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The methanogenic biodegradation of crude oil involves the conversion of hydrocarbons to methanogenic substrates by syntrophic bacteria and subsequent methane production by methanogens. Assessing the metabolic roles played by various microbial species in syntrophic communities remains a challenge, but such information has important implications for bioremediation and microbial enhanced energy recovery technologies. Many factors such as changing environmental conditions or substrate variations can influence the composition and biodegradation capabilities of syntrophic microbial communities in hydrocarbon-impacted environments. In this study, a methanogenic crude oil-degrading enrichment culture was successively transferred onto the single long chain fatty acids palmitate or stearate followed by their parent alkanes, hexadecane or octadecane, respectively, in order to assess the impact of different substrates on microbial community composition and retention of hydrocarbon biodegradation genes. 16S rRNA gene sequencing showed that a reduction in substrate diversity resulted in a corresponding loss of microbial diversity, but that hydrocarbon biodegradation genes (such as assA/masD encoding alkylsuccinate synthase) could be retained within a community even in the absence of hydrocarbon substrates. Despite substrate-related diversity changes, all communities were dominated by hydrogenotrophic and acetotrophic methanogens along with bacteria including Clostridium sp., members of the Deltaproteobacteria, and a number of other phyla. Microbial co-occurrence network analysis revealed a dense network of interactions amongst syntrophic bacteria and methanogens that were maintained despite changes in the substrates for methanogenesis. Our results reveal the effect of substrate diversity loss on microbial community diversity, indicate that many syntrophic interactions are stable over time despite changes in substrate pressure, and show that syntrophic interactions amongst bacteria themselves are as important as interactions between bacteria and methanogens in complex methanogenic communities.

Keywords: methanogenesis, hydrocarbon biodegradation, syntrophy, microbial community composition, co-occurrence network analysis
INTRODUCTION

Since the dawn of the industrial age, widespread use and processing of petroleum products has led to an increase in the hydrocarbon contamination of a wide range of environments. Despite increasing environmental awareness and improved remediation technologies, contamination of the subsurface with hydrocarbon mixtures remains a problem, as the fate of hydrocarbons in the subsurface is not fully understood especially under anoxic conditions. The exposure of subsurface environments to heavy organic loads such as hydrocarbons leads to the rapid development of anoxic conditions in which the majority of hydrocarbon biodegradation is thought to proceed via methanogenesis (Jones et al., 2008). This process is also important in many fossil energy reservoirs, wherein hydrocarbon metabolism over geologic time has led to the accumulation of biogenic methane in gas caps overlying oil legs (Jones et al., 2008). Many studies have now demonstrated that diverse hydrocarbon substrates can be biodegraded under methanogenic conditions (e.g., as reviewed in Foght, 2008; Gray et al., 2010; Gieg et al., 2014).

Methanogenic hydrocarbon metabolism requires the presence of at least two groups of organisms in order to proceed in a thermodynamically favorable manner: the syntrophic bacteria that catalyze the activation and subsequent degradation of hydrocarbons to methanogenic substrates (e.g., acetate, formate, CO₂, and H₂), and methanogenic archaea that bioconvert these simpler substrates to CH₄ (plus CO₂ or H₂O). Methanogenic communities degrading hydrocarbon mixtures are typically diverse (Gray et al., 2010; An et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2015a), but how these microorganisms coordinate their metabolisms to utilize diverse hydrocarbons as carbon and energy sources and to conserve sufficient energy to support life is poorly understood (Gieg et al., 2014). Furthermore, the mechanisms involved in hydrocarbon activation are not fully understood, though fumarate addition has emerged as a key mechanism for the activation of aliphatic, substituted monoaromatic hydrocarbons, and substituted polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons under various anaerobic electron-accepting conditions (Foght, 2008; Widdel and Musat, 2010; Callaghan, 2013). Alkylsuccinate synthase (encoded by the assA/masD gene; assA will be the designate name used in this study) is the key enzyme responsible for addition of alkanes to fumarate (Callaghan et al., 2008; Grundmann et al., 2008), while benzylsuccinate synthase (bsA) adds fumarate to substituted aromatic hydrocarbons (Heider, 2007).

In this study, we describe four new methanogenic enrichment cultures that were used to assess community changes as a result of decreased substrate diversity and that were probed for the presence of fumarate addition genes. Two cultures degrading the long-chain fatty acids (LCFA) palmitate and stearate were established from a whole crude oil-degrading methanogenic culture (Gieg et al., 2008) as the inoculum. The LCFA-degrading cultures were subsequently transferred to their parent alkanes, hexadecane and octadecane, in order to see if these cultures maintained the ability to degrade the hydrocarbon substrates present in the original oil degrading culture after long-term incubation on LCFA. All of these cultures (including the whole crude oil-degrading culture) were subjected to pyrotag sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene. We hypothesized that variations in the microbial community composition would be related to the specific carbon substrate supplied, which could provide clues to the identity of hydrocarbon-degraders in the cultures. We expected that the crude oil-degrading culture, which is exposed to a diverse hydrocarbon mixture and is the original parent culture, would be the most biodiverse of the cultures. LCFA- and n-alkane-amended cultures were expected to exhibit less diversity due to the restriction of carbon and energy sources within the culture, and the dilution effects of successive transfers. We further postulated that community members that were maintained across the majority of cultures over time likely play fundamental roles in the syntrophic degradation of shared metabolic products such as fatty acids, acetate, and formate. In light of this, we conducted a co-occurrence network analysis including community members that were retained across the different cultures in an attempt to establish an understanding of the syntrophic interactions occurring in the cultures.

