Mapping strain fields in ultrathin bonded Si wafers by x-ray scattering
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X-ray scattering reveals the atomic displacements arising from rotational misalignment in ultrathin silicon bonded wafers. For a 4.3 nm top wafer, the strain field penetrates from the bonded interface to the surface and produces distinctive finite-size oscillations in x-ray data. Analytical calculations permit the atomic displacements throughout the thin top wafer to be modeled. © 2002 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1476702]

When two Si(001) crystals are bonded directly with a small, well-defined, rotational misorientation, a strain field is created at the interface that may be characterized in terms of periodic lattices of dislocations.1 When the period between dislocations becomes larger than the thickness of the upper crystal then the strain field will penetrate to the top surface.2–5 Such bonded wafers have aroused considerable interest because they open up unique possibilities for device fabrication.6 Particularly interesting are applications that use them as templates in epitaxial growth7 or for creating nanometer periodic surface structures by selective etching.8

X-ray scattering measurements of the strain field in thick bonded wafers with different twist angles $\theta_{\text{twist}}$ have been reported previously.1,9 These measurements were later extended to cover $\theta_{\text{twist}}$ from 0.2° to 25°. We found that the thickness of the strained interface is about 0.8 nm for $\theta_{\text{twist}} > 8°$ but for smaller angles the thickness is inversely proportional to $\theta_{\text{twist}}$, and for a twist angle of 0.2°, the thickness exceeds 30 nm. In this regime we find that the strain amplitude decays exponentially from the bonded interface. In the present work we apply x-ray scattering to measure the strain amplitudes in samples with an ultrathin bonded upper crystal and analyze the results using an isotropic elastic approximation.1,2,10,11

Silicon-on-insulator (SOI) wafers with a 200 nm Si(001) crystal on top of the SiO2 were thinned by dry oxidation. The required layer thickness was determined using ellipsometry. The wafer was hydrophobically bonded to a standard Si wafer with a well-defined twist angle $\theta_{\text{twist}}$.4 After annealing at 1000 °C,9 the SOI bulk crystal and SiO2 layer were etched away leaving the thin top crystal bonded to the standard wafer.

The scattering measurements were performed with the $z$-axis diffractometer at the BW2 beamline in HASYLAB (Hamburg, Germany). The samples were mounted in a constant angle of incidence geometry. The x-ray energy was 10 keV, the angular divergence of the incoming beam <0.5 mrad, and the angular acceptance of the detector was set to 1 mrad both horizontally and vertically. We present data for two samples with thicknesses of the upper crystal of 4.3 and 13.6 nm, respectively. For both samples the twist angle was 2.1° and the tilt angles were less than 0.3°. The measured intensities were corrected to account for the Lorentz factor, the effective diffracting volume of the sample, the width of the rocking curve full width at half maximum (FWHM), and integrated intensity calculated as peak intensity\times FWHM.

The misfit creates a strain field characterized by a square lattice of screw dislocations in the bonding plane with a period of 4.12

$$\lambda = N_s a/\sqrt{2},$$

where $a = 0.543$ nm is the cubic lattice parameter of Si and $N_s$ is given by

$$N_s = 1/[2 \sin(\theta_{\text{twist}}/2)].$$

We use surface coordinates with the (001) direction normal to the surface and (100) along the atomic rows in the surface plane. The dislocations are inclined by $\theta_{\text{twist}}/2$ relative to the (100) directions of both crystals. For the first-harmonic component the $1/e$ length of the strain decay is equal to $\lambda/2\pi$.13 For samples with a thin upper crystal we include the effect of image forces in the model calculation of the displacements from the dislocations.5,10

The strain field produces satellite reflections (S) in the plane parallel to the interface around the Bragg points (P) of the two crystals. The satellite positions are determined by the reciprocal lattice of the square array of dislocations. The scattering intensity at satellite points is caused by the displacements from the bulk lattice sites in the two crystals and the strain is the derivative of the displacement field.

The fundamental wave vector $q$ for the periodic displacement field is equal to the difference between the (101) Bragg points of the substrate crystal $P_1(101)$ and the upper crystal $P_2(101)$, shown in the inset to Fig. 2(c):
q = P_2(101) - P_1(101). \tag{3}

Satellites occur at P_1(101) - q and P_2(101) + q, and also at P_1(112) ± q and at P_2(112) ± q and around all other bulk Bragg points.

