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Abstract—The problem of robust control of a wind turbine is considered in this paper. A controller is designed based on a 2 degrees of freedom linearized model. An extended Kalman filter is used to estimate effective wind speed and the estimated wind speed is used to find the operating point of the wind turbine. Due to imprecise wind speed estimation, uncertainty in the obtained linear model is considered. Uncertainties in the drivetrain stiffness and damping parameters are also considered as these values are lumped parameters of a distributed system and therefore they include inherent uncertainties. We include these uncertainties as parametric uncertainties in the model and design a robust controller using DK-iteration method. The controller is applied on a full complexity simulation model and simulations are performed for wind speed step changes.

I. INTRODUCTION

There is an increasing interest in wind energy and wind turbines are the most common wind energy conversion systems (WECS). Control is an essential part of the wind turbine system because control methods can decrease the cost of energy by increasing the power capture by keeping the turbine close to its maximum efficiency and also by reducing structural loadings and therefore increasing lifetime of the wind turbine. Wind turbines essentially have two regions of operation, partial load and full load. In the partial load wind speed is not fast enough to produce rated power. In this region the main control objective is to track the maximum power coefficient($C_{P_{max}}$) and extract as much power as possible. Pitch is mostly fixed in this region and generator reaction torque is adjusted to control rotational speed and keep the operating point close to $C_{P_{max}}$. In the full load region wind speed is above rated and wind power exceeds rated power of the generator, therefore by decreasing aerodynamics efficiency of the rotor we try to control the captured power and this is done by pitching the blades. There are several methods for wind turbine control ranging from classical control methods [1] which have been the focus of research in the past few years [2]. Gain scheduling [3], adaptive control [4], time invariant MIMO methods [5], nonlinear control [6], robust control [7], model predictive control [8] are to mention a few. Advanced control methods are thought to be the future of wind turbine control as they can employ new generations of sensors on wind turbines (e.g. LIDAR [9]), new generation of actuators (e.g. trailing edge flaps [10]) and also conveniently treat the turbine as a MIMO system. The last feature seems to become more important than before as wind turbines become bigger and more flexible which make decoupling different modes and designing controller for each mode more difficult. The wind turbine in this paper is treated as a MIMO system with pitch reference($\theta_{ref}$) and generator reaction torque($Q_{ref}$) as inputs and rotor rotational speed($\omega_r$), generator rotational speed($\omega_g$) and generated power($P_r$) as outputs. This paper is organized as follows: In the section II modeling of the wind turbine including modeling for wind speed estimation, linearization and uncertainty modeling is addressed. In the section III-A controller design is explain and in the section IV robust performance problems is addressed. And finally in the section V simulation results are presented.

II. MODELING OF THE WIND TURBINE

For modeling purposes, the whole wind turbine can be divided into 4 subsystems: Aerodynamics subsystem, structural subsystem, electrical subsystem and actuator subsystem. Figure 1 shows the basic subsystems and their interactions. The dominant dynamics of the wind turbine come from its flexible structure. Several degrees of freedom could be considered to model the flexible structure, but for control design mostly just a few important degrees of freedom are considered. Mostly the degrees of freedom whose eigen frequencies lie inside actuator bandwidth are considered otherwise including them into the design model is useless and makes the design model unnecessarily complicated. In this work we only consider two degrees of freedom,
namely the rotational degree of freedom (DOF) and drivetrain torsion. The aerodynamics subsystem in the model gets effective wind speed \( v_c \), pitch angle \( \theta \) and rotational speed of the rotor \( \omega_r \) and returns aerodynamic torque \( T_r \) and thrust \( F_T \). This subsystem is responsible for the nonlinearity in the wind turbine model. More details are presented in the section II-B.

A. Wind Model

Wind model can be modeled as a complicated nonlinear stochastic process, however for practical purposes it could be approximated based on a linear model. In this model the wind has two elements, mean value term \( v_m \) and turbulent term \( v_t \):

\[
v_c = v_m + v_t
\]

The turbulent term could be modeled by the following state space model:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{v}_t \\
\dot{v}_l \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{p_1(v_m)p_2(v_m)} & \frac{1}{p_1(v_m)p_2(v_m)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
v_t \\
v_l \\
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
k \cdot v_t \\
e, \ e \in N(0, 1) \\
e, \ e \in N(0, 1) \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(1)

The parameters \( k(v_m), p_1(v_m) \) and \( p_2(v_m) \) are estimated by approximating wind power distribution and as it is indicated, they are dependent on the mean wind speed \( v_m \).

