

Editorial: Current Challenges in Modeling Cellular Metabolism

Machado, Daniel; Zhuang, Kai; Sonnenschein, Nikolaus; Herrgard, Markus

Published in:
Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

DOI:
[10.3389/fbioe.2015.00193](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2015.00193)

Publication date:
2015

Document Version
Final published version

[Link to publication](#)

Citation (APA):
Machado, D., Zhuang, K., Sonnenschein, N., & Herrgard, M. (2015). Editorial: Current Challenges in Modeling Cellular Metabolism. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 3, [193]. DOI: 10.3389/fbioe.2015.00193

DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Editorial: Current Challenges in Modeling Cellular Metabolism

Daniel Machado^{1*}, Kai H. Zhuang², Nikolaus Sonnenschein² and Markus J. Herrgård²

¹ Centre of Biological Engineering, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal, ² The Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Biosustainability, Technical University of Denmark, Hørsholm, Denmark

Keywords: metabolism, modeling formalisms, metabolic networks, genome-scale modeling, kinetic modeling

Metabolism is a core process of every cell providing the energy and building blocks for all other biological processes. Mathematical models and computational tools have become essential for unraveling the complexity of cellular metabolism (Heinemann and Sauer, 2010). Models integrate current knowledge on a biological system in an unambiguous manner and allow simulating cellular responses to genetic and environmental perturbations. Advances in genome sequencing and annotation have facilitated the reconstruction of genome-scale metabolic models for hundreds of organisms, which are currently used in various applications ranging from human health to industrial biotechnology (Bordbar et al., 2014).

Despite these advancements, there are still major challenges in modeling cellular metabolism at the genome scale. These include the reconciliation of different modeling approaches, the integration of metabolic models with models of other biological processes, the interpretation of heterogeneous data sources using models, and the adoption of suitable standards for model sharing. The aim of this Research Topic is to present state-of-the-art methods that aim to overcome these challenges and push this frontier to a new edge.

Starting from the most fundamental aspect of biochemical reactions, Cannon (2014) reviews the historical perspective of thermodynamics as a major driving force in the evolution of life and presents a primer on statistical thermodynamics. The author then provides examples of thermodynamic analysis of small metabolic pathways, highlighting future directions for integration of thermodynamics and large-scale modeling.

The most common approach to build a metabolic model is bottom-up reconstruction, where individual reactions for a given organism are identified (through genome annotation and literature data) and retrieved from biochemical databases. This approach is mostly limited by the current knowledge on enzymes with annotated functions. The alternative (termed top-down) approach is to infer the underlying network structure by reverse engineering of metabolome data. Çakir and Khatibipour (2014) compare these two approaches, reviewing available methods for both cases and providing pointers toward the reconciliation of these strategies.

Once a model is built, it can be used to simulate the metabolic phenotype under different conditions and subsequently compared with *in vivo* results for validation and refinement. Phenotype microarrays currently allow high-throughput assessment of metabolic responses to multiple experimental conditions. Chaiboonchoe et al. (2014) present an optimization of the Biolog phenotyping protocol for metabolic profiling of microalgae. The experimental results are used to expand and refine a genome-scale model of the alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* to include the utilization of carbon and nitrogen sources not present in the original model.

Choosing a modeling formalism requires a compromise between model size and detail (Machado et al., 2011). Constraint-based models have gained popularity for their scalability to the genome scale. However, when insight of intracellular dynamics is required, kinetic models become the obvious choice. Petri nets, with their varied extensions, offer an intermediate level of compromise, allowing structural network analysis and, to some extent, dynamic analysis. Hartmann and Schreiber (2015) present a unified graph formalism and implement transformation operations to convert from the unified model to any specific formalism. The authors provide an example of integrated analysis using different formalisms in a unified model of sucrose breakdown in the potato tuber.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Raina Robeva,
Randolph-Macon College, USA;
Sweet Briar College, USA

*Correspondence:

Daniel Machado
dmachado@deb.uminho.pt

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Systems
Biology, a section of the
journal *Frontiers in Bioengineering and
Biotechnology*

Received: 29 October 2015

Accepted: 09 November 2015

Published: 26 November 2015

Citation:

Machado D, Zhuang KH,
Sonnenschein N and Herrgård MJ
(2015) Editorial: Current Challenges in
Modeling Cellular Metabolism.
Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 3:193.
doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2015.00193

Current *omics* technologies allow unprecedented quantification of different types of cellular components including RNA transcript, protein, and metabolite levels. Machado et al. (2015) use a multi-*omics* dataset of *Escherichia coli* to analyze the contribution of allosteric regulation in controlling central carbon metabolism. Given the role of this type of control in response to different perturbations, the authors present a new simulation method to account for allosteric interactions in the determination of steady-state flux distributions. This is the first constraint-based method to account for allosteric regulation.