A better understanding of methanogenic hydrocarbon metabolism could lead to the improvement of biotechnological applications for in situ bioremediation and for the bioconversion of residual oil to methane as a tertiary energy recovery strategy from fossil-energy reservoirs. Furthermore, insight into the syntrophic lifestyle can help shed light on novel mechanisms for interspecies communication or coordination, interspecies electron and metabolite transfer, and energy conservation in low energy-yielding environments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Culture Incubations

The inoculum for the cultures described herein was initially derived from gas condensate-contaminated aquifer sediments that were found to biodegrade whole crude oil under methanogenic conditions (Townsend et al., 2003). This original culture was subsequently amended with crude oil-containing crushed sandstone reservoir core material as previously described (Gieg et al., 2008) and was found to utilize n-alkanes (C₁₂–C₂₉) in whole crude oil; the culture is referred to herein as the residual oil culture. Based on previous reports suggesting relationships between the degradation of n-alkanes and their corresponding fatty acids (e.g., Aekkersberg et al., 1998), we hypothesized that the residual oil culture would have the ability to utilize LCFA. Thus, in 2008 the residual oil culture was used to establish new enrichments amended with palmitate or stearate. These LCFA were selected because they represented the corresponding fatty acids to n-alkanes (C₁₆ and C₁₈) in the mid-range of the alkane fraction biodegraded by the residual oil culture (Gieg et al., 2008). Initial incubations showed that palmitate and stearate were metabolized based on the visual disappearance of the waxy substrates, and increased methane production relative to controls (not shown). Since then, these LCFA-degrading enrichments have undergone repeated substrate amendment with 30 μmol of stearate or palmitate as needed and had been transferred three times since their establishment.
(30–50% v/v transfer) prior to conducting the work described herein. The hexadecane- and octadecane-amended cultures were subsequently established in 2011 from these LCFA-degrading cultures by inoculating substrate-depleted palmitate- and stearate-degrading cultures with 0.03 g (133 µmol) hexadecane (added neat) or 0.03 g (118 µmol) octadecane, dissolved in 2,2,4,4,6,8,8-heptamethylnonane (HMN; 0.5 g/mL). The reason for the use of HMN, an inert hydrocarbon carrier, is that octadecane is a solid at room temperature (unlike hexadecane), and was thus difficult to amend to sealed serum bottles without first being dissolved in a solvent. These n-alkane-amended cultures underwent a single transfer to new medium (50% v/v) and substrate amendment as described above prior to the analyses described here. All cultures were established and maintained in glass serum bottles containing anoxically prepared bicarbonate-buffered minimal salts freshwater medium with 0.01% resazurin as a redox indicator and 2.5% v/v cysteine sulfide as the reductant (Fowler et al., 2012). Incubations were sealed with butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum crimps, and contained a CO₂/N₂ (20/80 vol%) headspace. All substrate-amended incubations were established at least in triplicate. Parallel substrate-unamended and sterile substrate-containing controls were also established.

**Methane Measurement**

Methane was routinely monitored in all cultures and controls (as a surrogate for substrate utilization) using a Hewlett-Packard model 5890 Series gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a flame ionization detector (200°C) with helium as the carrier gas. Headspace gas was sampled using a sterile 1 mL syringe flushed with 10% CO₂ in N₂ (Fowler et al., 2012). Injections were carried out at 150°C onto a packed stainless steel column (18” long × 1/8” i.d., Poropak R 80/100, Supelco) held isothermally at 100°C.

**DNA Extraction, PCR, and Pyrotag Sequencing**

DNA was extracted using a modified phenol–chloroform method with bead beating. Cells (6 mL total) were repeatedly centrifuged at 18 000 × g for 10 min to pellet cells in 2 mL bead beating tubes containing 0.3 g of 0.01 mm and 0.1 g of 0.5 mm zirconia/silica beads (BioSpec Products). Cells were resuspended in 300 µL of lysis buffer (500 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, 10% SDS, pH 8) and 300 µL of chloroform-isomyl alcohol (24:1). Bead beating was carried out at 6.0 m/s for 45 s. DNA was extracted by phenol chloroform-isomyl alcohol extraction followed by RNase and proteinase K treatment and a final phenol then chloroform–isoamyl alcohol extraction followed by RNAse and phenol and ethanol. DNA was precipitated in sodium acetate (3 M, pH 7) and cold 100% ethanol at 18 000× g for 20 min. Pellets were washed with cold 70% ethanol and resuspended in nuclease-free water (Fowler et al., 2012). Genomic DNA was found to be within the range of 1.41–9.49 ng/µL using Qubit fluorometry (Invitrogen).

Pyrotag sequencing was carried out following a two-step PCR method. In the first PCR step, DNA was amplified using universal primers 926F (AAACTYAAKGAATTGACGG) and 1392R (ACGGGCCGTGTGTRC) targeting the V6, V7, and V8 regions of the 16S rRNA gene in 25 µL reactions containing 2x PCR Master Mix (Fermentas), 0.2 µM of each primer and 1 µL of DNA using the following thermocycling protocol: 95°C 3 min; 25 cycles of 95°C (30 s), 55.0°C (45 s), 72.0°C (90 s); final extension 72°C 10 min. In order to attach barcode and adaptor sequences for 454 multiplex sequencing, a secondary PCR was carried out with primers 454T-FB-926F (which included the 25 bp B-adaptor sequence CTATGCCGCTTGGCCAGCGGCCTCAG 5’ to the primer sequence) and 454T-FA-1392R (which included the 25 nt A-adaptor sequence CGTATGCCCTCCCCCGCCGATCAG and a variable 10 nt barcode sequence 5’ to the primer sequence). Reactions were prepared as above with modified thermocycling conditions: 95°C 3 min; 10 cycles of 95°C (30 s), 55.0°C (45 s), 72.0°C (90 s); final extension 72°C 10 min.