B. Nonlinear Model

Blade element momentum (BEM) theory [11] is used to calculate aerodynamic torque and thrust on the wind turbine. This theory explains how torque and thrust are related to wind speed, blade pitch angle and rotational speed of the rotor with the following formulas:

\[
Q_r = \frac{1}{2} \rho \pi R^2 v_c^2 C_P(\theta, \omega_r, v_c)
\]

\[
Q_t = \frac{1}{2} \rho \pi R^2 v_c^2 C_T(\theta, \omega_r, v_c)
\]

In which \( Q_r \) and \( Q_t \) are aerodynamic torque and thrust, \( \rho \) is air density, \( \omega_r \) is rotor rotational speed, \( v_c \) is effective wind speed, \( C_P \) is the power coefficient and \( C_T \) is the thrust force coefficient. For the sake of simplicity, instead of presenting these two coefficients as functions of three variables \( \omega_r, v_c \) and \( \theta \), they are presented as a function of two variables \( \lambda \) and \( \theta \) in which \( \lambda = \frac{\omega_r}{\nu_c} \) and it is called tip speed ratio. As we have not used individual pitch in this work absolute angular position of the rotor and generator are of no interest to us, therefore we use \( \psi = \theta_e - \theta_g \) instead which is the drivetrain torsion. Having aerodynamic torque the whole system equation with 2 degrees of freedom becomes:

\[
J_r \ddot{\omega}_r = Q_r - c(\omega_r - \frac{\omega_g}{N_g}) - k \psi
\]

\[
(N_g J_g) \ddot{\omega}_g = c(\omega_e - \frac{\omega_g}{N_g}) + k \psi - N_g Q_g
\]

(2)

In which \( J_r \) and \( J_g \) are rotor and generator moments of inertia, \( \psi \) is the drivetrain torsion, \( c \) and \( k \) are the drivetrain damping and stiffness factors respectively lumped in the low speed side of the shaft. For numerical values of these parameters and other parameters given in this paper, we refer the reader to [12]. These equations give us a nonlinear model however our control design method is based on linear models, therefore we need to linearize the nonlinear model of the system which could be easily achieve using Taylor expansions around the operating points.

C. Uncertain Model

As it was mentioned, for control design we need to have a linear model of the system and the following model of the wind turbine is used:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x} \\
y_{\Delta} \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
A & B_1 & B_2 \\
C_1 & D_{11} & D_{12} \\
C_2 & D_{21} & D_{22} \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y_{\Delta} \\
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
u_d \\
u \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

In which states, inputs and outputs are:

\[
x = [\omega_r, \omega_g, \psi, Q_g, v_e, \dot{v}_e]^T
\]

\[
u = [\theta_{ref}, Q_{ref}]^T
\]

\[
y = [\omega_r, \omega_g, P_e]^T
\]

\( \omega_r \) is rotational speed of the rotor, \( \omega_g \) is rotational speed of the generator, \( \psi \) is drivetrain deflection, \( \theta \) pitch of the blade, \( Q_g \) is the generator reaction torque, \( v_e \) and \( \dot{v}_e \) are wind model states, \( \theta_{ref} \) is the reference value for pitch angle and \( Q_{ref} \) is the reference value for the generator reaction torque and \( P_e \) is the electrical power. System equations are:

\[
\dot{\omega}_r = \frac{a - c}{J_r} \omega_r + \frac{c}{J_r} R_{\psi} - \frac{k}{J_r} \psi + b_1 \theta + b_2 v_e
\]

\[
\dot{\omega}_g = \frac{c}{N_g J_g} \omega_r - \frac{c}{N_g J_g} R_{\psi} + \frac{k}{N_g J_g} \psi - Q_g
\]

\[
\dot{\psi} = \omega_r - \omega_g - Q_g
\]

\[
\dot{\theta} = \frac{1}{\tau_\theta} \theta + \frac{1}{\tau_\theta} \theta_{ref}
\]

\[
\dot{Q}_g = -\frac{1}{\tau_g} Q_g + \frac{1}{\tau_g} Q_{ref}
\]

\[
\dot{P}_e = Q_{ref} \omega_g + \omega_{ref} Q_g
\]

\[
\dot{v}_e = -\frac{1}{p_1 p_2} v_e - \frac{p_1 + p_2}{p_1 p_2} \dot{v}_e + \frac{k}{p_1 p_2} \dot{\psi}
\]

There are always discrepancies between real system and mathematical models, which lead to uncertain models. In this work, sources of uncertainties are taken to be:

- Uncertainty in the drivetrain stiffness and damping parameters.
- Uncertainty in the linearized model.