Next-generation sequencing is another example of technology pushing the limits of biological discovery. Understanding how genetic variants affect metabolic phenotype is fundamental in diverse areas, such as the study of disease mechanisms and the engineering of microbial cell factories. Cardoso et al. (2015) review available methods to predict the effect of genetic variations in protein function and expression. Integrating these methods with genome-scale metabolic modeling creates the potential for mechanistically predicting the consequences of genetic variation in the cellular phenotype, which is currently not possible with the statistical approaches used in genome-wide association studies.

Microbial strain design is a common application of genome-scale models as the combinatorial explosion of possible genetic manipulations demands efficient optimization methods. Stanford et al. (2015) address the problem of butanol production in *E. coli* using a new strain design method, RobOKoD, that combines gene over/underexpression with gene knockouts, showing good agreement with experimental data. Khodayari et al. (2015) analyze the case of succinate overproduction in *E. coli* using k-OptForce, the first strain design method that accounts for integrated simulation of kinetic and constraint-based models. This enables strain design at the genome scale while accounting for regulation mechanisms in central carbon pathways, such as feedback inhibition.

REFERENCES

- Bordbar, A., Monk, J. M., King, Z. A., and Palsson, B. O. (2014). Constraint-based models predict metabolic and associated cellular functions. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 15, 107–120. doi:10.1038/nrg3643
- Çakir, T., and Khatibipour, M. J. (2014). Metabolic network discovery by top-down and bottom-up approaches and paths for reconciliation. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2:62. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2014.00062
- Cannon, W. R. (2014). Concepts, challenges and successes in modeling thermodynamics of metabolism. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2:53. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2014.00053
- Cardoso, J. G., Andersen, M. R., Herrgård, M. J., and Sonnenschein, N. (2015). Analysis of genetic variation and potential applications in genome-scale metabolic modeling. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 3:13. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2015.00013
- Chaiboonchoe, A., Dohai, B. S., Cai, H., Nelson, D. R., Jijakli, K., and Salehi-Ashtiani, K. (2014). Microalgal metabolic network model refinement through high throughput functional metabolic profiling. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2:68. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2014.00068
- Dräger, A., and Palsson, B. O. (2014). Improving collaboration by standardization efforts in systems biology. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2:61. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2014.00061
- Ebrahim, A., Almaas, E., Bauer, E., Bordbar, A., Burgard, A. P., Chang, R. L., et al. (2015). Do genome-scale models need exact solvers or clearer standards? *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 11, 831. doi:10.1525/msb.20156157
- Hartmann, A., and Schreiber, F. (2015). Integrative analysis of metabolic models – from structure to dynamics. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2:91. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2014.00091
- Heinemann, M., and Sauer, U. (2010). Systems biology of microbial metabolism. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 13, 337–343. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2010.02.005
- Khodayari, A., Chowdhury, A., and Maranas, C. D. (2015). Succinate overproduction: a case study of computational strain design using a comprehensive *Escherichia coli* kinetic model. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2:76. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2014.00076
- King, Z. A., Lloyd, C. J., Feist, A. M., and Palsson, B. O. (2015). Next-generation genome-scale models for metabolic engineering. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 35, 23–29. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2014.12.016
- Machado, D., Costa, R. S., Rocha, M., Ferreira, E. C., Tidor, B., and Rocha, I. (2011). Modeling formalisms in systems biology. *AMB Express* 1, 1–14. doi:10.1186/2191-0855-1-45
- Machado, D., Herrgård, M. J., and Rocha, I. (2015). Modeling the contribution of allosteric regulation for flux control in the central carbon metabolism of *E. coli*. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 3:154. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2015.00154
- Stanford, N. J., Swainston, N., and Millard, P. (2015). RobOKoD: microbial strain design for (over)production of target compounds. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 3:17. doi:10.3389/fcell.2015.00017

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Machado, Zhuang, Sonnenschein and Herrgård. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.