All PCR products containing adaptors and barcodes were then purified using a commercially available kit (Qiagen PCR Purification Kit). Amplicons were quantified by Qubit fluorometry (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and were sequenced by 454 sequencing at the McGill University and Genome Quebec Innovation Centre (Montreal, Canada) using a GS FLX Titanium Series Kit XR70 (Roche). Microbial community sequencing data are available in GenBank under the accession numbers SR090429–SR090434 for the residual oil and palmitate/stearate-degrading enrichments and under SRX1585942 (G₁₀) and SRX1585943 (G₁₈) for the n-alkane-amended enrichments.

**Bioinformatics Analysis**

Analysis of 16S rRNA gene pyrotag data was carried out using the Phoenix 2 pipeline (Soh et al., 2013). Briefly, quality control was performed to remove low quality and chimeric sequences. Dereplication was performed (99% identity threshold) and sequences were clustered into OTUs at 3% distance using the average linkage algorithm. OTUs were mapped to taxa using the RDP classification algorithm within the SILVA training dataset (Pruesse et al., 2007). Biodiversity and other statistical measures were generated using mothur commands (Soh et al., 2013). In the results shown here, taxa comprising a single read were excluded from analysis as singleton and rare OTUs can be the result of sequencing errors, and rare taxa were not the focus of this analysis. Within Phoenix 2, Pearson correlations were calculated among shared OTUs within the five cultures. Microbial co-occurrence networks were constructed and visualized in Cytoscape v3.2.1 (Shannon et al., 2003) with OTUs that occurred in a minimum of three of five cultures with a minimum total abundance of 50 reads, and a Pearson correlation of at least 0.75.

**Alkylsuccinate Synthase Gene Amplification and Sequencing**

Eight established alkylsuccinate synthase (assA) and five benzylsuccinate synthase (bssA) gene primers, and their respective reaction thermocycling conditions, were used to probe extracted DNA for the presence of fumarate addition enzymes (Washer and Edwards, 2007; Callaghan et al., 2010). PCR reactions were carried out with 12.5 µL 2x PCR Master
Mix (Fermentas), 9 µL RNase free water, 0.5 µL each of a forward gene primer and corresponding reverse primer (10 µM), and 1 µL of template DNA. Amplicons of expected size were verified on a 1% agarose gel and subsequently purified using the QiAQuick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The resulting purified amplicons were directly sequenced and queried against the NCBI non-redundant nucleotide database using BLASTN to identify homology to known sequences. A single assA gene fragment (523 bp; 393 bp after removing low quality nucleotide sequence ends) was amplified from each of the residual oil-, stearate-, and octadecane-amended enrichment cultures using primers 1432F and 1936R described by Callaghan et al. (2010).

Multiple alignments of the amplified sequences and representative sequences covering the same region were generated using the T-Coffee algorithm within the Centre for Genomic Regulation database (Notredame et al., 2000). Bootstrapped maximum likelihood trees (100 replicates) were constructed in MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013). A consensus tree was constructed using the Tamura–Nei model (Tamura and Nei, 1993) with complete deletions. Sequences for the assA genes reported in this study are available in GenBank under the accession numbers KU094062, KU094063, and KU094064.

**RESULTS**

**Methane Production from LCFA and Hydrocarbons**

Methane production was monitored following routine transfer and substrate amendment of the LCFA- and n-alkane-amended cultures, shown in Figure 1. Over the course of a 340-day incubation, the LCFA-degrading cultures appeared to completely consume their respective substrate based on a visual inspection wherein the waxy white substrate particles completely disappeared (relative to sterile controls). Based on the amounts of methane measured (Figure 1A) and the theoretical stoichiometric equations (Eqs. 1 and 2; Symons and Buswell, 1933) for the production of methane from 30 µmol of LCFA, approximately 84 and 98% of palmitate or stearate, respectively, were metabolized via methanogenesis.

\[
\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{32}\text{O}_2 + 7\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 4.5\text{CO}_2 + 11.5\text{CH}_4 \text{ (Palmitate)} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{36}\text{O}_2 + 8\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 5\text{CO}_2 + 13\text{CH}_4 \text{ (Stearate)} \quad (2)
\]

Similar amounts of methane were produced from these cultures over successive transfers (data not shown). These results are in line with previous work on methanogenic cultures, where about 64–98% of theoretically predicted methane is produced (assuming 100% conversion of substrates to methane). The balance of carbon presumably goes to the production of biomass, with a small amount being lost during headspace sampling and due to adsorption to the stopper (Stadtman and Barker, 1951; Zengler et al., 1999; Fowler et al., 2012). Substrate-unamended cultures produced 0 µmol (palmitate) and 41 µmol (stearate) methane.

