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Towards all-dielectric, polarization-independent optical cloaks
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Fully enclosing, all-dielectric cloaks working for both \(E_z\) and \(H_z\) polarizations simultaneously are presented in this letter. The cloaks are effective for two antiparallel angles of incidence, and the layout of standard dielectric material in the cloak is determined by topology optimization. Scattering from cylinder and cloak is reduced for an \(H_z\)-polarized wave compared to an \(E_z\)-polarized wave by taking advantage of the surface mode at the perfectly electric conducting boundary. Perhaps contrary to simple intuition, fully enclosed, all-dielectric, low-contrast cloaks cannot be designed effectively when distributing a material with lower permittivity than the background material. © 2012 American Institute of Physics. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3691835]

The layout of the material parameters for cloaks that manipulate electromagnetic waves to flow around an object without any scattering can conveniently be found by analytical transformation optics approaches.¹,² However, the required material parameters for fully enclosing or complementary medium-based³ cloaks are extreme in some regions of the cloak. Typical realizations⁴ rely on resonances having a narrow band and lossy response. Furthermore, sub-wavelength artificial structuring is challenging for optical frequencies. On the other hand, material parameters for all-dielectric carpet cloaks⁵ need not to be extreme, thus circumventing the above challenges. Both two-dimensional (2D) and recently three-dimensional (3D) macroscopic all-dielectric carpet cloaks have been demonstrated (cf. Refs. 6–9). Here, we address the more challenging problem of designing fully enclosing, all-dielectric cloaks.

Recent results¹⁰ show that gradient-based topology optimization¹¹ can be used to find the permittivity distribution for a low-contrast, all-dielectric, fully enclosing optical cloak that hides an ideal metallic cylinder for up to 4 symmetrically distributed angles of incidence or for an incoming angular interval, of ±5. The study was based on distributing material with a higher permittivity than the background material (free space) and illuminating by an \(E_z\)-polarized wave. In this letter, we present fully enclosing, all-dielectric cloaks effective for both \(E_z\) and \(H_z\) polarizations simultaneously. Furthermore, we study the cloaking effect of distributing material with either higher or lower permittivity than the background material. The optimized designs for the former case delay the waves in regions of higher permittivity than the background and, subsequently, phase match them to the waves outside. Intuitively, distributing dielectric material having a lower permittivity than the background material would result in cloaking performance on the same level or better; however, this is not the case as we will show.

The numerical setup is identical to the previous study.¹⁰ The computational domain is given in 2D using 3 concentric circles, see Fig. 1. The inner, middle, and outer domains represent the perfectly electric conducting (PEC) cylinder, the cloak, and the surroundings, respectively. Assuming invariance of the electromagnetic properties along the cylinder axis, Maxwell’s equations simplify to the scalar Helmholtz equation. The problem is solved using the finite-element method (FEM).¹²,¹³ A scattered-field formulation with \(H_z = H_s^i + H_s^a\), where \(H_s^i\) and \(H_s^a\) are the scattered and incident fields, respectively, is used in order to reduce the dispersion error. For the incident field \(H_s^i\), we consider plane wave illumination and solve the wave equation

\[
\mathbf{V} \cdot \left( \varepsilon_r^{-1} \nabla H_z \right) + k_0^2 \mu_r H_z = 0,
\]

where \(\varepsilon_r\) is the relative permittivity, \(\mu_r\) is the relative permeability, and \(k_0\) is the free space wave number. The computational domain is truncated on the outer boundary \(\Gamma_{\text{ABS}}\) using a first order absorbing boundary condition for the scattered field \(n \cdot \varepsilon_r^{-1} \nabla H_s^a + jk_0 \sqrt{\varepsilon_r^{-1}} \mu_r H_s^a = 0\), where \(n\) is the outward-pointing normal vector of the boundary and \(j = \sqrt{-1}\). Equivalent equations for the \(E_z\) polarization are easily obtained by interchanging \(H_z \leftrightarrow E_z\) and \(\mu_r \leftrightarrow \varepsilon_r\).

