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ABSTRACT

Her X-1, one of the brightest and best studied X-ray binaries, shows a cyclotron resonant scattering feature (CRSF) near 37 keV. This makes it an ideal target for a detailed study with the Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR), taking advantage of its excellent hard X-ray spectral resolution. We observed Her X-1 three times, coordinated with Suzaku, during one of the high flux intervals of its 35 day superorbital period. This paper focuses on the shape and evolution of the hard X-ray spectrum. The broadband spectra can be fitted with a power law with a high-energy cutoff, an iron line, and a CRSF. We find that the CRSF has a very smooth and symmetric shape in all observations and at all pulse phases. We compare the residuals of a line with a Gaussian optical-depth profile to a Lorentzian optical-depth profile and find no significant differences, strongly constraining the very smooth shape of the line. Even though the line energy changes dramatically with pulse phase, we find that its smooth shape does not. Additionally, our data show that the continuum only changes marginally between the three observations. These changes can be explained with varying amounts of Thomson scattering in the hot corona of the accretion disk. The average, luminosity-corrected CRSF energy is lower than in past observations and follows a secular decline. The excellent data quality of NuSTAR provides the best constraint on the CRSF energy to date.
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1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most useful features to study the magnetic field of neutron star binaries are cyclotron resonant scattering features (CRSFs, cyclotron lines for short). These are produced in the hot accretion column of the neutron star and appear as absorption-line-like features in the continuum spectrum. Continuum X-rays are scattered out of our line of sight when interacting with the electrons confined to quantized Landau levels because of the strong magnetic field of the neutron star (see, e.g., Schönherr et al. 2007 for a detailed description). The energies of CRSFs depend directly on the magnetic field strength in the line-forming region, while their shape is a function of the magnetic field geometry. This makes their measurement the only available method to directly measure the magnetic field strength close to the surface of a neutron star.

In the last few years it has become evident that the cyclotron line shape, depth, and, most of all, energy can vary with pulse phase and overall luminosity (for an overview, see Caballero & Wilms 2012). The pulse phase dependence results from viewing different parts of the accretion column and, assuming a dipole magnetic field, possibly the two different magnetic poles during one rotation. The luminosity dependence is thought to be related to different accretion rates, which move the line-forming region in the accretion column to higher or lower altitudes above the neutron star surface, resulting in different magnetic field strengths. By measuring the changes of the CRSF, the physical conditions close to the neutron star can be inferred.

CRSFs were first discovered in Her X-1 (Trümper et al. 1978), a pulsating X-ray binary system with a 2.3 ± 0.3 M☉ optical companion, at a distance of 6.6 ± 0.4 kpc (Reynolds et al. 1997). Because of the changing illumination of the optical companion by the neutron star, the surface has a variable temperature and can be hotter than for an isolated star, resulting in an early B- or late A-type classification. A CRSF is clearly seen in the spectrum around 37 keV, but its energy is strongly variable with pulse phase. In International Gamma-Ray Astrophysics Laboratory (INTEGRAL) data, Klochkov et al. (2008) found that it changes from around 30 keV in the off-pulse to over 40 keV during the main peak of the pulse profile. It is now also firmly established that the line energy correlates positively with the X-ray luminosity (Staubert et al. 2007; Vasco et al. 2011; Staubert 2013). Her X-1’s high luminosity and very strong
CRSF line make it an ideal target for a detailed study of the line’s behavior.

Besides the rotational period of the neutron star of $P \approx 1.238$ s, two other periods are very important in the Her X-1 system: the orbital period of $P_{\text{orb}} = 1.7$ day and a 35 day cycle of the warped and precessing accretion disk (Giacconi et al. 1973). As the system is seen almost edge-on (85° inclination; Scott et al. 2000), the neutron star is eclipsed by its companion for about 20% of every orbit. Additionally, the accretion disk obstructs our view of the compact object twice per 35 day cycle, dividing the light curve into a “main-on,” showing the highest X-ray fluxes, and a “short-on” phase, lasting for about 10–11 and 5–7 days, respectively (Jones & Forman 1976; Staubert et al. 2013b). In time-resolved studies, the spectral parameters seem to change over the 35 day orbit, most remarkably during the “main-on” phase (see, e.g., Ji et al. 2009; Zane et al. 2004; Vasco et al. 2013). For a comprehensive understanding of the system, all three periods must be taken into account.

With a high sensitivity above 10 keV and an energy resolution of 1 keV at 68 keV, the Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR; Harrison et al. 2013) has brought the investigation of CRSFs to a new level. NuSTAR consists of two identical telescopes that focus X-rays between 3.5 and 79 keV by using depth-graded multilayer grazing incidence optics with a 10 m focal length. At the focal plane of each telescope, a cadmium zinc telluride detector with 64 × 64 pixels is located, called Focal Plane Module A and B (FPMA and FPMB), respectively. Compared with previous missions, the focusing and imaging capabilities allow for a much lower and simultaneously measurable background, decreasing systematic uncertainties at high energies. With relative timing capabilities of 1 ms, NuSTAR is well suited to perform phase-resolved spectroscopy of pulse periods on the order of 1 s (see also Mori et al. 2013).

Since the cyclotron line at 37 keV is perfectly suited for study by NuSTAR, Her X-1 was selected as one of the first binary science targets. All observations were coordinated with Suzaku (Mitsuda et al. 2007), providing simultaneous soft X-ray coverage. In this paper, we focus on the analysis of the hard X-ray spectrum and the behavior of the CRSF with pulse phase and the 35 day phase. A comprehensive analysis of the combined Suzaku and NuSTAR data will be presented in a forthcoming publication.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 gives an overview of the observations and the data reduction and analysis methods. In Section 3, the light curves are presented and the energy-resolved pulse profile is discussed. Phase-averaged spectral analysis of the three observations is presented in Section 4, while Section 5 describes the phase-resolved spectroscopy. In Section 5.1, we focus on spectroscopy of the peak of the pulse profile and investigate the evolution with the 35 day phase. In Section 6, the results are summarized and an outlook to future work is given. Throughout the paper, uncertainties are given at the 90% confidence level ($\Delta \chi^2 = 2.7$ for one parameter of interest), unless otherwise noted.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

To study the geometry of the accretion disk and the spectral changes over the “main-on” phase in detail, three observations were obtained, each separated by roughly two days to sample different phases of one “main-on,” as shown in Figure 1. The first observation (I) was performed during the turn-on, the second during the brightest part (main-on, II), and the last one during the turn-off (III). Typically, the turn-on of the main-on happens rather suddenly within one binary orbit, while the turn-off is a more gradual decline in flux over a few orbits (Scott et al. 2000). This behavior is seen in the folded RXTE/ASM light curve (Figure 1) and also in the fluxes of the NuSTAR observations. The all-sky monitor (ASM) 35 day profile is based on Klochkov et al. (2006), using only turn-ons around binary phase $\phi = 0.2$. The turn-on analyzed here occurred at $\phi = 0.24$ (MJD 56,188.95). See Table 1 for an overview of the data used and resulting exposure times.