The intensity profile measured in scans through satellite points in the direction perpendicular to the interface (z direction in real space and l direction in reciprocal space) reveals the changes in the displacements with increasing distance from the interface. For ultrathin upper crystals intensity oscillations are produced by the abrupt termination at the top surface.

Figure 1 shows x-ray scattering data from the sample with a 4.3 nm top crystal. Panel (a) shows an l scan through a satellite near a (112) bulk Bragg reflection. The location of the satellite peak in the (hk) plane at l = 2 is indicated in the upper-right corner of Fig. 1: the reciprocal lattice axes of the bottom crystal are indicated by solid lines and those of the top crystal by dashed lines. At the satellite point S(112) = P_1(112) + q marked by + in Fig. 1(a) the scattering intensity contains contributions from the first harmonic of the displacement field in both crystals so it is a relatively strong satellite.

The solid curve in Fig. 1 shows the calculated profile assuming homogeneous elastic properties. The best fit was obtained with a top surface roughness of σ = 0.6 nm and a model where the intensities from three different top crystal thicknesses, N_{top}a = 7a, 8a and 9a, were added. The mean slope in the wings of the curve determines the surface roughness. The surface-termination oscillations are damped by adding contributions from different top crystal thicknesses—in this case three were necessary. Panel (b) shows the structure-factor amplitude at l = 2 of single atomic layers parallel to the interface for layers separated by a = 0.543 nm. If there were no surface termination at the top surface the function in Fig. 1(b) would be symmetric.

Figure 1(a) clearly demonstrates that the strain penetrates the entire upper crystal. Figure 1(c) shows a similar scan from the same sample through the P_2(112) Bragg point of the upper crystal together with the calculated profile. The best fit is obtained using the same parameters as in Fig. 1(a) except for a larger surface roughness of σ = 0.9 nm. The general shapes of the two intensity curves is nicely reproduced by the model calculation.

Figure 2 shows similar results from the sample with a 13.6 nm top crystal. The intensity curves are calculated by summing contributions from crystal thicknesses N_{top}a = 24a, 25a, and 26a with a surface roughness of σ = 0.4 nm. The same parameters, including the surface roughness, were used in Figs. 2(a) and 2(c). Here, we present results for the satellite point P_2(101) + q and the Bragg point P_2(101). The asymmetry of the single-layer structure factor amplitude in panel (b) arises from the superposition of the first harmonic of the periodic displacement field in the upper crystal with the second harmonic in the substrate crystal. There are clearly no oscillations visible in Fig. 2(a), and the effect of the strain field at the surface is negligible. Figure 2(c) shows the distinct oscillations in the Bragg scattering intensity for the 13.6-nm-thick upper crystal.

The data presented here illustrate the wide range in real-space dimensions that can be realized for regular patterns of strained silicon. By establishing small misfit angles of a few tenths of a degree, the strain pattern parallel to the interface may have a 100 nm period and a perpendicular decay length of several 10 nm. A bonded wafer with a 5 nm upper crystal will provide perfect matching with a zero substrate misfit incorporating an array of dislocations, each forming a dislocation pair together with its mirror dislocation above the surface. The dislocations in the upper crystal will be dominated by the local field from the nearest dislocation and be anharmonic throughout the entire crystal. With larger misfit θ_{misfit} or thicker upper crystal, when N_{top}a > λ/(2π), the displacement field becomes more harmonic towards the top surface and the displacement amplitude decreases exponentially. This is illustrated in Fig. 3, which shows the displacement.
function of the individual atomic layer parallel to the interface taken from the model calculation of Fig. 1(a). For clarity, the curves are vertically displaced by 0.25, the interval between the atomic layers is \(a\), and the units for both axes is \(a/\sqrt{2}\). The arrow at \(\lambda/(2\pi)\) illustrates the borderline between the harmonic and the anharmonic regimes. In the latter, the almost linear slope regions of the curves correspond to almost matching nonrotated crystals. This sample has significant top surface strain, which will influence both the surface reconstruction as well as the nucleation properties in thin-film growth.

The practical application of thin bonded Si wafers will still depend on the fabrication of samples combining the properties described above with controlled properties of the top surface including purity and roughness. An important next step will be to study such systems with standard ultra-high-vacuum surface analysis techniques including scanning tunneling microscopy, and to use bonded wafers as templates for the epitaxial growth of ordered arrays of nanoclusters.
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