendam, The Netherlands).

Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR)—The SPR analysis was performed using a Biacore T100 (GE Healthcare). BIG16BP (100 μg/ml) diluted in 10 mM sodium acetate, pH 4.5, was immobilized onto a CM5 chip (GE Healthcare) using a standard amine coupling protocol to a density of 3900 response units. Binding analyses were carried out at 25 °C in 20 mM phosphate, pH 7.0, 150 mM sodium chloride, 0.005% (v/v) P20 (GE Healthcare) running buffer unless otherwise stated, and all solutions were filtered before analysis (0.22 μm). In the initial screening of binding, 1 mM carbohydrate in running buffer was injected.
over the chip surface at 30 μl min⁻¹ with association and dissociation times of 90 and 180 s, respectively. Affinities of carbohydrates showing binding to the immobilized BlG16BP were measured using at least 10 concentrations in the ranges 3.9–1000 μM IMO, 3–1600 μM melibiose, 0.24–1000 μM raffinose, and 8–4000 μM stachyose or verbascose. The pH dependence was measured using four concentrations of raffinose (4–250 μM) in 20 mM sodium acetate, pH 4.0–5.5, 20 mM sodium citrate-phosphate, pH 5.5–8.0, and a similar NaCl and surfactant concentration as in the running buffer. Dissociation constants (K_D) were determined by fitting a one-binding site model to the steady state sensograms. No binding was measured of cellobiose, β-1,4-xylo-oligosaccharides, β-galacto-oligosaccharides, β-fructo-oligosaccharides, or α-1,4-gluco-oligosaccharides (malt-oligosaccharides).

**ITC**—The affinity of BlG16BP to the preferred ligands raffinose and panose was determined by ITC (ITC2001 GE Health-care). Titrationos were conducted in triplicate at 25 °C by injection of 2 mM raffinose or panose into 108 μM BlG16BP in 20 mM sodium citrate-phosphate, pH 7.0. Injection of panose and raffinose into buffer was performed for measuring the heat of dilution, which was subtracted in the data analysis. The ITC experiments included a preinjection of 0.5 μl, which was discarded from the analysis followed by 38 × 1-μl injections into an ITC cell volume of 204 μl. The ITC heat traces were processed as described previously (47), and a one-site binding model governed by the equilibrium association constant, K_A, the molar enthalpy for binding, ΔH, and the average number of binding sites on the protein, n, were included as fitting parameters.

**Crystallization and Structure Determination**—Crystals were only obtained in the presence of either panose or raffinose (1 mM) by vapor diffusion in hanging or sitting drops and grew for 60 h at 5 °C at a 1:1 ratio of BlG16BP and reservoir solution. An initial crystallization condition (0.1 M Tris, pH 8.5, 30% PEG-2121, 0.4–250 μM) was tested, and a final crystallization condition (0.1 M Tris, pH 8.5, 25% PEG 4000, 0.8M MgCl₂ and 0.1M Tris, pH 8.5–9–8.0, and a similar NaCl and surfactant concentration as in the running buffer. Dissociation constants (K_D) were determined by fitting a one-binding site model to the steady state sensograms. No binding was measured of cellobiose, β-1,4-xylo-oligosaccharides, β-galacto-oligosaccharides, β-fructo-oligosaccharides, or α-1,4-gluco-oligosaccharides (malt-oligosaccharides).

**α-(1,6)-Oligosaccharide Uptake in Bifidobacterium**
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To evaluate the competition between B. animalis subsp. lactis BI-04 and B. ovatus ATCC 8483, the strains were grown as above in the presence of 0.5% (w/v) raffinose. Equal $A_{600}$ units of both strains were used to inoculate a co-culture to $A_{600} \approx 0.1$ in the same medium. The co-culture was incubated at 37 °C anaerobically for 18 h and subsequently used to inoculate a second co-culture in the same medium, after which growth was continued for 18 h. To compare the ratios of B. animalis subsp. lactis BI-04 and B. ovatus, serial dilutions of both co-cultures were plated on BHI-agar plates supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) raffinose (both strains grow on these plates) and LAB SEM-agar supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) α-mannan (only B. ovatus grows on this substrate) to obtain single colonies. The ratio of colony forming units (cfu) on the Bacteroides-selective plate was compared with the total cfu from the plate sustaining the growth of both strains.

Analysis of Oligosaccharide Uptake Profile—B. animalis subsp. lactis BI-04 was grown in LAB SEM medium supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) of an equal mixture of melibiose, raffinose, and stachyose. Aliquots were withdrawn from the cultures at six time intervals, and oligosaccharides in culture supernatants were analyzed by high performance anion-exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD) (Dionex) using a Carbopac PA200 column and a mobile phase (0.35 ml/min) of constant 100 mM NaOH and a gradient of sodium acetate 0-20 min of 0-160 mM and 20-25 min of 160-400 mM with 10-μl sample injections. Standards (50 μM) of melibiose, raffinose, and stachyose were used to identify the peaks in the chromatograms. Samples were diluted in 200-fold in Milli-Q water and subsequently 10-fold in 100 mM NaOH before analysis and compared with the standards.
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