2.1. NuSTAR

The NuSTAR data were reduced using the standard NuSTAR-DAS pipeline version 1.1.1. Spectra and light curves of Her X-1 were extracted from a source region of 120° radius around the source location. Background spectra were extracted from a region with an 80° radius as far away from the source as possible. Extracting the background in this way means that it was taken from another detector and in a region of different aperture flux background. Therefore, we checked the validity of the background by scaling a nearby deep field observation to the observed 80–120 keV background flux using the nu1yase task. We found that the choice of the background did not significantly influence the spectral parameters, as Her X-1 is still a factor of 10 brighter than the background at the highest energies (and more than a factor of 100 brighter at low energies). As seen in Figure 1, average count rates were around 60, 90, and 80 counts s$^{-1}$ for observations I, II, and III, respectively, while the background count rate was around 0.06 counts s$^{-1}$ in all observations.

Around 10 keV, weak residuals from the tungsten L-edge of the optics of NuSTAR are present in the current version of the response. This feature depends on off-axis angle and is difficult to calibrate perfectly. As Her X-1 has a very high signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N$), we see an absorption-edge-like feature in the phase-averaged spectra, see Figure 4. To avoid the fit being influenced by this feature, we excised the 10–14.5 keV energy range in the phase-averaged spectra. Aside from this feature, the NuSTAR responses are well calibrated and cross-checked by various simultaneous observations with other X-ray observatories (Harrison et al. 2013).

For all fits, we used NuSTAR spectra between 5 and 79 keV separately for FPMA and FPMB.

2.2. Suzaku

We reduced data from the Suzaku X-Ray Imaging Spectrometer (XIS; Koyama et al. 2007) by using the standard pipeline.
as distributed with HEASOFT version 6.13. Auxiliary response files were created using xissimarfgen with a limiting number of 400,000 photons. We combined $2 \times 2$, $3 \times 3$, and $5 \times 5$ editing modes, where available, before extracting spectra and light curves. We carefully checked for pileup and removed the inner part of the point spread function (PSF) following roughly the 3% pileup contours. We used two elliptical exclusion regions to do so, with radii $49'' \times 20''$ and $14'' \times 46''$ for observation I, $90'' \times 45''$ and $107'' \times 34''$ for observation II, and $78'' \times 32''$ and $31'' \times 73''$ for observation III in the east–west and north–south direction, respectively. As these regions exclude a large part of the chip, we decided to use a box-shaped source region around each extraction region. As these regions exclude a large part of the chip, for which the contamination layer has higher uncertainties, we extract data mainly from the outer parts of the chip, for which the contamination layer has higher uncertainties. The contamination layer can significantly influence the spectral shape; therefore, it is important to know its thickness.

To evaluate this, we extracted XIS spectra from all three XIS detectors for $\psi = 90^\circ$ and $\phi = 0^\circ$, $90^\circ$, and $270^\circ$ for observation II, and $90^\circ$, $270^\circ$, and $0^\circ$ for observation III in the east–west and north–south direction, respectively. As these regions exclude a large part of the chip, we decided to use a box-shaped source region around the excluded core, with sides of $240'' \times 400''$. However, these regions exclude a large part of the chip, for which the contamination layer has higher uncertainties. The contamination layer can significantly influence the spectral shape; therefore, it is important to know its thickness precisely. According to Yamada et al. (2012), this layer is best understood for XIS 3. Therefore, we used only this instrument in the current analysis, which focuses on higher energies and the cyclotron line. As the data were taken in the 1/4 window mode, no empty background region could be found on the chip. However, since the source is at least a factor of 20 brighter than the background at all times, background subtraction was not necessary. We rebinned the spectra as described in Nowak et al. (2011) and ignored the energy range around the known cyclotron features at 1.8 keV and 2.2 keV. The XIS data were fitted in the 0.8–8.5 keV energy range.

We reduced data from the Hard X-ray Detector (HXD) of Suzaku (Takahashi et al. 2007) with the standard pipeline using calibration files as published with HXD CALDB 20110913. Spectra were extracted using the tools hxdpinxbpi and hxdgsoxbpi for PIN and GSO, respectively. We obtained the tuned background model from the Suzaku web site, as well as the recommended additional ARF for the GSO. PIN data were fitted between 20 and 70 keV and GSO between 50 and 100 keV. PIN data were rebinned to a S/N of 6 between 10–40 keV and 3 above that. GSO data were not rebinned to follow the grouping of the background spectrum. We added 1% systematic uncertainties to the PIN data and 3% to the GSO data to account for uncertainties in the background modeling.

### 2.3. Cross-calibration of the Instruments

When comparing the NuSTAR and Suzaku/XIS fluxes, we find that the XIS values are up to 20% below the NuSTAR values (see Table 3 in Section 4). This is likely an effect of the large extraction region we used in the XIS data. This large region means we are using data only from the outer wings of the PSF, where the absolute flux calibration has higher uncertainties. To evaluate this, we extracted XIS spectra from all three XIS chips for observation II from a circular region with a $120''$ radius and excised only the innermost core of the PSF using a circle with a $30''$ radius. For XIS 3, the flux difference then drops to only $\sim 10\%$. However, the data showed systematically different slopes between the XIS detectors and compared with NuSTAR, likely an effect from remaining pileup outside the small excised circle. The data from the large extraction region provided the best agreement (other than the normalization) between all instruments.