We mimic a strongly scattering object by imposing a PEC boundary condition, \(n \times E = 0\), at \(\Gamma_{\text{PEC}}\). For the \(E_z\) polarization, this is equivalent to \(E_z = 0\) (no field on the

FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematics of a cylindrical cloak illuminated by either an \(E_z\)- or an \(H_z\)-polarized uniform plane waves in a background permittivity \(\varepsilon_r^\text{BG}\) (either vacuum or a typical dielectric). In the design domain \(\Omega_{\text{des}}\), the permittivity \(\varepsilon_r(\rho)\) can be varied continuously on an element basis to create the cloaking effect of a PEC cylinder (innermost region).
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boundary) while \( n(\epsilon^{-1}\nabla H_z) = 0 \) for the \( H_z \) polarization (the field is not necessarily zero, while the radial derivative is). The latter case may support surface waves, and this difference will have an important effect on the performance of the optimized cloaks.

We employ a standard density-based topology optimization method\(^{11}\) and we restrict our investigations to non-magnetic materials (\( \mu_r = 1 \)). In the cloak domain wrapped around the cylinder, the relative permittivity can be varied continuously on an element basis between free space permittivity \( \epsilon_r^{\min} = 1 \) and an upper bound on the permittivity \( \epsilon_r^{\max} = 2 \), achievable with a broad range of naturally occurring transparent dielectric materials. For each element, we introduce a design variable, \( \rho \in [0; 1] \), as a relative element material density. Furthermore, we make a linear interpolation of \( \epsilon_r(\rho) \) so that

\[
\epsilon_r(\rho) = \epsilon_r^{\min} + \rho(\epsilon_r^{\max} - \epsilon_r^{\min}). \tag{2}
\]

In this analysis, we test cloaks of either graded index material, which permits intermediate values of the permittivity, or discrete material designs, which do not. The graded or discrete designs are achieved by introducing a density filter\(^{14,15}\) or a Heaviside projection filter\(^{16}\) with a robust formulation,\(^{17}\) respectively. The permittivity of the background material, \( \epsilon_r^{BG} \), is set to either 1 or 2, depending on whether we are distributing dielectric material having a higher or lower permittivity than the background material, respectively. A volume constraint is imposed along with symmetry constraints on the design with two symmetry lines around which the 4 equally sized sub-domains can be mirrored as shown in Fig. 1. Utilizing the symmetry lines, all cloaks are designed to be effective for two antiparallel angles of incidence (0° and 180°).

In order to cloak the cylinder, the objective is to minimize the norm of the scattered field in the surroundings,

\[
\Phi = \Phi_E + \Phi_H = \frac{1}{W_E} \int_{\Omega_{sc}} |E_z|^2 \, \mathrm{d}r + \frac{1}{W_H} \int_{\Omega_{sc}} |H_z|^2 \, \mathrm{d}r, \tag{3}
\]

where \( W \) is the norm of the scattered field in the outer domain when no cloak is present. This normalization makes \( \Phi_{E,H} \) dimensionless and easy to interpret. If \( \Phi_{E,H} < 1 \), cloak and cylinder produce less scattering than the non-cloaked cylinder. The design is updated iteratively using the gradient-based optimization routine method of moving asymptotes (MMA).\(^{18}\) The sensitivities are obtained using the adjoint method.\(^{19}\)