**FIGURE 1 | Methane production from the biodegradation of (A) LCFA, (B) hexadecane, and (C) octadecane. (A) Methane production from palmitate (triangles) and stearate (squares) amended cultures over 340 days. The 30 µmol of palmitate or stearate was added on day 0, resulting in the production of 289 µmol of methane from palmitate (mean of five replicates) and 384 µmol of methane from stearate (mean of six replicates). Error bars show standard error. Dotted line represents substrate unamended control cultures. (B) Methane production from hexadecane amended culture over the course of 894 days; replicates were plotted individually (diamonds). Cultures were amended with 133 µmol of hexadecane (0.03 g) resulting in the production of an average of 60 µmol of methane. (C) Methane production from octadecane amended cultures over 894 days; replicates were plotted individually (squares). Cultures were amended with 118 µmol of octadecane on day 0 resulting in the production of methane ranging from 75 to 187 µmol. In (B,C), methane production from unamended controls (dotted lines) may be related to the degradation of hydrocarbon substrate carried over during culture transfer or to the presence of cysteine added as a reductant.**
The primary transfers of the n-alkane amended cultures produced variable amounts of methane in different replicates (Figures 1B,C). A long lag period was observed prior to methane production ranging from 141 days up to almost 600 days for one octadecane-amended enrichment. Methane production in the hexadecane-amended replicates was fairly uniform after 894 days of incubation, yielding approximately 60 µmol CH₄ (Figure 1B). Methane production in the octadecane-degrading cultures was more varied; 187, 148, or 75 µmol CH₄ were produced over 894 days from each of the three replicates (Figure 1C).

Methane production in the corresponding unamended controls incubated alongside the n-alkane-amended cultures was substantial (93.1 µmol and 118.0 µmol). This effect may be related to carryover of any undegraded hydrocarbon substrate when the cultures underwent primary transfer. However, a possible alternative carbon source for methane production is the cysteine present in the 2.5% cysteine sulfide added to these cultures as a reductant, which has been shown to be metabolized in other methanogenic hydrocarbon-degrading enrichment cultures (Toth, unpublished results). Based on the theoretical stoichiometric conversion of cysteine to methane (4C₃H₇N₂O₂S + 6 H₂O + 4H⁺ → 4H₂S + 4NH₄⁺ + 5CH₄ + 7CO₂), the amount of cysteine sulfide added as a reductant could result in the production of up to 107 µmol methane in each of the cultures. Given these calculations, methane production from palmitate-, stearate-, and octadecane-amended cultures exceeded the methane expected from cysteine sulfide alone, strongly suggesting that the LCFAs or octadecane are serving as the substrates for methane production. Methane production in the hexadecane-amended cultures, however, did not exceed 107 µmol, thus it cannot be concluded that hexadecane served as a substrate for methane production in this culture.

**Microbial Community Dynamics in Methanogenic Cultures**

Pyrotag sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene was carried out to examine and compare the microbial communities in each of the cultures (Table 1). The residual oil culture, which served as the inoculum source for the palmitate and stearate cultures, was included in this analysis to determine whether or how transfers onto single carbon sources impact the microbial community composition. Quality controlled reads were clustered into OTUs at a 3% distance. Rarefaction analysis revealed that at a clustering distance of 3%, none of the samples were sequenced to saturation, however, at a clustering distance of 5%, the samples were approaching saturation (Supplementary Figure S1, top). The number of OTUs observed in each of the cultures (3% distance) varied considerably. The residual oil culture harbored the greatest number of OTUs, and observed OTU numbers decreased following the order in which the cultures were successively enriched [e.g., residual oil (297) → LCFAs (151/164) → n-alkane (114/120); Table 1]. The Chao index, which estimates the actual number of OTUs in each sample, also indicated that the residual oil culture was the most diverse, and that diversity decreased with each enrichment step. As expected from the observed OTUs and Chao estimates, as well as the diversity of hydrocarbon substrates and initial culture for enrichment, the Shannon and Simpson diversity indices also indicated that the residual oil culture was the most diverse of the cultures (Table 1). The octadecane-amended culture also had high Shannon and low Simpson values, despite comprising lower observed OTUs and Chao values relative to the LCFAs and hexadecane-amended cultures. This is due to greater evenness in this culture (Table 2).

As expected, Bray–Curtis dissimilarity analysis revealed that the microbial communities of the hexadecane- and octadecane-amended cultures were most closely related to each other, and the stearate- and palmitate-degrading cultures were also closely related to one another, while the residual oil degrading culture was the most distantly related culture (Supplementary Figure S1, bottom).

All five cultures were dominated by members of the Firmicutes making up between 37.8 and 69.8% of the sequence reads from each culture (Figure 2). The highest abundances of Firmicutes were found in the LCFAs-degrading cultures, with 57.9 and 69.8% in the palmitate- and stearate-degrading cultures, respectively (Figure 2). Other dominant phyla included the Euryarchaeota and Deltaproteobacteria. Together, members of these three phyla comprised at least 80.2% of the community in each of the microbial communities (Figure 2). Euryarchaeota (methanogens) were particularly abundant in the hexadecane- and octadecane-amended cultures where they made up 49.8 and 45.4% of the reads, respectively. Aside from the residual oil culture that exhibited the highest richness and evenness, the only other phylum that made up greater than 1% of the community in any culture was Chloroflexi (Figure 2). In all cultures, the Chloroflexi were dominated by a single OTU affiliating with *Anaerolineaceae*. At lower taxonomic levels, *Clostridium* sp. was the most abundant genus in all cultures, comprising between 30.4 and 60.4% of the sequence reads for each culture (Table 2). In the residual oil culture, the next most abundant organism was *Smithella* sp. (16.1%). Though *Smithella* sp.