Besides the uncertainty of the pileup correction in XIS, the fact that the latest NuSTAR effective area was corrected using the Crab spectrum also contributes to differences in the flux measurement. For this calibration effort, a canonical spectrum of the Crab with $\Gamma = 2.1$ and a normalization of $A = 10 \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1}$ at 1 keV was assumed. The spectrum measured by XIS 3, however, is $\Gamma = 2.082 \pm 0.017$ and $A = 9.33^{+0.24}_{-0.22}$ photon cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ (Maeda et al. 2008), i.e., a little lower than the assumed spectrum for NuSTAR. This difference can account for around a 10% difference. A detailed description of the NuSTAR calibration will be given in a forthcoming publication.

The normalization difference between NuSTAR and PIN is on the order of 20%. This is higher than expected as typically the cross-normalization between PIN and XIS should be about 15%–20%, while these values lead to an 40%–50% increased flux measurement of PIN compared with XIS. We attribute this discrepancy again to the higher uncertainties of the XIS extraction. Forcing the normalization constant for PIN to be $1.19 \times C_{\text{XIS3}}$ did not result in an acceptable fit ($\chi^2 > 3$). The GSO cross-normalizations are rather unconstrained because of the fact that Her X-1 is only barely detected in the instrument and the background is about a factor five higher than the source flux. The fluxes of the two independent NuSTAR detectors were within 4% of each other in all cases.

Finally, a 2 ks Swift/XRT snapshot observation was done in parallel to observation I (see Figure 2). We extracted the data by using the standard X-Ray Telescope (XRT) pipeline and fitted the data between 0.8 and 9 keV. The data are piled up and because of the short observation do not contribute to constrain the model parameters. However, we checked the cross-calibration, which comes out to $C_{\text{XRT}} = 0.992 \pm 0.009$ compared with NuSTAR/FPMA. This value shows that NuSTAR provides fluxes in good agreement to other missions.

### 3. Light Curves and Timing

Her X-1 is known to show short intensity dips in the light curve, associated with increased absorption. These dips are known as anomalous dips and pre-eclipse dips (see Igna & Leahy 2011 and references therein) and are clearly seen in the NuSTAR light curves of observations I and III (Figure 2). Both energy bands, the soft 3.5–10 keV and the hard 10–79 keV band, show these dips but with different intensity, so that in the hardness ratio a strong hardening during the dips is observed. For the analyses in this paper, we disregarded all dip phases by filtering...
on the hardness ratio to use only data where the absorption was minimal, as indicated by the horizontal lines in Figure 2. The resulting reduced exposure times of the spectra are reflected in Table 1. A detailed investigation of the dip spectra is beyond the scope of this paper.

Both satellites, *NuSTAR* and *Suzaku*, are in low-Earth orbit; therefore, the observed X-ray source is regularly occulted, resulting in the gaps in the light curves. As the orbits are not aligned, occultations do not happen at the same time, so that strict simultaneity is not always achieved as, e.g., during observation I. As the orbits precess, however, almost perfectly simultaneous observations were obtained during observation II. When describing the *NuSTAR* and *Suzaku* data in a simultaneous fit, short-term spectral changes could result in different spectra for the both data sets; however, the light curves do not indicate any such changes outside the intensity dips. The XIS count rates change with respect to *NuSTAR* between observations because of the different exclusion regions used in the XIS reduction, i.e., for the brightest observation (II) the largest region was excised.

To obtain the pulse period, we barycentered the event times with the FTOOL *barycorr*, using the DE-200 solar system ephemeris. We then corrected the event times for the orbital motion of the neutron star, using the ephemeris by Staubert et al. (2009). We folded the combined event lists of all observations by using the epoch folding method (Leahy 1987) and performed phase connection to estimate the uncertainty on the period. We found a pulse period of

\[ P = 1.2377184353 \pm 0.000000020 \text{ s}. \]

The period is consistent with results from the *Fermi/GBM* Pulsar Project (Finger et al. 2009).

The pulse profile shows a clear energy dependence, as well as a weak dependence on the 35 day phase, as shown in Figure 3 using 64 phase bins. The main peak around \( \phi = 0.4 \) has a visible substructure at low energies, with the leading and trailing shoulder clearly separated. With increasing energy, both shoulders become less prominent, and instead the central core of the main peak more important. The interpulse peak around \( \phi = 0.8 \) is very weak at this 35 day phase. This is a well known behavior in Her X-1, see, e.g., Scott et al. (2000), and has been extensively studied in the literature (see, e.g., Deeter et al. 1998; Kuster et al. 2005). These energy-resolved pulse profiles are the basis for the phase-resolved spectroscopy described in Section 5.

4. PHASE-AVERAGED SPECTROSCOPY

4.1. Continuum

The continua of accreting neutron star binaries are typically modeled with phenomenological power law models, with a high-energy cutoff. As of now, there is no widely accepted and used model available that directly models the physical processes in the accretion column leading to the production of the hard X-ray continuum. Models based on the work by Becker & Wolff (2007) are in development and have been used in special cases (e.g., for 4U 0115+63; Ferrigno et al. 2009), but they still cannot be generally applied. Therefore, we decided to describe the data with three of the most commonly used phenomenological models applied for Her X-1: high-energy cutoff (\( h\text{ighecut} \)), negative–positive exponential cutoff (\( \text{FPcut} \)); Makishima et al. 1999), and Fermi–Dirac cutoff (\( \text{FDcut} \); Tanaka 1986).

All continuum models are based on a power law with a photon index \( \Gamma \) and a high-energy cutoff with a folding energy \( E_{\text{fold}} \). The \( h\text{ighecut} \) and \( \text{FDcut} \) models also have the cutoff energy...
$E_{\text{cutoff}}$, at which the high-energy cutoff becomes relevant as a free parameter, while in the NPEX model the third free parameter is the relative normalization $R_{\text{comp}}$ of the additional power law component with its photon index frozen to $-2$. See also Müller et al. (2013) for a summary of their properties. Other similar phenomenological models like the cutoffpl or comptt did not lead to acceptable fits ($\chi^2_{\text{red}} \gg 2$).

The highcutoff model is known to show a sharp feature at the cutoff energy, as discussed extensively in the literature (see, e.g., Kreykenbohm et al. 1999; Kretschmar et al. 1997). To smooth the transition between the pure power law components and the cutoff power law, we multiplied the model with a line with a Gaussian optical-depth profile, with its energy tied to the cutoff energy (leaving as free parameters $\sigma_c$ and $\tau_c$), similar to the one described in Coburn et al. (2002). This model removes the feature in the residuals almost completely. However, because of NuSTAR's high sensitivity and spectral resolution, small features remain, though they do not influence the other model parameters and only result in a slightly worse fit in terms of $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$.

