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Background of dielectric elastomer (DE)

DE - changes size/shape (presence of electrical field)
- compliant capacitor (electrostatic stress > elastic stress)

DEs: silicones, acrylates, polyurethanes and thermoplastic elastomer copolymer.

Actuator
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Generator
Roy Kornbluh et al - SRI International, USA

Sensor
Ben O’Brien – University of Auckland
DE as an actuator
DE as a generator

- **High** mechanical potential
- **Low** electrical potential

- **Low** mechanical potential
- **High** electrical potential

Deflation
DE as a sensor

Reference state

Dielectric elastomer

Compliant electrodes
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Touch

$C = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \frac{A}{t} + C_{parasitic}$
Morphology in block copolymers

Multiblock copolymer

\[(AB)_n\]

Common morphologies of block copolymers

- Spheres
- Cylinders
- Gyroids
- Lamellar

Increasing volume fraction \((f_A)\)

Domain spacings


PDMS versus PEG

Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)

- Low modulus
- Low conductivity
- Low permittivity (net dipole moment, $\mu=0.6 - 0.9\ \text{D}$)$^4$

Polyethyleneglycol (PEG)

- High Permittivity (a dipole moment, $\mu=3.91\ \text{D}^5$)
- High conductivity
- Not flexible

Experimental

Sample details for PDMS-PEG multiblock copolymers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDMS-PEG block copolymer</th>
<th>Number average molecular weight of H-PDMS (M_{n,PDMS}) [g/mol]</th>
<th>Number of repeating units in PDMS (m)</th>
<th>Theoretical number of repeating units in ((PDMS-PEG)_X) ((X))</th>
<th>Stoichiometric ratio (r_1)</th>
<th>Volume fraction of PDMS (f_A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDMS81-PEG</td>
<td>6000.00</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS14-PEG</td>
<td>1050.00</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS7-PEG</td>
<td>550.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS3-PEG</td>
<td>208.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \(M_n\) of PEG in PDMS-PEG block copolymer is 250 g/mol
The blends and sample preparation

1) Crosslink PDMS-PEG block copolymer (BCP) with 9-functional (9-f) crosslinker
2) Blend the block copolymer with commercial PDMS (MJK) and crosslink with 9-f crosslinker

1) 1 mm film – rheology & permittivity
2) 100 µm film – dielectric breakdown strength
Relative permittivity VS dielectric loss factor (BCP)
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Conductivity and shear modulus (BCP)

![Graph showing conductivity and shear modulus data for different PDMS-PEG block copolymers.](image)

- **Conductivity, \( \sigma \) (S/cm)**
  - Frequency (Hz)
  - Data points for PDMS elastomer (MJK), PDMS81-PEG, PDMS14-PEG, PDMS7-PEG, PDMS3-PEG.

- **Storage modulus, \( G' \) (Pa)**
  - Frequency (Hz)
  - Data points for PDMS elastomer (MJK), PDMS81-PEG, PDMS14-PEG, PDMS7-PEG, PDMS3-PEG.

- **Loss modulus, \( G'' \) (Pa)**
  - Frequency (Hz)
  - Data points for PDMS elastomer (MJK), PDMS81-PEG, PDMS14-PEG, PDMS7-PEG, PDMS3-PEG.
Relative permittivity VS Dielectric loss factor (MJK/PDMS7)

![Graphs showing relative permittivity and dielectric loss factor vs. frequency for different polymer compositions](image)
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Conductivity & shear modulus (MJK/PDMS7)

**Conductivity (S/cm) vs. Frequency (Hz)**

- **PDMS Elastomer (MJK)**
- 5wt% MJK/PDMS7
- 10wt% MJK/PDMS7
- 15wt% MJK/PDMS7
- 20wt% MJK/PDMS7
- PDMS7-PEG

**Storage modulus, G' (Pa) vs. Frequency (Hz)**

- G'
- G''

**Loss modulus, G'' (Pa) vs. Frequency (Hz)**

- G'
- G''
Dielectric breakdown ($E_{BD}$) strength (MJK/PDMS7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MJK/ PDMS7</th>
<th>Dielectric breakdown $E_{BD}$ (V/µm)</th>
<th>Weibull $\eta$-parameter</th>
<th>Weibull $\beta$-parameter</th>
<th>$R^2$ of linear fit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MJK</td>
<td>93 ± 7</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 wt%</td>
<td>103 ± 4</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt%</td>
<td>92 ± 3</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 wt%</td>
<td>93 ± 8</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 wt%</td>
<td>101 ± 5</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure of merit (F_{OM}) - actuator**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MJK/PDMS7</th>
<th>Young’s modulus, $Y^*$ (kPa)</th>
<th>Normalised $F_{OM}$ (DEA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 wt% (MJK)</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 wt%</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt%</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 wt%</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 wt%</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $Y = 3G'$

$$F_{OM}(DEA) = \frac{3\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_0 E_{BD}^2}{Y}$$
Conclusion

• Incorporating conducting PDMS-PEG block copolymer with non-conducting PDMS elastomer:
  • Improve relative permittivity up to 60% with low loss permittivity and non-conducting.
  • Maintain low modulus (obtain soft elastomer).
  • Based on FOM, the actuation improves by 17-fold compared to reference material (Elastosil RT625).
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