---

**Table 1** Features of 16S rDNA pyrosequencing and alpha diversity statistics based on analysis at 0.03 distance for all methanogenic cultures analyzed in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature/Culture</th>
<th>Residual oil</th>
<th>Palmitate</th>
<th>Stearate</th>
<th>Hexadecane</th>
<th>Octadecane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reads (pre/post QC)</td>
<td>13381/7621</td>
<td>8294/4806</td>
<td>9501/6051</td>
<td>21575/19020</td>
<td>10200/8699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#OTUs observed</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chao</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 2 | Relative abundance (%) of most abundant taxa in methanogenic cultures as determined by 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Residual oil</th>
<th>Palmitate</th>
<th>Stearate</th>
<th>Hexadecane</th>
<th>Octadecane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clostridium</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanoculleus</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanolinea</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCHA1-57</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanoseta</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfovibrio</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidatus</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanoregula</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminococcaceae</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerolineaceae</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uncultured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synergistaceae</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uncultured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geobacter</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirochaetaceae</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uncultured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lachnospiraceae</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incertae Sedis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smithella</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobacter</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermosinus</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacter</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vadinHA17</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedimentibacter</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteiniphilum</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>87.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>97.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>97.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>99.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>96.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 2 | Microbial community compositions at the phylum level of the methanogenic enrichment cultures based on 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing.**
was also abundant in the palmitate-amended culture (15.4%) it was far less abundant in the stearate-, octadecane-, and hexadecane-amended cultures (Table 2). Several genera of methanogenic archaea also comprised considerable fractions of the microbial communities, particularly in the n-alkane-amended cultures. These included hydrogenotrophic (Methanoculleus, Methanolinea, and Methanoregula) and acetotrophic (Methanoseta) methanogens. Interestingly, the acetotrophic methanogen Methanoseta was the most abundant type of methanogen in the residual oil-, palmitate-, stearate-, and hexadecane-amended enrichments, while hydrogenotrophic methanogens Methanoculleus and Methanolinea dominated in the octadecane-amended enrichment. A methylotrophic methanogen was also detected at comparatively lower abundance in all cultures (Methanomethylovorans), but was most abundant in the n-alkane-amended cultures (0.14–0.62% of hexadecane and octadecane communities, respectively). In addition, the archaeon WCHA1-57 (possibly a novel lineage of methanogens, Saito et al., 2015) was also particularly abundant in the octadecane degrading culture (Table 2).

**Microbial Co-occurrence Network Analysis**

Microbial co-occurrence analysis was conducted with OTUs that were present in at least three samples with a total minimum abundance of 50 reads and a positive Pearson correlation greater than 0.75. This included 37 OTUs, with a total of 155 interactions. Co-occurrence analysis revealed the presence of three distinct networks within the samples (Figure 3). The first network contains 18 OTUs, and consists primarily of hydrogenotrophic (Methanoculleus, two OTUs; Methanolinea, two OTUs; Methanoregula, one OTU), acetotrophic (Methanoseta, four OTUs) and methylotrophic (Methanomethylovorans, one OTU) methanogenic archaea and one OTU related to the archaeon WCHA1-57 of the Thermoplasmata. This network also includes seven syntrophic bacteria including a highly abundant OTU corresponding to Clostridium sp. as well as a Clostridiaceae, Geobacter sp. (three OTUs), and OTUs most closely related to members of the Synergistaceae and Ruminococcaceae. Some of the strongest interactions in this network occur between methanogens and syntrophic bacteria, likely related to the transfer of hydrogen or formate, acetate and/or electrons from the syntroph to the methanogen. However, there are also interactions between methanogenic OTUs with particularly strong correlations between an OTU related to Methanomethylovorans with two Methanoculleus OTUs. Interestingly, this network actually consists of two interconnected networks linked by the two Clostridium/Clostridiaceae OTUs, suggesting a central role for Clostridiaceae in the interaction with methanogens in these cultures.

The second network consists primarily of syntrophic bacteria from diverse phyla, as well as a single methanogen OTU affiliating with the hydrogenotroph Methanolinea (Figure 3). The 14 bacterial OTUs identified are members of the Deltaproteobacteria (five OTUs), Chloroflexi (three OTUs), Firmicutes (two OTUs), Bacteroidetes (two OTUs), Actinobacteria (one OTU), and Spirochaetes (one OTU). With the exception of one Smithella OTU, which is only connected to one other Smithella OTU, there is dense and strong connectivity within this network and all other OTUs have between 9 and 13 connections. The number and the strength of the interactions within this network suggest that these OTUs play central and cooperative roles in the degradation of hydrocarbon or LCF substrates in these cultures. The third network consists of four bacterial OTUs comprising three OTUs affiliated with Clostridiales (two uncultured Lachnospiraceae OTUs, and one Ruminococcaceae), as well as one OTU affiliated with Anaerolineaceae (Chloroflexi). The organisms in this network were not highly abundant (60–277 reads) but all of the OTUs were found to strongly co-occur with one another, indicating the presence of a second bacterial cooperative metabolic network, this time with the complete absence of methanogens (Figure 3).

**Detection of Fumarate Addition Genes**

Established alkylsuccinate synthase (assA) and benzylsuccinate synthase (bssA) primer sets were used to probe extracted metagenomic DNA from all cultures (including the residual oil culture) for the presence of fumarate addition genes (Washer and Edwards, 2007; Callaghan et al., 2010). A single assA gene fragment was amplified from each of the residual oil-, stearate-, and octadecane-amended enrichment cultures. There was 99.4% nucleotide sequence identity among the gene fragments, suggesting that all three amplicons belong to the same species. These results show that alkane biodegradation potential (via fumarate addition) was maintained across culture transfers despite changes in carbon substrate. Notably, the assA gene was undetectable in palmitate- and hexadecane-enriched microcosms; these findings substantiate the observation that hexadecane did not appear to be metabolized to methane in this study (Figure 1B). Further, bssA could not be detected in any sample with the evaluated primer sets.