For the broadband BeppoSAX spectrum, dal Fiume et al. (1998) found the best description to be a highcutoff continuum. Gruber et al. (2001) applied the highcutoff and FDeut models to RXTE observations of Her X-1 and also find that the spectra are best described with the highcutoff model. The parameters of the cyclotron line, however, depended only weakly on the choice of the continuum. This very weak dependence is confirmed by Klochkov et al. (2008) using INTEGRAL data. The modified highcutoff model is used in most of the recent work on Her X-1 (see, e.g., Staubert et al. (2007); Klochkov et al. (2008); Vasco et al. 2013).

We applied all three models to the three NuSTAR and Suzaku data sets, and the results are shown in Tables 2–4. The highcutoff and NPEX models result in a similar quality of fit for all three observations, while the FDeut is typically a bit worse in terms of $\chi^2$ and clearly fails for observation III. The residuals from NPEX, however, usually show a wavy structure in the hard NuSTAR band around the cyclotron line (see Figure 4). Thus, we confirm earlier results that the preferred phenomenological model to describe the broad Her X-1 spectrum is the highcutoff model, with the inclusion of an additional line to smear out the hard break at the cutoff energy.

### 4.2. Cyclotron Line

To describe the CRSF, we used a multiplicative line model, with the following free parameters: centroid energy $E_{\text{CRSF}}$, width $\sigma_{\text{CRSF}}$, and optical depth at the line center $\tau_{\text{CRSF}}$. To investigate the shape of the line in detail, we tried a Gaussian optical-depth profile (model gabs in XSPEC) as well as a pseudo-Lorentzian optical-depth profile (model cyc1abs in XSPEC; Mihara et al. 1990). Both models have been successfully used to describe the line in Her X-1 (e.g., Enoto et al. 2008; Vasco et al. 2013), Enoto et al. (2008) find in Suzaku data that the Lorentzian line profile describes the data significantly better than the Gaussian profile. For broad lines, both optical-depth profiles result in rather similar line shapes.

We combined both models with the highcutoff continuum and fitted all three NuSTAR observations independently. Both models described the data similarly well, with differences in...
χ^2 ≤ 5. We find no evidence for an asymmetric line shape or emission wings at the edges of the CRSF, as shown in Figures 5–7 for observations I, II, and III, respectively. The choice of the continuum has some influence on the CRSF parameters, but in all cases a Gaussian and a Lorentzian profile fit similarly well. *NuSTAR*'s FWHM energy resolution at the cyclotron line energy is about 0.5 keV (Harrison et al. 2013). Combined with its very high photon statistics, this would allow measurement of weak deviations, which would be smeared out by the ∼4 keV energy resolution of PIN.

At the high-energy end of the spectrum, we searched for the harmonic CRSF at around twice the energy of the fundamental line, i.e., ∼75 keV. Using observations II and III, as they provide the best S/N, we added a second line with a Gaussian optical-depth profile. We fixed the width and energy to twice provide the best fit similarly well.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>HighE</th>
<th>NPEX</th>
<th>FDcut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Γ</td>
<td>0.920 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.584 ± 0.012</td>
<td>0.897 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecut (keV)</td>
<td>20.68±0.27</td>
<td>5.74 ± 0.04</td>
<td>35.6±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eabs (keV)</td>
<td>9.95 ± 0.13</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5.87±0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcut (g)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>(3.60 ± 0.07) × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC2SF (keV)</td>
<td>37.40±0.25</td>
<td>37.73 ± 0.20</td>
<td>37.28±0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σCRSF (keV)</td>
<td>5.76±0.20</td>
<td>6.36 ± 0.18</td>
<td>8.01±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τCRSF (keV)</td>
<td>0.614±0.028</td>
<td>0.877±0.026</td>
<td>1.49±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τG (keV)</td>
<td>2.45±0.16</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τc (keV)</td>
<td>0.149±0.010</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABB^b</td>
<td>6.8±1.6</td>
<td>28.46</td>
<td>7.5±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kT (keV)</td>
<td>0.134±0.009</td>
<td>0.140±0.013</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A(FeKα)_A^b</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.68±0.15</td>
<td>0.36±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(FeKα)_A (keV)</td>
<td>6.60±0.017</td>
<td>6.601±0.014</td>
<td>6.614±0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ(FeKα) (keV)</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.253 ± 0.020</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A(FeKα)_B</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.07</td>
<td>3.94±0.27</td>
<td>0.66±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(FeKα)_B (keV)</td>
<td>6.55 ± 0.05</td>
<td>6.48 ± 0.04</td>
<td>6.51±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ(FeKα)_B (keV)</td>
<td>0.82±0.13</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.67±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A(FeLα)_A</td>
<td>6.0±1.7</td>
<td>23.16</td>
<td>6.3±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(FeLα)_A (keV)</td>
<td>9.57±0.028</td>
<td>9.75±0.034</td>
<td>9.69±0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ(FeLα)_A (keV)</td>
<td>0.149±0.031</td>
<td>0.149±0.031</td>
<td>0.147±0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5–60 keV^c</td>
<td>4.996 ± 0.010</td>
<td>5.011 ± 0.010</td>
<td>4.996 ± 0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTMB</td>
<td>1.0368 ± 0.0020</td>
<td>1.0368 ± 0.0020</td>
<td>1.0367 ± 0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTB53</td>
<td>0.8219±0.0029</td>
<td>0.8198±0.0029</td>
<td>0.824±0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTIN</td>
<td>1.185 ± 0.009</td>
<td>1.188 ± 0.009</td>
<td>1.183 ± 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGSO</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>χ²/d.o.f.</td>
<td>820.43/711</td>
<td>877.37/714</td>
<td>934.67/714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>χ²/τd</td>
<td>1.154</td>
<td>1.229</td>
<td>1.309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. a) In 10^{-2} photons s^{-1} cm^{-2}; b) in 10^{-6} erg s^{-1} at 10 kpc; c) in keV s^{-1} cm^{-2}.

χ² is formally significant but only when fixing the width and energy. Rigorous calculations of the cyclotron scattering, however, show that the harmonic is not necessarily at twice the energy of the fundamental, adding additional systematic uncertainties (Harding & Daugherty 1991). Leaving all parameters of the harmonic line free resulted in an unconstrained width of the line. In observation I, results are similar to observation III but less constraining because of the lower S/N. The *NuSTAR* data thus put very strong limits on the depth of the harmonic CRSF.

