Development of an airborne ice sounding radar front-end
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II. ANTENNA DESIGN

A wideband probe-fed dual-linear polarisation wideband microstrip patch antenna array has been developed for the P-sounder. The microstrip patch antenna has been extensively employed in EMISAR L-band synthetic aperture radar (SAR) with very good performance [1],[4],[5], however at a reduced relative bandwidth. An improved bandwidth of this antenna has been presented in [5] with very good return loss.

A P-band sounder microstrip patch antenna has been developed by DLR with 28% relative bandwidth and 350 MHz center frequency [6], but with a trade-off in return loss. Similar antennas have been developed by Saab Ericsson [7] and at lower frequencies by JPL [8].

As a consequence of these previous developments we have decided to employ a microstrip patch antenna with a probe-fed co-planar active patch excitation and a parasitic patch. Such a feeding structure has been proposed in [9]. The probe fed minimizes the cross-coupling and cross-polarization levels.

A drawing of a single patch is given in Figure 1. In contrast to a standard design we employ a cavity around the substrate and coplanar patch excitation [9]. We have observed that this greatly improves the bandwidth and cross-coupling levels. The return loss is better than 15 dB from 379 MHz to 480 MHz. The cross-coupling between the ports is predicted to be –20 dB in the center of the band and increases to around –14 dB on the band edges.

The results for the return loss and cross-coupling are presented in Figure 2 for both polarizations. It should be emphasized that a fine mesh, together with a finite conductivity for the metal, and finite ground plane are necessary in order to obtain very good agreement between measured and simulated results.

The measured and simulated radiation patterns for the E-plane and the H-plane in the horizontal polarisation are presented in Figure 3 for a number of frequencies inside the operating band. The simulations have been included only at
435 MHz for clarity. At the respective frequency the radiation patterns follow closely the predicted ones for both planes. There is a slight asymmetry in the E-plane pattern in both the simulations and the measurements. This can be due to the excitation patch, but is much more pronounced in the simulations.

One of the advantages of the structure developed in this work is the ease of assembly in a building brick principle, as each single antenna has its own cavity box, illustrated in Figure 4. The figure also shows that there is a negligible variation in the impedance between the individual ports for both polarizations. A bandwidth of approximately 80 MHz at RL>15dB has been achieved, which suffices the 20% relative bandwidth requirement. The array employs a parasitic second patch at a distance of 30 mm from the active patch. The active patch size is 213 mm, foam thickness is 70 mm, the parasitic patch size is 180 mm, the excitation patch size is 19 mm × 39.5 mm, and the distance between the excitation and the active patch is 3 mm.

The simulated radiation pattern is depicted in Figure 5. We can see that the sidelobe level is around –15 dB below main lobe and the cross-polarisation maxima are at angles above 50° and do not impact the antenna array performance.
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in order to be able to drive directly the A/D converters in the digital acquisition unit, so a variable gain amplifier is used to adjust the receiver gain depending on the operation mode of the radar.

A. Power Divider

We have developed lumped element Wilkinson type in-phase and out-of-phase power dividers. Such realizations have been presented earlier [10], [11], but did not have the RF power handling capabilities. Out-of-phase lumped element power dividers have also been reported earlier [12] including dividers using metamaterial lines [13]. The design here is based on metamaterial lines, but exhibits a better bandwidth performance and can handle higher powers with considerably less losses.

Figure 6: Photograph of the fabricated 180º lumped element Wilkinson divider.

Figure 6 shows a photograph of the out-of-phase Wilkinson divider employing left-handed/right-handed section to realize the 180º phase shift between the ports 2 and 3. The dimensions of both divider circuits are around 60 mm × 40 mm.

Figure 7: Measured insertion loss, reflection coefficient and phase difference for the 180º Wilkinson divider.

This network is a compromise between amplitude and phase unbalance. The phase difference between the ports has been adjusted to the very small value of around 4º, at the expense of an amplitude unbalance of 0.23 dB. The circuit inevitably exhibits higher losses as compared with the standard Wilkinson divider realized here (insertion loss 0.2 dB), but the measured losses remain below 0.5 dB, comparable to a 180º coupler. The return loss is provided in Figure 7 and is better than 15 dB across the full band.

The phase difference has a maximum measured variation of 2º, which is an excellent value and matches perfectly 180º at the center frequency. Even better results, amplitude difference of 0.1 dB, insertion loss of 0.2 dB, phase balance < 1º over the frequency band has been achieved for the in-phase lumped element Wilkinson divider.

The necessary 20% bandwidth is realized for both circuits with a return loss better than 15 dB and power handling capabilities up to around 75 W CW microwave power.

B. High Efficiency Power Amplifier

The frequency of operation and the bandwidth of 80 MHz strongly limit the amount of commercially available amplifiers. Two solutions have been developed and characterized. One is based on the Mitsubishi power module 4SH4047 exhibiting input and output matching, but a low power-added efficiency and is operating from a 12 V power supply. The other HPA is based on a proprietary design for very high PAE of up to 65 % with a 28 V bias voltage.

We have developed a high efficiency power amplifier based on series matching and class B operation. The amplifier is based on two stages, a driver and a power stage using LDMOS MRF373. The schematic representation of the amplifier is given in Figure 8.

Figure 8: Simplified view of the power amplifier unit with two stages LDMOS MRF373 devices.

The series tuning is preferred over parallel tuning due to the low impedance levels. Figure 9 shows the simulated PAE, the gain and the output power versus frequency for the HPA. The HPA driver exhibits a constant gain and output power across the band and its measured PAE is around 65%.

Figure 9: Simulated PAE, gain, and output power versus frequency for the HPA.
A comparison between measured gain and return loss for the driver stage indicate that our predictions are rather reliable, as indicated in Figure 10. Both measured parameters are in excellent agreement with simulated results using the Freescale LDMS model. In the operating range of the radar the HPA exhibits a return loss of $>11\, \text{dB}$ with a total 40 dB gain.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented component design for an P-band ice sounding radar. The relative bandwidth of all components is around 20% at a return loss level of RL$>15\, \text{dB}$. The components developed for the instrument are an antenna array, a high power amplifier, power dividers and a power switch. Results for some of these components presented in the paper demonstrate the successful implementation of the design. Other developed component results will be shown during the presentation.

The antenna is based on a stacked probe-fed microstrip patch with a coplanar patch excitation with 20% relative bandwidth at 15 dB return loss. Excellent agreement has been achieved between measurements and predictions for single element design. Both predicted and measured return loss and antenna patterns exhibit good agreement, respectively. Both polarization ports show perfect match both in measurements and simulations.

The HPA exhibits $>60\%$ PAE at 70 W output power over the required bandwidth. This performance is achieved by series matching to the low terminal impedances in class B operation. The driver stages and the narrowband version of the HPA both exhibit PAE approaching 70%. The driver and the HPA have good return loss and a constant gain across the frequency range. The driver gain varies only by 0.5 dB across the band and over the full power range. Excellent agreement is achieved between measurements and simulations in this case.

The lumped element Wilkinson dividers based on left-handed/right-handed sections presented in this paper exhibit wideband operation with low measured and simulated losses of $<0.5\, \text{dB}$ (0.2 dB for a standard lumped element Wilkinson divider) and good port matching. Phase unbalance between the ports in both circuits is $4^\circ$ and $2^\circ$, respectively. All these results are maintained during high power operation up to the tested power levels of 75 W.
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