Maximum likelihood trees of assA gene fragments revealed that sequenced amplicons were most closely related to three identical uncultured prokaryote clones (99% sequence similarity) isolated from sulfate-reducing, alkane-degrading River Tyne sediments (Sherry et al., 2013) (Figure 4). The assA gene fragments also clustered closely to those retrieved from other anaerobic long chain alkane-degrading enrichment cultures (C_{15}–C_{20}; SL34 enrichment OTUs, Mbadinga et al., 2012) and an oil seep (von Netzer et al., 2013).

**DISCUSSION**

Reports of methane generation from n-alkanes, and the description of the communities mediating these transformations have become increasingly widespread in recent years (e.g., Zengler et al., 1999; Gieg et al., 2008; Jones et al., 2008; Callaghan et al., 2010; Sherry et al., 2013; Berdugo-Clavijo and Gieg, 2014; Abu Laban et al., 2015; Bian et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2015b). Alkanes comprise an abundant fraction of many crude oils, thus their biodegradation under anaerobic conditions is of practical relevance to biotechnological applications in fossil
energy reservoirs and fuel-contaminated sites. There remains much to be learned with regards to the pathways, enzymes, and genes involved in strictly anaerobic alkane degradation, as well as the organisms and interactions amongst organisms that methanogenically metabolize hydrocarbons.

In this study, we describe new methanogenic LCFA- and \( n \)-alkane-degrading cultures, including analysis of their community structure and amplification of known biodegradative genes. Co-occurrence network analysis of the microbial communities of the five related cultures was conducted in order to make a first step in unraveling syntrophic interactions in methanogenic hydrocarbon-degrading systems. As the downstream degradation of both alkanes and fatty acids proceed via a common pathway – \( \beta \)-oxidation, followed by conversion to methanogenic substrates and methane production (Callaghan, 2013) – syntrophic interactions are expected to be similar regardless of the hydrocarbon or fatty acid substrate being degraded. We propose that over time, stable and efficient syntrophic interactions have evolved within the microbial community and that these interactions are fairly resilient to the substrate being degraded.

Degradation of crude oil components was previously demonstrated by the source inoculum for the cultures described herein (Gieg et al., 2008). This culture was subsequently transferred to the LCFA palmitate and stearate, and then these cultures were transferred to their respective parent alkanes, hexadecane and octadecane, to examine the effects of different substrates on microbial community structure and to determine whether the ability to degrade hydrocarbons was maintained following long-term incubation on LCFA. Over several years of routine culture transfer and substrate amendment, the LCFA-degrading cultures typically converted approximately 84–98% of their fatty acid substrates to methane (plus \( \text{CO}_2 \) or \( \text{H}_2 \text{O} \); Figure 1A). Alkane cultures were subject to a single culture transfer, after which methane production was monitored for close to 900 days. After an extended lag period, methane generation from octadecane became apparent (Figure 1C), while the degradation of hexadecane could not be confirmed (Figure 1B) because the methane production did not exceed the maximum amount of methane that could be derived from the reductant, cysteine sulfide. Lag periods exceeding several weeks or months have been reported for other methanogenic hydrocarbon-degrading cultures (Edwards and Grbić-Galić, 1994; Townsend et al., 2003; Berdugo-Clavijo and Gieg, 2014). This delay may be related to a toxic effect as inhibition of microbes by hydrocarbon substrates has been well documented and is thought to be related to interference with biological membranes (Sikkema et al., 1995).