4.3. Soft Components

Her X-1 is known to show a broad iron line, presumably superposing Doppler broadened lines from multiple ionization states. We describe this region by adding two Gaussian lines, FeKαn and FeKαo (a narrow and a broad one), with slightly different energies. A single Gaussian line was not sufficient to describe the complex region adequately, being mostly constrained by the higher resolution of *Suzaku*/XIS. The Gaussian lines were allowed to vary in energy between 6.4 and 6.9 keV to enable modeling of ions up to H-like iron. For observation III we had to freeze the width of the broad component, σ(FeKα)_B, to 0.9 keV, as it was unconstrained by the fit. The combination of the Doppler broadening and different ionization states makes the Fe-line region highly complex.
A strong line around 1 keV is evident in the XIS data, which we associated with a Ni IX fluorescence complex and a possible Fe L-line at the same energy (see, e.g., Ramsay et al. 2002; Jimenez-Garate et al. 2002). We described this region with an additional broad Gaussian line, as the spectral resolution of XIS is not sufficient to disentangle different narrow lines.

In the XIS data, an additional soft excess is visible, which can be described with a blackbody component ($bbody$) with normalization $A_{BB}$ and temperature $kT$, likely originating from the hot accretion disk. The best-fit value corresponds to a radius of $\sim 250$ km for the blackbody, similar to the values found by Endo et al. (2000). This feature is consistently seen in all soft X-ray observations at similar temperatures, $kT \approx 130$ eV; see, e.g., Ji et al. (2009) and Oosterbroek et al. (2001). In the FDcut model, the blackbody temperature $kT$ was not constrained by the fit, so we fixed it to 140 eV, the average value given by the two other models.

We carefully checked for the presence of absorption in excess of the expected Galactic absorption of $\approx 1.7 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Kalberla et al. 2005). When adding an absorber, the fit only improves marginally and not significantly in terms of $\chi^2$. Using a partially covered absorber resulted in a similar fit, with the covering fraction being consistent with one. It is conceivable that outside of the dips in the light curve, the view on Her X-1 is almost unobstructed, so that no additional absorption column was necessary.

### 4.4. Results

The applied model can be written as

$$ABS \times (CONT \times CRSF + BBODY + fluorescencelines), \quad (1)$$

where $ABS$ is the Galactic absorption (using the wilm abundances; Wilms et al. 2000) and $CONT$ is either the highhecut, NPEX, or FDcut continuum model. For the remainder of the paper, we used only the gabs model for the CRSF. The best-fit parameters are shown in Tables 2–4, including the flux for the FPMA calibration between 5 and 60 keV, $F_{5-60\text{keV}}$. The spectra and residuals of the respective best-fit models for observation II are shown in Figure 4.

The spectral parameters of the highhecut model evolve to a slightly softer spectrum with less curvature toward the end of the main-on, with the cutoff energy increasing from $20.8^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$ keV to $22.3^{+0.30}_{-0.39}$ keV and the folding energy $E_{\text{fold}}$ going down from $10.12^{+0.29}_{-0.27}$ keV to $9.76 \pm 0.19$ keV. The photon index $\Gamma$ stays almost constant within the uncertainties. The variations are, however, only marginally significant and should not be interpreted in a physical sense, as the phase-averaged spectrum...
similar values. As these parameters depend strongly on the local magnetic field, changes should only be investigated in a narrow pulse phase band, see Section 5.1.

The broad component of the iron line seems to stay constant in energy and width, but decreases significantly in flux over the three observations. In contrast, the narrower line at higher energies (∼6.6 keV) increases in width and flux with the 35 day phase. As the iron line complex is not resolved by either instrument, a physical interpretation is difficult, but as the line at higher energies increases with the 35 day phase, it is indicative of an increased ionization state for the fluorescent medium.

5. PHASE-RESOLVED SPECTROSCOPY

With the rotation of the neutron star, different regions of the accretion column with different physical conditions will be visible, resulting in strong changes of the spectrum. This effect is observed in all accreting neutron stars but is especially prominent in Her X-1, as prior studies have shown (e.g., Klochkov et al. 2011; Vasco et al. 2013). Most importantly, the energy of the CRSF varies smoothly by a factor of two over the pulse, following the pulse profile (Vasco et al. 2013). Drastic changes in the continuum can happen within less than 0.05 in phase, e.g., the photon index $\Gamma$ drops suddenly around the peak of the pulse profile. Narrow phase bins with high S/N are therefore required for a physical interpretation of the data.

From the NuSTAR data extraction, we obtained a spectrum in all 64 phase bins of the pulse profile. However, to obtain a sufficient S/N, i.e., at least $10^5$ counts per spectrum, we added up to 11 phase bins for the fit. During sharp changes of the hardness ratio and the pulse profile, only two phase bins were combined to capture the spectral changes in detail. Overall, NuSTAR spectra for 13 phase bins were used, as indicated in Figure 8(a). As the Suzaku/PIN showed a lower S/N, we did not use them for phase-resolved spectroscopy.

As the time resolution of XIS is only 2 s, no phase-resolved spectroscopy is possible with those data. Therefore, the phase-resolved spectra lack the soft X-ray coverage of the phase-averaged spectra, and neither the blackbody component nor
the Fe L-complex around 1 keV can be investigated. Likewise, constraints on the absorption column and iron line are likely to be less stringent.

To fit the phase-resolved spectra of observation II, we used the highecut model, as it describes the phase-averaged spectrum best and is also commonly used in the literature. We only used one Gaussian line to describe the iron line complex, as NuSTAR’s FWHM energy resolution of 0.4 keV at 6 keV is not sufficient to resolve both components.

As presented in Figure 8, the shape of the continuum changes dramatically over the pulse phase. Both the photon index $\Gamma$ and the cutoff energy $E_{\text{cut}}$ vary by more than a factor of two. The photon index drops to its lowest (i.e., hardest) value around the left shoulder of the main peak. There is also a drop in $\Gamma$ shortly after the main peak. The cutoff energies vary more smoothly over the pulse but roughly follow $\Gamma$. As high values of $E_{\text{cut}}$ result in harder spectra, these changes somewhat compensate the hardening of the photon index and result mainly in modifying the shape and curvature of the spectrum. The folding energy is less variable with pulse phase and typically has higher uncertainties. Overall, remarkable periodic spectral changes are evident with pulse phase.