Uncertainty in the linearized model could be a result of approximate \( C_P \) curve calculations, wrong wind speed estimation which results in picking the wrong operating point or aerodynamic changes due to blade flexibility or ice coatings on the blades. Multiplicative uncertainty is used to represent the uncertain parameters. The uncertainty matrix becomes:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
u_a \\
u_b \\
u_k \\
u_c \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\delta_g & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \delta_b & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \delta_k & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_c \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
y_a \\
y_b \\
y_k \\
y_c \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Fig. 2: System interconnections

\[ y_{\Delta} = \begin{bmatrix} y_a & y_b & y_k & y_c \end{bmatrix}^T \]

is the uncertainty output, \( y \) is the output, \( u_{\Delta} = \begin{bmatrix} u_a & u_b & u_k & u_c \end{bmatrix}^T \) is the uncertainty input and \( u \) is the input. Now having system equations, we can make the interconnection matrix \( P \) (see figure 2):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    y_{\Delta} \\
    z \\
    y
\end{bmatrix} = P
\begin{bmatrix}
    u_{\Delta} \\
    d \\
    u
\end{bmatrix}
\]

D. Simulation Model

The FAST (Fatigue, Aerodynamics, Structures, and Turbulence) code [13] is used as the simulation model and the 5MW reference wind turbine is used as the plant [12]. In the simulation model 10 degrees of freedom are enabled which are: generator, drivetrain torsion, 1st and 2nd tower fore-aft, 1st and 2nd tower side-side, 1st and 2nd blade flapwise, 1st blade edgewise degrees of freedom.

E. Wind Speed Estimation

Based on the nonlinear model given in (2) and the wind model given in (1) an extended Kalman filter is designed to estimate the effective wind speed. This wind speed is used to find the operating point of the wind turbine (\( \theta^*, \lambda^* \) and \( C^*_p \)) and linearize the nonlinear model.

III. CONTROLLER DESIGN

A. Control Objectives

The most basic control objective of a wind turbine is to maximize power capture in the turbine life time, which this in turn means maximizing power captured from the wind and prolonging life time of the wind turbine by minimizing the fatigue loads. Generally maximizing power capture is considered in the partial load and minimizing fatigue loads is mainly considered above rated. As we are operating in the full load region in this work, we have considered the second objective. Control objectives are formulated in the form of weighting functions on input disturbances (\( d \)) and exogenous outputs (\( z \)). In order to avoid high frequency activity of the actuators, we have put high pass filter on control signals to punish high frequency actions. Also we have setup low pass filters to punish low frequency of the system outputs as their high frequency dynamics are outside of our actuator bandwidth and we can not control them anyway. For regulating power and rotational speed, \( P_c - P_c^* \) and \( \int \omega_g - \omega_g^* \) and for minimizing fatigue loads on the drivetrain \( \omega_g - N_g \omega_r \) are punished. The resulting controller is a dynamical system with measurements \( y \) as its inputs and control signals \( u \) as its outputs:

\[
\dot{x}_c = A_c x_c + B_c y \quad u = C_c x_c
\]

IV. ROBUST PERFORMANCE PROBLEM

A. Theory

Robust performance means that the performance objective is satisfied for all possible plants in the uncertainty set. The robust performance condition can be cast into a robust stability problem with an additional perturbation block that defines \( H_\infty \) performance specifications [14]. The structured singular value \( \mu \) is a very powerful tool for the analysis of robust performance with a given controller. However this is an analysis tool, in order to design a controller, we need a synthesis tool. A scaled version of the upper bound of \( \mu \) is used for controller synthesis. The problem is formulated in the following form:

\[
\mu_{\Delta}(N(K)) \leq \min_{D \in D} \sigma(DN(K)D^{-1})
\]

Now, the synthesis problem can be cast into the following optimization problem in which one tries to to find a controller that minimizes the peak value over frequency of this upper bound:

\[
\min_{K \in K} \left( \min_{D \in D} \|DN(K)D^{-1}\|_\infty \right)
\]

This problem is solved by an iterative approach which is called \( DK \)-iteration. For detailed explanations on the method and notations the reader is referred to [14].