The methane production observations aligned with the results of fumarate addition gene amplification efforts. Alkylsuccinate synthase, the enzyme involved in anaerobic \( n \)-alkane activation via addition to fumarate, was previously detected in the
FIGURE 4 | Maximum likelihood tree showing the affiliation of assA gene fragments (this study, bold) with previously published reference strains, enrichment cultures, and environmental samples. Evolutionary analyses of aligned nucleotide sequences (320 bp) were conducted in MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013). The consensus tree was constructed using the Tamura–Nei model (Tamura and Nei, 1993) with complete deletions (for a total of 288 positions in the final dataset) and performing 100 bootstrap replicates. Bootstrap values below 50% are not shown. Benzylsuccinate synthase (bssA) genes cloned from gas condensate-contaminated sediments near Fort Lupton, CO, USA (Callaghan et al., 2010) were used to root the tree.
sediments from which these cultures were initially derived (Callaghan et al., 2010). In the present study, we detected assA amplicons, all presumably derived from a single species, in the residual oil-, stearate-, and octadecane-amended cultures. These results show that the potential ability to biodegrade hydrocarbons can be retained within a syntrophic microbial community even following the long-term absence of hydrocarbon exposure. However, gene detection does not indicate actual expression, thus further studies will be required to confirm that this gene is actually expressed during biodegradation under these different substrate conditions. In contrast, the assA gene was not detected in the palmitate- or hexadecane-amended cultures (Figure 4), for reasons that are not clear given its detection in the stearate and octadecane enrichments. Palmitate metabolism does not require assA, thus a simple explanation is that the gene was lost (i.e., the species harboring this gene was lost) upon transfer from the residual oil culture to palmitate. This explains why a subsequent transfer of the palmitate-degrading culture onto hexadecane did not lead to the biodegradation of this \( n \)-alkane (Figure 1B). Another possibility is that the specific assA-containing organism in these cultures is involved in the degradation of longer chain alkane substrates, and was thus not capable of hexadecane degradation. If this were true, it would indicate a distinct difference between the fumarate addition genes involved in the degradation of octadecane and higher alkanes, and hexadecane and shorter alkanes. While the necessary evidence to fully test this hypothesis is not yet available due to a shortage of assA gene sequences with known substrate range, this idea was previously postulated for the assA genes involved in the degradation of short chain alkanes (\( n \)-C\(_3\)-C\(_{10}\); Callaghan et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2015a). A distinction between hexadecane and octadecane would not be particularly surprising, as hexadecane is a liquid at ambient temperature, while octadecane is a solid, making the bioavailability of each different in an aqueous environment under mesophilic conditions. A similar hypothesis was made for gaseous alkane assA being phylogenetically distinct from non-gaseous alkane fumarate addition enzymes (Musat, 2015). Phylogenetic analysis in the present study of the amplified assA genes with known references and environmental samples did not reliably pinpoint the organism(s) harboring this assA gene. While the phylogeny of bssA (encoding the alpha subunit of benzylsuccinate synthase) is now generally well enough resolved to infer the clade involved in aromatics activation (von Netzer et al., 2013), this is not yet the case for assA (Figure 4). In our phylogenetic analysis, as in others (Callaghan et al., 2010), members of the Deltaproteobacteria (Desulfoglaeba \( sp. \)) grouped more closely with Betaproteobacteria alkane degraders (Azorarcus \( sp. \), Aromatoleum \( sp. \)), than with other Deltaproteobacteria (Desulfatibacillus \( sp. \)) which may indicate that genes encoding alkylsuccinate synthase are more closely related based on the specific alkane substrates being degraded rather than phylogeny or that they are subject to a high degree of horizontal gene transfer (this is currently unknown). It remains to be seen if the purification of additional strictly anaerobic alkane degraders, or the improved description of alkane degraders from the environment or enriched cultures will eventually result in the ability to predict either the taxonomic affiliation of alkane degraders based on phylogenetic analysis of the assA gene and/or the substrate range of the degraders. Members of the Deltaproteobacteria are often cited as key alkane and/or fatty acid degraders in methanogenic cultures (e.g., members of the Syntrophaceae such as Syntrophus/Smithella \( spp. \), Gray et al., 2011; Cheng et al., 2013; Embree et al., 2014; Tan et al., 2014; Mathai et al., 2015) along with other sulfate-reducing alkane degrading Deltaproteobacterial isolates (Cravo-Laureau et al., 2005; Davidova et al., 2006; Callaghan et al., 2008). While Smithella \( sp. \) was abundant (16%) in the residual oil culture, it was present at \(<2%\) abundance in the stearate- and octadecane-degrading cultures (Table 2) and the recovered assA gene fragments did not cluster with the assA of known Smithella \( sp. \) (Figure 4). These findings suggest that this taxon is not the main stearate- or octadecane-degrading organism in these enrichments. No Deltaproteobacterial OTUs previously associated with hydrocarbon biodegradation were particularly enriched in the octadecane-amended culture (Table 2), suggesting that an as of yet unidentified alternate organism(s) catalyzes the activation of this \( n \)-alkane. The bssA gene was not detected in any of the enrichments, which was expected, as the residual oil-amended microcosms contained negligible concentrations of substituted monoaromatic hydrocarbon substrates such as toluene (Gieg et al., 2008).

In comparing the microbial communities of the five different cultures, we found that the microbial richness observed was related to the order in which the cultures were enriched, with the most highly enriched (\( n \)-alkane-incubated) cultures containing the lowest species richness (Table 1). A much greater richness and evenness was observed in the presence of more diverse hydrocarbon substrates as found in the residual oil-containing culture (Tables 1 and 2). In addition, there were substrate-specific variations in the microbial communities, with a particular enrichment of methanogens in the \( n \)-alkane-incubated cultures (Table 2). While observed and predicted richness decreased with degree of enrichment, evenness actually increased in both of the alkane-incubated cultures relative to the LCFA-degrading cultures (Table 1). Nonetheless, all cultures shared a similar microbial community structure and were dominated by members of the Firmicutes (Clostridium \( sp. \), Deltaproteobacteria, and Euryarchaeota (mainly hydrogenotrophic and acetotrophic methanogens; Figure 2, Table 2). These findings are consistent with the previous clone library analysis of the residual oil culture (Gieg et al., 2008), and also of a toluene-degrading methanogenic culture derived from the same contaminated sediments (Fowler et al., 2012). This is not particularly surprising as the majority of known syntrophic bacteria are members of the Firmicutes or Deltaproteobacteria (Sieber et al., 2012), and the methanogenic archaea are members of the Euryarchaeota. The extremely high abundance of Clostridium \( sp. \) is also consistent with the community from the toluene degrading enrichment from the same sediments in which 30.7% of the culture was found to consist of Clostridium \( sp. \) (Fowler et al., 2012). While Clostridium \( sp. \) is an abundant organism in these new enrichment cultures, it is possible that its extremely high abundance is partly an artifact of PCR as Clostridium...
spp. often have multiple copies of 16S rRNA genes with 14 copies having been observed in a single genome (Větrovský and Baldrian, 2013). The presence of a large Clostridium sp. OTU and several Clostridiales sp. OTUs in the network analysis, and their connectivity to methanogens in this analysis suggests that, despite their high abundance, this clade is not involved in hydrocarbon activation, but is involved in the downstream conversion of smaller molecules to methanogenic intermediates (Figure 3). This is also in agreement with the results from the aforementioned toluene-degrading culture, in which the highly abundant Clostridium sp. did not incorporate 13C label from toluene during a 7-day time course experiment (Fowler et al., 2014). While it cannot be ruled out that Clostridium spp. might be directly involved in hydrocarbon activation, these results collectively point toward a general role for Clostridium sp./Clostridiales in the downstream degradation of hydrocarbons to methanogenic substrates in oil-associated environments, rather than directly activating hydrocarbons in these cultures.