For all fits, we froze the width of the FeKα line to 0.35 keV, as it did not change significantly with pulse phase. The centroid energy of the line shows a weak dependence on phase, dropping to its lowest value in phase bin F, during the main peak, and rising slowly during the minimum (phase bins J through M, see Figure 9).

Our data show strong changes of the cyclotron line with phase, shown in Figure 9. The line energy, $E_{\text{CRSF}}$, roughly follows the pulse profile, reaching its highest values around the peak. The optical depth, $\tau_{\text{CRSF}}$, also is on average higher with higher X-ray flux and peaks during the peak of the pulse profile. However, there seems to be a slight phase shift between the 9–13 keV flux and the optical depth, with $\tau_{\text{CRSF}}$ reaching its highest values a little after the peak of the pulse profile. In all phase bins, a line with a Gaussian optical-depth profile provides a very good description of the line profile. We find no evidence for a significant deviation from a smooth line profile.

Enoto et al. (2008), using Suzaku/HXD data, claim a significant detection of the first harmonic CRSF at twice the fundamental line energy, i.e., around 70 keV, at phases shortly after the main peak. In the NuSTAR data, we find no evidence for that harmonic line. However, this energy is at the very edge of the NuSTAR sensitive area, so that a weak line might be lost because of weak S/N.

For phase bins B, C, D, E, G, H, I, and M, it was not possible to obtain a statistically acceptable $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ value by using only the highecut model. Strong residuals remained at energies below 20 keV. Instead, we tried using the NPEX model but did not obtain a better description of the data. Figure 10 shows three examples of the phase-resolved spectra where complex changes in the spectral shape below 20 keV are clearly evident. It is possible to obtain an acceptable $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ value when allowing for a complex absorption, but the column density is highly unconstrained and also often increases to values in excess of $10^{25}$ cm$^{-2}$, requiring an unphysically high intrinsic luminosity. Thus, we rule out...
The centroid energy, width, and optical depth. The energy of the CRSF (see Figure 11(c)). The feature is at all phases below 7 keV, so it is completely negligible at the measurement of the cyclotron parameters. The width of the feature is very strong, it does not significantly influence the model calibration. The smooth line. Similar to Figure 8, but for the parameters of the 10 keV feature. (a) Three examples of phase-resolved FPMA spectra, of phase bins C (green), F (red), and G (blue). (b) Residuals to the respective best-fit models. Strong spectral changes across the entire energy range is clearly evident. (c) Residuals without including the 10 keV feature, expressed as ratio of data over the model.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 11. Similar to Figure 8, but for the parameters of the 10 keV feature. (a) Centroid energy, (b) width, and (c) optical depth.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

The inset of Figure 4. On the other hand, the feature needed for the phase-resolved spectra is very broad and changes the continuum shape below 20 keV, as visible in the residuals in Figure 11(c). Therefore, we are confident that the small calibration uncertainty does not influence the fit results and that both features can be clearly separated.

The additional component can be interpreted as a 10 keV feature, seen in many magnetized and accreting neutron stars (see Müller et al. 2013 and reference therein). Even though this feature is seen in many sources, there is no accepted theory for its physical origin thus far. Vasco et al. (2013) describe similar residual structures at the same phases in RXTE data of Her X-1. Figure 11 shows the best-fit values of this feature in the relevant phase bins. The ratio between the cyclotron line energy and the energy of the 10 keV feature is clearly larger than two in all phase bins, making it unlikely that this feature is the fundamental line.

5.1. Pulse Peak

To study the evolution of the spectrum with the 35 day phase in more detail, we focused on the peak of the pulse profile, removing spectral variance of the pulse profile that could mask variance with the 35 day phase. The phases combined are indicated in Figures 3 and 8 and consist of the ones that show the most prominent CRSF. We used the same model as for the phase-resolved spectroscopy, including the 10 keV feature. Again, Suzaku data were not used. The pulse-peak spectra provide high quality data for a specific viewing angle onto the accretion column.

Table 5 summarizes the results of the spectral fit. We find that the cyclotron line energy is very constant over all three observations. The other spectral parameters also do not show a dependence with the 35 day phase. We also modeled the spectra by using the Lorentzian shaped cyclabs model, as for the phase-averaged spectrum. We did not find a significant difference in the quality of fit. Both cyclotron models describe the line shape very well, without obvious deviations from a smooth line.

Table 5: Fit Parameters for the Peak Spectra of All Observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>$0.71^{+0.17}_{-0.10}$</td>
<td>$0.72^{+0.01}_{-0.09}$</td>
<td>$0.62^{+0.08}_{-0.04}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{red}}$ (keV)</td>
<td>$21.3^{+1.6}_{-0.9}$</td>
<td>$20.9^{+0.7}_{-0.5}$</td>
<td>$20.8^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{red}}$ (keV)</td>
<td>$10.68^{+0.83}_{-0.22}$</td>
<td>$10.4^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$</td>
<td>$9.97^{+0.29}_{-0.25}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{FSR}}$ (keV)</td>
<td>$38.6^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$</td>
<td>$38.2^{+0.26}_{-0.27}$</td>
<td>$38.8^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{FSR}}$ (keV)</td>
<td>$6.1^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$</td>
<td>$5.53^{+0.35}_{-0.29}$</td>
<td>$5.9^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{CRSF}}$ (keV)</td>
<td>$1.13^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$</td>
<td>$1.05^{+0.01}_{-0.07}$</td>
<td>$1.06^{+0.06}_{-0.01}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{CRSF}}$ (keV)</td>
<td>$2.7^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$</td>
<td>$2.0^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$</td>
<td>$1.76^{+0.27}_{-0.24}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{cycle}}$</td>
<td>$0.13^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$</td>
<td>$0.11^{+0.00}_{-0.01}$</td>
<td>$0.13^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{10keV}}$ (keV)</td>
<td>$7.3^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$</td>
<td>$6.8^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$</td>
<td>$6.8^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{10keV}}$</td>
<td>$0.13^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$</td>
<td>$0.16^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$</td>
<td>$0.09^{+0.06}_{-0.02}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\text{FeK}\alpha}$</td>
<td>$0.6^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$</td>
<td>$0.8^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$</td>
<td>$1.0^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{FeK}\alpha}$ (keV)</td>
<td>$6.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$</td>
<td>$6.4^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$</td>
<td>$6.5^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{FeK}\alpha}$ (keV)</td>
<td>$0.21^{+0.15}_{-0.10}$</td>
<td>$0.32^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$</td>
<td>$0.36^{+0.17}_{-0.12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{F}_{S-60keV}$</td>
<td>$6.86^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$</td>
<td>$9.71^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$</td>
<td>$9.90^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{FPMB}}$</td>
<td>$0.99^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$</td>
<td>$1.04^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$</td>
<td>$1.02^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. a: In $10^{-2}$ photons s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$; b: in keV s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$.
Thomson scattering with a column density $N$ in the middle of the main-on. By attenuating this model with a multiplicative absorption-line-like feature with a Gaussian optical depth to model the CRSF around 37 keV, as well as fluorescence lines around 6.4 keV and 1 keV.