B. Implementation

We have used \( \mu \)-Synthesis toolbox [15] to implement the \( DK \)-Iteration algorithm. \( W_\Delta \) is used to scale the \( \Delta \) matrix. We have taken uncertainty of 10% of the nominal values for drivetrain stiffness and damping coefficients and 20% for
the linearization parameters therefore the weighting matrix becomes:

\[ W_\Delta = diag(0.2, 0.2, 0.1, 0.1) \]

\[ \Delta \rho \] (scaled by \( W_i \) and \( W_o \) matrices) defines performance of the system in the form of a complex perturbation matrix. \( W_i \) and \( W_o \) are frequency dependent weight matrices on disturbances and exogenous outputs respectively of the form:

\[ W_o = diag(W_{o1}, \ldots, W_{o5}) \]

\[ W_i = diag(W_{i1}, W_{i2}) \]

Bode plots of the weighting functions are given in the figure 4. Figure 4 shows bode plots of weighting functions. Input disturbances \( d \) to the system are:

\[ d = \begin{bmatrix} v_e \\ \omega_g^* \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Wind Speed} \quad \text{Rotor rotation reference} \]

And exogenous outputs \( z \) are:

\[ z = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{ref} \\ Q_{\text{ref}} \\ \omega_g^* - \frac{\omega_g}{N_g} \\ \int (\omega_g^* - \omega_g) \\ \int (P_e^* - P_e) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Pitch reference} \quad \text{Generator reaction torque reference} \quad \text{Deflection of the drivetrain} \quad \text{Integral on rotational speed error} \quad \text{Integral on generated power error} \]

These weightings are used to specify performance of the system. As we have parametric uncertainties in the plant and complex perturbation for performances, mixed \( \mu \) is used to design the controller. The resulting mixed-\( \mu \) is given in figure 5 and the iteration summary is given in the table I. The obtained controller is of the order 19, and has maximum gain of 15.86dB. As high order controllers are problematic in the real implementations, we have used balanced order reduction [16] to reduce its order to 10. Hankel singular values of the controller are shown in figure 6 and the jump from order 10 to 11 is found a reasonable place for controller order reduction.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section simulation results for the obtained controller are presented. The controller is implemented in MATLAB and tested on full complexity FAST model of the reference wind turbine [12]. As it is mentioned in section II-E we have augmented model of wind turbine with a stochastic wind model, however in order to make evaluation of the controller on nominal and worst case, we have used simulations with step changes in the wind speed.

![Fig. 6: Hankel singular values of the controller](image_url)
A. Robust performance simulations

In this section simulation results of a step change in wind speed is presented. Control inputs which are pitch reference $\theta_{\text{ref}}$ and generator reaction torque reference $T_{\text{ref}}$ along with system outputs which are rotor rotational speed $\omega_r$ and electrical power $P_e$ are plotted in figures 7-11.

B. Simulation for the worst case

In this section worst case scenarios, in which all the uncertainties are taken to be the maximum values, are presented. To do so, wind speed is taken to be 2 m/s away from the linearization point and nominal values of the drivetrain stiffness and damping are replaced by the following values:

\[
\begin{align*}
    k &= \bar{k}(1 + P_k\delta_k) \quad \text{for } \delta_k = \pm 1 & P_k = 0.1 \\
    c &= \bar{c}(1 + P_c\delta_c) \quad \text{for } \delta_c = \pm 1 & P_c = 0.1
\end{align*}
\]

As it is seen in figures 12 and 13, in the worst cases the system becomes oscillatory but it maintains a reasonable performance.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we solved the problem of robust control of a wind turbine using DK-iteration technique. The controller is designed for the full load region, and an extension of this work would be to solve the problem for partial load too. Parametric uncertainty is considered in the uncertain model and then we have used $\mu$-synthesis method to design the controller. The full model with augmented wind model is of the order 8 and the resulting controller is of the order 19, however balanced truncation model order reduction is used to reduce order of the controller to 10. The final controller is implemented on a FAST simulation model with 10 degrees of freedom and simulations with wind speed step changes are done for nominal plant and worst case plant. The results suggest that the controller can handle nominal case pretty well and the worst case with a little loss of performance.
Fig. 12: Worst case scenario with +2 m/s wind speed estimation error

Fig. 13: Worst case scenario with −2 m/s wind speed estimation error
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