Co-occurrence network analysis revealed the presence of three distinct networks within the cultures (Figure 3). The first consisted of diverse methanogenic archaea including hydrogenotrophs, acetotrophs, and methylotrophs. These methanogens were found to co-occur with syntrophic bacteria including Geobacter sp., Ruminococcaceae, Synergistetes, and in particular, two Clostridiales-affiliated OTUs with a high degree of connectivity that linked the two sections of this network. This network is likely reflecting a number of direct interactions between syntrophic bacteria and methanogenic archaea involving interspecies metabolite transfer, and possibly even direct interspecies electron transfer (DIET) between Geobacter sp. and methanogens (Rotaru et al., 2014). The second network consisted of 14 OTUs of diverse syntrophic bacteria and a single Methanolinea sp. OTU. The syntrophic bacteria within this network were densely interconnected with a high mean degree of connectivity. Whether these OTUs are all connected due to a highly interactive syntrophic network, or due to the presence of a small number of organisms that interact with a large number of partners (which has previously been observed in these densely connected networks; Berry and Widder, 2014) is unknown. However, this network indicates that it is not only interactions between syntrophic bacteria and methanogens that are important in these communities, but also that interactions amongst syntrophic bacteria are substantial. The third network also emphasizes the importance of interactions amongst syntrophic bacteria as it consists solely of four bacterial OTUs related to obligate anaerobic fermenters (three Clostridiales, one Anaerolineaceae) that all co-occur. Lachnospiraceae and Ruminococcaceae are common inhabitants of GI tracts, anaerobic digesters, and other methanogenic environments (Nelson et al., 2011; Biddle et al., 2013). Anaerolineaceae are also commonly found in syntrophic environments including the GI tract and anaerobic digestors, where they are typically characterized as secondary fermenters that sequentially degrade fatty acids and/or carbohydrates to methanogenic or other syntrophic intermediates, and are known to associate with hydrogenotrophic methanogens (Yamada et al., 2006; Biddle et al., 2013; St-Pierre and Wright, 2013). Anaerolineaceae have also been previously detected in high abundance in alkane-degrading cultures and anaerobic oil-impacted environments (Savage et al., 2010; Sherry et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2015) including methanogenic and non-methanogenic syntrophic hydrocarbon-degrading cultures (Kleinsteuber et al., 2012). Further, Anaerolineaceae have previously been postulated to be involved in alkane activation under methanogenic conditions (Sherry et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2015). Thus, an alternative possibility is that Anaerolineaceae is involved in alkane activation, and subsequent fatty acid degradation is catalyzed by the Clostridiales OTUs, though additional evidence to support Anaerolineaceae as alkane degraders in these cultures is currently lacking. Overall, network analysis indicates that there are strong interactions between syntrophic bacteria and methanogens, but the strongest and most abundant interactions we observed in these cultures occurred amongst the bacteria themselves. This suggests the existence of numerous cooperative interactions between groups of bacteria as well as between bacteria and methanogens within syntrophic methanogenic ecosystems. While the use of co-occurrence networks can provide clues as to how organisms interact in syntrophic cultures, they must also be interpreted with caution. Co-occurrence in this analysis merely indicates that the organisms were observed to co-occur repeatedly, but does not preclude the possibility that co-occurring organisms merely share similar niches within these enrichment cultures and do not interact. However, due to the difficulty in elucidating syntrophic interactions in mixed cultures, we believe that co-occurrence network analysis provides a method that can be used to predict syntrophic relationships in complex communities when applied to multiple related communities.

In summary, we demonstrated the methanogenic biodegradation of palmitate, stearate, and octadecane in cultures derived from a whole crude oil-degrading enrichment culture (Gieg et al., 2008). The fact that octadecane degradation occurred following 3 years of pre-incubation on a non-hydrocarbon substrate (stearate) showed that alkane degraders can persist in environments despite the absence of hydrocarbons. In addition, we described the microbial communities of each of these cultures and a hexadecane-amended culture, and observed an expected diversity reduction when whole crude oil-amended cultures were successively transferred onto single carbon substrates. Confirmation of syntrophic interactions between individual OTUs ultimately requires physiological evidence. However, given the complexity of methanogenic communities, and the difficulty in culturing syntrophic bacteria as individuals or in co-culture, applying microbial co-occurrence network analysis provides a means to predict microbial interactions, enabling insight into potential interspecies interactions and the microbial foodwebs that exist in complex communities. By examining microbial co-occurrence in these cultures, we were able to identify organisms that were insensitive to the carbon substrate being metabolized, and examine their degree of co-occurrence with other community members. While these co-occurrences likely do not all represent syntrophic interactions, this is a first step toward
identifying organisms that form associations within this stable syntrophic community. Our analysis reveals not only stable interactions between syntrophs and methanogens, including possible DIET interactions, but also strong interactions amongst the syntrophic bacteria themselves. These findings emphasize the complex foodwebs existing in methanogenic communities. Furthermore, these predictions can provide preliminary evidence for further hypothesis testing using metagenomic and/or metatranscriptomic data and/or physiological investigations.
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