Using Suzaku/XIS data, we could extend the energy range down to 0.8 keV, but we did not find evidence for neutral absorption in excess of Galactic absorption. Previous studies, such as the one by Ji et al. (2009) using Chandra data, found very strong absorption columns on the order of $10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$, with partial covering fractions between 0.5 and 0.9. Using XMM-Newton data, however, Ramsay et al. (2002) also found only negligible absorption during the main-on, consistent with the NuSTAR results. These results show that the absorption is variable between different main-ons and that during the joint NuSTAR and Suzaku observation we obtained a relatively clear view of the source. In the widely accepted theory that the main-on is caused by the outer rim of the accretion disk moving out of our line of sight, small variations in the thickness of the almost neutral accretion disk and its corona could result in different absorption columns during different main-ons (Kuster et al. 2005).

The measured values of the cyclotron line energy agree very well with measurements from other missions in the last few years, when normalized to a common luminosity (Figure 13). All recent data points are clearly lower compared with measurements taken before 2009 (Staubert et al. 2007). To normalize the energy to a common luminosity, the known linear correlation between the X-ray luminosity and the cyclotron line energy is used, as described in Staubert et al. (2007). As the reference point, an RXTE/ASM count rate of 6.8 counts s$^{-1}$ was chosen. Since the ASM is no longer operational, the monitoring data by Swift/BAT are used for data points after 2011. They are scaled to the ASM rate with the following intercalibration: ASM (counts s$^{-1}$) = 89.0 Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) (counts s$^{-1}$). We checked that ASM and BAT light curves agree well enough to be each used as a proxy for the same intrinsic luminosity. Additionally, all values in Figure 13 are taken from 35 day phases $\phi_{35} < 0.14$, for which the cyclotron line energy dependence on the 35 day phase is minimal (Staubert 2013). After taking the luminosity dependence into account, a decline, starting between 2006 and 2009, becomes visible with the NuSTAR measurement providing the latest and best constrained data point.

Figure 12 shows all three spectra together, clearly showing that marginal differences exist between them. In fact, the changes in spectral shape are so small that all three spectra can be fitted with the same continuum model, simply allowing for additional scattering of photons out of the line of sight. We took the model of observation II as the basic model, as it was for additional scattering of photons out of the line of sight. We can be fitted with the same continuum model, simply allowing changes in spectral shape are so small that all three spectra that marginal differences exist between them. In fact, the

![Figure 12](image)

**Figure 12.** (a) Pulse-peak FPMA spectra of observations I (red), II (blue), and III (green). (b) Residuals to the respective best-fit models. The residuals around 12 keV are due to a calibration feature.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 13. Updated version of Figure 2 (right) of Staubert (2013) showing the secular decay of the cyclotron line energy as measured with different instruments over the last 17 yr, normalized with respect to the average flux. The black circles are data from RXTE, the blue squares from INTEGRAL, the green diamonds from Suzaku, and the red triangle is the NuSTAR measurement presented here. The NuSTAR data confirm the decay and provide a highly constrained measurement (Staubert 2013).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_e (10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>$45.5 \pm 0.6$</td>
<td>$...$</td>
<td>$\leq 0.024$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$(FeK$\alpha$)</td>
<td>$1.71^{+0.29}_{-0.38}$</td>
<td>$0.80^{+0.32}_{-0.29}$</td>
<td>$0.77 \pm 0.13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$(FeK$\alpha$) (keV)</td>
<td>$6.32^{+0.14}_{-0.18}$</td>
<td>$6.46^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$</td>
<td>$6.50 \pm 0.09$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$(FeK$\alpha$) (keV)</td>
<td>$0.69^{+0.31}_{-0.22}$</td>
<td>$0.32^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$</td>
<td>$0.36^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.}$</td>
<td>370.32/312</td>
<td>321.06/308</td>
<td>528.52/322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.}$</td>
<td>1.187</td>
<td>1.042</td>
<td>1.641</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12 shows all three spectra together, clearly showing that marginal differences exist between them. In fact, the changes in spectral shape are so small that all three spectra can be fitted with the same continuum model, simply allowing for additional scattering of photons out of the line of sight. We took the model of observation II as the basic model, as it was in the middle of the main-on. By attenuating this model with Thomson scattering with a column density $N_e$ (XSPEC model eabs) and allowing for a variable FeK$\alpha$ line, an acceptable fit could be achieved for observation I. The best-fit parameters are shown in Table 6. For observation III the fit was clearly worse, as the spectrum shows a slightly different curvature compared with observation II, as indicated by the lower photon index in Table 5. Still, the energy range around the cyclotron line was very well described with the model of observation II.

### 6. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have presented the analysis of three data sets, taken simultaneously with NuSTAR and Suzaku, of three different phases of one 35 day main-on of Her X-1. Our analysis concentrated on the overall spectral shape and the evolution of the CRSF with the 35 day phase and pulse phase. The overall spectral shape is best described with a highcut model, in which the break at the cutoff energy was smoothed with a multiplicative line. The continuum was modified by a multiplicative absorption-line-like feature with a Gaussian
This long-term, luminosity independent decline of the cyclotron line energy cannot be explained by simple models of different altitudes of the line-forming region in the accretion column, as could be caused by changes in the accretion rate, i.e., luminosity. A reconfiguration of the magnetic field, leading to a different geometry of the accretion column, could be responsible for this trend. The NuSTAR data point may indicate that the decay has stopped and the cyclotron energy has settled at a lower level. The data are, however, also fully consistent with an ongoing decline.