Graded and discrete designs that minimize the scattering from both \( E_z \) and \( H_z \) polarizations simultaneously are presented in Fig. 2 (leftmost panels). The total fields for \( E_z \) and \( H_z \) polarizations are shown in the middle and rightmost panels, respectively. The fields from the non-cloaked cylinders for \( E_z \) and \( H_z \) polarizations with \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 1 \) are shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) as a reference. In case of \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 1 \), the graded design result in scattering less than 1% of the non-cloaked cylinder and even for the more restrictive case with a discrete design scattering is reduced to less than 5% for both polarizations. The \( H_z \) polarization for the discrete design yields less scattering than the \( E_z \) polarization, due to the less restrictive boundary condition for the \( H_z \) polarization. Contrary to simple intuition, considerable scattering is produced by all designs with \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 2 \). Graded designs with \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 2 \) produce more scattering than the discrete counter-parts; hence, we have omitted graded designs and show only the discrete design. To analyze the cause for the degeneration in cloaking performance for designs with \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 2 \), we relax the problem and investigate whether or not effective cloaks with \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 2 \) can be designed individually for either the \( E_z \) or \( H_z \) polarization.

The optimized cloaks and total fields for \( E_z \) and \( H_z \) polarizations are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. Even though we relax the problem and optimize individually for either one or the other polarization, both the graded and
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig2}
\caption{(Color online) Polarization-independent cloak designs and the associated objective values \( \Phi \) [Eq. (3)] for \( E_z \) and \( H_z \)-polarized waves. The middle and rightmost panels show the total fields for \( E_z \) and \( H_z \) polarizations, respectively. Panels (a)–(c) show total fields for scattering of the PEC cylinder itself. Panels (d)–(f) show graded cloak designs with \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 1 \), while (g)–(i) show the discrete counterparts. Likewise, panels (j)–(l) show the discrete design with \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 2 \). In the linear gray-scale plots, white and black color correspond to \( \epsilon_r = 1 \) and \( \epsilon_r = 2 \), respectively.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig3}
\caption{(Color online) Cloak designs and associated objective values \( \Phi \) [Eq. (3)] for \( E_z \) polarization. For a description of the individual panels, see caption of Figs. 2(d)–2(l).}
\end{figure}
perfect cloaking is achieved for the graded design with performance. We thereby see that the limited cloaking performance for designs with \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 2 \) is not caused by the requirement on the cloaks to be effective for both polarizations simultaneously. Instead, we conclude that it is a consequence of optical modes tending to concentrate their electric- or magnetic-field energy in regions of high dielectric values.\(^{20}\) For the designs with \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 1 \), the field energy is concentrated in regions of higher permittivity, wherein the wave is delayed and subsequently phase matched to the waves outside the cloak. For the designs with \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 2 \), we can only design regions, which the field avoids. Hence, it is possible to split the waves in front of the object with very limited backscattering. However, the waves cannot be collected on the backside of the object without scattering. For the \( H_x \) polarization, a surface wave can exist on the PEC boundary as mentioned previously. The scattering is reduced by a factor of two for \( H_x \) polarization with \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 2 \) compared with \( E_z \) polarization by coupling the wave into the surface mode, which makes it easier for the wave to flow around the object.

Finally, we address the radar cross section from back-scattering to forward-scattering produced by either the individually optimized discrete designs or the non-cloaked cylinders (as reference), see Fig. 5. The radar cross section is normalized by the radar cross section for \( E_z \) polarization with \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 2 \) compared with \( E_z \) polarization by coupling the wave into the surface mode, which makes it easier for the wave to flow around the object.

In conclusion, we have presented directional topology optimized all-dielectric low-contrast cloaks that minimize scattering for combined \( E_z \) and \( H_x \) polarized waves. Near-perfect cloaking is achieved for the graded design with \( \epsilon_r^{BG} = 1 \) and even for a discrete design scattering for both polarizations is reduced to less than 5\% of that from the non-cloaked cylinder. Furthermore, the effect of the background material has been investigated. The results indicate that fully enclosed, all-dielectric, low-contrast cloaks can be designed effectively when distributing a material with higher permittivity than the background material. However, contrary to simple intuition this is not possible for the “inverse” case, i.e., when distributing a material with lower permittivity than the background material. The backscattering is reduced significantly for all optimized designs. For an \( H_z \)-polarized wave, the optimized designs can take advantage of the surface mode at the PEC boundary and achieve less scattering than the optimized designs for the \( E_z \)-polarized wave.
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