6.1. Cyclotron Line Shape

The shape of the cyclotron line depends on many factors, including the geometry of the accretion column, the angle between the magnetic field and emerging radiation, and the shape of the underlying continuum. As shown by Schönherr et al. (2007), emission wings around the fundamental line are suppressed when the spectrum is soft, i.e., has a low cutoff energy as seen in Her X-1. We carefully investigated the shape of the cyclotron line in the phase-averaged and pulse peak spectra and find no evidence for emission wings or a deviation from a smooth line shape. The lines are described equally well with a multiplicative line with a Gaussian or a Lorentzian optical-depth profile. The smooth line shape is in agreement with previous work on Her X-1, where no deviation was found either (see, e.g., Enoto et al. 2008; Vasco et al. 2013). However, NuSTAR’s high-energy resolution provides much stronger constraints.

Staubert et al. (2007) and Klochkov et al. (2011) found a highly significant positive correlation between the cyclotron line energy and luminosity, clearly showing that the accretion rate is below the local Eddington limit, where the radiative shock is not strong enough to fully decelerate the in-falling material (Becker et al. 2012). Instead, it is stopped by Coulomb interaction further down in the accretion column. The emerging beam pattern in this case is a mix between a fan beam and a pencil beam. For this complicated geometry, calculations of the line shape are missing, so that a comparison with theory is not possible at the present time. Calculations for more simple geometries show that a fan beam pattern produces more symmetric line profiles, with weaker emission wings, compared with a pure pencil beam profile (Schönherr et al. 2007). Therefore, it seems likely that most of the radiation in Her X-1 is emitted through the sides of the accretion column, but updated calculations are needed to assess this interpretation.

Schönherr et al. (2007) also found a strong influence of the accretion column’s plasma temperature on line shape. Symmetric lines are only produced, with temperatures below $kT_e \leq 10\,\mathrm{keV}$. The thermal distribution of electrons used by Schönherr et al. (2007) is, however, a simplification of the physical conditions in the accretion column. With more detailed simulations becoming available, more reliable constraints will soon be put on the plasma temperature and geometry.

6.2. Pulse Phase Dependence

To study the changes of the spectral parameters with the 1.24 s pulse period, we performed phase-resolved analysis for observation II in 13 phase bins, dividing the data to capture all spectral changes while at the same time maintaining sufficient S/N. We largely confirm the results found by Vasco et al. (2013) using RXTE data, and we find similarly strong changes of the continuum and cyclotron line parameters. As RXTE is no longer operational, NuSTAR is the instrument best suited for performing such detailed phase-resolved spectroscopy at hard X-rays and measuring the long-term evolution of Her X-1.

A few phase bins during the peaks of the pulse profiles required an additional component around 10 keV to obtain an acceptable fit. We modeled this component with a multiplicative line with a Gaussian optical-depth profile. Its parameters clearly change with pulse phase, putting its origin somewhere close to the neutron star and its accretion column. As the energy is clearly below half of the cyclotron energy, it cannot be a hitherto undiscovered fundamental line. However, it is possible that the 10 keV feature is not a real physical feature but an artifact from an imperfect description of the underlying continuum. With the advent of new physical models currently under development (Schwarm 2010), this question will be investigated in more detail.

6.3. 35 Day Phase Dependence

The spectra of the pulse peak are similar in all three observations and seem to show only a flux dependence on the 35 day phase. The common model for the 35 day period in the X-ray flux employs a twisted and tilted accretion disk, which obstructs the X-ray source periodically, leading to a main-on and a short-on (Scott et al. 2000). The start of the main-on is defined when the cold, outer rim of the accretion disk moves out of the line of sight and allows a direct view of the X-ray source (Leahy 2002). The accretion disk, however, is not thin but has a definite thickness, with its density following a Gaussian density profile (Scott et al. 2000). Furthermore, it is surrounded by a hot corona of ionized gas, scattering X-rays out of the line of sight (Shakura et al. 1999 and references therein).

When the outer rim of the disk moves out of the line of sight, a smooth turn-on is observed. X-rays have to pass through less and less hot and ionized atmosphere as the accretion disk turns. The inferred column density from the NuSTAR observations at $\phi_{35} = 0.03$ (observation I) is around $N_c = 4.5 \times 10^{23} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ (Table 6). This fits very well within that picture (Schandl & Meyer 1994). This model is also discussed in detail by Kuster et al. (2005), who investigated the turn-on of the main-on and find similar columns, although a little bit earlier in the 35 day phase than observation I.

We found that the energy of the CRSF does not depend on the 35 day phase, neither in the phase-averaged spectrum nor on the pulse peak data. In a similar analysis, Vasco et al. (2013) found that the peak energy of the line depends on the 35 day phase, increasing by $\approx 0.7 \,\mathrm{keV}$ per 0.1 in phase. They tentatively associated this change with a possible precession of the neutron star but caution that a quantitative calculation has not yet been done. The stability of the NuSTAR spectral parameters with the 35 day phase (especially the ones of the peak spectra), on the other hand, may indicate that the neutron star does not show free precession. Were it to be precessing, a change in the peak spectrum would be expected, similar to the changes seen in phase-resolved spectroscopy.

Free precession of the neutron star was first inferred from the changes of the pulse profile with the 35 day phase (Trümper et al. 1978). In a different model (Scott et al. 2000), the changes in the pulse profiles are explained by reprocessing and obscuration of X-rays by the inner region of the accretion disk. However, in this scenario, the accretion disk has to come very close to the neutron star. As it is truncated at the magnetospheric radius, this also implies a very small magnetospheric radius of only 20–40 neutron star radii. This is much smaller than the magnetospheric radius inferred from the magnetic field strength as measured by
the cyclotron line energy (for a detailed discussion; see Scott et al. 2000; Staubert et al. 2013b). The peak of the pulse profile shows the smallest changes with the 35 day phase, in good agreement with the stability of the spectral shape observed by NuSTAR.

While the NuSTAR data cannot rule out a freely precessing neutron star, the observed changes in flux can be explained without it. A varying Thomson scattering column density toward the neutron star is sufficient to explain the small spectral changes. The CRSF is smooth in all observations, limiting the neutron star is sufficient to explain the small spectral changes. The CRSF is smooth in all observations, limiting the neutron star and its accretion disk further, especially when comparing with the combined NuSTAR and Suzaku data.
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